

## Handout on Invariant Vectors for Non-Recurrent HMC's

There was a question in class on Wednesday, April 4, about whether there could be nontrivial invariant vectors for countable-state irreducible non-recurrent HMC's. The purpose of this handout is put the question and its (affirmative) answer in context for Birth-Death chains on  $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

Recall that for general countable-state chains which are both irreducible and recurrent, we found in Chapter 3 that the vector with entries

$$x_i = E_0 \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{T_0} I_{[X_k=i]} \right\}$$

automatically provides an invariant  $S$ -indexed vector (i.e., a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  such that  $\mathbf{x}^{tr}P = \mathbf{x}^{tr}$ ) which has all strictly positive and finite entries, and which is summable if and only if the HMC is positive-recurrent.

Now restrict attention to the case of irreducible birth-death chains on  $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  with transitions  $P$  satisfying

$$P_{ij} = q_i I_{[j=i-1]} + r_i I_{[j=i]} + p_i I_{[j=i+1]}$$

(Note that  $q_0 = 0$  and irreducibility implies that  $p_0$  and all  $p_j, q_j > 0$  for  $j \geq 1$ .) We proved in class by direct calculation that the vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  satisfying for  $i \geq 1$

$$v_i = v_0 \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{p_j}{q_{j+1}}$$

are all stationary in the sense that  $\mathbf{v}^{tr}P = \mathbf{v}^{tr}$ . We now obtain a complete characterization of which of these birth-death HMC's are recurrent.

Consider the probabilities

$$\alpha_i = P_i(X_k = 0 \text{ for some } k \geq 0), \quad i \geq 0$$

where  $\alpha_0 = 1$  by definition. Then the birth-death property automatically implies  $\alpha_i$  are nonincreasing in  $i$ , and since they are nonnegative,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m = \alpha$  exists. We can make a direct argument to show that recurrence is equivalent to  $\alpha > 0$ . First, we know already by irreducibility of the chain that recurrence would imply  $\alpha_m = 1$  for all  $m \geq 1$ , so that  $\alpha = 1$ . Conversely, if  $\alpha > 0$ , then we can by induction find a sequence of nonnegative integers  $\{m_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  with  $m_0 = 1$  such that for all  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$P_{m_j}(X_k \text{ hits } 0 \text{ before } m_{j+1}) \geq \alpha/2 \quad (*)$$

(To do this, noting that all  $\alpha_i \geq \alpha > 0$ , we can for given  $m_j$  find  $m_{j+1}$  satisfying the desired property since the limit of the left-hand side of (\*) as  $m_{j+1} \rightarrow \infty$  is  $\alpha_{m_j} \geq \alpha$ . Finally, using (\*) repeatedly, we conclude

$$P_{m_1}(\text{hit } 0 \text{ before } m_N) \geq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^N$$

which implies by letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  that the probability starting from  $m_0 = 1$  of the chain returning to 0 in finitely many steps is 1. The conclusion from  $\alpha > 0$  is thus that  $P_0(T_0 < \infty) = 1$ , and the chain is recurrent.)

Now consider the sequence of  $\alpha_m$  again: in the transient case, we have seen that  $\alpha_1 < 1$  and  $\alpha_m \searrow 0$ , and first-step analysis shows immediately that for  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$\alpha_i = q_i \alpha_{i-1} + r_i \alpha_i + p_i \alpha_{i+1}$$

This equation immediately implies that

$$w_i \equiv \alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} = \frac{q_i}{p_i} w_{i-1} = \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{q_j}{p_j} \cdot w_0$$

Therefore

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_{m+1} = (1 - \alpha_1) \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{q_j}{p_j}$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and substituting the limiting value 0 for  $\alpha_m$ , we find

$$\alpha_1 = (1 - \alpha_1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{q_j}{p_j}$$

and in particular the last summation must be finite.

So we have found a condition, namely that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{q_j}{p_j} < \infty$$

which implies that (actually, is equivalent to the assertion that) the birth-death chain is transient. There are many ways for this to happen: most simply, in the biased-up random walk case  $q_j/p_j \equiv \rho < 1$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . But many more balanced cases such as  $q_j/p_j = (j/(j+1))^2$  also result in transient chains. Yet all of them have invariant (non-summable) vectors, as we remarked at the beginning of these pages.