

L23

Fredholm Alternative for Compact Operators (1).

Theorem [Fredholm Alternative]. Assume H is a Hilbert space and $T: H \rightarrow H$ a compact operator. Then exactly one alternative holds true:

(1) $1-T$ is invertible.

(2) $\ker(1-T) \neq \{0\}$.

Remarks.

① $1-T$ is invertible $\Leftrightarrow \forall y \in H \exists! x \in H$ s.t. $(1-T)x = y$

$\ker(1-T) \neq \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \exists f \neq 0, f \in H$ s.t. $(1-T)f = 0. \Leftrightarrow$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists y, x \in H$ s.t. $(1-T)x = y$, then x is not a unique solution for $(1-T)x = y =$

An ~~alternative~~ equivalent way of stating the Fredholm alternative:

Uniqueness of solution of $(1-T)x = y \Rightarrow$ ~~Uniqueness~~ Existence of solution.

② Assume $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ square matrix.

The following are equivalent: (i) A is invertible.

(ii) $\ker(A) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is injective.

(iii) $\text{Ran}(A) = \mathbb{C}^n \Leftrightarrow A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is surjective.

Examples: 1. Integral Equations.

Given $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. and $k: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Find $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$f(x) + \int_I k(x,y) \cdot f(y) dy = g(x), \quad \forall x \in I.$$

2. Riesz - Schauder Theorem:

If $T: H \rightarrow H$ is a compact operator, then $\sigma(T)$ is a discrete set having no limit points except perhaps $\lambda = 0$. Further, any nonzero $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ is an eigenvalue of finite multiplicity.

Proof / Sketch [Riesz - Schauder]:

If $z \cdot 1 - T$ invertible $\Leftrightarrow z \in \rho(T)$.
($z \in \mathbb{C}$).

Take $z \neq 0$, $z \cdot 1 - T$ invertible $\Leftrightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{z} \cdot T$ invertible.

$z \cdot 1 - T$ not invertible $\Leftrightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{z} \cdot T$ not invertible.
 $\Leftrightarrow z \in \sigma(T)$.
compact op.

By Fredholm: $\exists f \neq 0$ if $f \in \ker(1 - \frac{1}{z} T)$
 $\Rightarrow z$ is an eigenvalue.

$\sigma(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \text{ eigenvalue of } T \} \cup \{0\}$. possibly \downarrow
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists f \neq 0, Tf = \lambda \cdot f$
 $\dim H < \infty$
or
 $\dim H = +\infty$.

We have seen that $\dim \ker(T - \lambda \cdot 1) < \infty$

Proof of Fredholm Alternative:

← To be proved. (3)

Proposition: If T is a compact operator, then $1-T$ has closed range.

Assume T is compact. If $1-T$ is invertible then nothing left to prove.

Assume $1-T$ is not invertible.

Let $A: H \rightarrow H$ a finite rank operator s.t. $\|T-A\| < \frac{1}{2}$.

Let $S = A \cdot (1-T+A)^{-1}$

→ S is a finite rank operator.

↓
 $1-(T-A)$ invertible.

Note:

$$\left[(1-(T-A))^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (T-A)^n \right]$$

$$(1-S) \cdot \underbrace{(1-T+A)}_{\text{invertible}} = 1-T+A - A = 1-T$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ran}(1-T) = \text{Ran}(1-S).$$

$$2). \ker(1-T) \neq \{0\} \text{ iff. } \ker(1-S) \neq \{0\}.$$

$$3). (1-T) \text{ not invertible} \Leftrightarrow 1-S \text{ not invertible.}$$

Plan:

$$1-T \text{ not invertible} \Rightarrow 1-S \text{ not invertible} \Rightarrow \ker(1-S) \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow$$

To be shown.

↙

↗

$$\Rightarrow \ker(1-T) \neq \{0\}$$

Separately: $\left[1-S \text{ has closed range.} \right]$

Lemma. Assume $S: H \rightarrow H$ is a finite rank operator. (4)

Then $1-S$ has closed range.

Proof.

$$S \text{ finite rank} \rightarrow S = \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \cdot, u_k \rangle v_k$$

s.t. $\{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ orthonormal set.

$\{u_1, \dots, u_N\}$ orthogonal set

Let $E = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_N, v_1, \dots, v_N\}$, $\dim E \leq 2N < \infty$.

$$\begin{array}{l|l} S(E) \subset E & (1-S)(E) \subset E \\ S(E^\perp) = 0 & (1-S)(E^\perp) \subset E^\perp \end{array} \rightarrow E, E^\perp \text{ are invariant subspaces of } 1-S$$

$$1-S = (1-S)|_E \oplus (1-S)|_{E^\perp} = (1-S)|_E \oplus 1|_{E^\perp}$$

$$\left(1-S \sim \begin{bmatrix} (1-S)|_E & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

orthogonal decoupling.

$$\text{Ran}(1-S) = \left(\text{Ran}(1-S)|_E \right) \oplus E^\perp$$

But: $(1-S)|_E: E \rightarrow E$ is finite dim. linear problem.

$\text{Ran}(1-S)|_E = (1-S)(E) \rightarrow$ closed subspace.

$\Rightarrow \text{Ran}(1-S)|_E \oplus E^\perp$ is closed.

□

Proof of Proposition:

$$\text{Ran}(1-T) = \text{Ran}(1-S) \xrightarrow{\text{by Lemma}} \text{Ran}(1-T) \text{ is closed.}$$

Recall: $S = \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \cdot, u_k \rangle v_k$, $E = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_N, v_1, \dots, v_N\}$.

We obtained:

$$1-S = (1-S)|_E \oplus 1|_{E^\perp}$$

Now: $1-S$ not invertible: $\Rightarrow (1-S)|_E$ not invertible.

$$(1-S)|_E : E \rightarrow E.$$



$$(1-S)|_E : E \rightarrow E \text{ not injective.}$$



$$\exists x \neq 0, x \in E \text{ s.t. } (1-S)(x) = 0. \Leftrightarrow x = Sx$$

$$x = Sx = \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x, u_k \rangle v_k$$

$$x = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_N v_N, \quad c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N c_k v_k = \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \langle v_j, u_k \rangle c_j \right) v_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \langle v_1, u_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_1, u_N \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle v_N, u_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_N, u_N \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_N \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \det \left(I_N - \begin{bmatrix} \langle v_1, u_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_1, u_N \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle v_N, u_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_N, u_N \rangle \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0$$

$1-S|_E$ not injective $\Rightarrow \ker(1-S) \neq \{0\}$.

$\Rightarrow \ker(1-T) \neq \{0\}$. Ends Fredholm.

Riesz-Schauder : show : $\sigma(T)$ is discrete, with no accumulation point except 0.

$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 - z \cdot T \text{ not invertible}\}$ discrete with no accumulation point.

z_0 : finite rank. $\|z \cdot T - z_0 A\| < \frac{1}{2}$

$S = z_0 A \cdot (1 - z \cdot T + z_0 A)^{-1}$ \rightarrow finite rank.

$(1-S) \cdot (1 - z \cdot T + z_0 A) = 1 - z \cdot T$

$(1-S)$ not invertible. \leftarrow not invertible

$\det \left[I - \begin{pmatrix} \langle v_1, u_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_1, u_N \rangle \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \langle v_N, u_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_N, u_N \rangle \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0.$
 \Leftrightarrow Meromorphic. $\ker(1-S) \neq \{0\}$

$A = \sum_k \langle \cdot, u_k \rangle v_k \rightarrow S = \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} \langle \cdot, z_0(1-zT+z_0A)^{-1} u_k \rangle v_k$