$\rm HW~11$ 

1. Prove that sets of measure zero contain no intervals.

A has measure zero  $\Rightarrow \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (b_i - a_i) : A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i)\} = 0$  where inf is taken over all collections of countable number of intervals which their union contains A. Suppose there is some interval  $(c, d) \subset A$ 

Then for any collection of intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  containing A,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i) \supset A \supset (c, d)$ 

 $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (b_i - a_i) \ge (d - c) > 0$  for all collection of intervals  $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  containing A, then measure of A would be greater than or equal to d - c > 0 which is a contradiction to A has measure zero.

Therefore A contains no open interval, but this also implies that A cannot contain any interval.

2. Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be Riemann integrable and nonnegative, with f positive over  $(c, d) \subset [a, b]$ . Then  $\int_a^b f dx > 0$ .

By the Lebesgue theorem, f must be continuous almost everywhere (discontinuous at a set of measure zero).

Since by the previous problem, sets of measure zero contain no intervals,

 $\exists p \in (c, d)$  such that f is continuous at p.

We have f(p) > 0.

By continuity of f,  $\exists \delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < \min\{b - p, p - a\}$ , such that if  $|x - p| \leq \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - f(p)| < \frac{f(p)}{2}$ ,

which implies that if  $|x - p| \leq \delta$ , then  $f(x) > \frac{f(p)}{2}$ 

 $\int_{p-\delta}^{p+\delta} f \ge \int_{p-\delta}^{p+\delta} \frac{f(p)}{2} = \delta f(p) > 0 \text{ where we use the monotonicity property of the integral.}$ Since  $f(x) \ge 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , again by monotonicity property,  $\int_{a}^{p-\delta} f \ge 0$  and  $\int_{p+\delta}^{b} f \ge 0$ 

combining the three parts,  

$$\int_{a}^{b} f = \int_{a}^{p-\delta} f + \int_{p-\delta}^{p+\delta} f + \int_{p+\delta}^{b} f \ge \delta f(p) > 0$$

3. Let  $G : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be nondecreasing and continuous over [a,b] and differentiable over (a,b). Suppose g is an extension of G' to [a,b] that is Riemann integrable. Let  $f : [G(a), G(b)] \to \mathbb{R}$  be Riemann integrable and have a primitive F, where F : $[G(a), G(b)] \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous over [G(a), G(b)] and differentiable over (G(a), G(b)). Then

$$\int_{G(a)}^{G(b)} f(y)dy = \int_{a}^{b} f(G(x))g(x)dx$$

Let  $P = [p_0, \dots, p_l]$  be the partition of [G(a), G(b)] associated with the primitive F. Let  $Q = [q_0, \dots, q_m]$  be the partition of [a, b] associated with the primitive G. Since G is not strictly increasing, the inverse of G may not be well defined. Still, we can choose any of the points that maps to  $p_1, \dots, p_{l-1}$  to be  $G^{-1}(p_1), \dots, G^{-1}(p_{l-1})$ , and let  $G^{-1}(p_0) = a$  and  $G^{-1}(p_l) = b$ . Then since G is nondecreasing,  $G^{-1}(p_0) \leq G^{-1}(p_1) \leq \dots \leq G^{-1}(p_l)$ . Let  $G^{-1}(P) = [G^{-1}(p_0), \dots, G^{-1}(p_l)]$  and  $R = Q \vee G^{-1}(P) = [r_0, \dots, r_n]$ . Then we have that F(G) is differentiable over  $(r_{i-1}, r_i)$   $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ . By the Chain Rule we have F(G)'(x) = F'(G(x))G'(x) = f(G(x))g(x) for every  $x \in (r_{i-1}, r_i)$ So F(G) is a primitive of f(G)g over [a, b]The rest of the proof is the same as the increasing case. (Proposition 3.1 in the notes).

4. Find an example such that  $\int_{G(a)}^{G(b)} f(y) dy = \int_a^b f(G(x))g(x) dx$  fails.

Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } x \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{for } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $G(x) = x^2$  then g(x) = 2xLet a = -1 and b = 1 $\int_{G(a)}^{G(b)} f(y) dy = \int_1^1 f(x) dx = 0 \neq \frac{1}{2} = \int_0^1 2x^3 dx + \int_{-1}^0 0 dx = \int_a^b f(G(x))g(x) dx$