

Square-summable rank-one decomposition of nuclear operators

Fushuai Jiang

Abstract

A problem posed by H. Feichtinger (and subsequently by C. Heil and D. Larson) asks whether a positive-definite integral operator with M_1 kernel admits a rank-one decomposition series that is also strongly square-summable in M_1 . In this note, we approach this problem by considering its matrix (and finite-dimensional) variant and analyzing several functionals that measure the optimality of such decomposition. Some of the results are based on the joint work with Radu Balan.

Let X, Y be Banach spaces and let $x^* \in X^*, y \in Y$, we write $yx^* = x^* \otimes y : X \rightarrow Y$ to denote the rank-one operator specified by $yx^*(z) = x^*(z)y$. We define $\|z\|_p := (\sum_{k=1}^n |z(k)|^p)^{1/p}$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ or \mathbb{C}^n with the usual modification for $p = \infty$. We use ℓ_p^n to denote \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n with $\|\cdot\|_p$.

1 The Main Problem

Problem 1.1 (Feichtinger '04, Heil-Larson '06). *Given a positive semidefinite trace-class operator*

$$T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, y) f(y) dy$$

with $k \in M_1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, can we find $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the operator-norm convergent series

$$T = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} g_k g_k^* \text{ satisfies } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|g_k\|_{M_1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 < \infty?$$

Here, $M_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the L_1 -modulation space, also called the Feichtinger algebra, and is normed by

$$\|f\|_{M_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |V_g f(\tau, \omega)| d\tau d\omega$$

where V_g is the windowed Fourier transform against a Gaussian window $g(x) = \exp(-\pi|x|^2)$, $V_g f(\tau, \omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i \omega \cdot x} f(x) g(x - \tau) dx$.

By choosing a suitable ONB associated with $M_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see [3]), the problem above is equivalent to the following.

Problem 1.2 (Heil-Larson '06). *Let $\mathcal{E} = \{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $(c_{mn}) \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N}^2)$ such that $c_{mn} = \overline{c_{nm}}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $T = \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}} c_{mn} e_m^* \otimes e_n$, convergent in both in strong operator topology and absolutely in trace-class topology. Can we find*

$$h_k \in \mathcal{H}_1 := \left\{ h \in \mathcal{H} : \|h\|_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle h, e_n \rangle| < \infty \right\} \subset_{\text{dense}} \mathcal{H}$$

such that $T = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k^* \otimes h_k$ (in suitable topology) and

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k\|_1^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle h, e_n \rangle| \right)^2 < \infty.$$

We will see that $\sum \|h_k\|_1^2 < \infty$ guarantees convergence in operator norm topology.

Proposition 1.1 ([1]). *For $\mathcal{H} = \ell_2$ and $e_k = \delta_k$, $\mathcal{H} = \ell_1$.*

Therefore, we can state an even simpler version of the problem.

Problem 1.3. *Let $T : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2$ be a Hermitian positive operator with $\sum_{kl} |\langle \delta_k, T\delta_l \rangle| < \infty$ (hence trace-class). Can we find $h_k \in \ell_1$ such that $T = \sum_k h_k h_k^*$ and $\sum_k \|h_k\|_1^2 < \infty$?*

We now consider a finite-dimensional variant. Let $\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the space of Hermitian $n \times n$ matrices. For $A \in \text{Sym}^n$, we define

$$\|A\|_{1,1} = \sum_{k,l=1}^n |A_{kl}|.$$

Let $\text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the cone of positive semidefinite matrices. For $A \in \text{PSD}^n$, define

$$\gamma_+(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N \|z_k\|_1^2 : A = \sum_{k=1}^N z_k z_k^* \right\}.$$

Problem 1.4. *Is there a universal constant $C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\gamma_+(A) \leq C_0 \|A\|_{1,1}?$$

Theorem 1.1. *If Problem 1.3 is answered in the positive, then Problem 1.4 is answered in the positive.*

Proof. We will prove the contrapositive statement. Let $A_n \in \text{PSD}^{\phi(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ be a sequence of matrices such that $\|A_n\|_{1,1} = 1$ but $\gamma_+(A_n) \geq n \|A_n\|_{1,1}$. Consider an infinite block-diagonal matrix defined by $A := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} A_n$. The associated operator $A : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2$ then satisfies the assumption of Problem 1.3

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let P_n denote the orthogonal projection from ℓ_2 to the range of A_n , $P_n = P_n^*$. We may then write

$$A = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} P_m A P_n = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{mn} P_m A P_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n A P_n,$$

convergent in the strong operator topology.

Let $(h_k) \subset \ell_1$ be any decomposition of $A = \sum_k h_k h_k^*$. Then

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k h_k^* \right) P_n = \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} (P_n h_k)(P_n h_k)^*$$

and

$$n^{-2} A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (P_n h_k)(P_n h_k)^*.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|P_n h_k\|_1^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |(P_n h_k)(i)| |(P_n h_k)(j)| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} |h_k(i)| |h_k(j)| = \|h_k\|_1^2.$$

As a consequence,

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k\|_1^2 \geq \sum_{n,k=1}^{\infty} \|P_n h_k\|_1^2 \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \gamma_+(A_n) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty.$$

□

Problem 1.5. *Are Problems 1.4 and 1.3 equivalent?*

2 Some operator theory

Let $A \in M^n(\mathbb{C})$, $A = [\text{Col}_1 A | \cdots | \text{Col}_n A]$. For $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, define

$$(2.1) \quad \|A\|_{p,q} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|\text{Col}_k A\|_p^q \right)^{1/q}$$

with suitable modification for ℓ_∞^n . We also think of $A : \ell_q^n \rightarrow \ell_p^n$, and define the operator norm

$$\|A\|_{q \rightarrow p} := \sup_{\|z\|_q \leq 1} \|Az\|_p.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, and $A \in M^n(\mathbb{C})$. The following hold.*

$$(A) \quad \|A\|_{q \rightarrow p} \leq \|A\|_{p,p}.$$

$$(B) \quad \|A\|_{q \rightarrow p} \leq \|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1}.$$

As a consequence, $\gamma_+(A) \geq \|A\|_{1,1} \geq \|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1} \geq \|A\|_{q \rightarrow p}$.

Proof. For the first statement, let $z \in \ell_q$. Then

$$\|Az\|_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\text{Col}_k(A^*) \cdot z|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|\text{Col}_k A^*\|_p^p \|z\|_q^p \right)^{1/p} = \|A\|_{p,p} \|z\|_q.$$

Note that given $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\|z\|_q \geq \|z\|_\infty$ and $\|z\|_1 \geq \|z\|_p$, so

$$\|A\|_{q \rightarrow p} = \inf_{\|z\|_q \leq 1} \|Az\|_p \leq \inf_{\|z\|_\infty \leq 1} \|Az\|_1 = \|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1}.$$

□

Incidentally, we have the following.

Theorem 2.1 (Gluskin-Tanny '20). *Let $A \in \text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad \|A\|_{1,1} \leq 3\kappa_G(\text{rk}A)^{1/2} \|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1}.$$

The dependence on $(\text{rk}A)^{1/2}$ is sharp, with $A = \begin{bmatrix} O_{k \times k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ where $O \in \mathcal{O}(k)$ satisfies $|O_{kl}| \lesssim k^{-1/2}$.

Definition 2.1. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. An operator $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is p -nuclear if there are sequences $(x_k^*) \subset B_{X^*}$, $(y_k) \subset B_Y$, and $(\lambda_k) \in \ell_p$, such that

$$(2.3) \quad A = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k x_k^* \otimes y_k$$

where the series is convergent in the $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ topology. Moreover,

$$(2.4) \quad \gamma_p(A) := \inf \|\lambda\|_p.$$

We use $\mathcal{N}_p(X, Y)$ to denote the Banach space of nuclear operators from X to Y with norm $\gamma_p = \nu_p$. When $p = 1$, we drop the 1 in all the notation.

We mostly care about the case $X = \ell_\infty^n$, $Y = \ell_1^n$, and $p = 1$. In this case, $(\ell_\infty^n)^* = \ell_1^n$. In the infinite-dimensional case, we use $X = c_0 \subset \ell_\infty$, so $X^* = \ell_1$.

Remark 2.1. Some facts:

- From construction, regardless of the Banach spaces X and Y and the moment $1 \leq p < \infty$, every operator in $\mathcal{N}_p(X, Y)$ is the γ_p -limit of finite-rank operators, and so is compact.
- Let H_1 and H_2 be Hilbert spaces, then $\mathcal{N}_2(H_1, H_2) = \mathcal{S}_2(H_1, H_2)$ isometrically. The latter consists of 2-Schatten operators or Hilbert-Schmidt operators, with norm $\|A\|_{\mathcal{S}_2}^2 = \sum_k \|Ae_k\|_{H_2}^2$.

Proposition 2.2 (Lemma 2.7 in [2]). *Let $A \in M^n(\mathbb{C})$, viewed as an operator from $\ell_\infty^n \rightarrow \ell_1^n$. Then $\gamma(A) = \|A\|_{1,1}$.*

Proof. Fix $A \in M^n(\mathbb{C})$. To show $\gamma \leq \|\cdot\|_{1,1}$, we can write

$$A = [\text{Col}_1(A) | \cdots | \text{Col}_n(A)] = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k^* \otimes \text{Col}_k(A).$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma(A) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|\text{Col}_k(A)\|_1 \|\delta_k\|_{\ell_1} = \sum_{k=1}^n \|\text{Col}_k(A)\|_1 = \|A\|_{1,1}.$$

For the other direction, let $\epsilon > 0$, and let $(x_k), (y_k) \subset \ell_1^n$ with $\|x_k\|_1, \|y_k\|_1 \leq 1$, and $\lambda \in \ell_1$, such that $A = \sum_k \lambda_k x_k y_k^*$ and $\|\lambda\|_1 \leq \gamma(A) + \epsilon$. Then

$$\|A\|_{1,1} = \left\| \sum_k \lambda_k x_k y_k^* \right\|_{1,1} \leq \sum_k |\lambda_k| \|x_k y_k^*\|_{1,1} \leq \sum_k |\lambda_k| \|x_k\|_1 \|y_k\|_1 \leq \gamma(A) + \epsilon.$$

□

3 Preliminary properties for γ_+

Proposition 3.1. γ_+ is sub-additive and positive-homogeneous on PSD^n .

Proof. Let $A, B \in \text{PSD}^n$, and let $(z_k), (w_k) \subset \ell_1^n$ satisfies

$$\sum_k \|z_k\|_1^2 \leq \gamma_+(A) + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_k \|w_k\|_1^2 \leq \gamma_+(B) + \epsilon.$$

Concatenate and re-index z_k and w_k to form $(x_k) \subset \ell_1^n$, so $A + B = \sum x_k x_k^*$. Moreover,

$$\gamma_+(A + B) \leq \sum_k \|x_k\|_1^2 = \sum_k \|z_k\|_1^2 + \sum_k \|w_k\|_1^2 \leq \gamma_+(A) + \gamma_+(B) + 2\epsilon.$$

Positive homogeneity is proved similarly. □

Definition 3.1. Let $u : X \rightarrow X$ be a finite rank operator. Then we can write $u = \sum_{k=1}^N x_k^* \otimes \tilde{x}_k$, $x_k^* \in X^*$ and $\tilde{x}_k \in X$. We can define the trace of u to be $\text{tr}(u) = \sum_k x_k^*(\tilde{x}_k)$. This definition is invariant of representation.

Proposition 3.2. *Given $A \in \text{PSD}^n$, we have $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma_+(A) \leq \text{ntr}(A) \leq n \|A\|_{1,1} = n\gamma(A)$.*

Proof. The only nontrivial inequality is $\gamma_+(A) \leq \text{ntr}(A)$. Fix $A \in \text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and let $(z_k)_{k=1}^N$ be any factorization $A = \sum_k z_k z_k^*$. Since

$$\|z_k\|_1 = \|z_k \cdot 1_n\|_1 \leq \|1_n\|_2 \|z_k\|_2 = \sqrt{n} \|z_k\|_2,$$

we have

$$\gamma_+(A) \leq \sum_k \|z_k\|_1^2 \leq n \sum_k \|z_k\|_2^2 = n \sum_k z_k^*(z_k) = \text{ntr}(A).$$

□

Proposition 3.3. *Given $A \in \text{PSD}^n$, we have $\gamma_+(A) \leq \text{rank}(A)n^{1/2} \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 1} \leq \text{rank}(A)n^{1/2} \|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1} \leq \text{rank}(A)n^{1/2} \|A\|_{1,1}$.*

Proof. Let $A = \sum_k^r z_k z_k^*$ be the spectral factorization, $r = \text{rank}(A)$, and $Az_k = \lambda_k z_k$ with $\lambda_k > 0$. Let $e_k = \lambda_k^{-1/2} z_k$. Then

$$\lambda_k \|z_k\|_1 = \|Az_k\|_1 \leq \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 1} \|z_k\|_2.$$

Rearrange, we see that

$$\|z_k\|_1 \leq \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 1} \lambda_k^{-1/2} \|e_k\|_2 = \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 1} \lambda_k^{-1/2}.$$

For the other copy of $\|z_k\|_1$, use Hölder's inequality again to get $\|z_k\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|z_k\|_2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_+(A) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^r \|z_k\|_1^2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 1} \sum_{k=1}^r \|e_k\|_2^2 = \sqrt{n} \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 1} \text{tr} \left(\sum_{k=1}^r e_k e_k^* \right) \\ &= \text{rk}(A) \sqrt{n} \|A\|_{2 \rightarrow 1} \leq \text{rk}(A) \sqrt{n} \|A\|_{1,1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Duality

Let S_1^n denote the unit sphere in ℓ_1^n . Let $\mathcal{M}(S_1^n)$ denote the cone of positive Borel measures on S_1^n , and let $\mathcal{M}_\pm(S_1^n)$ denote the space of signed Borel measures on S_1^n . By the Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem,

$$C(S_1^n)^* \cong \mathcal{M}_\pm(S_1^n).$$

We also have the duality of the positive cones

$$C_+(S_1^n)^* \cong \mathcal{M}(S_1^n).$$

Theorem 4.1 (R. Balan). *For any $A \in \text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{C})$,*

$$\gamma_+(A) = \inf \left\{ \int_{S_1^n} d\mu : A = \int_{S_1^n} z z^* d\mu, \mu \in \mathcal{M}(S_1^n) \right\}$$

Consider the dual pairs $(\mathcal{M}_\pm(S_1^n), C(S_1^n))$ and $(\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}), \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}))$, where the bilinear forms are given by the natural duality pairing, so that we equip \mathcal{M}_\pm with the weak star topology, $C(S_1^n)$ with the weak topology, and $\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C})$ with the Euclidean topology.

Consider the map

$$\Phi : \mathcal{M}_\pm(S_1^n) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}) \quad \mu \mapsto \Phi(\mu) = \int_{S_1^n} z z^* d\mu.$$

The adjoint of Φ (with respect to the duality pairing) is given by

$$\Phi^* : \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C(S_1^n) \quad T \mapsto \Phi^*(T)(z) = \text{tr}(T \cdot z z^*) = \langle z, Tz \rangle.$$

By linear duality theory, the following functional on $\text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{C})$

$$\delta_+(A) := \sup \{ \text{tr}(AT) : T \in \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \langle z, Tz \rangle \leq 1 \forall z \in S_1^n \}$$

is the dual linear program of that associated with γ_+ .

Theorem 4.2. *For all $A \in \text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $\delta_+(A) = \gamma_+(A)$.*

One direction is simple.

Lemma 4.1. $\delta_+ \leq \gamma_+$.

Proof. Fix $A \in \text{PSD}^n$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $A = \sum_{k=1}^N z_k z_k^*$ be a decomposition such that $\sum_k \|z_k\|_1^2 \leq \gamma_+(A) + \epsilon$. Note that for any $T = T^*$ with $\langle z, Tz \rangle \leq 1$ for all $z \in S_1^n$,

$$\text{tr}(AT) = \text{tr} \left(\sum_k z_k z_k^* \circ T \right) = \sum_k \langle z_k, Tz_k \rangle = \sum_k \|z_k\|_1^2 \left\langle \frac{z_k}{\|z_k\|_1}, \frac{Tz_k}{\|z_k\|_1} \right\rangle \leq \sum_k \|z_k\|_1^2 \leq \gamma_+(A) + \epsilon.$$

□

Theorem 4.3 (Hahn-Banach Separation Theorem). *Let V be a topological vector space over \mathbb{R} and let $K, L \subset V$ be disjoint convex subsets of V with L compact. Then there exists a bounded linear functional $\phi \in V^*$ and a real number α such that*

$$\phi(x) \leq \alpha < \phi(y) \quad \text{for all } x \in K, y \in L.$$

For the reverse direction, consider the convex body

$$\mathcal{K} = \{(\Phi(\mu), \langle \mu, 1 \rangle + r) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(S_1^n), r \geq 0\} \subset \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}$$

equipped with the induced topology.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A \in \text{PSD}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Suppose $\delta_+(A) = M$, then $(A, M) \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$.*

Proof. Suppose towards a contradiction, that $(A, M) \notin \overline{\mathcal{K}}$. Then (A, M) is separated from \mathcal{K} by a hyperplane, i.e., there exists some $(T_0, \lambda) \in \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle (A, M), (T_0, \lambda) \rangle < \langle \mathcal{K}, T_0 \rangle.$$

More explicitly,

$$(4.1) \quad \text{tr}(AT_0) + \lambda M < \text{tr} \left(T_0 \cdot \int_{S_1^n} z z^* d\mu \right) + \lambda \int_{S_1^n} d\mu + \lambda r \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \mathcal{M}(S_1^n) \text{ and } r \geq 0.$$

By setting $\mu = 0$ and $r = 0$, we see that

$$(4.2) \quad \text{tr}(AT_0) + \lambda M < 0.$$

Note that we must also have

$$(4.3) \quad \text{tr} \left(T_0 \cdot \int_{S_1^n} z z^* d\mu \right) + \lambda \int_{S_1^n} d\mu + \lambda r \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \mathcal{M}(S_1^n) \text{ and } r \geq 0.$$

By setting $r = 0$ in (4.3) and let μ range over all possible Borel measures, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \Phi^*(T)(z) = \langle z, T_0 z \rangle \geq -\lambda \quad \text{for all } z \in S_1^n.$$

By setting $\mu = 0$ in (4.3), we have

$$\lambda \geq 0.$$

- Suppose $\lambda > 0$. Then $-\lambda^{-1}T_0 \in \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\langle z, -\lambda^{-1}T_0 z \rangle = -\lambda^{-1} \langle z, T_0 z \rangle \leq 1$ by (4.4). Therefore, $-\lambda^{-1}T_0$ is feasible for δ_+ . By (4.2),

$$\text{tr}(A(-\lambda^{-1}T_0)) > M,$$

contradicting M being the supremum.

- Suppose $\lambda = 0$. Let $\tilde{T} \in \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C})$ with $\langle z, Tz \rangle \leq 1$ for all $z \in S_1^n$. It is clear that $\tilde{T} - \alpha T_0 \in \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C})$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$. Since $\Phi(T_0)(z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in S_1^n$, we have $\langle z, (\tilde{T} - \alpha T)z \rangle \leq 1$ for all $z \in S_1^n$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Therefore, $\tilde{T} - \alpha T_0$ is feasible for δ_+ for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

Since $\text{tr}(A(\tilde{T} - \alpha T_0)) \leq \delta_+(A) = M < \infty$, we must have $\text{tr}(AT_0) \geq 0$. This contradicts (4.2).

In either case, we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore, $(A, M) \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}$.

□

Lemma 4.3. *\mathcal{K} is closed.*

Proof. Let $(A_j, M_j)_j$ be a sequence in \mathcal{K} converging to $(A, M) \in \text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}$. By definition, there exists a sequence $\mu_j \in \mathcal{M}(S_1^n)$ and $r_j = M_j - \int_{S_1^n} d\mu_j \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $A_j = \int_{S_1^n} zz^* d\mu_j$. We may, without loss of generality, assume that $\int_{S_1^n} d\mu_j \leq 100M$. By weak-star compactness, we can replace μ_j by a subsequence, such that $\mu_j \rightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{M}(S_1^n)$ in the weak-star topology. Integrate against zz^* , we have $\int_{S_1^n} zz^* d\mu = A$. Integrate against the constant function 1, we have $M = \int_{S_1^n} d\mu + \lim_j r_j$. Since $r_j \geq 0$, $\lim_j r_j \geq 0$. Therefore, $(A, M) \in \mathcal{K}$. □

References

- [1] Radu Balan, Kasso A. Okoudjou, and Anirudha Poria. On a problem by Hans Feichtinger. *Operators and Matrices*, 12(3), 2018.
- [2] Radu Balan, Kasso A. Okoudjou, Michael Rawson, Yang Wang, and Rui Zhang. Optimal ℓ^1 rank one matrix decomposition. In *Harmonic Analysis and Applications*, pages 21–41. Springer International Publishing, 2021.
- [3] Chirstopher Heil and David Larson. Operator theory and modulation spaces. In *Frames and Operator Theory in Analysis and Signal Processing*, Contemporary Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2006.