# Finding Rightmost Eigenvalues of Large, Sparse, Nonsymmetric Parameterized Eigenvalue Problems 

AMSC 663-664 Final Report

Minghao Wu<br>AMSC Program<br>mwu@math.umd.edu

Dr. Howard Elman<br>Department of Computer Science<br>elman@cs.umd.edu

## Problem Statement

To find the rightmost eigenvalues of:

## $A \boldsymbol{x}=\lambda \boldsymbol{B x}$.

where matrices $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ are

- Real $\boldsymbol{N}$-by- $\boldsymbol{N}$
- Large
- Sparse
- Nonsymmetric
- Depend on one or several parameters


## Application

- To determine the stability of the linearized system of the form ${ }^{[1]}$ :

$$
B \dot{x}=A x
$$

- The steady state solution $\boldsymbol{x}^{*}$ is
- stable, if all the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{x}$ have negative real parts;
- unstable, otherwise.


# Basic Arnoldi Algorithm and Implicitly Restarted Arnoldi 

Properties of basic Arnoldi algorithm

Matrix transformation
Implicitly restarted Arnoldi

## Eigensolver

- Arnoldi algorithm ${ }^{[1]}$
- iterative method
- based on Krylov subspace
$\boldsymbol{k}$ - dimensional Krylov subspace:

$$
\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\left(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{l}}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{I}} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{I}} \boldsymbol{A}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{1}} \ldots \boldsymbol{A}^{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{I}}\right\}
$$

- residual of computed eigenpairs is orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$

- only solves standard eigenvalue problem $\boldsymbol{A x}=\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{x}$
- converges to well-separated extremal eigenvalues


## Matrix Transformation

- Shift - Invert Transformation ${ }^{[1]}$

$$
T_{S I}=(A-\sigma B)^{-1} B
$$

| $A x=\lambda B x$ | $T_{S I} x=\theta x$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ | $\theta=1 /(\lambda-\sigma)$ |
|  |  |

## Computational Result

- Example: Olmstead model ${ }^{[2]}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}=S_{x x}+c u_{x x}+R u-u^{3} \\
b S_{t}=(1-c) u-S
\end{array}\right. \\
u=S=0 \text { at } x=0, \pi .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\boldsymbol{u}$ : velocity, $\boldsymbol{S}$ : a quantity related to viscoelastic forces
$\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{R}$ : parameters. $\boldsymbol{R}$ : Rayleigh number

- $b=2, c=0.1, R=0.6, N=1000, k=20, \sigma=0$ :
rightmost eigenvalues: $\lambda_{1,2}=0 \pm 0.4472 i$ residual: $\left\|A x_{i}-\lambda_{i} x_{i}\right\|_{2} /\left\|x_{i}\right\|_{2}=1.5659 \times 10^{-11}, i=1,2$


## Implicitly Restarted Arnoldi

- Motivation
- large Krylov subspace is not practical
- singular $B$ gives rise to spurious eigenvalues
- Basic idea of IRA

Filter out unwanted eigendirections from the starting vector of Arnoldi algorithm by applying shifted $Q R$ algorithm to a small upper Hessenberg matrix ${ }^{[3]}$

## Computational Result

- Example ${ }^{[4]}$ :

- $K(200 \times 200), C(200 \times 100)$ and $M(200 \times 200)$ are of full rank
- eigenvalues lie between -3 and 50
- mimics the eigenproblem arises from Navier-Stokes equation

| $k=10, \sigma=60$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exact <br> Eigenvalues | Computed <br> Eigenvalues <br> (IRA) | Computed <br> Eigenvalues <br> (basic Arnoldi) |
| $49.9129+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $49.9129+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $193.8412 \pm$ <br> 7113830.9524 i <br> $\left(\right.$ residual $\left.\approx 10^{-4}\right)$ |
| $2.9112 \pm 1.1256 \mathrm{i}$ | $2.9112 \pm 1.1256 \mathrm{i}$ | $49.9129+0 \mathrm{i}$ |
| $2.5036 \pm 0.0624 \mathrm{i}$ | $2.5036 \pm 0.0624 \mathrm{i}$ | $3.0891+0 \mathrm{i}$ |
| $2.3792+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $2.3792+0 \mathrm{i}$ | $2.6112+0 \mathrm{i}$ |
| $2.1318 \pm 0.9356 \mathrm{i}$ | $2.1318 \pm 0.9356 \mathrm{i}$ | $-0.5752 \pm 2.7079 \mathrm{i}$ |
| $2.1081 \pm 1.3539 \mathrm{i}$ | $2.1081 \pm 1.3539 \mathrm{i}$ | $-1.0901 \pm 0.7532 \mathrm{i}$ |

## Arnoldi Algorithm with Iterative Linear System Solver

How to solve $(A-\sigma B) w=b$ efficiently?
( $A-\sigma B$ : large, sparse, nonsymmetric)

## GMRES Method

- GMRES stands for the Generalized Minimal RESidual method.
- Based on Krylov subspace
- Solves the following minimization problem

$$
\min _{x \in x_{0}+K_{m}}\|b-A x\|_{2}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{0}}$ is the initial guess and $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ is the $\boldsymbol{m}-$ dimensional Krylov subspace

## Preconditioning of $A x=b$

- Goal
cluster the eigenvalues of the original matrix
- Basic idea
solve $M^{-1} A x=M^{-1} b$ instead of $A x=b$ where

1) $M$ approximates $A$
2) $M^{-1}$ is easy to apply

- Example: incomplete LU factorization (ILU)
- Basic idea: drop certain entries in the complete LU factors of the matrix, eg., entries < some threshold
- ILU(0): select the allowed fill to exactly match the sparsity pattern of the original matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$


## Computational Result

Example: Olmstead model, $N=5000, R=4.7, \lambda_{1}=4.5102, \sigma=5$
solver: GMRES with ILU(0)


Bouras and Fraysse's relaxation strategy ${ }^{[6]}$ :
tolerance $_{\text {GMRES }}=10^{-2} \varepsilon /\left\|r_{k-1}\right\|_{2}$

| Method | No relaxation | Relaxation with <br> BF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 11 |
|  | 9 | 10 |
|  | 9 | 9 |
|  | 9 | 9 |
| Number of | 9 | 8 |
| GMRES | 9 | 7 |
| iteration at | 9 | 6 |
| every outer | 9 | 5 |
| iteration | 9 | 4 |
|  | 9 | 3 |
|  | 8 | 2 |
|  | 9 | 2 |
|  | 9 | 2 |
|  | 9 | 2 |
|  | 9 | 2 |
|  | 8 | 2 |
|  | 8 | 2 |
|  | 8 | 2 |
| Run time (sec.) | 2.25 | 2 |
| Relative error | $1.3918 \mathrm{e}-010$ | $1.9595 \mathrm{e}-010$ |

# Application in The Study of Dynamical Equilibrium 

The detection of:
multiple steady states
change of stability
Hopf bifurcation phenomena

## Tubular Reactor Model

- Tubular reactor model ${ }^{[7]}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{P e_{m}} \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial s^{2}}-\frac{\partial y}{\partial s}-D y \exp (\gamma-\gamma / \theta) \\
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{P e_{h}} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial s^{2}}-\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial s}-\beta\left(\theta-\theta_{0}\right)+B D y \exp (\gamma-\gamma / \theta)
\end{array} \quad \text { on } \quad s \in(0,1)\right.
$$

with boundary condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial s}=P e_{m}(y-1), \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial s}=P e_{h}(\theta-1) \quad \text { at } s=0 \\
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial s}=\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial s}=0 \quad \text { at } s=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\boldsymbol{y}$ : velocity; $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ : temperature;
$\boldsymbol{P e}_{\boldsymbol{m}}, \boldsymbol{P e}_{\boldsymbol{h}}, \boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{D}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$ : parameters. $\boldsymbol{D}:$ Damkohler number

## Computation of Solution Path ${ }^{[8]}$

Published Result ${ }^{[7]}$

Computed Result



$$
P e_{m}=P e_{h}=5, B=0.5, \gamma=25, \beta=25
$$

## Eigenvalue Problem From Navier-Stokes equations



2D driven-cavity problem
Reynolds number and stability
Detection of eigenvalues with large imaginary part

## 2D Driven-Cavity Problem

- Equations ${ }^{[5]}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
u_{t}-v \nabla^{2} \vec{u}+\vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u}+\nabla p=0 \\
\nabla \cdot \vec{u}=0
\end{array} \\
& u_{x}=1-x^{4} \text { at } y=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\vec{u}=\left(u_{x}, u_{y}\right):$ velocity, $p$ : pressure, $v>0$ : kinematic viscosity.

- Reynolds number:

$$
R=\frac{U L}{v}
$$

A quantitative measure of the relative contributions of viscous diffusion and convection.

## Reynolds Number And Stability

| Reynolds Number | Rightmost Eigenvalues |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7500 | -0.0044 |
| 7600 | -0.0043 |
| 7700 | -0.0043 |
| 7800 | -0.0042 |
| 7900 | -0.0042 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 0 4 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 0 3 8} \pm \mathbf{1 . 2 9 2 6 i}$ |
| 8200 | $-0.0020 \pm 1.2932 \mathrm{i}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 0 0 2} \pm \mathbf{1 . 2 9 3 7 i}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1 7} \pm \mathbf{1 . 2 9 3 2 i}$ |
| 8500 | $0.0037 \pm 1.2947 \mathrm{i}$ |

- Rightmost eigenvalues change from real to complex at $R$ around 8100.
- As $R$ increases, steady state solution loses its stability.
- Hopf bifurcation happens at $R$ around 8300.
- Imaginary parts are much larger than real parts (in modulus).
$Q_{2}-Q_{1}$ macroelement, $h=2^{-4}$, nonlinear residual $<10^{-10}$


## Conclusions

- What do we have
- Arnoldi and Implicitly Restarted Arnoldi code
- Arnoldi with iterative linear system solver
- Codes for discretizing several commonly used benchmark problems
- Continuation code for single-parameter nonlinear dynamical systems
- Validated results for various computational tasks performed on these benchmark problems
- What's next
- Detection of eigenvalues with large imaginary parts
- Preconditioning of Arnoldi applied to Navier-Stokes equations
- Look at a Navier-Stokes equation with low critical Reynolds number
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