# The p-values of the z-tests

#### March 14, 2006

## 1 Introduction

In this lecture we will derive the formulas for the p-values of the two-sided z-test and the upper-tailed z-test. Read the proof for the upper-tailed z-test because it is simpler (the two-sided test involves one more trick, introducing the absolute value of z).

We recall that the p-value of a test (decision rule) for a given sample is the smallest value of  $\alpha$  for which  $H_0$  will be rejected using the given sample.

### 2 The p-value of the two-sided z-test

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a sample from a normal distribution with unknown mean  $\mu$  and known variance  $\sigma^2$ . We wish to decide between:

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$
$$H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$$

The two-sided z-test is the decision rule:

reject 
$$H_0$$
 if either  $\bar{x} \le \mu_0 - z_{\alpha/2}(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$  or  $\bar{x} \ge \mu_0 + z_{\alpha/2}(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$ .

We compress this decision rule by putting

$$z = (\bar{x} - \mu_0) / (\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}).$$

Note that z is a function of  $\bar{x}$  and hence is function of the sample  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . The compressed decision rule (equivalent to the one above) is then: reject  $H_0$  if

either 
$$z \le -z_{\alpha/2}$$
 or  $z \ge z_{\alpha/2}$ . (1)

We can compress this decision rule still more by introducing the absolute value |z|and noting the previous two inequalities in z can be combined into one inequality in |z|. The above rejection rule is equivalent to: reject  $H_0$  if

$$|z| \ge z_{\alpha/2}.\tag{2}$$

We are now ready to prove the formula for the p-value for the two-sided z-test. Note that the data has been coded into z.

**Theorem 1.** The p-value of the two-sided z-test is a function of z alone and moreover

$$p = p(z) = 2(1 - \Phi(|z|)).$$

*Proof.* The set of  $\alpha$ 's for which  $H_0$  will be rejected is the set of  $\alpha$ 's that satisfy the previous *nonlinear* inequality (2) in  $\alpha$ . The trick to compute p-value is to apply the standard normal cdf  $\Phi$  to both sides of the inequality (2). Since  $\Phi$  is an increasing function we obtain

$$\Phi(|z|) \ge \Phi(z_{\alpha/2}).$$

But we have (draw a picture)

$$\Phi(z_{\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha/2$$

and we obtain the following em linear inequality in  $\alpha$  which is equivalent to the inequality (2) - all the steps we made were reversible.

$$\Phi(|z|) \ge 1 - \alpha/2 \Leftrightarrow \alpha/2 \ge 1 - \Phi(|z|) \Leftrightarrow \alpha \ge 2(1 - \Phi(|z|)).$$

Thus the set of  $\alpha$ 's for which  $H_0$  will be rejected is the set of  $\alpha$ 's satisfying the linear inequality

$$\alpha \ge 2(1 - \Phi(|z|)).$$

The smallest such  $\alpha$  is obviously  $2(1 - \Phi(|z|))$ .

#### 3 The p-value of the upper-tailed z-test

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a sample from a normal distribution with unknown mean  $\mu$  and known variance  $\sigma^2$ . We wish to decide between:

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$
$$H_a: \mu > \mu_0$$

The upper-tailed z-test is the decision rule:

reject 
$$H_0$$
 if  $\bar{x} \ge \mu_0 + z_\alpha(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}})$ .

We compress this decision rule by putting

$$z = (\bar{x} - \mu_0) / (\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}).$$

Note that z is a function of  $\bar{x}$  and hence is function of the sample  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . The compressed decision rule (equivalent to the one above) is then: reject  $H_0$  if

$$z \ge z_{\alpha}.\tag{3}$$

We are now ready to prove the formula for the p-value for the upper-tailed z-test. We don't need the absolute value |z| for the one-sided tests. Note that the data has been coded into z.

**Theorem 2.** The p-value of the upper-tailed z-test is a function of z alone and moreover

$$p = p(z) = 1 - \Phi(z).$$

*Proof.* The set of  $\alpha$ 's for which  $H_0$  will be rejected is the set of  $\alpha$ 's that satisfy the previous *nonlinear* inequality (3) in  $\alpha$ . The trick to compute p-value is to apply the standard normal cdf  $\Phi$  to both sides of the inequality (3). Since  $\Phi$  is an increasing function we obtain

$$\Phi(z) \ge \Phi(z_{\alpha}).$$

But we have (draw a picture)

$$\Phi(z_{\alpha}) = 1 - \alpha$$

and we obtain the following *linear* inequality in  $\alpha$  which is equivalent to the inequality (3) - all the steps we made were reversible.

$$\Phi(z) \ge 1 - \alpha \Leftrightarrow \alpha \ge 1 - \Phi(z).$$

Thus the set of  $\alpha$ 's for which  $H_0$  will be rejected is the set of  $\alpha$ 's satisfying the linear inequality

$$\alpha \ge 1 - \Phi(z).$$

The smallest such  $\alpha$  is obviously  $1 - \Phi(z)$ .