# ON IMAGINARY ROOTS OF EQUATIONS (translated from the French by J. Rosenberg) 

Augustin Cauchy (published 1820)

That it's always possible to decompose a polynomial [with real coefficients] into real factors of the first or second degree, or, in other terms, that every equation involving a [non-constant] rational function of the variable $x$ can be satisfied by a[t least one] real or imaginary ${ }^{1}$ value of this variable: this is a proposition that has already been proved in various ways. Messrs. Lagrange, Laplace, and Gauss have used diverse methods for establishing it, and I myself have given a demonstration founded on considerations analogous to those used by Gauss. But, in each of the methods which I have cited, one pays special attention to the degree of the given equation, and sometimes in fact one has to go back to the case of an equation of higher degree. These considerations seem foreign to the question, and Mr. Lagrange already noted this (Théorie des Nombres, Part I, §14) in suggesting the idea of expanding things in [infinite] series. I have arrived, in following the same idea, at a demonstration which seems as direct and simple as one could possibly desire. I will explain it here in a few words.

Let $f(x)$ be any [non-constant] polynomial [with real coefficients] in $x$. If one substitutes for $x$ an imaginary value $u+v \sqrt{-1}$, one will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u+v \sqrt{-1})=P+Q \sqrt{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P$ and $Q$ being real functions of $u$ and $v$. In addition, if one writes ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P+Q \sqrt{-1}=R(\cos T+\sqrt{-1} \sin T) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R$ will be the modulus of the imaginary expression $P+Q \sqrt{-1}$, and its value will be given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}=P^{2}+Q^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

J. de l'École Polytechnique, XVIII ${ }^{e}$ Cahier, 11 (1820), 411; Euvres Complètes, $\mathrm{II}^{e}$ Série, Tome I, 258-263
${ }^{1}$ By an imaginary number, Cauchy means a complex number $a+b i$ with any real $a$ and $b$, not just one with $a=0$. The notation $i$ was not yet standard for one of the square roots of -1 , and Cauchy writes simply $\sqrt{-1}$. Of course, $-\sqrt{-1}$ is also a square root of -1 .
${ }^{2}$ using the polar form of a complex number

Having said this, the theorem to be demonstrated is that one can always find real values of $u$ and $v$ satisfying the two equations $P=0$ and $Q=0$, or equivalently, the single equation $R=0$. It's important therefore to know what are the various values that the function $R$ can take on, and how this function varies with $u$ and with $v$. We will do this in what follows.

Suppose that the quantities $u$ and $v$ are increased by the amounts $h$ and $k$, respectively, and let $\Delta P, \Delta Q, \Delta R$ be the corresponding changes in $P, Q, R$. The equations (3) and (1) become respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
(R+\Delta R)^{2}= & (P+\Delta P)^{2}+(Q+\Delta Q)^{2}  \tag{4}\\
(P+\Delta P)+(Q+\Delta Q) \sqrt{-1}= & f(u+v \sqrt{-1}+h+k \sqrt{-1}) \\
= & f(u+v \sqrt{-1})+(h+k \sqrt{-1}) f_{1}(u+v \sqrt{-1}) \\
& +(h+k \sqrt{-1})^{2} f_{2}(u+v \sqrt{-1})+\ldots, \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots$ designating new functions. ${ }^{3}$ To deduce from equation (5) the values of $P+\Delta P$ and of $Q+\Delta Q$, it suffices to rewrite the right-hand side in the form $p+q \sqrt{-1}$. We'll do this by substituting for $f(u+v \sqrt{-1})$ its value $R(\cos T+\sqrt{-1} \sin T)$, and by setting, in addition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h+k \sqrt{-1} & =\rho(\cos \theta+\sqrt{-1} \sin \theta), \\
f_{1}(u+v \sqrt{-1}) & =R_{1}\left(\cos T_{1}+\sqrt{-1} \sin T_{1}\right), \\
f_{2}(u+v \sqrt{-1}) & =R_{2}\left(\cos T_{2}+\sqrt{-1} \sin T_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

After making these reductions, the equation (5) becomes
$(P+\Delta P)+(Q+\Delta Q) \sqrt{-1}=R \cos T+R_{1} \rho \cos \left(T_{1}+\theta\right)+R_{2} \rho^{2} \cos \left(T_{2}+2 \theta\right)+\ldots$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\left[R \sin T+R_{1} \rho \sin \left(T_{1}+\theta\right)+R_{2} \rho^{2} \sin \left(T_{2}+2 \theta\right)+\ldots\right] \sqrt{-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one concludes that

$$
\begin{align*}
P+\Delta P= & R \cos T+R_{1} \rho \cos \left(T_{1}+\theta\right)+R_{2} \rho^{2} \cos \left(T_{2}+2 \theta\right)+\ldots \\
Q+\Delta Q= & R \sin T+R_{1} \rho \sin \left(T_{1}+\theta\right)+R_{2} \rho^{2} \sin \left(T_{2}+2 \theta\right)+\ldots  \tag{7}\\
(R+\Delta R)^{2}= & {\left[R \cos T+R_{1} \rho \cos \left(T_{1}+\theta\right)+R_{2} \rho^{2} \cos \left(T_{2}+2 \theta\right)+\ldots\right]^{2} } \\
& +\left[R \sin T+R_{1} \rho \sin \left(T_{1}+\theta\right)+R_{2} \rho^{2} \sin \left(T_{2}+2 \theta\right)+\ldots\right]^{2} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]Suppose now that, for certain values of the variables $u$ and $v$, the equation $R=0$ is not satisfied. If, under this hypothesis, $R_{1}$ is not zero, the right-hand side of equation (8), ordered according to increasing powers of $\rho$, becomes

$$
R^{2}+2 R R_{1} \rho \cos \left(T_{1}-T+\theta\right)+\ldots
$$

and consequently, the quantity

$$
(R+\Delta R)^{2}-R^{2}
$$

or in other words the change in $R^{2}$ ordered according to increasing powers of $\rho$ will have leading term

$$
2 R R_{1} \rho \cos \left(T_{1}-T+\theta\right)
$$

If, under the same hypothesis, $R_{1}$ is zero but $R_{2}$ is non-zero, the change in $R^{2}$ will have leading term

$$
2 R R_{2} \rho^{2} \cos \left(T_{2}-T+2 \theta\right)
$$

etc., etc. In general the leading term will have the form

$$
2 R R_{n} \rho^{n} \cos \left(T_{n}-T+n \theta\right)
$$

if, for the given values of $u$ and $v$, all the quantities $R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots$ vanish through $R_{n-1}$. Thus if one gives $\rho$ very small positive values and $\theta$ arbitrary values, or, what amounts to the same thing, if one gives $h$ and $k$ values which are numerically very small, ${ }^{4}$ then the change in $R^{2}$, in other words,

$$
(R+\Delta R)^{2}-R^{2}
$$

will be of the same sign as its leading term

$$
2 R R_{2} \rho^{2} \cos \left(T_{2}-T+2 \theta\right)
$$

and since one can choose the value of $\theta$ to make the sign of the last factor $\cos \left(T_{2}-T+2 \theta\right)$, and thus of the whole expression, either positive or negative as one wishes, it follows that, in the case where the particular values chosen for $u$ and $v$ do not satisfy the equation $R=0$, the corresponding value of $R^{2}$ can be neither a maximum nor a minimum. Hence, if we can assure ourselves, a priori, that $R^{2}$ must have a minimum value, then we will have to conclude that this minimum value is zero and thus that the equation $R=0$ has a solution.

Now, $R^{2}$ will evidently have a minimum for some finite values of $u$ and $v$ if, for very large numerical values ${ }^{5}$ of these variables, $R^{2}$ will eventually be greater than any given [positive] quantity. So if we let

$$
u+v \sqrt{-1}=r(\cos z+\sqrt{-1} \sin z)
$$

[^1]choosing very large numerical values of $u$ and $v$ will correspond precisely to taking $r$ very large. Therefore, in order to show that the equation $R=0$ has a solution for some finite values of $u$ and $v$, it's necessary and sufficient to show that the quantity $R^{2}$ determined by the equations
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{2} & =P^{2}+Q^{2} \\
P+Q \sqrt{-1} & =f[r(\cos z+\sqrt{-1} \sin z)] \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

eventually becomes, for very large values of $r$, greater than any given number.
The above conclusion would persist more generally, whether or not the function $f(x)$ is defined everywhere. It requires only that $P$ and $Q$ be continuous ${ }^{6}$ functions of the variables $u$ and $v$ and that the quantities $R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots$ should never become infinite for finite values of the variables.

Suppose that the function $f(x)$ is given as a polynomial ${ }^{7}$

$$
f(x)=a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n-1} x+a_{n} .
$$

The equations (10) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
P+Q \sqrt{-1} & =f(r \cos z+r \sin z \sqrt{-1}) \\
& =a_{0} r^{n} \cos n z+a_{1} r^{n-1} \cos (n-1) z+\cdots+a_{n-1} r \cos z+a_{n} \\
& +\left[a_{0} r^{n} \sin n z+a_{1} r^{n-1} \sin (n-1) z+\cdots+a_{n-1} r \sin z+a_{n}\right] \sqrt{-1}, \\
P & =a_{0} r^{n}\left[\cos n z+\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}} \frac{\cos (n-1) z}{r}+\cdots+\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{0}} \frac{\cos z}{r^{n-1}}+\frac{a_{n}}{a_{0}} \frac{1}{r^{n}}\right], \\
Q & =a_{0} r^{n}\left[\sin n z+\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}} \frac{\sin (n-1) z}{r}+\cdots+\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{0}} \frac{\sin z}{r^{n-1}}\right], \\
R^{2} & =P^{2}+Q^{2}=a_{0}^{2} r^{2 n}\left[1+\text { term in } \frac{1}{r}+\cdots+\left(\frac{a_{n}}{a_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{r^{2 n}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it's clear that for large values of $r$, the above value of $R^{2}$ will be larger than any given quantity. Therefore, in view of what was said above, one can find real values of $u$ and $v$ solving the equation $R=0$, or equivalently, the two equations

$$
P=0, \quad Q=0
$$

By the way, the above method doesn't just apply to polynomial functions, and can be used also to determine for more general functions whether it's possible to solve the equation $f(x)=0$ for some real or imaginary value of $x .{ }^{8}$

[^2]
[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ Since everything here is a polynomial, there are only finitely many terms before the series terminates, and $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots$ are also polynomials.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ By this Cauchy means values very small in absolute value, but possibly negative.
    ${ }^{5}$ This means values large in absolute value.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ Cauchy seems to be assuming the [false] assertion that any continuous function can be expanded in a convergent power series. This is of course not a problem for polynomials.
    ${ }^{7}$ presumably non-constant, so that one should assume $a_{0} \neq 0$. In addition, all the coefficients are to be real.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cauchy seems to have in mind the case of a rational function, i.e., a ratio of two polynomials, but of course to solve $\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}=0$, it's enough to solve $p(x)=0$. If $f$ is just an entire function, i.e., a function that can be expanded in a power series that converges everywhere, it's not necessarily true that $f(x)=0$ has to have a solution. For instance $e^{x}=0$ has no solutions even with $x$ complex.

