1. Introduction: We see that Euler's Theorem is useful for doing modular exponentiation but it relies upon us calculating $\phi(m)$ and it may not be clear how we can do this easily.

2. Function Definitions:

- (a) **Definition:** A function is *arithmetic* if it is defined for all positive integers.
- (b) **Definition:** An arithmetic function f is *multiplicative* if f(mn) = f(m)f(n) whenever gcd (m, n) = 1.
- (c) **Definition:** An arithmetic function f is completely multiplicative if f(mn) = f(m)f(n) for all m, n.

Obviously a completely multiplicative function is multiplicative.

(d) Notes and Examples:

The function f(x) = x is completely multiplicative and hence multiplicative as is $f(x) = x^r$ for any r. For example if $f(x) = x^3$ then $f(mn) = (mn)^3 = m^3n^3 = f(m)f(n)$.

Most functions are not multiplicative or even completely multiplicative, for example f(x) = x + 1 is not, since $f(3 \cdot 5) \neq f(3)f(5)$.

Consider that it is difficult to think of a function which is multiplicative but not completely multiplicative.

3. Theorem: If f is multiplicative then if $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ is the prime factorization of n then

$$f(n) = f(p_1^{\alpha_1} ... p_k^{\alpha_k}) = f(p_1^{\alpha_1}) ... f(p_k^{\alpha_k})$$

Proof: Follows from the definition of multiplicative.

- 4. All About ϕ
 - (a) **Theorem:** For a prime p we have $\phi(p) = p 1$. **Proof:** All of 1, 2, ..., p - 1 are coprime to p. QED
 - (b) **Theorem:** For a prime p we have $\phi(p^{\alpha}) = p^{\alpha} p^{\alpha-1} = p^{\alpha} \left(1 \frac{1}{p}\right)$. **Proof:** Out of the integers $1, 2, 3, ..., p^{\alpha}$ the only ones not coprime to p are the multiples of p itself. Those are $p, 2p, 3p, ..., p^{\alpha-1}p$ and so there are $p^{\alpha-1}$ of these. The remaining ones are coprime and there are $p^{\alpha} - p^{\alpha-1}$ of these. \mathcal{QED} **Example:** We have $\phi(125) = \phi(5^3) = 5^3 - 5^2 = 100$. **Example:** We have $\phi(256) = \phi(2^8) = 2^8 - 2^7 = 256 - 128 = 128$.

QED

(c) **Theorem:** ϕ is multiplicative.

Proof: We wish to show that $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$ when gcd (m, n) = 1. Basically what we'll do is count which of 1, 2, 3, ..., mn are coprime to mn. To do this let's write these numbers out as a table:

Consider a particular row, say row r with $1 \le r \le m$:

Row
$$r \implies 0m + r, 1m + r, 2m + r, ..., (n-1)m + r$$

An entry in this row looks like km + r for $0 \le k \le n - 1$.

If $gcd(r,m) \neq 1$ then $gcd(km+r,m) = gcd(r,m) \neq 1$ and then $gcd(km+r,mn) \neq 1$. This means if $gcd(r,m) \neq 1$ we would not count any entry in that row since none of them are coprime to mn.

Thus we can ignore all rows with $gcd(r, m) \neq 1$.

Let R with $1 \le R \le m$ be a row with gcd(R, m) = 1. Notice that every entry in such a row is coprime to m since gcd(km + R, m) = gcd(R, m) = 1.

There are $\phi(m)$ such rows with gcd(R,m) = 1

In such a row R consider that the set $\{0, 1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ forms a complete set of residues mod n and since gcd (m, n) = 1 so does the set $\{0m+R, 1m+R, 2m+R, ..., (n-1)m+R\}$ by a Theorem from class. It follows that out of these n integers $\phi(n)$ of them are coprime to n. Since (by being in this row) they are coprime to m as well, they are coprime to mn. In conclusion $\phi(m)$ rows with $\phi(n)$ entries per row gives us a total number coprime to mn of $\phi(m)\phi(n)$ and thus $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$. QED

(d) Corollary: If $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ then:

$$\phi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(p_i^{\alpha} - p_i^{\alpha-1} \right) = \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{\alpha-1} \left(p_i - 1 \right)}_{(i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p^{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right) = \underbrace{n \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right)}_{(ii)}$$

Proof: Follows immediately by calculation.

Note: Each of these forms is useful in its own way, especially (i) and (ii). **Example:** To find $\phi(432)$ we find $432 = 2^4 \cdot 3^3$ and so by (ii):

$$\phi(432) = 432\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 144$$

Example: To find $\phi(45375)$ we find $45375 = 3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11^2$ and so by (ii):

$$\phi(45375) = 45375\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{5}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{11}\right) = 22000$$

QED

Example: Let's find all n with $\phi(n) = 6$. If p^{α} appears in the prime factorization of n then by (i) we have $p - 1 \mid \phi(n)$ and $p^{\alpha - 1} \mid \phi(n)$. Since $\phi(n) = 6$ in order to have $p - 1 \mid 6$ we can only have p - 1 = 1, 2, 3, 6 with p prime so only p = 2, 3, 7. Thus we have $n = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 7^c$.

- Since $2^a \mid n$ if a > 0 then we have $2^{a-1} \mid \phi(n) = 6$ and so possibilities are a = 0, 1, 2.
- Since $3^{b} \mid n$ if b > 0 then we have $3^{b-1} \mid \phi(n) = 6$ and so possibilities are b = 0, 1, 2.
- Since $7^c \mid n$ if c > 0 then we have $7^{c-1} \mid \phi(n) = 6$ and so possibilities are c = 0, 1.

Now then, not all of these will work since they're necessary but not sufficient. it's possible to argue further but it's easier to just check the cases now:

$$\begin{split} \phi(2^0 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 7^0) &= 1\\ \phi(2^0 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 7^1) &= 6\\ \phi(2^0 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 7^0) &= 2\\ \phi(2^0 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 7^1) &= 12\\ \phi(2^0 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^0) &= 6\\ \phi(2^0 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^1) &= 36\\ \phi(2^1 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 7^0) &= 1\\ \phi(2^1 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 7^1) &= 6\\ \phi(2^1 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 7^1) &= 12\\ \phi(2^1 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 7^1) &= 12\\ \phi(2^1 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 7^1) &= 12\\ \phi(2^1 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 7^1) &= 36\\ \phi(2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 7^0) &= 2\\ \phi(2^2 \cdot 3^0 \cdot 7^1) &= 12\\ \phi(2^2 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 7^1) &= 24 \end{split}$$

Thus n = 7, 9, 14, 18 are all that work.

5. Definition: For an arithmetic function f we define the *divisor summatory function*

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$$

Example: For a function f we would have f(12) = f(1) + f(2) + f(3) + f(4) + f(6) + f(12).

6. **Theorem:** If Φ is the divisor summatory function for ϕ :

$$\Phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d)$$

then $\Phi(n) = n$.

Proof: For each $d \mid n$ we define:

$$C_d = \{m \mid 1 \le m \le n, \gcd(m, n) = d\}$$

By definition each $1 \le m \le n$ is in one and only one C_d and in fact $m \in C_d$ iff gcd(m, n) = d iff gcd(m, n/d) = 1 and hence $|C_d| = \phi(n/d)$ and so:

$$n = \sum_{d|n} |C_d| = \sum d \mid n\phi(n/d)$$

However as d runs over all divisors of n so does n/d and so:

$$n = \sum d \mid n\phi(n/d) = \sum d \mid n\phi(d) = \Phi(n)$$

QED

This is less confusing than it may look. Consider n = 20. The divisors of 20 are 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 20. If we take all of 1, 2, 3, ..., 20 and separate them according to their gcd with 20 into divisor buckets:

Divisor d	C_d	$\phi(20/d)$
1	$C_1 = \{1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19\}$	$\phi(20/1) = \phi(20) = 8$
2	$C_2 = \{2, 6, 14, 18\}$	$\phi(20/2) = \phi(10) = 4$
4	$C_4 = \{4, 8, 12, 16\}$	$\phi(20/4) = \phi(5) = 4$
5	$C_5 = \{5, 15\}$	$\phi(20/5) = \phi(4) = 2$
10	$C_{10} = \{10\}$	$\phi(20/10) = \phi(2) = 1$
20	$C_{20} = \{20\}$	$\phi(20/20) = \phi(1) = 1$
		$\Phi(20) = \text{Total} = 20$