

STAT 770 Oct. 7 Lectures

GLMs – Estimating Equations without Likelihoods

Reading and Topics for this lecture: Chapter 4, Secs. 4.4-4.5

- (1) Recap of GLM Ingredients (with scalar θ)
- (2) Score Equation for GLM using Likelihood
- (3) GLM Score Eq'n – Recalling Logistic & Poisson Regression
- (4) Using GLM Estimating Eq'n without the Likelihood!
- (5) More on fitting R models using `glm`
- (6) Fitting Logistic & Poisson Regressions
- (7) Deviances vs Log LR's

Natural Exponential Families, Scalar θ , $T(y) = y$

probability mass function $f(y, \theta) = h(y) \exp(\theta y - c(\theta))$

$$(1) \quad 0 = \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum_y f(y, \theta) = \sum_y (y - c'(\theta)) f(y, \theta)$$

Therefore $0 = E_\theta(Y - c'(\theta)) \Rightarrow \boxed{c'(\theta) = E_\theta(Y)}$

$$(2) \quad 0 = \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum_y (y - c'(\theta)) f(y, \theta) = \sum_y \left[(y - c'(\theta))^2 - c''(\theta) \right] f(y, \theta)$$

Therefore $0 = E_\theta \left[(Y - c'(\theta))^2 - c''(\theta) \right] \Rightarrow \boxed{c''(\theta) = \text{Var}_\theta(Y)}$

Ingredients and Terminology for GLMs

Y_i outcomes 'satisfying' $Y_i \sim f(y, \theta_i) = \exp(\theta_i' y - c(\theta_i)) h(y)$

X_i (vector) regressor variables entering model via $\eta_i = \beta' X_i$

μ_i conditional expectation of Y_i given X_i

θ_i monotonically related to $\mu_i = c'(\theta_i)$ through model

$g(\mu_i) = \eta_i$ **link function** g monotonic, smooth

GLM contains relationships $\beta \mapsto \eta_i \mapsto \mu_i \mapsto \theta_i$

specifying likelihood $L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(Y_i, \theta_i)$

Deriving the GLM Score Equation

$$\eta_i = X_i' \beta, \quad \mu_i = g^{-1}(\eta_i), \quad E_{\theta_i}(Y_i | X_i) = c'(\theta_i) = \mu_i$$

$$\nabla_{\beta} \log f(Y_i, \theta_i) = (\nabla_{\beta} \eta_i) \left(\frac{d\mu_i}{d\eta_i} \frac{d\theta_i}{d\mu_i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} [\theta_i Y_i - c(\theta_i)]$$

$$= X_i \left(\frac{d\eta_i}{d\mu_i} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{d\mu_i}{d\theta_i} \right)^{-1} [Y_i - c'(\theta_i)]$$

$$= X_i \left(g'(\mu_i) \cdot c''(\theta_i) \right)^{-1} [Y_i - c'(\theta_i)] = X_i \frac{Y_i - \mu_i}{g'(\mu_i) \text{Var}_{\theta_i}(Y_i)}$$

$$\nabla_{\beta} \log L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{Y_i - \mu_i}{g'(\mu_i) v(\mu_i)}$$

where $v(\mu_i) \equiv c''(\theta_i) = \text{Var}_{\theta_i}(Y_i)$, $\mu_i = c'(\theta_i)$.

Notes on GLM Score Equation

(I) In some, models, with **canonical link function** $g(\eta)$, the choice satisfies $\eta_i = \theta_i$. This is true in Logistic Regression $\log(p/(1-p)) = \theta = \beta^{tr} X$, and also in Poisson Regression $\log(\lambda) = \theta = \beta^{tr} X$. It is not true in Probit Regression where Y_i is binary, so $\theta = \log(p/(1-p))$, but $\mu_i = p = \Phi(\eta_i)$.

(II) When the link is **canonical**, the terms $\frac{d\mu_i}{d\eta_i} \frac{d\theta_i}{d\mu_i}$ in the 2nd line of derivation on the previous slide disappear, and $g'(\mu_i) c''(\theta_i) \equiv 1$.

The Score Equation becomes $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i (Y_i - c'(\beta^{tr} X_i)) = 0$.

(III) The Score Equation (to be solved for MLE) does not depend on the model except through its mean μ_i and its variance $v(\mu_i)$ expressed as a function of the mean.

Logistic Regression Estimating Equation

Logistic Regression is a model for binary Y_i given X_i :

$$\text{logit } P(Y_i = 1 | X_i) = X_i' \beta, \quad \text{logit}(x) = \log\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) \quad \text{log-odds}$$

$$P(Y_i = 1 | X_i, \beta) = \frac{e^{\beta' X_i}}{1 + e^{\beta' X_i}} \quad \text{plogis}(x) = \frac{e^x}{1 + e^x}$$

Data $\{(Y_i, X_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, $L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\left(\frac{e^{\beta' X_i}}{1 + e^{\beta' X_i}} \right)^{Y_i} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta' X_i}} \right)^{1 - Y_i} \right]$

logLik $\log L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[Y_i \beta' X_i - \log(1 + e^{\beta' X_i}) \right]$

Equation:

$$\nabla \log L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \left[Y_i - \frac{e^{\beta' X_i}}{1 + e^{\beta' X_i}} \right] = \mathbf{0}$$

Compare least-squares estimating equation !

Poisson Regression Estimating Equation

Poisson Regression: model for Poisson counts Y_i given X_i :

$$\log \{E(Y_i | X_i)\} = X_i' \beta \quad , \quad \text{Poisson rate } \lambda_i = e^{\beta' X_i} \quad \text{for } Y_i$$

$$P(Y_i = k | X_i, \beta) = e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^k / k! = \text{dpois}(k, \lambda_i)$$

Data $\{(Y_i, X_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, $L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\exp(-e^{\beta' X_i}) e^{Y_i \beta' X_i} \right]$

logLik $\log L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[Y_i \beta' X_i - e^{\beta' X_i} \right]$

Equation:

$$\nabla \log L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \left[Y_i - e^{\beta' X_i} \right] = \mathbf{0}$$

Estimating Equation for GLM

Now work backwards. **Assume Y_i conditionally independent given X_i with (conditional) means μ_i satisfying $\mu_i = g(X_i^T \beta)$, with β the same for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and g known.**

Assume (for now) that $\text{Var}(Y_i | X_i) = v(\mu_i)$, with $v(\cdot)$ known.

Idea: estimate β as the solution of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{Y_i - g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta)}{g'(g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta)) v(g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta))} = 0$$

by writing $\mu_i = g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta)$.

Recall $g^{-1} = \text{logit}$ for Logistic Regression, \log for Poisson.

General Idea of Estimating Equations

Suppose *iid* (Y_i, X_i) satisfy $E_{\beta_0} [Q(Y_i, X_i, \beta_0)] \equiv 0$ in model P_β with parameter $\beta = \beta_0$ (and maybe other nuisance parameters).

Law of Large Numbers implies

$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Q(Y_i, X_i, \beta) \xrightarrow{P_\beta} 0$$

Assume regularity conditions (smoothness and moments) on Q as in MLE-theory special case $Q(Y_i, X_i, \beta) = \nabla_\beta \log f(Y_i | X_i, \beta)$:

Q continuously differentiable in β , with $E_\beta (\nabla_\beta \{Q(Y_1, X_1, \beta)\}^{tr})$ **nonsingular**

Further Steps in Estimating Equation Theory

View $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Q(Y_i, X_i, \beta)$ as random function for $\beta \in B_\epsilon(\beta_0)$

Under regularity conditions, uniformly close to $E_{\beta_0}(Q(Y_1, X_1, \beta))$

This function is $\mathbf{0}$ at $\beta = \beta_0$, and nonsingularity of gradient implies there exists solution within $B_\epsilon(\beta_0)$.

This can be used also to show that there exist locally consistent estimating equation solutions in P_{β_0} probability. Will take this further next time to develop asymptotic normality and information-like matrices to invert for asymptotic variance.