

## STAT 770 Sep. 2 Lecture Part B

### Forms of Tests and CIs for Multinomials

Lecture part A introduced MLEs in Binom & Multinom Examples

Recall in **Binomial Case**:  $\hat{\theta} = N_1/n \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\approx} \mathcal{N}(p, \frac{p(1-p)}{n})$

**Multinomial Case**:  $\hat{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\pi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\pi}_K \end{pmatrix} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=1}^n I_{[X_a=k]}, 1 \leq k \leq n \right)$

$\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\approx} \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, n^{-1} \left\{ \text{Diag}(\theta) - \theta\theta^{tr} \right\}\right)$  by Multivariate CLT

because  $E(I_{[X_a=k]}) = \pi_k$ ,  $E(I_{[X_a=k]}I_{[X_a=j]}) = \pi_k I_{[k=j]}$

## Three Classic Examples

**(I) Binomial Proportion**  $X_a \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ ,  $C = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\beta = p$   
 $\theta = (p, 1 - p)$

$N_1 = \sum_{a=1}^n X_a \sim \text{Binom}(n, p)$ ,  $L(\theta) \propto p^{N_1}(1 - p)^{n - N_1}$ ,  $\hat{p} = N_1/n$

**(II) Comparing Two Proportions.**  $X_a, Z_a \in \{0, 1\}$  fixed.

$P(X_a = 1 | Z_a = z, \theta) = \pi_z$ ,  $z = 0, 1$ ,  $\theta = (\pi_0, 1 - \pi_0, \pi_1, 1 - \pi_1)$

$L(\theta) \propto \prod_{z=0}^1 \pi_z^{N_{z,1}} (1 - \pi_z)^{N_{z,0}}$ , **MLEs**  $\hat{\pi}_z = (N_{z,1}/N_{z,+})$ ,  $z = 0, 1$

**(III) Multinomial Goodness of Fit.**  $X_a \in C = \{1, \dots, K\}$

$\pi_k = P(X_a = k | \theta)$ ,  $\theta = (\pi_k, k = 1, \dots, K)$ ,  $\hat{\pi}_k = N_k/n$

Statistical tests and CIs based on  $\hat{\theta}$  and Likelihood Ratio Tests

## Three Classic Forms of Hypothesis Tests

**Setting:**  $\underline{Y} = \{(X_a, Z_a)\}$  iid. or  $\{X_a\}$  indep &  $Z_a$  fixed

**Model:**  $p(\underline{y}, \theta)$  prob. mass function,  $\theta = \theta(\beta)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$   
( $d \leq K - 1$  in multinomial examples )

**Null Hypothesis:**  $H_0 : \beta = (\gamma_0, \lambda)$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^q$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{d-q}$ ,  $q \leq d$

**Wald Test** based on  $\hat{\gamma} - \gamma_0$  within  $\hat{\beta} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\approx} \mathcal{N}(\beta, V(\hat{\beta})/n)$

**Score Test ( $q = d$ )** using  $\nabla_{\beta} \log p(\underline{Y}, \theta_0) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\approx} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, n(V(\beta_0))^{-1})$

**LR Test ( $q = d$ )** based on  $\Lambda = -2 \log (L(\theta_0)/L(\hat{\theta})) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\approx} \chi_d^2$

More general  $q < d$  versions of Score & LRT replace  $\theta_0$  in statistic by  $\hat{\theta}_r$ , where r means **restricted** subject to  $\gamma = \beta_0$

## Tests of $H_0 : \beta = p = p_0$ in Binomial Case

$$(\theta = (p, 1 - p), \beta = p)$$

No  $Z_\alpha$ 's,  $X_a \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ ,  $\hat{p} = N_1/n \sim \mathcal{N}(p, p(1-p)/n)$

(2-sided) Wald Test rejects when  $|\hat{p} - p_0| \geq \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2) \frac{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}}{\sqrt{n}}$

or equivalently, when  $\frac{n(\hat{p} - p_0)^2}{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})} = \frac{(N_1 - np_0)^2}{N_1(1 - N_1/n)} \geq \chi_{1,\alpha}^2$

Since  $\nabla_p \log L(\theta, \underline{Y}) = \frac{N_1}{\theta} - \frac{n - N_1}{1 - \theta} = \frac{N_1 - np}{p(1-p)} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{n}{p(1-p)}\right)$

Score Test rejects when  $\frac{(N_1 - np_0)^2}{np_0(1-p_0)} \geq \chi_{1,\alpha}^2$

LRT rejects when  $2 \cdot \log \left( N_1 \log\left(\frac{\hat{p}}{p_0}\right) + (n - N_1) \log\left(\frac{1-\hat{p}}{1-p_0}\right) \right) \geq \chi_{1,\alpha}^2$

## Confidence Intervals Related to Tests, $d = 1$

**Generally** with test statistic  $T$  a monotonic function of centered standardized estimate  $|\tilde{\beta} - \beta_0|$  (in 2-sided testing), tests  $T \geq c_\alpha$  suggest **Confidence Interval**:  $|\tilde{\beta} - \theta_0| \leq \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2) \widehat{se}(\tilde{\beta})$

**Inverted-Test CI** defined differently, with  $T = T(\beta_0)$  notation-ally linked to  $H_0$  parameter, as  $\{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d : T(\beta) < c_\alpha\}$

Both CI ideas are based on reference distribution for  $T(\beta)$  valid when data satisfy model with parameter  $\beta$ .

Need not be related to normal or symmetric d.f. , can instead use  $\alpha/2, 1 - \alpha/2$  quantiles for  $\tilde{\beta}$ .

## Confidence Intervals for $p$ in Binomial Case

Wald:  $\{p : (\hat{p} - p)^2 \leq \chi_{1,\alpha}^2 \cdot \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}\} = \hat{p} \pm \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2) \frac{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}}{\sqrt{n}}$

Score = Wilson, (inverted):  $\{p : (\hat{p} - p)^2 \leq \chi_{1,\alpha}^2 \cdot \frac{p(1-p)}{n}\}$

(solve quadratic in  $p$ : interval approximated by *Agresti-Coull* interval which is Wald with  $n \mapsto n + 2$ ,  $N_1 \mapsto N_1 + 1$ )

LRT (inverted):  $\left\{ p : 2n \log \left( \hat{p} \log\left(\frac{\hat{p}}{p}\right) + (1 - \hat{p}) \log\left(\frac{1-\hat{p}}{1-p}\right) \right) \leq \chi_{1,\alpha}^2 \right\}$

Clopper-Pearson  $[p_L, p_U]$  **(which is very conservative)**

inverts exact one-sided binomial tails tests such that

$$\text{pbinom}(N_1, n, p_U) = \alpha/2 = 1 - \text{pbinom}(N_1 - 1, n, p_L)$$

Issue in Sec. 1.4.2, 16.6.1 and Exercise (A): the common Wald CI has poor (low) coverage, bad for surprisingly large  $n$  !!

## Remarks about Moderate Sample-Size Behavior

(1) Part of the problem is the granularity of the discrete binomial for moderate  $n$ , as shown by the  $n = 100$  picture on the Course web-page comparing coverage of the Wald, Wilson, and Clopper-Pearson CI's.

(2) Related issue is **Yates Continuity Correction**: binomial  $N_1 \sim \text{Binom}(n, p)$  could be said to assign probability  $\text{dbinom}(k, n, p)$  to interval  $(k - 1/2, k + 1/2)$ , so normal approx should assign  $P(N_1 \geq k) \approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{(k - 0.5 - np)}{\sqrt{np(1 - p)}}\right)$  when  $k \geq np + 1$ .