

MATH 602 (Homological Algebra)

Assignment #6: \varprojlim^1 and Tor (Corrected)

Prof. Jonathan Rosenberg

due Wednesday, April 11, 2007

In this assignment, R is a ring, \mathcal{A} is the category $R\text{-Mod}$ of R -modules, and $\mathbf{Pro}\text{-}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}^{\text{op}}}$ is the category of “towers” or inverse systems in \mathcal{A} .

1. For the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$, consider the object $\{A_i\}$ in $\mathbf{Pro}\text{-}\mathcal{A}$ given by

$$\mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{p} \mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{p} \mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{p} \mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{p} \cdots,$$

where p is a prime. Compute $\varprojlim\{A_i\}$ and $\varprojlim^1\{A_i\}$.

2. Show that in general, tensor product does not commute with infinite direct products, by showing that

$$\left(\prod_0^\infty \mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \neq \prod_0^\infty (\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) = \prod_0^\infty \mathbb{Q}.$$

3. (For this part R is arbitrary.) Suppose $\{A_i, \phi_i\}$ is an object in $\mathbf{Pro}\text{-}\mathcal{A}$, and B is a right R -module of finite type (i.e., having a finite projective resolution P_\bullet by finitely generated projective R -modules). Let C_\bullet be the chain complex with

$$C_0 = C_{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^\infty A_i, \quad d(a_0, a_1, \dots) = (a_0 - \phi_1(a_1), a_1 - \phi_2(a_2), \dots),$$

$$C_q = 0 \text{ for } q \neq 0, -1,$$

which has $H_q(C_\bullet) = \varprojlim^{-q} A_i$. Tensoring P_\bullet by C_\bullet , you get a double complex $P_p \otimes_R C_q$ whose entries are non-zero only for $p \geq 0, q = 0, -1$. Show that the two spectral sequences attached to this complex converge to the same thing (the homology of the total complex). One of them has

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Tor}_p^R(B, \varprojlim^{-q} A_i), \quad q = -1, 0,$$

the other has

$$E_2^{p,q} = \varprojlim^{-p} \text{Tor}_q^R(B, A_i), \quad p = -1, 0.$$

(This theorem is due to Roos, in the paper [Roos] cited in the bibliography of Weibel's book.) **Be careful; where do you use the finite type assumption?**

4. Now specialize again to the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Show that for B finitely generated, you get (from the results of part (3)) a commuting diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & & & (\varprojlim A_i) \otimes B & & & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \\
 0 \rightarrow & \varprojlim^1 \text{Tor}_1(A_i, B) & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim(A_i \otimes B) & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & & & \text{Tor}_1(\varprojlim^1 A_i, B) & & & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & & & 0 & & & ,
 \end{array}$$

where H is H_0 of the total complex.

5. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$, let $\{A_i\}$ be as in part (1), and let $B = \mathbb{Q}$. Compute all the groups in the sequences of part (4) for this case, and show that exactness must fail. Thus the finite generation hypothesis on B is necessary. Explain how this is related to part (2).
6. Again let $\{A_i\}$ be as in part (1), this time with $B = \mathbb{Z}/p$. Make precise what you get for all the exact sequences of part (4).