

Transport by Time Dependent Stationary Flow

Leonid Korolov*

School of Mathematics
Institute for Advanced Study
Olden Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540
e-mail: korolov@math.ias.edu

Abstract

We consider transport properties for Gaussian, stationary, divergence free, random velocity fields in \mathbb{R}^2 , which are Markov in time. We prove the existence of effective diffusivity. We also obtain its full asymptotics in the case of short time correlations, on a fully rigorous level. The main regularity assumption is that almost every realization of the random velocity field should be continuous in space and time, and Lipschitz continuous in space.

1 Introduction

Consider the motion of a particle in the random velocity field $V(x, t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, which is described by the system of random ordinary differential equations

$$\dot{X}_t = V(X_t, t), \quad X_0 = x_0. \quad (1)$$

The matrix of effective diffusivity is defined as

$$D^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E \left((X_t^a - X_0^a)(X_t^b - X_0^b) \right)}{t}, \quad a, b = 1, 2, \quad (2)$$

where a and b are coordinate directions.

The problem of expressing the effective diffusivity, which is a Lagrangian characteristic of the flow, in terms of the correlation function of the flow vector field itself, which is Eulerian data, is an important question which has been discussed extensively in physical and mathematical publications. We refer to [15] for an introduction to this literature.

*Supported in part by Applied Mathematics Subprogram of the US Department of Energy DE-FG02-90ER25084

Most of the results have been obtained in two cases. Either the time correlation scale of the random vector field is infinite, that is the field is time-independent, or on the contrary, the field has short time correlations. Some of the important results in the case of time independent vector fields are due to Fannjiang and Papanicolaou [6], Papanicolaou and Varadhan [18], and Kozlov [14].

For the short time correlated vector fields the first results date back to Taylor [19]. Taylor's method gives the answer (on a physical level) for the main term of effective diffusivity in the short time correlated limit. Recently Molchanov [16], and Carmona, Grishin and Molchanov [2] considered random vector fields with a finite number of spatial modes. It was shown by Molchanov [16] that for a class of vector fields with a finite number of spatial modes the effective diffusivity can be expressed in terms of the solution of a certain hypoelliptic partial differential equation, provided the solution of the PDE exists. This equation couples velocity mode space to physical space through (1). Through the coupling to physical space, it gives the influence of the velocity modes upon diffusive transport. The existence and uniqueness of solutions to the PDE, which imply the existence of effective diffusivity for a finite number of velocity modes, were shown in [13]. The fully rigorous asymptotics of the effective diffusivity in the short time correlated limit was also obtained there.

In the present paper, while utilizing some of the ideas and technical results developed in [16, 13], we avoid the non-physical assumption of a finite number of modes. As a result we obtain the existence of effective diffusivity under mild regularity hypothesis on the random velocity field. We also obtain its full asymptotics as the time correlation scale of the vector field tends to zero. The main term of the asymptotic expansion coincides with Taylor's answer, as is required from physical considerations.

Among the related results we would like to note a recent paper by Komorowski and Papanicolaou [12]. The existence of effective diffusivity is proved there for a Gaussian, stationary, incompressible velocity field under the assumption that the correlation function of the field has finite support in time. The main regularity assumption is that almost every realization of the velocity field is continuous in t and C^1 smooth in x . While the regularity assumptions in our paper are essentially the same, we study the fields which are Markov in time, rather than the ones with finite time correlations. Markov assumption implies that the correlation function is exponentially decreasing in time, and thus excludes the case considered in [12]. A different derivation of the existence of effective diffusivity for Markov in time vector fields was obtained independently by Fannjiang and Komorowski [5].

The technique developed in our paper allows us to prove the following two consequences. The first is a complete, and rigorous asymptotic analysis of diffusivity in the short time correlated limit. The second is a rigorous analysis of the divergence of diffusivity for generalized random fields with pure Kolmogorov spectrum. The first topic is presented here, and the second will be contained in a following paper.

We assume a physical model of turbulence described by a Gaussian random field, which is stationary in time and space and Markov correlated in time. Following [16, 13]

and also using the ideas which succeeded in constructive quantum field theory [8], we use the discretization of the spectrum of the random field $V(x, t)$ in order to approximate the system of random ordinary differential equations (1) by a finite dimensional system of stochastic differential equations. Two types of cutoffs are needed to obtain a finite dimensional system. A finite volume (periodic) cutoff gives a discrete structure to mode space, and a truncation with a finite number of periodic modes gives a finite dimensional velocity space.

Thus, together with (1) we consider an auxiliary system

$$\dot{X}_t^n = V^n(X_t^n, t), \quad X_0^n = x_0. \quad (3)$$

From the Markov assumption governing the time correlations of the random velocity statistics, each Fourier mode in the random velocity field $V^n(x, t)$ is represented by an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Thus (3) can be also viewed as a system of stochastic differential equations

$$dX_t^{n,a} = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_t^{n,i} v_i^a(X_t^n) dt, \quad a = 1, 2, \quad (4)$$

$$dY_t^{n,i} = \sqrt{2\Omega_i} dW_t^i - \Omega_i Y_t^{n,i} dt, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (5)$$

where v_i are periodic with common period p , and $Y_t^{n,i}$ are independent Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. The Markov process (X_t^n, Y_t^n) is ergodic on $\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n$. However, it appears to be impossible to prove directly sufficient mixing properties, which would allow one to apply the functional Central Limit Theorem to (X_t^n, Y_t^n) .

Instead we use the harmonic coordinates method [17, 7] to approximate the process $X_t^{n,a}$ by a stochastic integral $M_t^{n,a}$. The mean square expectation $\frac{E(M_t^{n,a} M_t^{n,b})}{2t}$ can be calculated explicitly, and its limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ is equal to $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(X_t^{n,a} X_t^{n,b})}{2t}$. The harmonic coordinates $u^a + x_a$, $a = 1, 2$ are defined by the solutions u^a of hypoelliptic equations

$$M(u^a + x_a) = 0$$

on $\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where M is the infinitesimal generator of the system (4),(5). The existence and uniqueness of solutions to this PDE is one of the main technical results of [13]. Hormander's hypoellipticity principle [9] applied to the differential operator and its adjoint is a key element in the proof of existence and regularity. The effective diffusivity can be then expressed in terms of the harmonic coordinates.

In the present paper we obtain a priori estimates for the operator M which are uniform in the number of modes n in the spectrum of the velocity field. These estimates allow us to perform the removal of the cutoffs and prove the existence of the effective diffusivity for the field $V(x, t)$.

In Section 2 of this paper we introduce assumptions on the random field $V(x, t)$ and formulate the theorems on existence of effective diffusivity and on its asymptotic expansion in the case of short time correlations.

In Section 3 we describe the discretization of the spectrum of the velocity field. We state the theorem that for finite fixed time the mean square displacement of a particle in the field $V^n(x, t)$ tends to the mean square displacement in the field $V(x, t)$ as the discretization gets finer, that is as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of this theorem, being of purely technical nature, is given in the end of the paper in Section 8.

In Section 4 we relate the diffusivity in the field $V^n(x, t)$ to the solution of a hypoelliptic PDE. This PDE couples the infinitesimal generator of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, in each of the mode variables, to the transport operator $v \nabla_x u$ in physical space.

Section 5 contains the proof of the main technical result, namely a priori estimates for the hypoelliptic PDE, which are uniform in the number of modes in the spectrum of the velocity field.

Section 6 is devoted to the full asymptotic expansion of the solution of the hypoelliptic PDE. First we construct the series which satisfies the equation at a formal level. Then we use the estimates obtained in Section 5 to show that this series is the true asymptotic series for the solution. The asymptotic expansion for the solution of the hypoelliptic PDE provides in turn the asymptotic expansion for the effective diffusivity of the field $V^n(x, t)$. We then justify the removal of the cut-offs in the expansion in order to get the asymptotics of the effective diffusivity in the field $V(x, t)$.

In Section 7 we calculate explicitly the first two terms of the expansion for the effective diffusivity.

2 Definitions, Assumptions, and Results

Let $F(x, t) = E(H(x, t)H(0, 0))$ be the correlation function of a zero mean Gaussian field, which is stationary in x and t and Markov in time.

We shall consider the motion of a particle in the random vector field

$$V(x, t) = \nabla_x^\perp H(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with the stream function H , where $\nabla_x^\perp = (\partial_{x_2}, -\partial_{x_1})$. Let $G^{ab} = E(V^a(x, t)V^b(0, 0))$ be the correlation matrix of the field $V(x, t)$. Note that

$$G^{ab} = -(\nabla_x^\perp)^a (\nabla_x^\perp)^b F. \quad (6)$$

Let H_ε be the field given by the formula

$$H_\varepsilon(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} H(x, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}). \quad (7)$$

Then H_ε has the same stationarity and Markov properties as H . We shall also consider the motion of a particle in the random field $V_\varepsilon(x, t) = \nabla_x^\perp H_\varepsilon(x, t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The meaning of assumption (7) is that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the stream function H_ε becomes short time correlated. The multiplicative factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$ in front of H ensures that the main term of effective diffusivity is of order one as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The properties of $H(x, t)$ listed above imply a particular form for the correlation $F(x, t)$ and the spectral measure $\widehat{F}(k, t) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int e^{-ikx} F(x, t) dx$ of H . Recall that since F is a positive definite function \widehat{F} is a positive measure, which is finite on bounded sets in k -space.

Here we follow [16]. By stationarity, Gaussian and Markov properties there exists a function $K(t, x)$ such that for $t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$

$$E[H(x, t_1) | \sigma(H(\cdot, s), s \leq t_0)] = [K(t_1 - t_0) * H(t_0)](x) ,$$

where the symbol $*$ denotes convolution in the space variables. From the Markov property

$$F(\cdot, t_2 - t_0) = K(t_2 - t_1) * K(t_1 - t_0) * F(\cdot, 0) = K(t_2 - t_0) * F(\cdot, 0) .$$

A Fourier transform in the space variables shows that

$$\widehat{F}(k, t_2 - t_0) = \widehat{K}(t_2 - t_1, k) \widehat{K}(t_1 - t_0, k) \widehat{F}(k, 0) = \widehat{K}(t_2 - t_0, k) \widehat{F}(k, 0) .$$

Thus

$$\widehat{F}(k, t) = \exp(-|t|\Omega(k)) \widehat{F}(k, 0) , \tag{8}$$

and also

$$\widehat{F}_\varepsilon(k, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \exp(-\frac{|t|}{\varepsilon} \Omega(k)) \widehat{F}(k, 0) .$$

Necessarily $\Omega(k) \geq 0$ on $\text{supp} \widehat{F}(k, 0)$ ([13]). We shall assume that $\Omega(k) > C > 0$ in order to exclude the time independent modes.

The classical solution of the equation of motion (1) exists whenever the function $V(x, t)$ on the RHS is continuous in (x, t) and Lipschitz continuous in x uniformly on any compact. This will hold for almost every realization of the random vector field $V(x, t)$ under certain smoothness conditions on its correlation function (we are allowed to take a modification of the random field $V(x, t)$). It is preferable to deal with the correlation $F(x, t)$ of the stream function. Thus we introduce the following assumptions:

Assumption A $H(x, t)$ is a zero mean Gaussian field, stationary in x and t and Markov in time.

Assumption B For the measure $\widehat{F}(k, 0)$ and the function $\Omega(k)$ determined by (8):

$$\text{span}\{\text{supp} \widehat{F}(k, 0)\} = \mathbb{R}^2 , \quad \text{and} \tag{9}$$

$$\int (1 + |k|^{4+\delta}) \widehat{F}(dk, 0) < \infty \tag{10}$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Moreover, $\Omega(k) > C > 0$; $\Omega(k)$ is Lipschitz continuous uniformly on any compact, and grows not faster than some power of $|k|$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

It will be shown that under the above assumptions there exists a modification of the vector field $V(x, t)$, such that the solutions to equation (1) exist for all t for almost all realizations of the vector field $V(x, t)$.

Let the initial data x_0 be a random variable. With $t \geq 0$ fixed, $X_t - X_0$ is the displacement in time t under the action of the random field $V(x, t)$. Since $V(x, t)$ is stationary, the distribution of the vector $X_t - X_0$ does not depend on the initial data x_0 , provided that x_0 is independent of the vector field $V(x, t)$.

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold. Then the effective diffusivity, which is defined by (2), exists and is finite.*

We denote by D_ε^{ab} the effective diffusivity in the vector field V_ε . In order to prove the asymptotic expansion of D_ε^{ab} through order ε^m we need stronger local regularity assumptions on the correlation function.

Assumption C_m The Fourier transform of the correlation function $F(x, t)$ satisfies the condition

$$\int |k|^{4+4m} \widehat{F}(dk, 0) < \infty .$$

We can now formulate the theorem on asymptotic expansion of effective diffusivity.

Theorem 2.2 *Suppose Assumptions A, B, and C_m hold. Then there exist constant matrices $d_0^{ab}, \dots, d_m^{ab}$, such that*

$$D_\varepsilon^{ab} = d_0^{ab} + d_1^{ab} \varepsilon + \dots + d_m^{ab} \varepsilon^m + o(\varepsilon^m) \quad \text{when } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 . \quad (11)$$

3 Preliminary Considerations

The proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are based on approximation of the stream function $H_\varepsilon(x, t)$ by the stream functions $H_\varepsilon^n(x, t)$, whose spectral measures $\widehat{F}_\varepsilon^n(k, t)$ are supported on finite sets in k -space. Let us describe the construction of the field $H_\varepsilon^n(x, t)$.

Consider the partition of the square $S_m = \{|k^a| \leq 2^{m-1}, a = 1, 2\}$ into $n = 2^{4m}$ squares Δ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ of the size $\frac{1}{2^m}$. Let k_i be the center of Δ_i . We shall use the following notation: if $k = (k^1, k^2)$, then $k^\perp = (k^2, -k^1)$. Let α_i be the interior of Δ_i , β_i be the boundary of Δ_i excluding the corners, and γ_i be the set which consists of four corners of the square Δ_i . Define

$$\Omega_i = \Omega(k_i), \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_i = \left(2 \int_{\alpha_i} \widehat{F}(dk, 0) + \int_{\beta_i} \widehat{F}(dk, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma_i} \widehat{F}(dk, 0) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \quad (12)$$

It is important to note that Δ_i , k_i , Ω_i , α_i , β_i , γ_i , and σ_i depend on n . The transition from $\widehat{F}(k, 0)$ to $\widehat{F}^n(k, 0)$ consists of integrating $\widehat{F}(k, 0)$ over each square, and placing all the mass in the center. The three different integrals enter (12) with their specified factors because each side belongs to two different squares, and each corner to four different squares. Define

$$\widehat{F}^n(k, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(k - k_i) \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{F}^n(k, t) = \exp(-|t| \Omega(k)) \widehat{F}^n(k, 0) . \quad (13)$$

Then $H^n(x, t)$ is defined to be the real valued Gaussian random field whose spectral measure is given by (13). $H_\varepsilon^n(x, t)$ is defined to be the real valued Gaussian random field whose spectral measure is given by

$$\widehat{F}_\varepsilon^n(k, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \exp\left(-\frac{|t|}{\varepsilon} \Omega(k)\right) \widehat{F}^n(k, 0) .$$

From (10) it follows that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$, such that for all n

$$\int |k|^q \widehat{F}^n(dk, 0) < C_1 , \quad \text{if } 0 \leq q \leq 4 + \delta , \quad (14)$$

and the integrals converge at infinity uniformly in n . Define the 2×2 matrix M with entries

$$M_{ab} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\sigma_j^2 (k_j^\perp)^a (k_j^\perp)^b}{2\Omega_j} \quad a, b = 1, 2 .$$

Then for any $x \in R^2$

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^2 M_{ab} x^a x^b = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\sigma_j^2}{2\Omega_j} (k_j^\perp, x)^2 . \quad (15)$$

From the definition of σ_j it follows that the RHS of (15) tends to $\phi(x) = \int \frac{\widehat{F}(k, 0) (k^\perp, x)^2}{\Omega(k)} dk$ uniformly on the set $\{x \in R^2, |x| = 1\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (9) $\phi(x) \geq C_2 > 0$ on the set $\{x \in R^2, |x| = 1\}$, and therefore there exist constants n_0 and $C_3 > 0$ such that for $n \geq n_0$ and any $x \in R^2$

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^2 M_{ab} x^a x^b \geq C_3 |x|^2 . \quad (16)$$

Throughout the rest of the paper we shall only consider $n \geq n_0$.

The Fourier representation of the field $H_\varepsilon^n(x, t)$ in space variables is

$$H_\varepsilon^n(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int e^{ikx} Z(dk, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}) .$$

For t fixed $Z(k, t)$ is an orthogonal Gaussian measure, which depends on n . Since $\text{supp} \widehat{F}^n(k, 0) \subset \{k_i\}$

$$H_\varepsilon^n(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{ik_i x} z(k_i, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}) , \quad (17)$$

where $z(k_i, t)$ are complex-valued Gaussian stationary processes. The normalization of $z(k_i, t)$ is fixed by (13) so that

$$E(z(k_i, t) \overline{z(k_j, 0)}) = \delta_{ij} \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2} \exp(-|t| \Omega_i) .$$

The fact that $H(x, t)$ is a real valued field implies that together with the mode $k_i = (k_i^1, k_i^2)$ the set $\{k_i\}$ also contains $(-k_i^1, -k_i^2)$ with the same σ_i^2 and Ω_i . We shall write

$$\{k_i, i = 1, \dots, n\} = \{k_i, -k_i, i = 1, \dots, n/2\} .$$

Therefore we can write (17) as

$$H_\varepsilon^n(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \sigma_i \left(A_i^1\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \cos(k_i x) + A_i^2\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \sin(k_i x) \right) . \quad (18)$$

Here $A_i^l(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, n/2$; $l = 1, 2$ are independent real-valued stationary Gaussian processes and

$$E \left(A_i^l(t) A_{i'}^{l'}(0) \right) = \delta_{ii'} \delta_{ll'} \exp(-|t| \Omega_i) .$$

This implies that the $A_i^l(t)$ are independent Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with correlation scales Ω_i and variances 1. We shall write

$$\{Y^i, i = 1, \dots, n\} = \{A_i^l, i = 1, \dots, n/2; l = 1, 2\} .$$

Applying ∇_x^\perp to (18) and using the indices $i = 1, \dots, n$ again, we see that

$$V_\varepsilon^n(x, t) = \nabla_x^\perp H_\varepsilon^n(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y^i\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) v_i(x) , \quad (19)$$

where the vectors $v_i(x)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ are of the following form

$$\{v_i, i = 1, \dots, n\} = \{\sigma_i \nabla_x^\perp \cos k_i x, \sigma_i \nabla_x^\perp \sin k_i x, i = 1, \dots, n/2\} . \quad (20)$$

Therefore the vector fields v_i are divergence free and infinitely smooth. By the definition of k_i the vector fields are periodic with common period $p = 2^{m+2}\pi$.

The fact that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cos x \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{\alpha_2} \cos x + \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{\alpha_1} \sin x \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{\alpha_2} \sin x = 0, \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \text{ is odd} , \quad (21)$$

the particular form (20) of the vector fields v_i , and the fact that $\Omega(k_j) = \Omega(-k_j)$ imply that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla_x v_j^a = 0 . \quad (22)$$

The equation of motion for the particle in the vector field $V_\varepsilon^n(x, t)$ has the form

$$\dot{X}_t^n = V_\varepsilon^n(X_t^n, t) , \quad X_0^n = x_0^n . \quad (23)$$

As before we assume x_0^n to be independent of the random field $V_\varepsilon^n(x, t)$. Whenever the subscript ε is omitted from V_ε^n we shall imply that $\varepsilon = 1$ is being considered.

Provided that the following expectations exist we define the finite time displacement tensors

$$D^{n,ab}(t) = \frac{1}{2} E \left((X_t^{n,a} - X_0^{n,a})(X_t^{n,b} - X_0^{n,b}) \right) ,$$

$$D^{ab}(t) = \frac{1}{2} E \left((X_t^a - X_0^a)(X_t^b - X_0^b) \right) ,$$

where X_t^n , and X_t are the solutions of (23) with $\varepsilon = 1$, and (1) respectively.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold. Then for arbitrary $t \geq 0$ the displacement tensors $D^{n,ab}(t)$ and $D^{ab}(t)$ exist for some modification of the field $V(x, t)$, and for t fixed*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^{n,ab}(t) = D^{ab}(t) . \quad (24)$$

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold. Then the following limit*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D^{n,ab}(t)}{t} , \quad a, b = 1, 2 \quad (25)$$

exists and is uniform in n .

Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 will be proved in Sections 8 and 4 respectively. Notice that the limit in (25) is the effective diffusivity for the vector field $V^n(x, t)$. We shall denote it by $D^{n,ab}$. The effective diffusivity for the vector field $V_\varepsilon^n(x, t)$ will be denoted by $D_\varepsilon^{n,ab}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 By Theorem 3.2

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D^{n,ab}(t)}{t} = D^{n,ab}$$

uniformly in n . By Theorem 3.1

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D^{n,ab}(t)}{t} = \frac{D^{ab}(t)}{t}$$

Therefore by the theorem on uniform convergence the following limits exist

$$D^{ab} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D^{ab}(t)}{t} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D^{n,ab} .$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

4 Formulation of the Theorem on the Hypoelliptic Estimate and the Proof of Theorem 3.2

In this section we reduce the assertion of Theorem 3.2 to a hypoelliptic estimate for a PDE. The estimate itself is proved in Section 5. Since the same estimate will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we preserve the ε -dependence throughout this section.

By (19), (23) the equation of motion in the vector field $V_\varepsilon^n(x, t)$ has the form

$$dX_t^{n,a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{t/\varepsilon}^{n,i} v_i^a(X_t^n) dt , \quad a = 1, 2 , \quad (26)$$

where the $Y_t^{n,i}$ are independent Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes

$$dY_t^{n,i} = \sqrt{2\Omega_i} dW_t^i - \Omega_i Y_t^{n,i} dt , \quad i = 1, \dots, n . \quad (27)$$

We rescale the time variable in (26) so that we can consider (26)-(27) as a system of stochastic differential equations. Thus define $\widetilde{X}_t^{n,a} = X_{\varepsilon t}^{n,a}$. In the new variables (26) takes the form

$$d\widetilde{X}_t^{n,a} = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_t^{n,i} v_i^a(\widetilde{X}_t^n) dt, \quad a = 1, 2. \quad (28)$$

The operator

$$M_\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i \left(\partial_{y_i}^2 - y_i \partial_{y_i} \right) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i v_i(x) \nabla_x \quad (29)$$

is the infinitesimal generator of the system (27)-(28). Recall that p is the common period of the velocity modes defined in Section 3. Let

$$\eta(x, y) = \frac{1}{p} \prod_{i=1}^n (2\pi)^{-1/4} \exp\left(-\frac{y_i^2}{4}\right).$$

As initial conditions for the system we take the distribution η^2 , which is invariant for the process $(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n)$ on $\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We shall repeatedly use the following elementary integrals

$$\int y_i \eta^2 dy = 0, \quad \int y_i y_j \eta^2 dy = \frac{1}{p^2} \delta_{ij}. \quad (30)$$

Consider the equation

$$M_\varepsilon(\sqrt{\varepsilon} u^{n,a} + x_a) = 0 \quad (31)$$

for a function $u^{n,a}(x, y)$ defined on $\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n$. The function $\sqrt{\varepsilon} u^{n,a} + x_a$ of (31) is the analog of the harmonic coordinate of [17, 7].

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold. Then equation (31) has a unique solution in the class of C^∞ functions which satisfy the relations*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i \int \int \left((\partial_{y_i} u^{n,a})^2 + y_i^2 (u^{n,a})^2 + (u^{n,a})^2 \right) \eta^2 dx dy < \infty, \quad \int \int u^a \eta^2 dx dy = 0.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant C independent of n, ε , such that the solution of (31) satisfies

$$\int \int \left((u^{n,a})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i (\partial_{y_i} u^{n,a})^2 \right) \eta^2 dx dy < C. \quad (32)$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is given as a consequence of the more general Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 in Section 5 below.

For the proof of Theorem 3.2 we shall need the following simple lemma

Lemma 4.2 *Let $f_t^{n,a}$ and $g_t^{n,a}$ be random variables, which depend on parameters n and t . Suppose*

$$E \left((f_t^{n,a} + g_t^{n,a})(f_t^{n,b} + g_t^{n,b}) \right) = \phi^{ab}(n). \quad (33)$$

Suppose there are constants C_1 and C_2 , which do not depend on n and t , such that

$$tE(g_t^{n,a})^2 < C_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{ab}(n) < C_2. \quad (34)$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(f_t^{n,a} f_t^{n,b}) = \phi^{ab}(n),$$

and the limit is uniform in n .

Proof From (33) with $a = b$ it follows that

$$E(f_t^{n,a})^2 = \phi^{aa}(n) - E(g_t^{n,a})^2 - 2E(f_t^{n,a} g_t^{n,a}). \quad (35)$$

From (35) and (34) we conclude that there exists a constant C_3 such that

$$E(f_t^{n,a})^2 < C_3 \quad \text{for all } n \text{ and } t \geq 1. \quad (36)$$

From (33)

$$E(f_t^{n,a} f_t^{n,b}) - \phi^{ab}(n) = -E(g_t^{n,a} g_t^{n,b}) - E(f_t^{n,a} g_t^{n,b}) - E(f_t^{n,b} g_t^{n,a}). \quad (37)$$

By the Schwartz inequality, (34), and (36) the RHS of (37) tends to zero uniformly in n as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2 We are here using the result of Theorem 4.1. Since $\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,a} + x_a$ is smooth we can apply Ito's formula to $(\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,a} + x_a)(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n)$. By (27) and (28) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,a} + x_a)(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n) &= (\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,a} + x_a)(\widetilde{X}_0^n, Y_0^n) + \int_0^t M_\varepsilon(\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,a} + x_a)(\widetilde{X}_s^n, Y_s^n) ds + \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\Omega_i} \int_0^t \partial_{y_i}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,a} + x_a)(\widetilde{X}_s^n, Y_s^n) dW_s^i. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Since $M_\varepsilon(\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,a} + x_a) = 0$ the expression above can be rewritten as

$$(\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,a} + x_a)(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n) - (\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,a} + x_a)(\widetilde{X}_0^n, Y_0^n) = \sqrt{2\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\Omega_i} \int_0^t \partial_{y_i} u^{n,a}(\widetilde{X}_s^n, Y_s^n) dW_s^i. \quad (39)$$

Similarly

$$(\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,b} + x_b)(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n) - (\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^{n,b} + x_b)(\widetilde{X}_0^n, Y_0^n) = \sqrt{2\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\Omega_i} \int_0^t \partial_{y_i} u^{n,b}(\widetilde{X}_s^n, Y_s^n) dW_s^i. \quad (40)$$

Since the measure η^2 is invariant for the process $(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n)$, the expectation of the product of the right sides of (39) and (40) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i \int_0^t E[\partial_{y_i} u^{n,a}(\widetilde{X}_s^n, Y_s^n) \partial_{y_i} u^{n,b}(\widetilde{X}_s^n, Y_s^n)] ds = \\ & 2\varepsilon t \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_{y_i} u^{n,a})(\partial_{y_i} u^{n,b}) \eta^2 dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

which is finite by Theorem 4.1. Thus multiplying (39) by (40), dividing both sides by $2t\varepsilon$, and taking expectations of both sides of the resulting equality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2t\varepsilon} E[(\widetilde{X}_t^{n,a} - \widetilde{X}_0^{n,a} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} u^{n,a}(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n) - \sqrt{\varepsilon} u^{n,a}(\widetilde{X}_0^n, Y_0^n)) \\ & (\widetilde{X}_t^{n,b} - \widetilde{X}_0^{n,b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} u^{n,b}(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n) - \sqrt{\varepsilon} u^{n,b}(\widetilde{X}_0^n, Y_0^n))] = \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i \int \int_{\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_{y_i} u^{n,a})(\partial_{y_i} u^{n,b}) \eta^2 dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} f_t^{n,a} &= \frac{\widetilde{X}_t^{n,a} - \widetilde{X}_0^{n,a}}{\sqrt{2t\varepsilon}}, \quad g_t^{n,a} = \frac{u^{n,a}(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n) - u^{n,a}(\widetilde{X}_0^n, Y_0^n)}{\sqrt{2t}}, \text{ and} \\ \phi^{ab}(n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i \int \int_{\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_{y_i} u^{n,a})(\partial_{y_i} u^{n,b}) \eta^2 dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

With this choice of $f_t^{n,a}$, $g_t^{n,a}$ and $\phi^{ab}(n)$ equation (41) takes the form (33). Since the measure η^2 is invariant for the process $(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n)$

$$E(u^{n,a}(\widetilde{X}_t^n, Y_t^n))^2 = E(u^{n,a}(\widetilde{X}_0^n, Y_0^n))^2 = \int \int_{\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n} (u^{n,a})^2 \eta^2 dx dy, \quad (42)$$

which does not depend on t . By Theorem 4.1 the RHS of (42) is bounded uniformly in n . Therefore $tE(g_t^{n,a})^2 < C_1$. From Theorem 4.1 it also follows that $\phi^{ab}(n) < C_2$. Therefore we can apply Lemma 4.2 to conclude that the limit

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E((f_t^{n,a})(f_t^{n,b})) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E((\widetilde{X}_t^{n,a} - \widetilde{X}_0^{n,a})(\widetilde{X}_t^{n,b} - \widetilde{X}_0^{n,b}))}{t\varepsilon} = \\ & \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E((X_t^{n,a} - X_0^{n,a})(X_t^{n,b} - X_0^{n,b}))}{t} = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i \int \int_{\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_{y_i} u^{n,a})(\partial_{y_i} u^{n,b}) \eta^2 dx dy \end{aligned}$$

exists uniformly in n . Put $\varepsilon = 1$ to obtain the statement of Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 4.3 *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold. Then the effective diffusivity $D_\varepsilon^{n,ab}$ is expressed in terms of the solution $u^{n,a}$ of equation (31) by the formula*

$$D_\varepsilon^{n,ab} = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i \int \int_{\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n} (\partial_{y_i} u^{n,a})(\partial_{y_i} u^{n,b}) \eta^2 dx dy . \quad (43)$$

We make a change of variables so that M_ε becomes the sum of a formally self adjoint operator in the y variables (a simple harmonic oscillator) and a formally antisymmetric operator in the x variables. Thus let

$$u_{\text{new}}^{n,a} = u^{n,a} \eta , \quad (44)$$

and rename the unknown function by $u^{n,a}$ again. Then equation (31) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i \left(\partial_{y_i}^2 - \frac{y_i^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) u^{n,a} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i v_i \nabla_x u^{n,a} = - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i v_i^a(x) \eta(y) . \quad (45)$$

Note that the first term on the LHS of (45) is the simple harmonic oscillator and $\eta(y)$ is its eigenfunction with zero eigenvalue. We transform (43) by the above change of variables.

Corollary 4.4 ([13]) *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold. Then the effective diffusivity $D_\varepsilon^{n,ab}$ is expressed in terms of the solution $u^{n,a}$ of equation (45) by the formula*

$$D_\varepsilon^{n,ab} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int \int_{\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n} (u^{n,a} v_i^b + u^{n,b} v_i^a) y_i \eta dx dy .$$

5 The Hypocoelliptic Estimate

Let us introduce notation needed for the statement of Theorem 5.1. \mathcal{S}^\perp is the space of functions on $\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n$ which are infinitely smooth, orthogonal to η , and decay faster than any polynomial together with all their derivatives. That is $f \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$ if

$$\int \int f(x, y) \eta(y) dx dy = 0 , \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x, y} Q(y) P_1(D_y) P_2(D_x) f < \infty$$

for any polynomials P_1, P_2 , and Q . \mathcal{L}_2^\perp is the completion of \mathcal{S}^\perp in $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Clearly

$$\mathcal{L}_2^\perp \oplus \{\text{const} \cdot \eta(y)\} = \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n) .$$

$\|\cdot\|$ is the usual norm of $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. \mathcal{H}^\perp is the completion of \mathcal{S}^\perp in the harmonic oscillator inner product

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i \int \int \left((\partial_{y_i} f)(\partial_{y_i} g) + y_i^2 f g + f g \right) dx dy .$$

$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{T}_p^2), \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2), \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$, and $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$ are the usual Sobolev spaces of scalar or vector valued functions on the torus.

Write

$$Lu = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i (\partial_{y_i}^2 u - \frac{y_i^2}{4} u + \frac{1}{2} u), \quad Au = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i v_i(x) \nabla_x u, \quad M_\varepsilon = L + \sqrt{\varepsilon} A.$$

Here M_ε is the transform by (44) of the expression (29), with change of notation to the new variables.

For the proof of the next theorem we refer the reader to [13].

Theorem 5.1 ([13]) *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold, and $f \in \mathcal{L}_2^\perp$. Then the equation*

$$M_\varepsilon u = f. \quad (46)$$

has a unique weak solution $u \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$. There is a constant $C(n, \varepsilon)$, such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} \leq C(n, \varepsilon) \|f\|. \quad (47)$$

Moreover, if $f \in C^\infty$, then $u \in C^\infty$ also.

Theorem 5.1 proves existence, uniqueness, and regularity for equation (45), and consequently for equation (31). However the estimate (47) does not imply (32) since the constant in the RHS of (47) depends on n and ε . The following theorem provides the estimate of the \mathcal{L}_2 norm of the solution, which is uniform in n and ε . This estimate will allow us to prove (32).

Theorem 5.2 *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold, and $f \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$ satisfies*

$$\int f \eta dy = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \int \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla_x f y_j \eta dy = 0 \quad \text{for all } x. \quad (48)$$

Then there exists a constant C , which does not depend on n or ε , such that the solution u of (46), given by Theorem 5.1 satisfies

$$\|u\| \leq C \|f\|. \quad (49)$$

Proof We represent $u \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$ uniquely as a sum of two functions which are orthogonal in $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}_y^n)$ for all x , that is

$$u(x, y) = w(x, y) + u_0(x) \eta(y), \quad (50)$$

where $\int u_0(x) dx = 0$, and $\int w(x, y) \eta(y) dy = 0$ as an element of $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$. To prove (49) it is sufficient to estimate $\|w\|$ and $\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2)}$ separately.

Consider M_ε as an (unbounded) operator from \mathcal{H}^\perp to \mathcal{L}_2^\perp with domain \mathcal{S}^\perp . We need the following lemma, for the proof of which we refer the reader to [13]

Lemma 5.3 ([13]) *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.1, the closure of $M_\varepsilon \mathcal{S}^\perp$ coincides with \mathcal{L}_2^\perp .*

By Lemma 5.3 there exists a sequence $u^k \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$, such that

$$M_\varepsilon u^k = f^k \rightarrow f \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_2^\perp. \quad (51)$$

Then $\{f^k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{L}_2^\perp , and by (47)

$$u^k \rightarrow u \text{ in } \mathcal{H}^\perp. \quad (52)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} u^k &= w^k + u_0^k \eta \\ f^k &= g^k + f_0^k \eta \end{aligned}$$

as in (50). Note that by (51), (52), and since $\int f \eta dy = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} w^k &\rightarrow w \text{ in } \mathcal{H}^\perp ; \quad u_0^k \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2) ; \\ g^k &\rightarrow f \text{ in } \mathcal{H}^\perp ; \quad f_0^k \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2) . \end{aligned}$$

The equation $M_\varepsilon u^k = f^k$ can be written as

$$Lw^k + \sqrt{\varepsilon} Au_0^k(x) \eta(y) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} Aw^k = g^k + f_0^k \eta . \quad (53)$$

In order to estimate the norms of w^k and u_0^k we first derive three integral and differential relations satisfied by w^k and u_0^k . In order to do so we multiply (53) successively by η , w^k , and $y_j \eta$ and integrate in y . We could not perform this integration with w and u_0 replacing w^k and u_0^k in (53), since w may not belong to \mathcal{S}^\perp , and thus the integral over y may not converge. Then we let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in order to obtain three corresponding relations on w and u_0 . The first two of these are used to bound w , while the third gives the bound on u_0 .

Multiplying (53) by η and integrating in y yields

$$\int \eta Lw^k dy + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int Au_0^k(x) \eta^2(y) dy + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int Aw^k \eta(y) dy = \int g^k \eta dy + \int f_0^k \eta^2 dy . \quad (54)$$

The RHS of (54) is equal to $\frac{1}{p^2} f_0^k(x)$. Note that also $\int \eta Lw^k dy = \int w^k L\eta dy = 0$ since $L\eta = 0$, and $\int Au_0^k(x) \eta^2(y) dy = 0$ since $\int y_i \eta^2(y) dy = 0$. Thus our first relation has the form

$$\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int Aw^k \eta dy = \frac{1}{p^2} f_0^k(x) . \quad (55)$$

Multiplying (53) by w^k and integrating in y , we obtain

$$\int w^k Lw^k dy + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int w^k Au_0^k(x) \eta(y) dy + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int w^k Aw^k dy = \int g^k w^k dy + \int f_0^k(x) \eta w^k dy . \quad (56)$$

Note that

$$\int w^k A w^k dx = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \int w^k v_i(x) \nabla_x w^k dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \int \operatorname{div}(v_i(w^k)^2) dx = 0 ,$$

and thus the last term of the LHS of (56) vanishes after integration over x . The second term on the RHS of (56) vanishes since $\int \eta w^k dy = 0$. By (55), since $A^* = -A$

$$\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int \int w^k A u_0^k(x) \eta(y) dx dy = -\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int \int u_0^k A w^k \eta(y) dx dy = -\frac{1}{p^2} \int f_0^k(x) u_0^k(x) dx .$$

Therefore, by (56), we obtain our second relation,

$$\int \int w^k L w^k dx dy = \int \int g^k w^k dx dy + \frac{1}{p^2} \int f_0^k(x) u_0^k(x) dx . \quad (57)$$

Multiplying (53) by $y_j \eta$ and integrating in y , we obtain

$$\int y_j \eta L w^k dy + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int A u_0^k y_j \eta^2 dy + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int A w^k y_j \eta dy = \int g^k y_j \eta dy . \quad (58)$$

The first term on the LHS is equal to $\int w^k L(y_j \eta) dy = \Omega_j \int w^k y_j \eta dy$. Recall that $A = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i v_i \nabla_x$. We evaluate

$$\int \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \nabla_x u_0^k(x) y_i y_j \eta^2 dy = \frac{1}{p^2} v_j \nabla_x u_0^k(x)$$

with only the $i = j$ term contributing. By carrying the first and the third terms from the left to the right side of (58), we have the identity

$$\sqrt{\varepsilon} v_j \nabla_x u_0^k(x) = p^2 \left(\int g^k y_j \eta dy - \Omega_j \int w^k y_j \eta dy - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int y_j A w^k \eta dy \right) . \quad (59)$$

Applying the operator $\frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla$ to both sides of (59), taking the sum $\sum_{j=1}^n$, and dividing by $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, we obtain due to (22)

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j^a v_j^b u_0^k x_a x_b = \frac{p^2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla \left(\int g^k y_j \eta dy - \Omega_j \int w^k y_j \eta dy - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int y_j A w^k \eta dy \right) . \quad (60)$$

Formulas (55), (57) and (60) are the desired relations on w^k and u_0^k .

In order to obtain the integral relations of the type (55) and (57) for the limits w and u_0 , we consider $k \rightarrow \infty$ in those formulas. In (55) the LHS tends to $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \nabla_x \int w y_i \eta dy$ in $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$, while the RHS tends to zero in $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$ since $f_0^k \rightarrow 0$. We conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v_i \nabla \int w y_i \eta dy = 0 . \quad (61)$$

From (57) we conclude that

$$\int \int w L w d x d y = \int \int f w d x d y . \quad (62)$$

Formulas (61) and (62) are the first two relations for w . Using (62), we bound $\|w\|$. By the elementary properties of the simple harmonic oscillator there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$, such that for $w \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$

$$\|w\|^2 \leq -C_1 \int \int w L w d x d y .$$

By Schwartz inequality we conclude from (62) that

$$\|w\| \leq C_3 \|f\| . \quad (63)$$

Next we estimate u_0 . We start by deriving our third relation, an elliptic equation which u_0 satisfies. In (60) the LHS tends in $\mathcal{H}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$ to $\sum_{a,b=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j^a v_j^b u_{0x_a x_b}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The first term on the RHS tends in $\mathcal{H}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$ to $\frac{p^2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla (f y_j \eta d y)$. The latter quantity vanishes by the assumptions of the theorem.

The second term on the RHS of (60) tends in $\mathcal{H}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$ to $\frac{p^2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \nabla f w y_j \eta d y$, which is equal to zero by (61).

The last term on the RHS of (60) tends in $\mathcal{H}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$ to $p^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla (v_i \nabla (f y_i y_j w \eta d y))$. Thus (60) yields

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j^a v_j^b u_{0x_a x_b} = p^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla (v_i \nabla (f y_i y_j w \eta d y)) . \quad (64)$$

For the following lemma it is important to note that \mathbb{T}_p^2 is a torus (a compact manifold), but not a square with a boundary.

Lemma 5.4 *Suppose $u \in L_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$, $f \in H^{-2}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$, and $\int_{\mathbb{T}_p^2} f u d x = 0$. Let c be a constant, and M_{ab} a constant matrix, such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$*

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^2 M_{ab} x^a x^b \geq c \|x\|^2 .$$

Then there exists a constant c_1 , which depends only on c , such that the equality

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^2 M_{ab} u_{x_a x_b}(x) = f \quad \text{on } \mathbb{T}_p^2$$

implies the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2)} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{H^{-2}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)} . \quad (65)$$

Proof In the case when $p = 1$ the statement of the lemma is a standard a priori estimate from general elliptic theory ([10]). If $p \neq 1$, then the change of variables

$$\tilde{u}(x) = u(px) , \quad \tilde{f}(x) = p^2 f(px) , \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^2 .$$

reduces the statement of the lemma to the case when $p = 1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.4.

Note that by (20)

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j^a v_j^b = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\sigma_j^2 (k_j^\perp)^a (k_j^\perp)^b}{2\Omega_j} , \quad (66)$$

and thus by (16) we can apply the result of Lemma 5.4 to (64). We need to estimate the $\mathcal{H}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$ norm of the RHS of (64).

Since v_i is divergence free the RHS of (64) can be written as

$$p^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla (\operatorname{div}(v_i \int y_i y_j w \eta dy)) .$$

We have the following inequality

$$\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla \operatorname{div}(v_i \int y_i y_j w \eta dy) \|_{\mathcal{H}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)} \leq C_4 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\sup_x |v_j| \sup_x |v_i|}{\Omega_j} \| \int y_i y_j w \eta dy \|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2)} . \quad (67)$$

By (20) $\sup_x |v_i| = \sigma_i |k_i|$. Using the Schwartz inequality and the fact that the Ω_j are uniformly bounded from below, we estimate the RHS of (67) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\sup_x |v_j| \sup_x |v_i|}{\Omega_j} \| \int y_i y_j w \eta dy \|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2)} \leq \\ & \frac{C_5}{p} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_i^2 |k_i|^2 \sigma_j^2 |k_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \| \int p y_i y_j \eta w dy \|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2)}^2 \right)^{1/2} . \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

By (14) the factor $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_i^2 |k_i|^2 \sigma_j^2 |k_j|^2$ is bounded uniformly in n . To estimate the second factor on the RHS of (68) we note that

$$\int p y_i y_j \eta w dy = \int p (y_i y_j - \delta_{ij}) \eta w dy ,$$

since $\int w \eta dy = 0$. The functions $p(y_i y_j - \delta_{ij}) \eta$ form an orthogonal system in $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover,

$$\| p(y_i y_j - \delta_{ij}) \eta \|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = 1 + \delta_{ij} .$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \| \int p y_i y_j w \eta dy \|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2)}^2 = \int \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\int p (y_i y_j - \delta_{ij}) w \eta dy \right)^2 dx \leq 2 \int \int w^2 dx dy \leq C_6 \|f\|^2 . \quad (69)$$

The last inequality in (69) is due to (63).

From the chain of inequalities (67) - (69) we conclude that the $\mathcal{H}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}_p^2)$ norm of the RHS of (64) is estimated from above by $C_7 p \|f\|$. In the view of (66) and (16) we can apply Lemma 5.4 to equation (64) and obtain

$$\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{T}_p^2)} \leq C_8 p \|f\| .$$

Therefore

$$\|u_0 \eta\| \leq C_9 \|f\| . \quad (70)$$

Combining (70) with (63) we obtain (49), which completes the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Corollary 5.5 *Theorem 4.1 is a consequence of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2.*

Proof With the change of variables (44) equation (31) takes the form (45). The existence and uniqueness result of Theorem 4.1 follows immediately from Theorem 5.1 applied to equation (45).

We transform the LHS of (32) by the change of variables (44) ([13]). Thus the LHS of (32) is equal to

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left((u^{n,a})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n u^{n,a} v_i^a y_i \eta \right) dx dy , \quad (71)$$

where $u^{n,a}$ is the solution of equation (45).

We estimate the norm of the RHS of (45) as follows

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i v_i^a \eta \right\|^2 = \iint \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i v_i^a \eta \right)^2 dx dy = \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int (v_i^a)^2 dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_x (v_i^a)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 |k_i|^2 \leq C_1 . \quad (72)$$

The last inequality in (72) is due to (14). From (72) and Schwartz inequality it follows that the expression in (71) is estimated from above by

$$C_2 \iint_{\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n} (u^{n,a})^2 dx dy .$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.1 it remains to apply Theorem 5.2 to equation (45). In order to do that we need to show that $f = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i v_i^a \eta$ satisfies (48). The first part, $\int f \eta dy = 0$, is trivial since $\int y_i \eta^2 dy = 0$. To show that $\sum_{j=1}^n \int \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla_x f y_j \eta dy = 0$ we write

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla_x f y_j \eta dy = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla_x v_i^a y_i y_j \eta^2 dy = \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} v_j \nabla_x v_j^a = 0 . \quad (73)$$

The second equality in (73) is due to (30), and the last one is due to (22). This completes the proof of Corollary 5.5.

6 Effective Diffusivity in Vector Fields with Short Time Correlation

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Let \mathcal{P} be the space of functions on $\mathbb{T}_p^2 \times \mathbb{R}^n$ which have the form

$$u(x, y) = p(x, y)\eta(y) ,$$

where $p(x, y)$ is a polynomial in y whose coefficients are infinitely smooth functions of x .

Let \mathcal{P}^\perp be the subspace of \mathcal{P} of functions which satisfy

$$\int u(x, y)\eta(y)dy = 0 \quad \text{for all } x .$$

We shall say that $u(x, y)$ is an odd (even) function if $u \in \mathcal{P}$ and the corresponding polynomial $p(x, y)$ contains only odd (even) powers of y . The next lemma follows from the general properties of the simple harmonic oscillator [8].

Lemma 6.1 *Suppose that $f(x, y) \in \mathcal{P}^\perp$. Then the equation*

$$Lu = f$$

has a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{P}^\perp$. If f is an odd (even) function, then u is also odd (even).

In the next theorem we state the asymptotic expansion for the solution of equation (45), which in turn provides the asymptotics for the effective diffusivity $D_\varepsilon^{n,ab}$ by Corollary 4.4.

Theorem 6.2 *Suppose Assumptions A, B, and C_m hold. Let $u^{n,a}$ be the solution of equation (45) given by Theorem 5.1. Then there exist functions $u_k^{n,a}(x, y) \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$ with $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2m$, and constants $c(k)$ independent of n and ε , such that*

$$\|u^{n,a} - (u_0^{n,a} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}u_1^{n,a} + \dots + \varepsilon^{\frac{k}{2}}u_k^{n,a})\| \leq c(k)\varepsilon^{\frac{k+1}{2}} . \quad (74)$$

Moreover, $u_{2k}^{n,a}$ is an odd function and $u_{2k-1}^{n,a}$ is an even function for all $k \leq 2m$.

Let us substitute the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{\frac{k}{2}}u_k^{n,a}$ formally into the equation $M_\varepsilon u = f$. Equating the terms with the same powers of ε , we obtain

$$Lu_0^{n,a} = f , \quad (75)$$

$$Lu_{k+1}^{n,a} = -Au_k^{n,a} . \quad (76)$$

By Lemma 6.1 in order for the solutions of (75), (76) to exist in \mathcal{P}^\perp it is enough to show that the right sides are in \mathcal{P}^\perp . Unfortunately, the fact that $u \in \mathcal{P}^\perp$ does not guarantee

that $-Au \in \mathcal{P}^\perp$. We use the particular form of the vector fields v_i to describe the subspaces of \mathcal{P}^\perp appropriate for solving (75), (76). By staying within these subspaces we verify $-Au_k^{n,a} \in \mathcal{P}^\perp$. Then we use (75), (76) as an inductive definition of the sequence $\{u_k^{n,a}\}$.

From (75), (76) it follows that $w_k = u^{n,a} - (u_0^{n,a} + \dots + \varepsilon^{\frac{k}{2}} u_k^{n,a})$ satisfies

$$M_\varepsilon w_k = -\varepsilon^{\frac{k+1}{2}} Au_k^{n,a} . \quad (77)$$

We then estimate the RHS of (77) uniformly in n and employ Theorem 5.2 to obtain the desired estimate of w_k . These arguments will be made rigorous below.

Let us introduce notation needed for the proof of Theorem 6.2. To simplify the notation we consider $a = 1$ and drop the superscripts on the function u and the terms of the asymptotic expansion. Recall that the set $\{v_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ can be written as follows:

$$\{v_i, i = 1, \dots, n\} = \{\sigma_i \nabla^\perp \cos k_i x, \sigma_i \nabla^\perp \sin k_i x, i = 1, \dots, n/2\} . \quad (78)$$

On the set $\{i = 1, \dots, n\}$ we introduce a reflection operation which interchanges sin and cos terms of the same wave length as follows: if $v_i = \sigma_i \nabla^\perp \cos k_i x$, then i' is the index for which $v_{i'} = \sigma_i \nabla^\perp \sin k_i x$. Similarly, if $v_i = \sigma_i \nabla^\perp \sin k_i x$, then $v_{i'} = \sigma_i \nabla^\perp \cos k_i x$. Recall that

$$\sigma_i = \sigma_{i'} \text{ and } \Omega_i = \Omega_{i'} . \quad (79)$$

In what follows the constants $c(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ are assumed to be invariant under this reflection operation:

$$c(i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_k) = c(i_1, \dots, i'_l, \dots, i_k) \text{ for any } 1 \leq l \leq k . \quad (80)$$

We next describe the subspaces \mathcal{R}^k of \mathcal{P}^\perp appropriate for solving (75), (76). \mathcal{R}^k will be defined as a linear space of functions of (n, x, y) . Note that we include the dependence on n in the definition of the space \mathcal{R}^k . Thus we shall be solving (75), (76) for all n simultaneously. First we define the set of functions which span \mathcal{R}^k .

We shall say that a function $u(n; x, y)$ belongs to \mathcal{T}^k if for some t and s , such that $t + 2s = k$

$$u = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n v_{i_k} \nabla(\dots \nabla(v_{i_2} \nabla v_{i_1}^1) \dots) y_{i_{r_1}} \dots y_{i_{r_t}} \delta_{i_{l_1} i_{m_1}} \dots \delta_{i_{l_s} i_{m_s}} c_n(i_1, \dots, i_k) \eta , \quad (81)$$

where $(r_1, \dots, r_t, l_1, \dots, l_s, m_1, \dots, m_s)$ is some permutation of $(1, \dots, k)$, the constants $c_n(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ satisfy (80) and

$$|c_n| \leq c , \quad (82)$$

with a constant c which may depend on u , but does not depend on n . Note that \mathcal{T}^k is not a linear space since it is a set theoretic union over choices t, s , and the permutations, and thus is not closed under addition.

We shall say that a function $u(n, x, y)$ belongs to \mathcal{R}^k if $u = u_1 + \dots + u_d$, where $u_1, \dots, u_d \in \mathcal{T}^k$. Thus $\mathcal{R}^k = \text{span}\{\mathcal{T}^k\}$. Note that the number d of functions of \mathcal{T}^k which comprise an element of \mathcal{R}^k can not depend on n , since n is already an argument in each of the functions u, u_1, \dots, u_d . An element of \mathcal{R}^k can combine terms of the form (81) with different t and s . If k is even, then all elements of \mathcal{R}^k are even, if k is odd, then all elements of \mathcal{R}^k are odd.

We prove several lemmas which are needed for the proof of Theorem 6.2. Lemmas 6.3, 6.4, and 6.5 imply that (75), (76) can be used as an inductive definition for the sequence $u_k^{n,a}$. Lemma 6.6 provides the estimate of the RHS of (77), which is uniform in n .

Lemma 6.3 *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold. If $u \in \mathcal{R}^k$, then $Au \in \mathcal{R}^{k+1}$, and $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Omega_j} y_j v_j \nabla_x u \in \mathcal{R}^{k+1}$.*

Proof The statement follows from the fact that the same is true when \mathcal{R}^k and \mathcal{R}^{k+1} are replaced by \mathcal{T}^k and \mathcal{T}^{k+1} .

Lemma 6.4 *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold. If $u \in \mathcal{R}^k$, then $u \in \mathcal{P}^\perp$.*

Proof Without loss of generality we can consider $u \in \mathcal{T}^k$ given by formula (81). If k is odd, then u is an odd function and $\int u \eta dy = 0$, that is $u \in \mathcal{P}^\perp$. Assuming now that k is even, $\int u \eta dy$ is equal to a sum of the terms, each of which has the following form:

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n v_{i_k} \nabla(\dots \nabla(v_{i_2} \nabla v_{i_1}^1) \dots) \delta_{i_1 i_{m_1}} \dots \delta_{i_{l_{k/2}} i_{m_{k/2}}} c_n(i_1, \dots, i_k), \quad (83)$$

where $(l_1, \dots, l_{k/2}, m_1, \dots, m_{k/2})$ is a permutation of $(1, \dots, k)$.

From (21) we derive the following: if $D_1^{\alpha_1}$ and $D_2^{\alpha_2}$ are (tensor valued) homogeneous partial differential operators of orders α_1 and α_2 respectively, then

$$D_1^{\alpha_1} v_i \otimes D_2^{\alpha_2} v_i + D_1^{\alpha_1} v_{i'} \otimes D_2^{\alpha_2} v_{i'} = 0, \quad (84)$$

provided $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is odd. Here product \otimes denotes either a tensor product, or a convolution in one or more indices. From (84) we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_1^{\alpha_1} v_i \otimes D_2^{\alpha_2} v_i c(i) = 0, \quad (85)$$

if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is odd and $c(i) = c(i')$.

Distributing the derivatives in the expression $v_{i_k} \nabla(\dots \nabla(v_{i_2} \nabla v_{i_1}^1) \dots)$ we see that (83) is equal to a sum of the terms, each of which has the form

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n D_k^{\alpha_k} v_{i_k} \otimes \dots \otimes D_1^{\alpha_1} v_{i_1} \delta_{i_1 i_{m_1}} \dots \delta_{i_{l_{k/2}} i_{m_{k/2}}} c_n(i_1, \dots, i_k). \quad (86)$$

Note that $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j = k - 1$ is odd. Therefore there exist l_p and m_p such that $\alpha_{l_p} + \alpha_{m_p}$ is odd. By (85)

$$\sum_{i_p, i_{m_p}=1}^n D_k^{\alpha_k} v_{i_k} \otimes \dots \otimes D_1^{\alpha_1} v_{i_1} \delta_{i_{l_1} i_{m_1}} \dots \delta_{i_{l_p} i_{m_p}} \dots \delta_{i_{k/2} i_{m_{k/2}}} c_n(i_1, \dots, i_k) = 0 .$$

Therefore, the expression in (86) is equal to zero. Therefore, the expression in (83) is equal to zero. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.4.

Lemmas 6.1 and 6.4 imply that if $u \in \mathcal{R}^k$, then the equation

$$Lw = u \tag{87}$$

has the unique solution $w \in \mathcal{P}^\perp$.

Lemma 6.5 *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold. If $u \in \mathcal{R}^k$, then the solution w of (87) belongs to \mathcal{R}^k .*

Proof Without loss of generality we may consider $u \in \mathcal{T}^k$ given by formula (81). The proof will be by induction with step 2 on the number t of the y -factors in (81). Thus we introduce the following induction hypothesis:

(H_j) If $u \in \mathcal{T}^k$ is given by (81) and $t = j$, then the solution w of (87) belongs to \mathcal{R}^k .

If $t = j = 0$, then u has the form $u = u_0(x)\eta$. Since, by Lemma 6.4, $\int u\eta dy = 0$ for all x , we conclude that $u = 0$, and therefore $w = 0$. Thus H_0 holds.

If $t = j = 1$, then

$$u = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n v_{i_k} \nabla(\dots \nabla(v_{i_2} \nabla v_{i_1}^1) \dots) y_{i_{r_1}} \delta_{i_{l_1} i_{m_1}} \dots \delta_{i_{l_s} i_{m_s}} c_n(i_1, \dots, i_k) \eta ,$$

where $(r_1, l_1, \dots, l_s, m_1, \dots, m_s)$ is a permutation of $(1, \dots, k)$. Since $L(\frac{y_{i_{r_1}}}{\Omega_{i_{r_1}}} \eta) = -y_{i_{r_1}} \eta$, the solution of (87) is

$$w = - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n v_{i_k} \nabla(\dots \nabla(v_{i_2} \nabla v_{i_1}^1) \dots) \frac{y_{i_{r_1}}}{\Omega_{i_{r_1}}} \delta_{i_{l_1} i_{m_1}} \dots \delta_{i_{l_s} i_{m_s}} c_n(i_1, \dots, i_k) \eta ,$$

which belongs to \mathcal{T}^k since Ω_i are positive and uniformly bounded away from zero. Thus H_1 holds.

Assume a value of j for which $H_{j'}$ holds for any $j' \leq j$. We shall verify that H_{j+2} holds. Let $u \in \mathcal{T}^k$ be given by (81) with $t = j + 2$. Define

$$w_0 = - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n v_{i_k} \nabla(\dots \nabla(v_{i_2} \nabla v_{i_1}^1) \dots) \frac{y_{i_{r_1}} \dots y_{i_{r_t}}}{(\Omega_{i_{r_1}} + \dots + \Omega_{i_{r_t}})} \delta_{i_{l_1} i_{m_1}} \dots \delta_{i_{l_s} i_{m_s}} c_n(i_1, \dots, i_k) \eta .$$

Since Ω_i are positive and uniformly bounded away from zero w_0 belongs to \mathcal{T}^k . Let $u_0 = u - Lw_0$. Then $u_0 \in \mathcal{R}^k$ and it can be represented as a sum of elements of \mathcal{T}^k , each of which has the form (81) with $t \leq j$. Since

$$L(w - w_0) = u_0 ,$$

and $H_{j'}$ holds for any $j' \leq j$, we conclude that $w - w_0 \in \mathcal{R}^k$, and therefore $w \in \mathcal{R}^k$. Thus H_{j+2} holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.5.

Lemma 6.6 *Suppose Assumptions A, B, and C_m hold. Then for any function $u(n; x, y) \in \mathcal{R}^{2m+2}$ the \mathcal{L}_2 norms $\|u(n; x, y)\|$ are bounded uniformly in n .*

Proof Without loss of generality we can consider $u \in \mathcal{T}^{2m+2}$ given by formula (81) with $k = 2m + 2$. We square both sides of (81) and integrate the resulting equality in x and y . On the RHS we can perform the integration in y explicitly. Note that

$$\int y_{i_{r_1}} \dots y_{i_{r_t}} y_{i_{q_1}} \dots y_{i_{q_t}} \eta^2 dy = \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{\sigma'} \delta_{i_{\alpha_1} i_{\alpha_2}} \dots \delta_{i_{\alpha_{2t-1}} i_{\alpha_{2t}}} ,$$

where $\sigma' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2t})$ is a permutation of $(r_1, \dots, r_t, q_1, \dots, q_t)$, and $\sum_{\sigma'}$ is the sum over the set of all such permutations. Note that the number of such permutations depends only on t , but not on n .

Thus, using (82), we see that $\|u\|^2$ is estimated from above by a sum of terms, the number of terms being independent of n , each of which has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{c(\sigma)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_p^2} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{4m+4}=1}^n |v_{i_{4m+4}} \nabla(\dots \nabla(v_{i_{2m+4}} \nabla v_{i_{2m+3}}^1) \dots) \\ & v_{i_{2m+2}} \nabla(\dots \nabla(v_{i_2} \nabla v_{i_1}^1) \dots) \delta_{i_{l_1} i_{k_1}} \dots \delta_{i_{l_{2m+2}} i_{k_{2m+2}}} | dx \leq \\ & c(\sigma) \sup_x \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{4m+4}=1}^n |v_{i_{4m+4}} \nabla(\dots \nabla(v_{i_{2m+4}} \nabla v_{i_{2m+3}}^1) \dots) \\ & v_{i_{2m+2}} \nabla(\dots \nabla(v_{i_2} \nabla v_{i_1}^1) \dots) \delta_{i_{l_1} i_{k_1}} \dots \delta_{i_{l_{2m+2}} i_{k_{2m+2}}} | , \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

where $\sigma = (l_1, \dots, l_{2m+2}, k_1, \dots, k_{2m+2})$ is a permutation of $(1, \dots, 4m + 4)$.

Distributing the derivatives in the RHS of (88) we see that it can be estimated from above by a sum of the terms, the number of terms being independent of n , each of which has the following form

$$c(\sigma) \sup_x \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{4m+4}=1}^n |D_{4m+4}^{\alpha_{4m+4}} v_{i_{4m+4}} \otimes \dots \otimes D_1^{\alpha_1} v_{i_1} \delta_{i_{l_1} i_{k_1}} \dots \delta_{i_{l_{2m+2}} i_{k_{2m+2}}} | . \quad (89)$$

Note that $\max_i \alpha_i \leq 2m + 1$. For an arbitrary tensor T let $|T|$ be the supremum of its component's absolute values. Then

$$\sup_x |D^\alpha \cos(k_i x)| = \sup_x |D^\alpha \sin(k_i x)| \leq c(D) |k_i|^\alpha .$$

Thus due to the particular form (78) of the vector fields v_i the expression (89) is estimated from above by a constant independent of n times the product of $2m + 2$ factors, each of which has the form $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 |k_i|^q$ with $q \leq 4m + 4$. Each of the factors $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 |k_i|^q$ is bounded uniformly in n by assumption C_m . Therefore the absolute value of the expression in (89) is bounded uniformly in n . Thus the RHS of (88) is bounded uniformly in n . This completes the proof of Lemma 6.6.

Proof of Theorem 6.2 The right side of (75) belongs to \mathcal{R}^1 . From Lemmas 6.3, 6.4 and 6.5 it follows that (75), (76) can be used as the inductive definition of the sequence $\{u_k\}$. Moreover, $u_k \in \mathcal{R}^{k+1}$. Thus u_{2k} is an odd function and u_{2k+1} is an even function for all k .

Since $u_k \in \mathcal{R}^{k+1}$ the function Au_k belongs to \mathcal{R}^{k+2} . Thus, by Lemma 6.6 the norm of the RHS of (77) is estimated as follows

$$\| -\varepsilon^{\frac{k+1}{2}} Au_k \| \leq C_1(k) \varepsilon^{\frac{k+1}{2}} .$$

By lemmas 6.3 and 6.4 the RHS of (77) satisfies (48). Therefore we can employ Theorem 5.2 to conclude that $\|w_k\| \leq C_2(k) \varepsilon^{\frac{k+1}{2}}$. Thus (74) is proved. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.2.

We next employ Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 4.4 to obtain the asymptotic expansion for $D_\varepsilon^{n,ab}$. While the asymptotic expansion for the solution of equation (45) is in the powers of $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$, only the terms with integer powers of ε contribute to the asymptotic expansion of $D_\varepsilon^{n,ab}$. The fractional powers in ε vanish because the integrals in y of the odd terms vanish.

Theorem 6.7 *Suppose Assumptions A, B, and C_m hold. Then the effective diffusivity $D_\varepsilon^{n,ab}$ has the asymptotic expansion*

$$D_\varepsilon^{n,ab} = d_0^{n,ab} + d_1^{n,ab} \varepsilon + \dots + d_m^{n,ab} \varepsilon^m + \varepsilon^m \phi^n(\varepsilon, m) , \text{ where} \quad (90)$$

$$d_k^{n,ab} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int \int (u_{2k}^{n,a} v_i^b + u_{2k}^{n,b} v_i^a) y_i \eta dx dy ,$$

and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \phi^n(\varepsilon, m) = 0$ uniformly in n .

Proof As in the proof of Theorem 6.2 we consider the case $a = b = 1$. By Corollary 4.4

$$D_\varepsilon^{n,11} = \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \varepsilon^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \int \int u_k^n v_i^1 y_i \eta dx dy +$$

$$\int \int [u^n - (u_0^n + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} u_1^n + \dots + \varepsilon^m u_{2m}^n)] v_i^1 y_i \eta dx dy . \quad (91)$$

Note that $\| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^1 y_i \eta \| \leq C_1$ by (72). By Theorem 6.2

$$\| u^n - (u_0^n + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} u_1^n + \dots + \varepsilon^m u_{2m}^n) \| \leq C_2(m) \varepsilon^{m+\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

therefore the last term on the RHS of (91) does not exceed $C_3(m) \varepsilon^{m+\frac{1}{2}}$.

It remains to show that the terms with non integer powers of ε vanish. By Theorem 6.2 the integrand in the first term on the RHS of (91) is a product of η times an odd function if k is odd, and therefore the integral is equal to zero. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.7.

Proof of Theorem 2.2 We introduce the induction hypothesis:

(I_k) The limit $d_k^{ab} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_k^{n,ab}$ exists.

From the proof of Theorem 2.1 it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_\varepsilon^{n,ab} = D_\varepsilon^{ab}$. By Theorem 6.7 $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} D_\varepsilon^{n,ab} = d_0^{n,ab}$ uniformly in n . Therefore by the theorem on uniform convergence the following limits exist

$$d_0^{ab} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_0^{n,ab} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} D_\varepsilon^{ab} .$$

Thus I_0 holds. Assume a value of k , $k < m$, for which I_k holds. We shall verify that I_{k+1} holds. Consider

$$\psi_k^{ab}(n, \varepsilon) = \frac{D_\varepsilon^{n,ab} - d_0^{n,ab} - \varepsilon d_1^{n,ab} - \dots - \varepsilon^k d_k^{n,ab}}{\varepsilon^{k+1}} .$$

By I_k

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_k^{ab}(n, \varepsilon) = \frac{D_\varepsilon^{ab} - d_0^{ab} - \varepsilon d_1^{ab} - \dots - \varepsilon^k d_k^{ab}}{\varepsilon^{k+1}} .$$

By Theorem 6.7 $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi_k^{ab}(n, \varepsilon) = d_{k+1}^{n,ab}$ uniformly in n . Therefore by the theorem on uniform convergence the following limits exist

$$d_{k+1}^{ab} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{k+1}^{n,ab} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{D_\varepsilon^{ab} - d_0^{ab} - \varepsilon d_1^{ab} - \dots - \varepsilon^k d_k^{ab}}{\varepsilon^{k+1}} . \quad (92)$$

Therefore I_{k+1} holds for all $k < m$. From (92) with $k = m - 1$ we obtain (11). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

7 Explicit Calculations

Now we calculate explicitly the first two terms of the expansion (11) in terms of the correlation matrix G of the velocity field.

Theorem 7.1 *Suppose Assumptions A, B, and C_1 hold. Then the effective diffusivity D_ε^{ab} has the asymptotic expansion*

$$D_\varepsilon^{ab} = \int_0^\infty G^{ab}(0, t) dt + \varepsilon \sum_{l, m=1}^2 \int_0^\infty \int_{t_3}^\infty \int_{t_2}^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} G^{ab}(x, t_1)|_{x=0} G^{lm}(0, t_3) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 + o(\varepsilon) . \quad (93)$$

Proof Solving (75) we obtain

$$u_0^{n,a} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{1}{\Omega_i} \eta v_i^a(x) .$$

From (76) with $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ we obtain consecutively

$$u_1^{n,a} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{(\Omega_i + \Omega_j)\Omega_j} y_i y_j \eta v_i \nabla v_j^a .$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_2^{n,a} = & \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \frac{1}{(\Omega_i + \Omega_j + \Omega_k)(\Omega_j + \Omega_k)\Omega_k} y_i y_j y_k \eta v_i \nabla(v_j \nabla v_k^a) + \\ & \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \delta_{ij} \frac{2}{\Omega_k} \frac{\Omega_i}{(\Omega_i + \Omega_j + \Omega_k)(\Omega_j + \Omega_k)\Omega_k} y_k \eta v_i \nabla(v_j \nabla v_k^a) + \\ & \sum_{i,k,j=1}^n \delta_{ik} \frac{2}{\Omega_j} \frac{\Omega_i}{(\Omega_i + \Omega_j + \Omega_k)(\Omega_j + \Omega_k)\Omega_k} y_j \eta v_i \nabla(v_j \nabla v_k^a) + \\ & \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \delta_{jk} \frac{2}{\Omega_i} \frac{\Omega_k}{(\Omega_i + \Omega_j + \Omega_k)(\Omega_j + \Omega_k)\Omega_k} y_i \eta v_i \nabla(v_j \nabla v_k^a) . \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

Using Theorem 6.7 together with the expression for $u_0^{n,a}$ we see that the first coefficient (with ε^0) in the expansion (90) is equal to

$$d_0^{n,ab} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int \int y_i \frac{1}{\Omega_i} (v_i^a v_i^b + v_i^b v_i^a) \sigma_i y_i \eta^2 dx dy = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p^{-2}}{\Omega_i} \int v_i^a v_i^b dx . \quad (95)$$

The coefficient at the first power of ε is equal to

$$d_1^{n,ab} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int \int (u_2^a v_i^b + v_i^a u_2^b) y_i \eta dx dy .$$

Using (94) and (85) this is seen to be equal to

$$- \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{p^{-2}}{\Omega_i^2 (\Omega_i + \Omega_j)} \int (v_j \nabla v_i^a) (v_j \nabla v_i^b) dx . \quad (96)$$

Using (78) and (79) we evaluate the integrals in (95) and (96). Thus we obtain

$$d_0^{n,ab} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\Omega_i} (k_i^\perp)^a (k_i^\perp)^b ,$$

$$d_1^{n,ab} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\sigma_i^2 \sigma_j^2}{\Omega_i^2 (\Omega_i + \Omega_j)} (k_i k_j^\perp) (k_i k_j^\perp) (k_i^\perp)^a (k_i^\perp)^b .$$

The expression (8) for the Fourier transform of the correlation function $F(x, t)$ and the relation (6) imply that

$$d_0^{ab} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_0^{n,ab} = \int_0^\infty G^{ab}(0, t) dt ,$$

$$d_1^{ab} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_1^{n,ab} = \sum_{l,m=1}^2 \int_0^\infty \int_{t_3}^\infty \int_{t_2}^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} G^{ab}(x, t_1)|_{x=0} G^{lm}(0, t_3) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 .$$

Therefore (93) is valid. This completes the proof of Theorem 7.1.

8 Proof of Theorem 3.1

In order to prove Theorem 3.1 we need a number of results from [11, 3, 1].

First we show that the RHS of (1) is smooth enough for some modification of the field $V(x, t)$, so that we can solve (1) for almost every realization of V . In Lemmas 8.1, 8.5, and Corollary 8.2 we state the general results on the regularity and on the behavior at infinity of a typical realization of a Gaussian field, whose correlation function is sufficiently regular. It follows from Lemma 8.6 that our vector field $V(x)$ indeed satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 8.2 and Lemma 8.5, and thus we can solve (1) for almost every realization of V .

Note that the Gaussian fields $V^n(x)$ are defined in Section 3 through their correlation matrices, and the underlining probability space for V^n may be different from the one for V . The argument which proves the convergence of the displacement tensors (24) is based Skorohod Theorem, which allows us to realize the random fields V and V^n on the same probability space.

Let $f(x, t)$ be a scalar, vector, or tensor valued function on \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a compact set, and let $\alpha > 0$. We shall say that $f(x, t) \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha(K)$ if there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that for $(x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2) \in K$

$$|f(x_1, t_1) - f(x_2, t_2)| \leq C_1 |(x_1 - x_2, t_1 - t_2)|^\alpha \quad \text{whenever} \quad |(x_1 - x_2, t_1 - t_2)| \leq C_2 .$$

We shall say that $f(x, t) \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha$ if $f(x, t) \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha(K)$ for every compact set K .

Lemma 8.1 ([11]) *Let ϕ be a Gaussian stationary random field (possibly vector or matrix valued), whose correlation tensor belongs to \mathcal{H}^α . Then there is a modification of ϕ , whose almost every realization belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha/2-\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Corollary 8.2 *Let $g^{ab}(x, t)$ be the correlation matrix of a Gaussian stationary vector field $v(x, t)$. Suppose that for every partial differential operator D_x of order not greater than two with constant coefficients the following holds*

$$D_x g^{ab}(x, t) \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha .$$

Then there exists a modification of v , such that almost every realization of the vector field belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha/2-\varepsilon}$ together with the first order partial derivatives in x .

For a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ we define $C(K)$ to be the set of all continuous \mathbb{R}^2 valued functions on K . $C(K)$ is endowed with the usual Borel σ -algebra. It is assumed that $0 \in K$. We state several lemmas, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Lemmas 8.3 and 8.4 are contained in Chapter 2 of Billingsley's book [1], while Lemma 8.5 follows from Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 2.5 of Collela and Lanford [3].

Lemma 8.3 ([1]) *Let $v^n(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ be continuous random fields on a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. If finite dimensional distributions of v^n converge weakly to those of v and if the sequence v^n is tight on $C(K)$, then v^n converge weakly to v as measures on $C(K)$.*

Lemma 8.4 ([1]) *The sequence v^n is tight on $C(K)$ if and only if these two conditions hold*

(a) *For each positive η , there exists an a such that*

$$P\{v^n : |v^n(0, 0)| > a\} \leq \eta , \quad n \geq 1 . \quad (97)$$

(b) *For each positive ε and η , there exist a δ , with $0 < \delta < 1$, and an integer n_0 such that*

$$P\{v^n : \sup_{|(x_1-x_2, t_1-t_2)| < \delta} |v^n(x_1, t_1) - v^n(x_2, t_2)| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \eta , \quad n \geq n_0 . \quad (98)$$

Lemma 8.5 ([3]) *Suppose that $v(x, t)$ is a Gaussian stationary random vector field on $\mathbb{R}_{(x,t)}^3$ with continuous realizations, such that its correlation matrix $g^{ab}(x, t)$ is continuous. Suppose there exist constants $C_1, C_2, \alpha > 0$ such that*

(a) $|g^{ab}(0, 0)| < C_1$

(b) $|g^{ab}(x, t) - g^{ab}(0, 0)| \leq C_2 |(x, t)|^\alpha$ for $|(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Then for every $T_0, \gamma > 0$ there exist constants k_1 and k_2 , which depend only on C_1, C_2, α, T_0 and γ such that

$$P\{v : \sup_{x > \varepsilon^{-\gamma k_1}; t \leq T_0} \frac{|v(x, t)|}{\sqrt{\log |x|}} > k_2\} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \leq 1 . \quad (99)$$

Moreover, for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and for each positive ε and η there exists a δ , which depends only on $C_1, C_2, \alpha, K, \varepsilon$ and η such that

$$P\{v : \sup_{|(x_1-x_2, t_1-t_2)| < \delta} |v(x_1, t_1) - v(x_2, t_2)| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \eta .$$

We next show that the vector field $V(x, t)$ satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 8.2, and $V^n(x, t)$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemmas 8.4 and 8.5. Note that due to (19) almost all the realizations of $V^n(x, t)$ belong to \mathcal{H}^α together with the first order partial derivatives in x for some $\alpha > 0$. Let $G^{n,ab}(x, t) = E \left(V^{n,a}(x, t) V^{n,b}(0, 0) \right)$ be the correlation matrix of the vector field $V^n(x, t)$.

Lemma 8.6 *Suppose Assumptions A and B hold. Then*

(a) *The correlation matrix $G^{ab}(x, t)$ of the vector field $V(x, t)$ satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 8.2 with some $\alpha > 0$.*

(b) *For every compact set K the vector fields $V^n(x, t)$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 8.4.*

(c) *The correlation matrices G^{ab} and $G^{n,ab}$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 8.5 with C_1, C_2 and α independent of n .*

(d) *For every compact set K $G^{n,ab} \rightarrow G^{ab}$ uniformly on K .*

Proof Note the following

$$|e^{-|t_1|\Omega(k)} - e^{-|t_2|\Omega(k)}| \leq |t_1 - t_2|^\alpha \Omega(k)^\alpha, \text{ for all } t_1, t_2, k, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \quad (100)$$

$$|e^{ikx_1} - e^{ikx_2}| \leq 2|x_1 - x_2|^\alpha k^\alpha, \text{ for all } x_1, x_2, k, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \quad (101)$$

We shall use (100) and (101) without reference. Note that if D_x is of order not greater than two, then

$$D_x G^{ab}(x, t) = \int p(k) e^{-|t|\Omega(k)} e^{ikx} \widehat{F}(dk, 0),$$

where $p(k)$ is a polynomial of degree not greater than four. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_x G^{ab}(x_1, t) - D_x G^{ab}(x_2, t)| &= \left| \int p(k) e^{-|t|\Omega(k)} (e^{ikx_1} - e^{ikx_2}) \widehat{F}(dk, 0) \right| \leq \\ &2|x_1 - x_2|^\delta \int |p(k)| e^{-|t|\Omega(k)} |k|^\delta \widehat{F}(dk, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

The integral on the RHS of (102) converges by Assumption B, and thus

$$|D_x G^{ab}(x_1, t) - D_x G^{ab}(x_2, t)| \leq c_1 |x_1 - x_2|^\delta. \quad (103)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} |D_x G^{ab}(x, t_1) - D_x G^{ab}(x, t_2)| &= \left| \int p(k) (e^{-|t_1|\Omega(k)} - e^{-|t_2|\Omega(k)}) e^{ikx} \widehat{F}(dk, 0) \right| \leq \\ &|t_1 - t_2|^\gamma \int |p(k)| (\Omega(k))^\gamma \widehat{F}(dk, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

For γ small enough the integral on the RHS of (104) converges by Assumption B, and thus

$$|D_x G^{ab}(x, t_1) - D_x G^{ab}(x, t_2)| \leq c_2 |t_1 - t_2|^\gamma. \quad (105)$$

By (103) and (105)

$$|D_x G^{ab}(x_1, t_1) - D_x G^{ab}(x_2, t_2)| \leq c_3 |(x_1, t_1) - (x_2, t_2)|^\alpha \quad \text{with } \alpha = \min\{\delta, \gamma\} . \quad (106)$$

Therefore the function $D_x G^{ab}$ belongs to \mathcal{H}^α with constants C_1 and C_2 independent of the compact set K . This completes the proof of part (a) of Lemma 8.6.

The arguments leading to (106) can be applied to $G^{n,ab}$ instead of G^{ab} . The only difference is that for $G^{n,ab}$ one needs to use (14) instead of (10). Thus

$$|G^{n,ab}(x_1, t_1) - G^{n,ab}(x_2, t_2)| \leq c_4 |(x_1, t_1) - (x_2, t_2)|^\alpha , \quad (107)$$

where c_4 does not depend on n . Recall that

$$G^{n,ab}(x, t) = \int e^{-|t|\Omega(k)} e^{ikx} (k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b \widehat{F}^n(dk, 0) .$$

Therefore, by (14)

$$|G^{n,ab}(0, 0)| \leq \int |(k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b| \widehat{F}^n(dk, 0) \leq c_5 , \quad (108)$$

where c_5 does not depend on n . The assumptions of Lemma 8.5 are satisfied by (107) and (108). This completes the proof of part (c) of Lemma 8.6.

The relation (97) for the fields V^n follows from (108) by Chebyshev inequality. The relation (98) is a consequence of Lemma 8.5 applied to V^n . This completes the proof of part (b) of Lemma 8.6. It remains to prove part (d).

Let a compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be given. We also fix a compact set

$$M_N = \{|k^a| < N, a = 1, 2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$$

in Fourier space. Recall from Section 3 that α_i , β_i and γ_i are the interior, the sides and the corners of the square Δ_i , respectively. We introduce the following notation, for any function $\phi(k)$ and measure μ

$$\int'_{\Delta_i} \phi(k) \mu(dk) = \int_{\alpha_i} \phi(k) \mu(dk) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\beta_i} \phi(k) \mu(dk) + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\gamma_i} \phi(k) \mu(dk) .$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |G^{ab}(x, t) - G^{n,ab}(x, t)| &\leq \left| \sum_{\{i, k_i \in M_N\}} \int'_{\Delta_i} e^{-|t|\Omega(k)} (k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b e^{ikx} (\widehat{F}(dk, 0) - \widehat{F}^n(dk, 0)) \right| + \\ &\int_{k \notin M_N} |e^{-|t|\Omega(k)} (k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b e^{ikx}| \widehat{F}(dk, 0) + \int_{k \notin M_N} |e^{-|t|\Omega(k)} (k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b e^{ikx}| \widehat{F}^n(dk, 0) . \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

By (10) and (14) the last two terms on the RHS of (109) can be made arbitrarily small uniformly in n, t and x by selecting N large enough. In order to estimate the first term we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\Delta_i}' e^{-|t|\Omega(k)} (k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b e^{ikx} (\widehat{F}(dk, 0) - \widehat{F}^n(dk, 0)) \right| = \\
& \left| \int_{\Delta_i}' (e^{-|t|\Omega(k)} - e^{-|t|\Omega(k_i)}) (k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b e^{ikx} \widehat{F}(dk, 0) \right| + \\
& \int_{\Delta_i}' e^{-|t|\Omega(k_i)} (k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b e^{ikx} (\widehat{F}(dk, 0) - \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2} \delta(k_i) dk) \leq \\
& c_1(K) \int_{\Delta_i}' |(\Omega(k) - \Omega(k_i)) (k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b| \widehat{F}(dk, 0) + \\
& \sup_{k \in \Delta_i} \{ |(k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b e^{ikx} - (k_i^\perp)^a (k_i^\perp)^b e^{ik_i x}| \} \int_{\Delta_i}' \widehat{F}(dk, 0) .
\end{aligned} \tag{110}$$

Since $\Omega(k)$ is Lipschitz continuous on M_N the RHS of (110) is estimated from above by

$$c_2(K, N) |\Delta_i| \int_{\Delta_i}' (1 + |(k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b|) \widehat{F}(dk, 0) ,$$

where $|\Delta_i|$ is the length of the diagonal of Δ_i . Thus the first term on the RHS of (109) is estimated from above by

$$c_3(K, N) \max_i |\Delta_i| \int (1 + |(k^\perp)^a (k^\perp)^b|) \widehat{F}(dk, 0) . \tag{111}$$

Due to (10), since $\max_i |\Delta_i|$ tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the quantity in (111) tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(x,t) \in K} |G^{n,ab}(x, t) - G^{ab}(x, t)| = 0 .$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 8.6.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Without loss of generality we may consider $X_0 = X_0^n = 0$. Let $t = T_0$ be fixed. By Lemma 8.5 there exist constants $k_1 > 1$ and k_2 such that (99) holds for $v = V$ and $v = V_n$ for every n . Let $R_\varepsilon = 2\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}} k_1 e^{k_2 T_0}$ and $K_\varepsilon = \{|x| \leq R_\varepsilon\} \times [0, T_0]$.

The finite dimensional distributions of V^n converge weakly to those of V , since V^n and V are Gaussian, and the correlation functions converge pointwise (Lemma 8.6, part (d)). By Lemma 8.4 the sequence V^n is tight on $C(K_\varepsilon)$, and therefore, by Lemma 8.3 V^n converges weakly to V on $C(K_\varepsilon)$. By Skorohod Theorem ([4]) there exist vector fields W_ε^n and W_ε , which induce the same measures on $C(K_\varepsilon)$ as V^n and V , and which are defined on the same probability space Ω_ε with $W_\varepsilon^n \rightarrow W_\varepsilon$ in $C(K_\varepsilon)$ for almost all $\omega \in \Omega_\varepsilon$. By Corollary 8.2 the realizations of the vector fields W_ε^n and W_ε belong to $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(K_\varepsilon)$ together with their first order partial derivatives in x . We do not need to take the modifications since we know a priori that almost all the realizations belong to $C(K_\varepsilon)$.

Define $Y_{\varepsilon,s}$ and $Y_{\varepsilon,s}^n$ to be the solutions of the equations

$$\dot{Y}_{\varepsilon,s} = W_{\varepsilon}(Y_{\varepsilon,s}, s), Y_{\varepsilon,0} = 0. \quad (112)$$

$$\dot{Y}_{\varepsilon,s}^n = W_{\varepsilon}^n(Y_{\varepsilon,s}^n, s), Y_{\varepsilon,0}^n = 0. \quad (113)$$

Since (99) holds for $v = W_{\varepsilon}$, on a subset of Ω_{ε} of measure not less than $1 - \varepsilon$ the norm of $Y_{\varepsilon,s}$ is estimated as follows

$$|Y_{\varepsilon,s}| \leq y_s, s \leq T_0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_{\varepsilon}^0, \mu(\Omega_{\varepsilon}^0) \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

where y_s is the solution of the equation

$$\dot{y}_s = k_2 \sqrt{\log y_s}, y_0 = \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}} k_1.$$

Clearly

$$\sup_{s \leq T_0} y_s \leq \frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{2},$$

and therefore

$$\sup_{s \leq T_0} |Y_{\varepsilon,s}| \leq \frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{2}. \quad (114)$$

This shows that for $\omega \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}^0$ equation (112) can be solved for $0 \leq s \leq T_0$, and that

$$P\left\{\sup_{s \leq T_0} |Y_{\varepsilon,s}|^2 > \frac{R_{\varepsilon}^2}{4}\right\} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (115)$$

Since Y_{ε,T_0} and X_{T_0} have the same distributions when they are restricted to the events $\{\sup_{s \leq T_0} |Y_{\varepsilon,s}| < \frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{2}\}$ and $\{\sup_{s \leq T_0} |X_s| < \frac{R_{\varepsilon}}{2}\}$ respectively, we conclude from (115) that

$$P\left\{\sup_{s \leq T_0} |X_s|^2 > \frac{R_{\varepsilon}^2}{4}\right\} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (116)$$

Therefore $|X_{T_0}|^2$ is integrable. Since (99) holds for $v = W_{\varepsilon}^n$ we can repeat the arguments leading to (116) to conclude that

$$P\left\{\sup_{s \leq T_0} |X_s^n|^2 > \frac{R_{\varepsilon}^2}{4}\right\} \leq \varepsilon,$$

which shows that $|X_{T_0}^n|^2$ are uniformly integrable.

Since $X_0 = X_0^n = 0$, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 we need to show that the difference

$$E(X_t^{n,a} X_t^{n,b}) - E(X_t^a X_t^b) \quad (117)$$

tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let an arbitrary $\gamma > 0$ be given. Since $|X_{T_0}^n|^2$ and $|X_{T_0}|^2$ are uniformly integrable, we can select $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every measurable set A , with $P(A) < 2\varepsilon$,

$$E|X_{T_0}^n|^2 \chi_A < \gamma; E|X_{T_0}|^2 \chi_A < \gamma. \quad (118)$$

We next show that $\sup_{s \leq T_0} |Y_{\varepsilon,s}^n - Y_{\varepsilon,s}|$ tends to zero almost surely on Ω_ε^0 . By (112) and (113)

$$|\dot{Y}_{\varepsilon,s}^n - \dot{Y}_{\varepsilon,s}| \leq |Y_{\varepsilon,s}^n - Y_{\varepsilon,s}| \sup_{(x,s') \in K_\varepsilon} |D_x W_\varepsilon(x, s')| + \sup_{(x,s') \in K_\varepsilon} |W_\varepsilon(x, s') - W_\varepsilon^n(x, s')|, \quad (119)$$

whenever $\sup_{s' \leq s} |Y_{\varepsilon,s'}^n| < R_\varepsilon$. Since the second term on the RHS of (119) tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\sup_{s \leq T_0} |Y_{\varepsilon,s}| \leq \frac{R_\varepsilon}{2}$ on Ω_ε^0 by (114), we conclude that $\sup_{s \leq T_0} |Y_{\varepsilon,s}^n| < R_\varepsilon$ on Ω_ε^0 for $n > n(\omega)$, and $\sup_{s \leq T_0} |Y_{\varepsilon,s}^n - Y_{\varepsilon,s}| \rightarrow 0$ almost surely on Ω_ε^0 . Therefore there exists a set $\Omega_\varepsilon^1 \subset \Omega_\varepsilon^0$ with $\mu(\Omega_\varepsilon^1) \geq 1 - 2\varepsilon$, such that

$$\sup_{s \leq T_0} |Y_{\varepsilon,s}^n - Y_{\varepsilon,s}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly on } \Omega_\varepsilon^1.$$

Since for each n the variables $Y_{\varepsilon,s}^n - Y_{\varepsilon,s}$ and $X_s^n - X_s$ have the same distributions when restricted to the events $\{\sup_{s \leq T_0} |Y_{\varepsilon,s}^n|, |Y_{\varepsilon,s}| \leq R_\varepsilon\}$ and $\{\sup_{s \leq T_0} |X_s^n|, |X_s| \leq R_\varepsilon\}$ respectively, and since the constant γ in (118) was arbitrary, we conclude that (117) tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Professors J. Glimm and S. Molchanov for introducing me to this problem and for numerous useful discussions.

I would also like to thank the Center for Nonlinear Studies at Los Alamos National Laboratory for its hospitality during the completion of this paper.

References

- [1] Billingsley P. Convergence of Probability Measures, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [2] Carmona R., Grishin S.A., and Molchanov S.A. Massively Parallel Simulations of Motions in a Gaussian Velocity Field, *Stochastic Modeling in Physical Oceanography. Progress in Probability*, Birkhauser, Vol **39** (1996) pp 47-69 .
- [3] Collella P., Lanford O. Sample Field Behavior for the Free Markov Random Field, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, **25** (1973)
- [4] Dudley R. Real Analysis and Probability, Chapman and Hall, 1989.
- [5] Fannjiang A., Komorowski T. Turbulent Diffusion in Markovian Flows, preprint.
- [6] Fannjiang A., and Papanicolaou G.C.. Diffusion in Turbulence, *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, **105**, 1996, pp 279-334

- [7] Freidlin M.I. Dirichlet Problem for Equations with Periodic Coefficients , *Probability Theory and Appl.*, **9**, (1964) pp 133-139.
- [8] Glimm J., and Jaffe A. Quantum Physics, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [9] Hormander L., Hypoelliptic Second Order Differential Equations, *Acta Math.* **119**, pp 147-171 (1967).
- [10] Hormander L., The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators, Vol 3, Springer Verlag, 1985.
- [11] Ibragimov I.A., Rosanov Y.A., Gaussian Random Processes, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [12] Komorowski T., Papanicolaou G., Motion in a Gaussian, Incompressible Flow, *The Annals of Applied Probability* 7, (1997) pp 229-264.
- [13] Koralov L., Effective Diffusivity of Stationary Vector Fields with Short Time Correlations, *Random Operators and Stochastic Equations*, Vol 5, no 4, pp 303-324 (1997).
- [14] Kozlov S., Averaging of Random Operators, *Matem. Sbornik* Vol 151, pp 188-202 (1979).
- [15] McComb W. D., The Physics of Fluid Turbulence, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- [16] Molchanov S.A., Topics in Statistical Oceanography, *Stochastic Modeling in Physical Oceanography. Progress in Probability*, Birkhauser, **39** (1996), pp 343-381.
- [17] Molchanov S.A. Lectures on Random Media in Lectures on Probability Theory, in *Ecole d'Ete de Probabilites de Saint-Flour XXII-1992*. Editor P. Bernard. Springer-Verlag.
- [18] Papanicolaou G., Varadhan S.R.S., Boundary Value Problem with Rapidly Oscillating Random Coefficients, *Coll Math Soc. Janos Bolyai*, 27, Random Fields, Vol 2, North-Holland, pp 835-873 (1981).
- [19] Taylor G.I. Diffusion by Continuous Movements *Proc. R. Soc., Second Series*, Vol **20**, 196-211. (1922)