Eric Slud

Fall 2007

## Solutions to Stat 710 Problem Set 4

#7.1. Here the dominating measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  is counting measure. For each  $x \ge 0$ , the function

 $s(x,\theta) \equiv \sqrt{p(x,\theta)} = \exp((x\log\theta - \theta)/2)/\sqrt{x!}$ 

is obviously continuously differentiable on  $\theta \in (0, \infty)$ . Then  $\dot{p}_{\theta}/p_{\theta} = x/\theta - 1$ , and (using the change of index k = x - 1 as needed)

$$I_{\theta} = \sum_{x \ge 0} (\frac{x}{\theta} - 1)^2 \frac{\theta^x}{x!} e^{-\theta} = 1 - 2\frac{\theta}{\theta} + \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{k+1}{k!\theta^2} \theta^{k+1} e^{-\theta} = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

which is well-defined and continuous.

#7.5. Now  $X_i$  are *iid*  $\mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$  which implies

$$\log \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{\theta+h_n/\sqrt{n}}}{p_{\theta}}(X_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ (X_i - \theta)^2 - (X_i - \theta - \frac{h_n}{\sqrt{n}})^2 \right\} = h_n \sqrt{n} \bar{X} - \frac{h_n^2}{2} - \sqrt{n} \frac{\theta h_n}{2} - \frac{h_n^2}{2} - \frac{h_$$

Comparing to the expression in Theorem 7.2, the term  $o_{P_{\theta}}(1)$  is explicitly

$$(h_n - h)\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta) - \frac{1}{2}(h_n^2 - h^2) = (h_n - h)\left(\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta) - \frac{h_n + h}{2}\right)$$

#7.6. Now  $f(x,\theta) = e^{-|x-\theta|}/2$ , and we cannot apply Lemma 7.6 because the condition there does not hold for every x. But with  $g_{\theta}(x)$  defined as the a.e. derivative of log  $f(x,\theta)$ , that is,  $g_{\theta}(x) = sgn(x-\theta)$ , we find (using the change of variable w = -v on the negative half-line)

$$\int \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}e^{-|x-\theta-h|}} - \left(1 + \frac{h}{2}sgn(x-\theta)\right)\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}e^{-|x-\theta|}}\right]^2 dx = (*)$$
$$\int_0^\infty \left(e^{(v-|v-h|)/2} - 1 - \frac{h}{2}\right)^2 \frac{e^{-v}}{2} dv + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{w-|w+h|)/2} - 1 + \frac{h}{2}\right)^2 \frac{e^{-w}}{2} dw$$

The first of these integrals is split into two terms, an integral on [0, h) and another on  $(h, \infty)$ , the first equal to

$$\int_0^h \left(e^{v-h/2} - 1 - \frac{h}{2}\right)^2 e^{-v} \frac{dv}{2} = O\left(\int_0^h \left(v - \frac{h}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(v-h/2)^2 - h/2\right)^2 e^{-v} dv\right) = O(h^3)$$

and the second equal to

$$\int_{h}^{\infty} \left( e^{h/2} - 1 - h/2 \right)^2 e^{-v} \frac{dv}{2} = e^{-h} \left( e^{h/2} - 1 - h/2 \right)^2 = O(h^4)$$

The second integral on the right-hand side of (\*) is

$$\int_0^\infty \left( e^{-h/2} - 1 + h/2 \right)^2 e^{-w} \frac{dw}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{-h/2} - 1 + h/2 \right)^2 = O(h^4)$$

Thus we have shown that (\*) is  $o(h^2)$  as  $h \to 0+$ , completing the verification of quadratic mean differentiability.

#7.10. Here as elsewhere we try to define  $g_{\theta}(x)$  as the a.e.(x) gradient of the log density. It is not hard to see that when x approaches  $\xi$  from above, the integrands (including terms from the gradient  $g_{\theta}(x)$  (or from its square) increase as a power  $(x - \xi)^{-k}$  with k no larger than 4, while the exponential term  $\exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\log(x - \xi) - \mu)^2)$  decreases faster than any power of  $x - \xi$ . Thus convergence of integrals is no problem. It is also not hard to see the quadratic mean differentiability with respect to the  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma^2$  variables (by bounding the Taylor series remainders in the density), so we show it only for the  $\xi$  variable. The expression to be proved  $o(h^2)$  is

$$\int \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{x-\xi-h} I_{[x>\xi+h]} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\log(x-\xi-h)-\mu)^2\right)^{1/2} - \left(1+\frac{h}{2(x-\xi)} \left(1+\frac{\log(x-\xi)-\mu}{\sigma^2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{x-\xi} I_{[x>\xi]} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\log(x-\xi-h)-\mu)^2\right)^{1/2}\right)^2 dx \right\} \right\}$$

Without loss of generality, we consider it only for h > 0; perform the change of variable  $y = \log(x-\xi)$ ; and split the resulting integral into one on  $(-\infty, C\sqrt{\log h})$  and one on  $(C\sqrt{\log h}, \infty)$  for a large constant C to be specified. The first of these three integrals is easily seen to be

$$O\left(\int_{-\infty}^{C\sqrt{\log h}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} - 3y\right) dy\right) = O((\log h)^{-2} e^{-(C\sqrt{\log h} - \mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}) = o(h^2)$$

as long as  $C > 10\sigma^2$ , and the integrand in the second of these integrals involves Taylor series terms which can readily be bounded  $o(h^2)$  multiplied by a normal density.

This problem required some very detailed analysis for a fully rigorous proof, but the result is that quadratic mean differentiability *does hold* for the 3parameter lognormal.

**#8.3.** For fixed x, the usual Central Limit Theorem implies for a general distribution F that

$$\sqrt{n}(F_n(x) - F(x)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, F(x)(1 - F(x)))$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ 

For the specific case of  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$ , we have  $F(x) = \Phi(x - \theta)$ . In this case, the delta method immediately implies (with  $\phi(\cdot)$  denoting the standard normal density)

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\Phi(x-\bar{X}) - \Phi(x-\theta)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \ \phi^2(x-\theta)) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$

As a result, the Asymptotic Relative Efficiency of the estimators is

$$ARE(F_n(x), \Phi(x-\bar{X})) = \phi^2(x-\theta)/(\Phi(x-\theta)(1-\Phi(x-\theta)))$$

Although it is analytically not easy to bound, a graph of the very smooth function  $G(z) = \phi(z)/(\Phi(z)(1 - \Phi(z)))$  shows it to be even and unimodal (a fact which *can* be analytically proved without much trouble), peaked in the middle with a maximum value of .6366 at 0. So if one wants to estimate a distribution function value not too far from the mean, the empirical d.f. does so with a loss of effciency equivalent to throwing away about 1/3 of the data in a normal-distribution setting, although the situation becomes much worse far from the mean.