STAT 770 Nov. 18 Lecture 23 GLMs with Random (Intercept) Effects

Reading and Topics for this and next lecture: Chapter 13 Sections 13.1 - 13.3 and Handout 1(ii) from course web-page.

- (1) Random effects model for clustered GLM data
- (2) Matched Pairs as an Example of Clusters
- (3) Other Examples of GLMMs Binary outcome models, Item-response models
- (4) GLMM likelihood cluster means, within-cluster correlations, and predictors
- (5) Strategies for ML and approximate ML calculation next class

What is a GLMM ?

General form of GLMM with cluster-level random intercepts:

$$Y_{ij} \sim f(y \mid \theta_{ij}, \underline{X}_{ij}, \epsilon_i) = h(y) \exp(\theta'_{ij}y - c(\theta_{ij})), \quad \epsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F(\cdot, \sigma)$$
$$\nabla_{\theta} c'(\theta_{ij}) = \mu_{ij} = g^{-1}(\underline{X}'_{ij}\beta + \epsilon_i)$$

 $i = 1, \ldots, m$ indexes cluster, with cluster random-effect ϵ_i $j = 1, \ldots, n_i$ indexes observation within cluster *i*, conditionally *iid* given ϵ_i

- $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, \ \sigma > 0$ parameters are shared across cluster
- ϵ_i a random cluster-specific offset

Examples

Idea: cluster combines observations with common experimental setting

Examples: families, schools, clinical centers within which units share some common unmodeled feature, modeled as independent variate differing across cluster

If m were small and offsets ϵ_i of direct interest, they would be viewed as non-random fixed-effect parameters.

> Dimension of GLMM parameter (β, σ) is p+1. Model dimension with fixed effects = p + m.

Additional Keywords for Models with Random Effects

Empirical Bayes Models: these GLMMs can be interpreted as a way to fit/predict cluster predictive-scores $X'_{ij}\beta + \epsilon_i$ for individual (i, j): **empirical** because of the identical model relationships across cluster, **Bayes** because of the independence of observations (identical within cluster) conditional on 'prior' effects ϵ_i .

Meta-Analysis: think of the clusters (eg clinical centers) as separate (small but not too tiny) studies; one might report estimates $\hat{\beta}_i$, $\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_i)$ from those studies and analyze those as estimates of common β , with ϵ_i modeling differences between studies. GLMM is what one would fit to the unified dataset if it were available.

Special Logistic Mixed Models

Logit-Normal Mixed-model:

 $Y_{ij} \sim \text{Binom}(r_{ij}, \text{plogis}(\underline{X}'_{ij}\beta + \epsilon_i)) \text{ given } \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

Binary Matched Pairs: $n_i \equiv 2, \ \underline{X}_{ij} = \underline{x}_i$

similarly, Litter-Studies or Family-studies

Rasch Item-Response Model: $n_i \equiv \nu \geq 2, \ \underline{X}_{ij} = \underline{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ dummy-column for factor with levels $j = 1, \ldots, \nu$, so $\underline{X}'_{ij}\beta \equiv \beta_j$,

logit
$$P(Y_{ij} = 1 | \epsilon_i) = \beta_j + \epsilon_i$$

j indexes study subject with skill-level β_j , i item with difficulty ϵ_i

Within-cluster Correlation

General Formula: $\operatorname{var}(W) = E(\operatorname{var}(W|Z)) + \operatorname{var}(E(W|Z))$ Consequence: after using the formula for $W = W_1 + W_2$ and $W = W_1 - W_2$ and subtracting 2nd from 1st, dividing by 4: $\operatorname{cov}(W_1, W_2) = E(\operatorname{cov}(W_1, W_2|Z)) + \operatorname{cov}(E(W_1|Z) \cdot E(W_2|Z))$ Fix cluster index *i* and \underline{X}_{ij} 's (by conditioning on them if random) $\operatorname{cov}(Y_{i,j_1}, Y_{i,j_2}) = E(\operatorname{cov}(Y_{i,j_1}, Y_{i,j_2} | \epsilon_i)) + \operatorname{cov}(E(Y_{i,j_1} | \epsilon_i) \cdot E(Y_{i,j_2} | \epsilon_i))$ $= \operatorname{cov}(E(Y_{i,j_1} | \epsilon_i) \cdot E(Y_{i,j_2} | \epsilon_i)) > 0$

Next slide presents an argument why this inequality is true.

Proof of Positive Within-Cluster Correlation

This argument applies to scalar random-intercept GLMM with g, c' strictly increasing.

• $Y \sim f(y,\theta) = h(y) \exp(\theta y - c(\theta))$ is stochastically increasing as function of θ , i.e., for $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ and all y, $F(y,\theta_1) \ge F(y,\theta_2)$

• Y is stochastically increasing in θ if and only if $E_{\theta}(h(Y)) \nearrow$ in θ for any monotone increasing function h

Pf. for GLMM $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int h(y) f(y, \theta) dy = \int (y - c'(\theta)) h(y) f(y, \theta) dy > 0$ because $h(y) > h(c'(\theta) > h(z)$ whenever $y > c'(\theta) > z$.

• In GLMM, $g_j(\epsilon_i) \equiv E(Y_{i,j} | \epsilon_i) \nearrow$ in ϵ_i (varies with X_{ij})

•
$$\operatorname{cov} = E[g_{j_1}(\epsilon_i)g_{j_2}(\epsilon_i)] - [E(g_{j_1}(\epsilon_i)) \cdot E(g_{j_2}(\epsilon_i))]$$

= $\int (g_{j_1}(t) - E(g_{j_1}(\epsilon_i))g_{j_2}(t)f_{\epsilon}(t)dt > 0$

Unit-level Prediction (Logit-Normal Model)

Fix
$$i, j, \quad \eta = \underline{X}'_{i,j} \beta$$
:

$$P(Y_{ij} = 1) = E\left(\text{plogis}(\eta + \epsilon_i)\right) = \int \frac{e^{\eta + \sigma z}}{1 + e^{\eta + \sigma z}} \phi(z) dz$$

Remark. If $\underline{X}_{ij} = \underline{X}_i$ depends only on *i*, not *j*,

• the displayed quantity is the inverse of a modified link-function g_{σ}^{*} if σ is fixed

• the last inequality on the previous slide is the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

GLMM Likelihood

Consider logit-normal model, and for simplicity restrict to case where all Y_{ij} are binary, and cluster *i* has n_i unit observations.

Then

$$P(Y_{i,j} = y_j, \ 1 \le j \le n_i) = E\left(P(Y_{i,j} = y_j, \ 1 \le j \le n_i \ | \epsilon_i)\right)$$
$$= \int \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_j \left(\underline{X}_{ij}^{tr} \beta + \sigma_z\right)\right) \left[\prod_{j=1}^{n_i} (1 + e^{\underline{X}_{ij}^{tr} \beta + \sigma_z})\right]^{-1} \phi(z) \, dz$$

We will consider strategies for maximizing in β , σ the sum of log's over *i*, mostly in the simpler case where all covariates $\underline{X}_{i,j} = \underline{X}_i$ are at cluster level

Software Comments

glmer in R package lme4

PROC NLINMIX or GLIMMIX in SAS

EM, MCMC and **Adaptive Gaussian Quadrature** ideas for logLik maximization