

Semiparametric Estimation in Additive Partially Linear Models

David Shaw

3/27/2009

Table of Contents

Introduction

Estimation of the Parametric Component

Estimation of the Nonparametric Component

Efficiency of the Estimator

Applications

References

Introduction

The model we will be analyzing is known as an additive partially linear model. It involves relating a response $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to a set of covariates $(X, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ semiparametrically. We have $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q}$, $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ and $p = q + r$ is the total number of covariates. The X will be modeled in the regular linear fashion while Z will be modeled using a nonparametric additive method.

Introduction

For each data point the model can be written as

$$Y_i = X_i\beta + \phi^*(Z_i) + \epsilon_i.$$

Here, $\phi^*(Z_i) = \sum_{j=1}^r \phi_j(Z_{ij})$, the ϵ_i have mean 0 and second moment $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2$, and X_i and Z_i denote the i^{th} row of X and Z respectively.

If we define $\phi_i^* = \phi^*(Z_i)$ then the model can then be written in matrix form

$$Y = X\beta + \Phi + e$$

with $\Phi = [\phi_1^* \dots \phi_n^*]^T$.

Introduction

To complete our introduction, we will need to specify a type of function estimator that will be best suited for our needs. In other words, we need to find weights w_{nij} such that

$$\hat{\phi}^*(Z_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{nij} \phi^*(Z_i)$$

in order to get a smooth estimate of each function ϕ^* . Many such smoothers exist.

Some examples of weights for simple or common data smooths are given in the next slide.

Introduction

1. k-Nearest Neighbor:

$$w_{nij} = \frac{1}{k} * I(i \in [j - k/2, j + k/2], i \neq j)$$

with $k < n$ and $x_1 < \dots < x_n$.

2. Kernel:

$$w_{nij} = K\left(\frac{x_i - x_j}{h}\right) / \sum_l K\left(\frac{x_l - x_j}{h}\right)$$

with $K(\cdot)$ a kernel function and h a bandwidth parameter.

3. Series:

$$w_{nij} = p^K(z_j)^T (P^T P)^{-1} p^K(z_i)$$

with $p^K(\cdot)$ a vector of estimation functions, P the matrix of all such vectors and $K = K(n)$.

For additive partially linear models, it makes the most sense to use the series estimator of the functions ϕ_i^* .

Estimation of the Parametric Component

Define H_A as the additive class of functions such that if $g, g' \in H_A$,

1. $g : \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
2. $g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^r g_j(z_j)$ where $g_j(z_j)$ is continuous on a compact subset of \mathbb{R}
3. $\sum_{j=1}^r E[g_j(z_j)^2] < \infty$
4. $\langle g, g' \rangle = E[gg']$
5. $g_j(0) = 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, r$

The last requirement is needed so that estimation in our model will be identifiable. For use of kernel estimators, the identifiability condition is usually $Eg_j(z_j) = 0, j = 2, \dots, r$. However, for series estimation the condition above makes more sense.

Note that H_A is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and is therefore not compact.

Estimation of the Parametric Component

Before estimating β we will need to come up with estimates for $\phi^*(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^r$. To estimate each $\phi_j(z_j)$ we will use a linear combination of functions $p_j^{K_j}(z_j) = [p_{1j}^{K_j}(z_j) \dots p_{K_j j}^{K_j}(z_j)]^T$. Here, K_j is just the number of functions we are using to estimate $\phi_j(z_j)$ and each p term is a function of z_j . If we let $p^K(z)^T = [p_1^{K_1}(z_1)^T \dots p_r^{K_r}(z_r)^T]$ then a linear combination of $p^K(z)^T$ estimates $\phi^*(z)$ for $K = \sum_j K_j$.

We know then that $p^K(z) \in H_A$ and that as $\min_j(K_j) \rightarrow \infty$, \exists a linear combination of $p^K(z)$, say $\hat{p}^K(z)$, s.t. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $E[(\hat{p}^K(Z) - g(Z))^2] < \epsilon$, $\forall g \in H_A$.

Estimation of the Parametric Component

Now if we let $p_j = [p_j^{K_j}(Z_{1j}) \dots p_j^{K_j}(Z_{nj})]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K_j}$ and let $P = [p_1 \dots p_r] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$ then P will be the matrix of all functions that will estimate each $\phi_j(Z_{ij})$ and thus each ϕ_j^* .

Recall our model in matrix form was

$$Y = X\beta + \Phi + e.$$

Then if we define $M = P(P^T P)^{-1} P^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\tilde{A} = MA$ for any matrix or vector A with n rows, we know by the definition of our estimation functions in P that for large enough K , $\tilde{\Phi}$ is arbitrarily close to Φ . Therefore, premultiplying our model by M gives us

$$\tilde{Y} = \tilde{X}\beta + \tilde{\Phi} + \tilde{e} \approx \tilde{X}\beta + \Phi + \tilde{e}.$$

Estimation of the Parametric Component

Subtracting our original model from the one premultiplied by M , we get

$$Y - \tilde{Y} = (X - \tilde{X})\beta + \Phi - \tilde{\Phi} + e - \tilde{e} \approx (X - \tilde{X})\beta + e - \tilde{e}.$$

Thus, we can obtain a least squares estimate of β

$$\hat{\beta} = [(X - \tilde{X})^T(X - \tilde{X})]^{-1}(X - \tilde{X})^T(Y - \tilde{Y})$$

where the generalized inverse becomes an inverse as $\min_j K_j \rightarrow \infty$ given certain conditions.

Estimation of the Nonparametric Component

Since $Y - X\hat{\beta} \approx \Phi$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^r$ we can estimate $\phi^*(z)$ by

$$\hat{\phi}^*(z) = p^K(z)^T \hat{\gamma}$$

where $\hat{\gamma} = (P^T P)^- P^T (Y - X\hat{\beta})$.

Again, the generalized inverse $(P^T P)^-$ becomes an inverse asymptotically under certain assumptions.

Estimation of the Nonparametric Component

Assumptions:

1. $\forall i, (Y_i, X_i, Z_i)$ are iid as (Y, X, Z) and (X, Z) is defined on a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^p with $\theta(z)$ a bounded function and $E\epsilon_i^2 < \infty$
2. $\forall K \exists$ a nonsingular B such that the smallest eigenvalue of $E[Bp^K(Z)p^K(Z)^T B^T]$ is bounded away from 0 uniformly in K and \exists a sequence $\zeta_0(K)$ such that $\sup_z \|Bp^K(z)\| \leq \zeta_0(K)$ and $(\zeta_0(K))^2 K/n \rightarrow 0$
3. $\exists \delta_j > 0$ such that $\sup_z |f(z) - p^K(z)^T B^T \gamma_f| = O(\sum_j K_j^{-\delta_j})$ as $\min_j K_j \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sqrt{n}(\sum_j K_j^{-\delta_j}) \rightarrow 0$

The first assumption is standard. The second assumption ensures $P^T P$ is asymptotically nonsingular. The third assumption ensures $\phi_j(z_j)$ is arbitrarily close to its estimator $p_j^{K_j}(z_j)^T \gamma_j$ as $K_j \rightarrow \infty$.

Estimation of the Nonparametric Component

Theorem

Under our assumptions

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^r} |\hat{\phi}^*(z) - \phi^*(z)| = O_p(\zeta_0(K)) \left[\sqrt{\frac{K}{n}} + \sum_j K_j^{-\delta_j} \right].$$

Proof.

Li (2000).



Efficiency of the Estimator

To prove efficiency, we will first need to prove some preliminary results.

Define $\Pi_A g$ as the projection of g onto H_A . If we let $\theta = E(X|Z)$ then $h = \Pi_A \theta \in H_A$ has the property that

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\theta - h)(\theta - h)^T] \\ = \inf_{g \in H_A} \{E[(\theta - g)(\theta - g)^T]\} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the Hilbert space H_A is not compact, therefore we are unable to use Weierstrass's Theorem to say that there is an $h \in H_A$ that attains this infimum.

Efficiency of the Estimator

Lemma

For $\theta = E(X|Z)$, $\exists h \in H_A$ such that $h = \Pi_A \theta$ has the property

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\theta - h)(\theta - h)^T] \\ = \inf_{g \in H_A} \{E[(\theta - g)(\theta - g)^T]\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

We will consider the case where X_i is univariate ($q = 1$) and there are only two nonparametric components ($r = 2$). That is,

$$Y_i = X_i \beta + \phi_1(Z_{i1}) + \phi_2(Z_{i2}) + \epsilon_i.$$

A more general proof will follow the same arguments.

Efficiency of the Estimator

If $\theta \in H_A$ then set $h = \theta$ and we are done.

We now consider the case where $\theta \notin H_A$.

Let $\{a_j\}_1^\infty, \{b_j\}_1^\infty$ be complete base functions that can expand ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 respectively. Then $\{c_j\}_1^\infty = (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots)$ is a complete base function that can expand any $\phi^* = \phi_1 + \phi_2 \in H_A$. WLOG assume $\{c_j\}$ is orthonormal. In other words, $E[c_i c_j] = \delta_{ij}$.

Now let $h = h_1 + h_2 = \sum_j c_j \alpha_j$ where $\alpha_j = E[\theta c_j]$ and let $\eta = \theta - h$.

Efficiency of the Estimator

Multiplying $\theta = h + \eta$ by c_j and taking expectation we have

$$\alpha_j = E[\theta c_j] = E[c_j \sum_i c_i \alpha_i + c_j \eta] = \sum_i \delta_{ij} \alpha_i + E[c_j \eta] = \alpha_j + E[c_j \eta]$$

Therefore $\forall j$,

$$E[c_j \eta] = 0 \implies \eta \perp \{c_j\} \implies \eta \perp f \quad \forall f \in H_A$$

Thus if $h = \sum_j c_j \alpha_j < \infty$, then the fact that $\theta = h + \eta$ for $\eta \perp H_A$ implies $\exists h \in H_A$ that has the property

$$E[(\theta - h)^2] = \inf_{g \in H_A} E[(\theta - g)^2].$$

But since $E\theta^2 < \infty$, we have $E[(h + \eta)^2] = Eh^2 + E\eta^2$.
 $= \sum_j \alpha_j^2 + E\eta^2 < \infty$ which implies $h = \sum_j c_j \alpha_j < \infty$. \square

Efficiency of the Estimator

Theorem

Define $u_i = X_i - \Pi_A X_i$ and assume $U = E[u_i u_i^T]$ is positive definite. Then under our assumptions,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \rightarrow N(0, \sigma^2 U^{-1})$$

in distribution and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{U}^{-1} \rightarrow \sigma^2 U^{-1}$$

in probability where $\hat{\sigma}^2 = n^{-1} \sum_i (y_i - X_i \hat{\beta} - \hat{\phi}^*(Z_i))^2$ and $\hat{U} = n^{-1} \sum_i (X_i - \tilde{X}_i)(X_i - \tilde{X}_i)^T$.

Efficiency of the Estimator

Outline of Proof.

$$(i) \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \rightarrow N(0, \sigma^2 U^{-1})$$

Recall that $X_i = \theta_i + v_i = \eta_i + h_i + v_i$ where $E[v_i|Z_i] = 0$, $h_i = \Pi_A \theta_i \in H_A$ and $\eta_i \perp H_A$.

Also recall that $\hat{\beta} = [(X - \tilde{X})^T(X - \tilde{X})]^{-1}(X - \tilde{X})^T(Y - \tilde{Y})$ asymptotically.

We write $X - \tilde{X} = \eta + v + (h - \tilde{h}) - \tilde{\eta} - \tilde{v}$ and define

$S_{A,B} = n^{-1} \sum_i AB^T$ and $S_A = S_{A,A}$. Then if $S_{X-\tilde{X}}^{-1}$ exists, since $S_{A,B+C} = S_{A,B} + S_{A,C}$ we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = S_{X-\tilde{X}}^{-1} [\sqrt{n} S_{X-\tilde{X}, \phi^* - \tilde{\phi}^* + \epsilon - \tilde{\epsilon}}]$$

Efficiency of the Estimator

It can be shown with a little bit of detail that

1. $S_{X-\tilde{X}} = U + o_p(1)$
2. $S_{X-\tilde{X}, \phi^*-\tilde{\phi}^*} = o_p(n^{-1/2})$
3. $S_{X-\tilde{X}, \tilde{\epsilon}} = o_p(n^{-1/2})$
4. $\sqrt{n}S_{X-\tilde{X}, \tilde{\epsilon}} \rightarrow N(0, \sigma^2 U)$ in distribution

The first item can be proven taking

$$X - \tilde{X} = \eta + v + (h - \tilde{h}) - \tilde{v} - \tilde{\eta}$$

and showing that $(h - \tilde{h}) = o_p(1)$, $\tilde{v} = o_p(1)$ and $\tilde{\eta} = o_p(1)$. Thus, since $\eta + v = u$ then $X - \tilde{X} = u + o_p(1)$ and by LLN, $S_{X-\tilde{X}} \rightarrow E[uu^T] = U$.

Efficiency of the Estimator

$$(ii) \hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{U}^{-1} \rightarrow \sigma^2 U^{-1}$$

In the previous part, it was stated that we can prove with a bit of detail that $\hat{U} = S_{X-\tilde{X}} = U + o_p(1)$.

Since $\hat{\beta} - \beta = O_p(n^{-1/2})$ and $\hat{\phi}^*(Z_i) - \phi^*(Z_i) = o_p(1)$ we see that

$$\hat{\epsilon}_i - \epsilon_i = X_i(\beta - \hat{\beta}) + (\phi^*(Z_i) - \hat{\phi}^*(Z_i)) = o_p(1)$$

$\therefore \hat{\epsilon}_i = \epsilon_i + o_p(1)$. By LLN, we see that $n^{-1} \sum_i \hat{\epsilon}_i^2 \rightarrow E[\epsilon_i^2] = \sigma^2$, thus $\hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{U}^{-1} \rightarrow \sigma^2 U^{-1}$.

Efficiency of the Estimator

We now calculate the information in the parametric component.

In our problem we have

$$p(y_i, X_i, Z_i; \beta, \phi^*) = y_i - X_i\beta - \phi^*(Z_i)$$

Recall we have the definitions $\dot{\ell}_\beta = \nabla_\beta \log[p(y, X, Z; \beta, \phi^*)]$ and $\tilde{\ell}_\beta = \dot{\ell}_\beta - \Pi_{\phi^*} \dot{\ell}_\beta$. We will use the relation

$$I(\beta) = \int \tilde{\ell}_\beta \tilde{\ell}_\beta^T dP$$

for the information in β .

Note that the projection operator Π_{ϕ^*} is the same as the previous projection operator used Π_A since we are projecting our variable into the space of additive functions.

Efficiency of the Estimator

It can be seen through differentiation that $\dot{\ell}_\beta = \epsilon_i^{-1} X_i$ and thus $\tilde{\ell}_\beta = \epsilon_i^{-1}(X_i - \Pi_A X_i) = \epsilon_i^{-1} u_i$.

Therefore the information in the parametric component is

$$\begin{aligned} I(\beta) &= \int \tilde{\ell}_\beta \tilde{\ell}_\beta^T dP \\ &= \int \epsilon_i^{-2} u_i u_i^T dP \\ &= E[\epsilon_i^{-2}] E[u_i u_i^T] \geq [E\epsilon_i^2]^{-1} E[u_i u_i^T] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Where the inequality in (1) follows from Jensen's inequality.

Efficiency of the Estimator

Recall we defined $E[u_i u_i^T] = U$ and $E\epsilon_i^2 = \sigma^2$ meaning the information in β is

$$I(\beta) \geq \sigma^{-2}U = [\text{Var}(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta))]^{-1}$$

This is just the usual Fisher information inequality. However, due to a result by Chamberlain (1992) it can be shown that for $\Sigma = E[\rho(y_i, X_i, Z_i; \beta, \phi^*)^2]$ and $g_{\phi^*} = E[\partial \rho(y_i, X_i, Z_i; \beta, \phi^*) / \partial \phi^*]$ that

$$I(\beta) \leq E[(\dot{\ell}_\beta - g_{\phi^*} h) \Sigma^{-1} (\dot{\ell}_\beta - g_{\phi^*} h)^T]$$

$\forall h \in H_A$. Thus letting $h = \Pi_A X_i$ and using the facts that $g_{\phi^*} = 1$ and $\Sigma^{-1} = \sigma^{-2}$ we see that

$$I(\beta) \leq \sigma^{-2} E[u_i u_i^T]$$

meaning $I(\beta) = \sigma^{-2}U = [\text{Var}(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta))]^{-1}$. Therefore $\hat{\beta}$ is an asymptotically efficient estimator of β .

Applications

A popular application of these partially linear additive models is in partially linear time series, particularly additive stochastic regression mentioned in Härdle, et al (2000). In it we assume

$$Y_t = m(U_t) + \epsilon_t = \sum_j \phi_j(X_{tj}) + g(Z_t) + \epsilon_t$$

with $Z_t \in \mathbb{R}^r$. The partially linear additive model discussed in this talk arises when we assume that some of the ϕ_j 's are related to the X_{tj} 's linearly. Usually the function g is not assumed to be additive.

Models of this type have important applications in economics.

References

- ▶ Chamberlain, G.. “Efficiency Bounds for Semiparametric Regression,” *Econometrica* 60 (1992), 567-96.
- ▶ Härdle, Liang & Gao. *Partially Linear Models*. (Heidelberg : Physica-Verlag, 2000).
- ▶ Li, Qi. “Efficient Estimation of Additive Partially Linear Models,” *International Economic Review* 41 (2000), 1073-92.