


Finite EXpression Method (FEX) for Solving High-**Dimensional Committor Problems**


- Zezheng Song
- Joint work with Maria Cameron and Haizhao Yang
- Scientific Machine Learning: Theory and Algorithms, Brin Mathematics Research Center

Zezheng Song zsong001@umd.edu


Rare Transitions in Molecular Dynamics

Examples:





(a) Chemical Reaction



(b) Protein Folding

(c) Material Sciences




Problem Setting Dynamics governed by an SDE,

Α

where:

- $\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbf{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the state of the system;
- $V: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth potential;
- $\beta = 1/T$ is the inverse of temperature;
- \mathbf{W}_{t} is the standard *d*-dimensional Brownian motion.

We are interested in



 $q(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_B < \tau_A \mid \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}\right)$

Committor Function as a PDE Solution

 $\begin{cases} (Lq)(\mathbf{x}) = 0 & \text{for } x \notin A \cup B \\ q(\mathbf{x}) = 0 & \text{for } x \in A \\ q(\mathbf{x}) = 1 & \text{for } x \in B. \end{cases}$

where L is the infinitesimal generator of the process defined as:

Previous work: Diffusion map

Coifman, R. R., Kevrekidis, I. G., Lafon, S., Maggioni, M., & Nadler, B. (2008). Diffusion maps, reduction coordinates, and low dimensional representation of stochastic systems. *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation*

Lai, R., & Lu, J. (2018). Point Cloud Discretization of Fokker--Planck Operators for Committor Functions. Multiscale Modeling & Simulation

Evans, L., Cameron, M. K., & Tiwary, P. (2023). Computing committors in collective variables via Mahalanobis diffusion maps. Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis


Neural network

Chen, Y., Hoskins, J., Khoo, Y., & Lindsey, M. (2023). Khoo, Y., Lu, J., & Ying, L. (2019). Solving for high-Committor functions via tensor networks. Journal of dimensional committor functions using artificial neural **Computational Physics** networks. Research in the Mathematical Sciences

Li, H., Khoo, Y., Ren, Y., & Ying, L. (2022, April). A semigroup method for high dimensional committor functions based on neural network. In Mathematical and Scientific Machine Learning

 $Lq = -\beta^{-1}\Delta q + \nabla V \cdot \nabla q$

• Tensor network



Lessen Curse of Dimensionality with FEX


Difficulty in solving BVP: curse of dimensionality.


However, they usually possess a lowdimensional structure, e.g collective variables.

- Example: configuration spaces of dimension \propto number of atoms.
- Our work: FEX can identify the low-dimensional structure.

FEX: A generative model for math expression

Expression generation






NN Controller χ

Parameterization of committer by FEX

Variational formulation:


$$C(q) = \int_{\Omega_{AB}} \left\| \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right\|^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right\|^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{c} \left(\int_{\Omega_{AB}} \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \nabla q(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 d\rho(\mathbf{x})$$

and parameterize $q(\mathbf{x})$ with FEX binary trees.




 $\int_{\partial A} q(\mathbf{x})^2 dm_{\partial A}(\mathbf{x}) + \int_{\partial B} \left(q(\mathbf{x}) - 1 \right)^2 dm_{\partial B}(\mathbf{x}) \right)$

With $d\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} \exp^{-\beta \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}$



Example 1: Double-Well Potential



$$A = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_1 \le -1 \}, \quad B = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_1 \ge 1 \}$$

The ground truth solution is $q(\mathbf{x}) =$


$$\frac{d^2 f(x_1)}{dx_1^2} - 4x_1 \left(x_1^2 - 1\right) \frac{df(x_1)}{dx_1} = 0, \quad f(-1) = 0, \quad f(1) = 1$$


$$(x_1^2 - 1)^2 + 0.3 \sum_{i=2}^d x_i^2$$

collective variable

$$f(x_1)$$

Example 1: Double-Well Potential





Example 1: Double-Well Potential

FEX identifies the following representation leaf 1: Id $\rightarrow \alpha_{1,1}x_1 + ... + \alpha_{1,10}x_{10} + \beta_1$ leaf 2: $tanh \rightarrow \alpha_{2,1} tanh(x_1) + ... + \alpha_{2,10} tanh(x_{10}) + \beta_2$ $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_3 \tanh(\text{leaf 1} + \text{leaf 2}) + \beta_3$

where $\alpha_3 = 0.5, \beta_3 = 0.5$

node	$lpha_1$	$lpha_2$	$lpha_3$	$lpha_4$	$lpha_5$	$lpha_6$	$lpha_7$	$lpha_8$	$lpha_9$	$lpha_{10}$	β
leaf 1: Id	1.6798	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
leaf 2: tanh	1.9039	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Therefore, we can use spectral method to solve the ODE to achieve spectral accuracy.

Example 2: Rugged-Mueller's Potential

Consider the committer corresponding to the following potential:

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \underbrace{\tilde{V}(x_1, x_2)}_{\text{collective variables}} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=3}^{10} x_i^2$$

 \mathcal{L}

where


$$\tilde{V}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} D_i e^{a_i (x_1 - X_i)^2 + b_i (x_1 - X_i)^2}$$

The domain of interest Ω : $[-1.5,1] \times [-0.5,2] \times \mathbb{R}^8$, and


$$A = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{10} \mid \sqrt{\left(x_1 + 0.57\right)^2 + \left(x_2 - 1.43\right)^2} \le 0.3 \right\}$$
$$B = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{10} \mid \sqrt{\left(x_1 - 0.56\right)^2 + \left(x_2 - 0.044\right)^2} \le 0.3 \right\}$$

 $(-X_i)(x_2 - Y_i) + c_i(x_2 - Y_i)^2 + \gamma \sin(2k\pi x_1) \sin(2k\pi x_2)$

Example 2: Rugged-Mueller's Potential



(a) T = 22 committer (FEM)



(b) T = 22 committer (FEX)

Example 2: Rugged-Mueller's Potential

- leaf 1: $(\cdot)^4 \rightarrow \alpha_1 x_1^4 + \ldots + \alpha_{1_{10}} x_{10}^4 + \beta_1$
- leaf 2: $(\cdot)^4 \to \alpha_{2_1} x_1^4 + \ldots + \alpha_{2_{10}} x_{10}^4 + \beta_2$
- leaf 3: $(\cdot)^4 \rightarrow \alpha_{3_1} x_1^4 + \ldots + \alpha_{3_{10}} x_{10}^4 + \beta_3$
- leaf 4: $(\cdot)^2 \rightarrow \alpha_{4_1} x_1^2 + \ldots + \alpha_{4_{10}} x_{10}^2 + \beta_4$,

node	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	α_6	α_7	α_8	α_9	α_{10}	β
leaf 1: $(\cdot)^4$	0.0893	-0.0217	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9460
leaf 2: $(\cdot)^4$	-0.0660	0.2018	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8938
leaf 3: $(\cdot)^4$	-0.4211	0.1263	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-3.3150
leaf 4: $(\cdot)^2$	0.9242	1.1818	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.6088

$\mathcal{J}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_7 \tanh((\alpha_5 \cos(\text{leaf 1} \times \text{leaf 2}) + \beta_5) - (\alpha_6 \text{sigmoid}(\text{leaf 3} \times \text{leaf 4}) + \beta_6)) + \beta_7$

Furthermore, FEX identifies the low-dimensional structure of the problem



Conclusion

- compared to the neural network method.
- FEX can identify the low-dimensional structure inherent in the problem.
- \bullet reduced low-dimensional problem with classical methods, e.g. finite element method.

Thank you!

https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.12268

• FEX is a new methodology to solve high-dimensional committers (PDEs), demonstrating higher accuracy

Once FEX successfully identifies the low-dimensional structure, we can achieve arbitrary accuracy by solving the

