# **STAT 770 Nov. 4 Lecture 19B** Loglinear Models versus GLMs

Reading and Topics for this lecture: Chapter 9 Sections 1-3.

(1) Definition, side-conditions

(2) Interpretation of Parameters (Low-dim examples)

(3) Interpretation as GLM

### Loglinear Model with 2 Categorical Factors

Data:  $X_a, Z_a$  categorical factors, a = 1, ..., n indexes obs Multinomial counts  $N_{xz}$ , cell probabilities  $\pi_{xz}$ 

indexed by levels  $x = 1, \ldots, I$  for X,  $z = 1, \ldots, J$  for Z

Model:  $\log \pi_{xz} = \lambda + \lambda_x^X + \lambda_z^Z + \lambda_{xz}^{XZ}$  saturated Side-conditions:  $\sum_{xz} p_{xz} = 1$ , and for all x, z:  $\sum_{i=1}^{I} \lambda_i^X = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \lambda_j^Z = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \lambda_{iz}^{XZ} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \lambda_{xj}^{XZ} = 0$ 

Parameter dimension:  $1 + (I-1) + (J-1) + (I-1) \cdot (J-1) = I \cdot J$ 

Could exclude:  $\lambda^{XZ}$ , or  $\lambda^X$ ,  $\lambda^{XZ}$  or  $\lambda^Z$ ,  $\lambda^{XZ}$ 

2

### **Interpretation of Model Coefficients**

Consider the loglinear model from previous slide:

$$\log(p_x/p_{x'}) = \log\left(\frac{\sum_z p_{xz}}{\sum_z p_{x'z}}\right) = \lambda_x^X - \lambda_{x'}^X + \log\left(\frac{\sum_z \exp(\lambda_z^Z + \lambda_{zx}^{XZ})}{\sum_z \exp(\lambda_z^Z + \lambda_{zx'}^{XZ})}\right)$$

cancellation occurs in the last term only if  $\lambda^{XZ}$  is absent the same issue mentioned in the last slide of Lec. 19A

#### Also:

$$\theta_{xz} \equiv \frac{p_{xz} \, p_{x+1,z+1}}{p_{x,z+1} \, p_{x+1,z}} = \exp\left(\lambda_{xz}^{XZ} + \lambda_{x+1,z+1}^{XZ} - \lambda_{x,z+1}^{XZ} - \lambda_{x+1,z}^{XZ}\right)$$

### Loglinear Model with 3 Categorical Factors

Suppose the model is:

$$\log \pi_{xzw} = \lambda + \lambda_x^X + \lambda_z^Z + \lambda_w^W + \lambda_{xz}^{XZ} + \lambda_{xw}^{XW}$$

with side-conditions 
$$0 = \lambda_+^X = \lambda_+^Z = \lambda_+^W = \lambda_{+z}^{XZ} = \lambda_{x+}^{XZ} \dots$$
 Now  

$$\theta_{xz(w)} = \frac{p_{xzw} p_{x+1,z+1,w}}{p_{x,z+1,w} p_{x+1,zw}} = \exp\left(\lambda_{xz}^{XZ} + \lambda_{x+1,z+1}^{XZ} - \lambda_{x,z+1}^{XZ} - \lambda_{x+1,z}^{XZ}\right)$$

is the same for all w: the effect of having no 3-way interaction

## **R** Software for Log-Linear Models

(1). Direct specification using indices for factors and interactions - loglin

- (2). Reconstructing model as GLM glm would require re-interpreting GLM coeff's !
- (3). Fitting loglinear model but specifying in GLM syntax loglm in MASS

The difficulty in moving between model-specifications is the lack of side-conditions in logistic-regression interaction factors.

See Lec19BLogLin Script for further discussion!!

### **GLM Interpretation of Loglinear Model**

logLik for loglinear 3-factor model is:  $\sum_{x,z,w} N_{xzw} \log \pi_{xzw}$ 

log probabilities in (X, Z, W, XZ) canonical Poisson model of the same form without same side-conditions, uses dummy columns

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{[X_i=x]}, \ 1 < x \leq I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} I_{[Z_i=z]}, \ 1 < z \leq J \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dots , \\ \begin{pmatrix} I_{[X_i=x,Z_i=z]}, \ 1 < x \leq I, \ 1 < z \leq J \end{pmatrix} \text{ with coeff's } \beta_x^X, \ \beta_z^Z, \ \beta_{xz}^{XZ}, \dots \end{cases}$$

Poisson regression logLik has the **same** regression terms as loglinear, but coefficients become linearly (invertibly) transformed. Will describe the parameter transformation in detail next time.