Lecture 1 : The Mathematical Theory of Probability

Today we will do §2.1 and 2.2. We will skip Chapter 1. We all have an intuitive notion of probability. Let's see.

What is the probability *P* of tossing two heads in a row with a fair coin?

・ロト ・母ト・ モート

Method 1

List all possible outcomes

$$\left\{ HH, HT, TH, TT \right\}$$

◆□▶ ◆聞▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶

so *P* =?.

Question

What did we just assume to arrive at that answer?

Another way

$$1^{\text{st}} \text{ toss} \underbrace{-}_{1}^{\text{st}} \underbrace{-}_{2^{\text{nd}}}^{H} \text{ toss}$$

However it is important to put probability into a formal mathematic framework for many reasons.

< □ > < □ > < □

Lecture 1 : The Mathematical Theory of Probability

1. Even "elementary"

Problems become too hard unless we can break them down into simpler problems using the rules of *Set Theory*.

Examples

Let's see how you can deal with these now and later. (there is another reason which we will run into later - we often have infinite sets and need calculus e.g. financial math)

4/30

Problems

- 1 What is the probability of getting *one* head in one hundred tosses of a fair coin?
- 2 What is the probability of getting 27 heads in one hundred tosses of a fair coin?

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 国 ・ ・

2. Transition from the naive theory to the formal mathematical theory

To make the transition we introduce the word "experiment" which will be taken to mean "any action or process whose outcome is subject to uncertainty" Devore, Ninth Edition- pg. 53.

A B > A B >

6/30

Examples

Tossing a fair coin 100 times. Dealing 5 cards from a 52 card deck - a poker hand. Dealing 13 cards from a 52 card deck - a bridge hand.

Definition

The set of all possible outcomes of on experiment will be called the sample space of that experiment and denoted *S*.

Experiment

3 tosses of a fair coin.

$$S = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT, \\ THH, THT, TTH, TTT \end{array} \right\}$$

イロト イロト イヨト

Definition

A subset A of S is called an event.

Problem

Find P (at least one head in 3 tosses of a fair coin)

We are looking for P(A) where A is a subset of the previous S.

<ロト < 聞 > < 臣 > < 臣 >

8/30

Lecture 1 : The Mathematical Theory of Probability

 $S = \{HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT, THH, THT, TTH, TTT\}$ We will call this "our favorite sample space" from now on.

▲母 ▶ ▲ 国

9/30

3. The Formal Mathematical Theory

Let *S* be a set (the sample space). A probability measure *P* on *S* is a rule (function) which assigns a real number P(A) to any subset *A* of *S* (i.e., to any event) such that the following axioms are satisfied

< □ > < 🗗 >

1 For any event $A \subset S$ we have $P(A) \ge 0$

2
$$P(S) = 1$$

3 If A_1, A_2, A_n, \ldots

is a possibly infinite collection of pairwise disjoint (mutually exclusive) events then

$$P(A, \cup A_2 \cup \ldots \cup A_n \cup \ldots) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n = 1}}^{\infty} P(A_n)$$

sum of an infinite series not just ordinary sum.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

mutually exclusive means $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for any pair *i*, *j* with $i \neq j$.

Special cases

- 1 Two mutually-exclusive events A_1 and A_2 (so $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$) $P(A_1 \cup A_2) = P(A_1) + P(A_2)$
- 2 *n* mutually-exclusive events A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots \cup A_n) = P(A_1) + P(A_2) + \cdots + P(A_n)$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

A Class of Examples

Let *S* be a set with *n* elements. Let $A \subset S$ be any subset. Define

$$P(A) = \frac{\sharp(A)}{\sharp(S)} = \frac{\sharp(A)}{n}$$

Image: Image:

Then *P* satisfies the axioms 1., 2. and 3. Here $\sharp(A)$ means the number elements in *A*. This is called the "equally likely probability measure".

An example in the above class

Take our favorite sample space

$$S = \left\{ egin{array}{c} HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT \ THH, THT, TTH, TTT \end{array}
ight\}$$

Let A be the subset (event) of outcomes with at least one head and one tail.

All the outcomes are equally likely (because the coin is fair) so

$$P(A) = rac{\sharp(A)}{\sharp(s)} = rac{6}{8}$$


< □ > < / >

14/30

Lecture 1 : The Mathematical Theory of Probability

A continuous Example 15

Consider the unit square s in the plane



Let $A \subset S$ be any subset. Define


$$P(A) = Area of A$$

< □ > < □ > < □

Then P satisfies the axioms 1., 2. and 3.

Let A be the subset of points in the square below the diagonal. What is P(A)?

< ロ > < 凸 >



4. A Quick Trip Through Set-Theory (pg. 49-50)

Let *s* be a set and *A* and *B* be subsets. Then we have $A \cup B$ (union), $A \cap B$ (intersection) and A' (complement).

Venn diagrams





Image: A mathematical states and a mathem



クヘペ 18/30

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆≧▶ ◆≧▶

 $A \cup B$ = "everything in *S* that is in either *A* or *B*" $A \cap B$ = "everything in *S* that is in *A* and *B*"

The formulas linking \cup, \cap and '

To help you remember the formulas that follow use the analogy $s \longleftrightarrow$ set of numbers $\cup \longleftrightarrow +$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

19/30

 $\cap \longleftrightarrow \cdot$

The commutative laws

 $A \cup B = B \cup A$ (analogue a + b = b + a) $A \cap B = B \cap A$ (analogue $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$)

The associative laws

 $(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$ (analogue (a + b) + c = a + (b + c)) $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$ (analogue $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a - (b \cdot c)$) Now we have laws that relate two or more of \cup , \cap and '.

The distributive laws

$$A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$$
 (analogue
 $a - (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c)$)
 $A \cap (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ no analogue

Problem


What would the analogue of the second distributive law say. It isn't true.

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

De Morgan's Laws

(no analogy with $+, \cdot$) $(A \cup B)' = A' \cap B'$ $(A \cap B)' = A' \cup B'$ $C \subset D \Leftrightarrow C' \supset D'$ \uparrow if and only if (so complement reverses \cup, \cap and \subset) One way to think of the first formula not in A or B = not in A and not in B

Image: Image:



・ロ・・ 日本・ 小田・・

Top square = intersection of bottom two squares

Consequences of the axioms of probability theory

pg. 54-56.

We will prove two propositions which will be *extremely useful* to you.

Proposition 1 (Complement law)

P(A') = 1 - P(A).

<ロト <回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Proof.

 $A \cup A' = S$ so $P(A \cup A') = P(S) = 1$ (axiom 2) (#) But $A \cap A' = \emptyset$ so by

Proof (Cont.)

axiom 3, special case 1

$$P(A \cup A') = P(A) + P(A')$$
 (#)

Putting (\ddagger) and $(\ddagger\ddagger)$ together we get

$$1 = P(A) + P(A')$$

シママ 24/30

▲口 ▶ ▲御 ▶ ▲臣 ▶ ▲臣 ▶ ― 臣

Corollary 1

 $P(\phi) = 0.$

Proof.

$$\phi = S'$$

so $P(\phi) = 1 - P(S) = 1 - 1 = 0$.

Remark

 \emptyset is not the Greek letter phi, it is a Norwegian letter. The symbol was chosen by André Weil. For example the English word beer translates into Norwegian as $\emptyset\ell$.

Corollary 2

 $P(A) \leq 1.$

Proof.

 $P(A) = 1 - P(A') \le 1$ because $P(A') \ge 0$.

Hence all probabilities are between zero and one:

 $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

To illustrate the use of Proposition 1, let us go back to computing *P* (at least one head in three tosses) Put *S* = our favorite sample space. *A* = at least one head so *A'* = no heads = all tails = *TTT* so $P(A) = 1 - P(TTT) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{2}$

$$P(A) = 1 - P(TTT) = 1 - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{7}{8}$$

< □ > < / P >

Now we can do 100 tosses

P (at least one head) = $1 - \frac{1}{2^{100}}$

Recall that two events A and B are mutually exclusive if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and axiom 3 says in this case

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) (\sharp)$$

The following proposition is absolutely critical for computations

Proposition 2 (Additive Law)

 $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$

Note that this is consistent with (\ddagger) above because if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ then


 $P(A \cap B) = P(\emptyset) = 0$

イロト イ部ト イヨト イヨト

Proof.

The proof is hard.

It depends on the following Venn diagram.



We see that $A \cup B$ is the union of three *mutually exclusive* sets.

$$A \cup B = (A \cap B') \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \cap A')$$

so by axiom 3 with n = 3

 $P(A \cup B) = P(A \cap B') + P(A \cap B) + P(B \cap A') (\sharp\sharp)$

・ロ・・雪・・ ほ・・ ほ・

Proof (Cont.)

How do we compute the first and third terms? We have a disjoint union (i.e., union of mutually exclusive sets)

$$A = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap B')$$

$$A \cap B$$

$$A \cap B$$

$$A \cap B$$
so by axiom 3
$$P(A) = P(A \cap B) + P(A \cap B')$$
whence
$$P(A \cap B') = P(A) - P(A \cap B) \qquad (1)$$
Similarly
$$P(B \cap A') = P(B) - P(A \cap B) \qquad (3)$$
Plug (1) and (3) into (##).

・ロト ・四ト ・目下・ 三田

What about the intersection of three terms?

Proposition 3

$$P(A \cup B \cup C) = P(A) + P(B) + P(C)$$
$$-P(A \cap B) - P(A \cap C) - P(B \cap C)$$
$$+P(A \cap B \cap C)$$

▲ロト ▲聞 ト ▲ 国 ト ▲ 国

This is (more or less) "the principle of exclusion and inclusion"

- 1 include the singletons A, B, C
- **2** exclude the pairs $A \cap B$, $A \cap C$, $B \cap C$
- **3** include the triple $A \cap B \cap C$