

1. The characteristic polynomial is $(X - 3)^2(X - 2)$ so the minimal polynomial is either $(X - 3)(X - 2)$, which happens for $a = b = c = 0$, or $(X - 3)^2(X - 2)$, which happens for $a = 1, b = c = 0$.

2. (a) Part of the long exact sequence is

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_n) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is divisible, it is injective, so $\text{Ext}^1(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Therefore we obtain

$$H \rightarrow H \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the map $H \rightarrow H$ is induced by g , hence is multiplication by n . This yields the result.

(b) Since M is projective, $\text{Ext}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_n) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. From (b), $H = nH$ for all such n , so H is divisible. Without using (a), we can consider $h \in H$. This yields a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M & & \\ & & \downarrow h & & \\ \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The definition of projective gives a map h_1 from H to the first \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} that makes the diagram commute, and it is easy to see that $nh_1 = h$. This implies divisibility.

3. (a) Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/rR \rightarrow 0$, where the map $R \rightarrow R$ is multiplication by r . Tensoring with R/rR yields the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R/rR \rightarrow R/rR \rightarrow (R/rR) \otimes (R/rR) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the map $R/rR \rightarrow R/rR$ is multiplication by r . Clearly this is not injective, so the sequence is not exact.

(b) From the structure theorem for finitely generated modules over PID's, M is isomorphic to $R^n \oplus T$, where T is torsion. If M is torsion-free, then $T = 0$, so M is free, hence flat. Conversely, suppose M is flat. Let $0 \neq r \in R$ and consider the exact sequence in (a). Tensoring with M preserves exactness, so

$$0 \rightarrow M \otimes R \rightarrow M \otimes R \rightarrow M \otimes (R/rR) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. But the first map is $M \rightarrow M$, given by multiplication by r . Since it is injective, and since this holds for all $r \neq 0$, there cannot be any torsion in M .

4. Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(R/I, M)$. Map ϕ to $\phi(1 + I)$. Let $i \in I$. Since $\phi(i + I) = 0$, we have $i\phi(1 + I) = \phi(i + I) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(1 + I) \in M[I]$. Since $\phi(1)$ determines ϕ , we have an injective map $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, M) \rightarrow M[I]$.

Now let $m \in M[I]$. Define $\phi(r+I) = rm$. If $r_1+I = r_2+I$, then $r_1 - r_2 = i \in I$, so $r_1m - r_2m = im = 0$. Therefore, ϕ is well-defined, hence is in $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, M)$. This shows that the map above is surjective.

5. The snake lemma yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(h_1) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(h_2) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(h_3) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

If h_1 and h_3 are injective, then we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(h_2) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Therefore, $\text{Ker}(h_2) = 0$.