

STAT 770 Oct. 12 Lectures

GLMs – Estimating Equations without Likelihoods

Reading and Topics for this lecture: Chapter 4, Secs. 4.5, 4.7

- (1) Recap of GLM Estimating Equations (with scalar θ)
- (2) Score Equation & Asymptotic Variance
- (3) Deviances and Log LR's
- (4) Other Model Examples: Noncanonical, Dispersion
- (5) Using GLM Estimating Eq'n without the Likelihood!
- (6) More on fitting R models using `glm`

Recap of GLM from Likelihood

Start from Y_i scalar outcomes $Y_i \sim f(y, \theta_i) = \exp(\theta_i y - c(\theta_i)) h(y)$

X_i (vector) regressor variables entering model via $\eta_i = \beta' X_i$

θ **natural parameter**: book expresses it as function $Q(\theta)$

$$E(Y_i | X_i) = c'(\theta_i) = \mu_i, \quad \text{Var}(Y_i | X_i) = c''(\theta_i)$$

$$\text{Var}(Y_i | X_i) = v(\mu_i), \quad v = c'' \circ (c')^{-1}$$

Link function: g monotonic, smooth, known, $g(\mu_i) = \eta_i$

GLM relationships $\beta \mapsto \eta_i \mapsto \mu_i \mapsto \theta_i = (c')^{-1} \circ g^{-1}(X_i^{tr} \beta)$

Score Equation and Information Matrix

Score Equation: $\nabla_{\beta} \log L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{Y_i - \mu_i}{g'(\mu_i) v(\mu_i)} = 0$

$\mu_i = g^{-1}(X_i^{tr} \beta)$, and if link is **canonical**, $g'(\mu_i) v(\mu_i) \equiv 1$

Suppose $\hat{\beta}$ MLE solves GLM score equation. Theory (later in lecture) shows it is **consistent**: under P_{β} , as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{P} \beta$

$$0 = \nabla \log L(\hat{\beta}) \approx \nabla \log L(\beta) + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\beta}^{\otimes 2} \log f(Y_i, \theta_i(\beta)) (\hat{\beta} - \beta)$$

So * $\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\approx} \mathcal{I}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{Y_i - \mu_i}{g'(\mu_i) v(\mu_i)} \right)$

where $\mathcal{I} = -\frac{1}{n} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \nabla_{\beta}^{tr} \frac{Y_i - \mu_i}{g'(\mu_i) v(\mu_i)} \right]$

Information Matrix Versus Observed Information

$$\text{Observed Information } J = -\frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \nabla_{\beta}^{tr} \frac{Y_i - \mu_i}{g'(\mu_i) v(\mu_i)} \right] \Big|_{\beta = \hat{\beta}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fisher Information } \mathcal{I} &= -\frac{1}{n} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \nabla_{\beta}^{tr} \frac{Y_i - \mu_i}{g'(\mu_i) v(\mu_i)} \right] \\ &= \frac{-1}{n} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i (Y_i - \mu_i) \nabla_{\beta}^{tr} \frac{1}{g'(\mu_i) v(\mu_i)} \right] + \frac{1}{n} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{\nabla \mu_i}{g'(\mu_i) v(\mu_i)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Use $E(Y_i | X_i) = \mu_i$ and $\mu_i = g^{-1}(X_i^{tr} \beta)$: then

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^{tr} \left[(g'(\mu_i))^2 v(\mu_i) \right]^{-1} \quad \text{for } \{X_i\} \text{ fixed}$$

Observed information has additional (smaller-order) term involving $Y_i - \mu_i$ [only] when the link is non-canonical

Drawing Conclusions from the Variance Expressions

General case (under regularity conditions): $\mu_i = g^{-1}(X_i^{tr} \beta)$, and

$$\hat{\beta} \text{ solves } \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{Y_i - \mu_i}{g'(\mu_i) v(\mu_i)} = 0 \quad , \quad \hat{\mu}_i = g^{-1}(X_i^{tr} \hat{\beta})$$

$$\hat{\beta} - \beta \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\approx} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^{tr} \left((g'(\hat{\mu}_i))^2 v(\hat{\mu}_i) \right)^{-1} \right]^{-1}\right)$$

$$\text{Variance matrix} = \text{Information}^{-1} = (\mathbf{X}^{tr} W \mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{n \times p} \text{ has } i\text{'th row } X_i \quad , \quad W_{n \times n} = \text{diag}\left(\left[g'(\hat{\mu}_i) \right]^2 v(\hat{\mu}_i) \right)^{-1}$$

$$\text{With canonical link: } J = \mathcal{I} \Big|_{\beta = \hat{\beta}} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \text{diag}(v(\hat{\mu}_i))$$

Deviances and Likelihood Ratio Statistics

deviance *between* models with $\dim(\beta) = q$ or p is

$$2 \cdot \left(\log(L(\hat{\beta}^{(p)})) - \log(L(\hat{\beta}^{(q)})) \right)$$

Wilks' Theorem says (under H_0 that $\beta^{(q)}$ is correct) $\sim \chi_{p-q}^2$

(residual) Deviance: *between* current β and Saturated model

Null Model: GLM with intercept only, $q = 1$

Saturated model: GLM with $\hat{\mu}_i \equiv Y_i$ (examples to follow)

Null Deviance: Deviance between Null and Saturated model

(incremental) Deviance: Analysis of Deviance table line
for terms $(q+1):p$ (single component or factor) is
deviance between $\beta^{(q)}$ and $\beta^{(p)}$ models

R Script with Links, Deviances, Data Structures

- (i) Links are arguments to `family` within `glm`
- (ii) Null, incremental and residual deviances within `anova` tables
- (iii) Residual Deviances useful for *categorical* variables X_i that may include row, column factors and interactions
- (iv) Data may be at unit obs. level or aggregated over common X_i categories
- (v) Exhibit variances using `$cov.unscaled` component of `glm` fitted object

Other GLMs and Extensions

Non-canonical Link Examples:

(I). Binomial outcome Y_i , $\mu_i \in (0, 1)$, link g^{-1} any dist'n function other than plogis, e.g. $g^{-1} = \Phi$ for probit model.

(II). Poisson outcome Y_i , link g^{-1} any monotone map on \mathbb{R} , can be identity, e.g. for linear model, if $X_i'\beta$ all positive

Models with Overdispersion: will cover this extension next time

Estimating Equation for GLM

Now work backwards. **Assume Y_i conditionally independent given X_i with (conditional) means μ_i satisfying $\mu_i = g(X_i^T \beta)$, with β the same for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and g known.**

Assume (for now) that $\text{Var}(Y_i | X_i) = v(\mu_i)$, with $v(\cdot)$ known.

Idea: estimate β as the solution of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{Y_i - g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta)}{g'(g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta)) v(g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta))} = 0$$

by writing $\mu_i = g^{-1}(X_i^T \beta)$.

Recall $g^{-1} = \text{logit}$ for Logistic Regression, \log for Poisson.

General Idea of Estimating Equations

Suppose *iid* (Y_i, X_i) satisfy $E_{\beta_0} [Q(Y_i, X_i, \beta_0)] \equiv 0$ in model P_β with parameter $\beta = \beta_0$ (and maybe other nuisance parameters).

Law of Large Numbers implies

$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Q(Y_i, X_i, \beta) \xrightarrow{P_\beta} 0$$

Assume regularity conditions (smoothness and moments) on Q as in MLE-theory special case $Q(Y_i, X_i, \beta) = \nabla_\beta \log f(Y_i | X_i, \beta)$:

Q continuously differentiable in β , with $E_\beta (\nabla_\beta \{Q(Y_1, X_1, \beta)\}^{tr})$ **nonsingular**

Further Steps in Estimating Equation Theory

$M(\beta) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Q(Y_i, X_i, \beta)$ is random function for $\beta \in B_\epsilon(\beta_0)$

Under regularity conditions, uniformly close to $E_{\beta_0}(Q(Y_1, X_1, \beta))$

and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla \{Q(Y_i, X_i, \beta)\}^{tr} \approx E_{\beta_0}(\nabla_{\beta} \{Q(Y_1, X_1, \beta)\}^{tr}) = A(\beta)$

$M(\beta)$ is $\mathbf{0}$ at $\beta = \beta_0$, and conclude (via *empirical process theory*) that with prob. $\rightarrow 1$ there is solution in $B_\epsilon(\beta_0)$.

Nonsingularity of $A(\beta)$ near β_0 implies root of $M(\cdot)$ locally unique: if $\beta^*, \tilde{\beta}$ are solutions in $B_\epsilon(\beta_0)$, then $\mathbf{0} = M(\beta^*) - M(\tilde{\beta})$

$$\approx \left[E_{\beta_0}(\nabla_{\beta} \{Q(Y_1, X_1, \beta_0)\}^{tr}) \right]^{tr} (\beta^* - \tilde{\beta}) + o(\|\beta^* - \tilde{\beta}\|)$$