

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

An Uncertainty Inequality for Wavelet Sets

Radu Balan¹

Communicated by Guy Battle on April 22, 1997

Abstract—The purpose of this note is to present an extension and an alternative proof to Theorem 1.3 from G. Battle (*Appl. Comput. Harmonic Anal.* 4 (1997) 119–146). This extension applies to wavelet Bessel sets which include wavelet Riesz bases for their span, wavelet Riesz bases (including orthogonal and biorthogonal wavelet bases), and wavelet frames. © 1998 Academic Press

Let $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ and $a > 1, b > 0$ be given data. We denote by

$$W_{\Psi;ab} = \{ \Psi_{mn;ab}; m, n \in \mathbf{Z} \}, \Psi_{mn;ab}(x) = a^{m/2} \Psi(a^m x - nb) \quad (1)$$

the *wavelet set* associated to the wavelet Ψ and parameters a, b .

DEFINITION We call $W_{\Psi;ab}$ a *wavelet Bessel set* if there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$:

$$\sum_{m,n} |\langle f, \Psi_{mn;ab} \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2. \quad (2)$$

We shall use the notations of [1] for $P, X, \sigma_{\Psi}(X), \sigma_{\Psi}(P), \langle P \rangle_{\Psi}, \langle X \rangle_{\Psi}$. Then, the main result can be stated as

THEOREM *Suppose $W_{\Psi;ab}$ is a wavelet Bessel set. Then*

$$\|X \Psi\| \cdot \|P \Psi\| \geq \frac{3}{2}. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, if $\langle P \rangle_{\Psi} = 0$ (for instance, when Ψ is real-valued) then

$$\sigma_{\Psi_{mn;ab}}(X) \sigma_{\Psi_{mn;ab}}(P) = \sigma_{\Psi}(X) \sigma_{\Psi}(P) \geq \frac{3}{2}. \quad (4)$$

¹ Program in Applied and Computational Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544. E-mail: rvbalan@math.princeton.edu. The author is grateful to Ingrid Daubechies for helpful discussions and the suggestion that he writes this note.

Proof. If $X\Psi$, $P\Psi$ do not both lie in $L^2(\mathbf{R})$, then either $\|X\Psi\|$ or $\|P\Psi\|$ is infinite and (3), (4) trivially hold.

Suppose now that both $X\Psi$, $P\Psi$ are in $L^2(\mathbf{R})$, which means, equivalently, $x\Psi$, $\Psi' \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$. Thus Ψ and $\hat{\Psi}$ are integrable (i.e., in $L^1(\mathbf{R})$) and continuous.

On the other hand, the same technique that C. K. Chui and X. Shi used to prove Littlewood–Paley type inequalities for wavelet frames in [2] allows us to obtain these two conditions on Ψ because $W_{\Psi;ab}$ is a Bessel set,

$$\frac{1}{b} \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} |\hat{\Psi}(a^m \xi)|^2 \leq B, \quad (5)$$

a.e. $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ and, since $\hat{\Psi}$ is continuous, it follows that (5) holds for any $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$. By integration from 1 to a we get the second relation,

$$\frac{1}{2b \log a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\Psi}(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|} d\xi \leq B. \quad (6)$$

Since $\hat{\Psi}$ is continuous we obtain that necessarily $\hat{\Psi}(0) = 0$ which means

$$\int \Psi(x) dx = 0. \quad (7)$$

Consider now two linear spaces (S is the space of the rapidly decreasing functions):

$$S_0 = \{ \varphi \in S, \int \varphi(x) dx = 0 \}, \quad (8)$$

$$V_0 = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}), Xf, Pf \in L^2(\mathbf{R}), \text{ and } \int f(x) dx = 0 \}. \quad (9)$$

We claim that S_0 is dense in V_0 with respect to the norm $|||f||| = \|f\| + \|Xf\| + \|Pf\|$ (for which, by the way, the space V_0 is closed). To see this, consider $f \in V_0$ and a sequence $\varphi_n \in S$ such that $|||\varphi_n - f||| \rightarrow 0$ (i.e., $\|\varphi_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$, $\|X\varphi_n - Xf\| \rightarrow 0$, $\|P\varphi_n - Pf\| \rightarrow 0$). Choose $G \in S$ such that $\int G(x) dx = 1$ and set $c_n = \int \varphi_n(x) dx$. Then $\varphi_n^0 = \varphi_n - c_n G \in S_0$ and $|||\varphi_n^0 - f||| \rightarrow 0$, since $c_n \rightarrow 0$. Thus S_0 is dense in V_0 .

For $\Psi \in S_0$, Battle proved that (3) holds and, when $\langle P \rangle_{\Psi} = 0$, (4) holds as well. We extend now his result to V_0 by a density argument.

Consider now $\Psi \in V_0$. Choose $\varphi_n \in S_0$ converging to Ψ in norm $|||\cdot|||$. Then, obviously

$$\|X\varphi_n\| \rightarrow \|X\Psi\|, \quad \|P\varphi_n\| \rightarrow \|P\Psi\| \quad (10)$$

and thus (3) is established.

For (4) we first note that (10) implies $\langle P \rangle_{\varphi_n} \rightarrow \langle P \rangle_{\Psi} = 0$, $\langle X \rangle_{\varphi_n} \rightarrow \langle X \rangle_{\Psi}$, and, since $\sigma_{\Psi}(X) = (\|X\Psi\|^2 - (\langle X \rangle_{\Psi})^2)^{1/2}$, $\sigma_{\Psi}(P) = (\|P\Psi\|^2 - (\langle P \rangle_{\Psi})^2)^{1/2}$, we get as well

that $\sigma_{\varphi_n}(X) \rightarrow \sigma_\Psi(X)$ and $\sigma_{\varphi_n}(P) \rightarrow \sigma_\Psi(P)$. Finally, as has been observed many times before (for instance in [3]), the uncertainty product $\sigma_\Psi(X)\sigma_\Psi(P)$ is invariant along the wavelet set. This ends the proof of (4) and of the theorem. ■

Remark We point out that the inequality (3) holds as well for every element of $W_{\Psi;ab}$, i.e.,

$$\|X\Psi_{mn;ab}\| \cdot \|P\Psi_{mn;ab}\| \geq \frac{3}{2}, \quad (11)$$

since (7) holds for every $\Psi_{mn;ab}$.

REFERENCES

1. G. Battle, Heisenberg inequalities for wavelet states, *Appl. Comput. Harmonic Anal.* **4** (1997), 119–146.
2. C. K. Chui and X. Shi, Inequalities of Littlewood–Paley type for frames and wavelets, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **24**, No. 1 (1993), 263–277.
3. Y. Meyer, Principe d’incertitude, bases Hilbertiennes et algèbres d’opérateurs, *Sém. Bourbaki* **662** (1986), 209–223.