

# DEFORMATION SPACES OF CONVEX REAL-PROJECTIVE STRUCTURES AND HYPERBOLIC AFFINE STRUCTURES

MEHDI-REZA DARVISHZADEH AND WILLIAM M. GOLDMAN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A convex  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^k$ -structure on a smooth manifold  $M$  is a representation of  $M$  as a quotient of a convex domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^k$  by a discrete group  $\Gamma$  of collineations of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^k$  acting properly on  $\Omega$ . When  $M$  is a closed surface of genus  $g > 1$ , then the equivalence classes of such structures forms a moduli space  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$  homeomorphic to an open cell of dimension  $16(g-1)$  (Goldman [2]). This cell contains the Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  of  $M$  and it is of interest to know what of the rich geometric structure extends to  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ . In [3], a symplectic structure on  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$  is defined, which extends the symplectic structure on  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  defined by the Weil-Petersson Kähler form.

We conjecture that  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$  itself admits a Kähler geometry extending this symplectic structure and restricting to the Weil-Petersson geometry on  $\mathcal{T}(M)$ .

At the first step in this project we define a Riemannian metric on  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ . This metric exists for structures in all dimensions. The basic technique is that a canonically defined Riemannian metric on  $\Omega/\Gamma$  defines a Riemannian structure on the moduli space via the Hodge theory of harmonic forms. Then we define a bundle map  $J$  on the tangent bundle of  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$  by using the previous Riemannian and symplectic structures. We show that  $J$  is an almost complex structure.

Another result is the following alternative description of  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ . Fix  $\lambda > 1$  and let  $\Lambda$  be the multiplicative group of integral powers of  $\lambda$ . For any manifold  $M$ , let  $M'$  denote the Cartesian product  $M \times S^1$ . Let  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  be the vector field on  $M'$  generating the flow  $\Phi_t : (x, \theta) \mapsto (x, \theta + t)$ . Let  $d\theta$  the closed 1-form on  $M'$  tangent to the projection  $M' \rightarrow S^1$ . The group  $\mathcal{D}$  of diffeomorphisms of  $M$  isotopic to the identity acts on

---

*Date:* October 13, 1995.

The authors acknowledge support from National Science Foundation grants DMS-8613576, DMS-8902619.

$M'$  by  $h : (x, \theta) \longrightarrow (h(x), \theta)$  and hence acts on the space of all affine connections on  $M'$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The deformation space  $\mathfrak{B}(M)$  of convex  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\neq$ -structures on  $M$  identifies with the space of  $\mathcal{D}$ -orbits of flat torsionfree affine connections  $\nabla$  on  $M'$  such that:*

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  is radiant with respect to  $\nabla$ : for any vector field  $X \in \text{Vect}(M')$ ,  $\nabla_X \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = X$ ;
- $\nabla(d\theta) > 0$ ;
- Each trajectory of  $\Phi$  is an closed geodesic affinely isomorphic to a Hopf circle  $\mathbb{R}_+/\Lambda$ ;

## 2. DEFORMATION SPACE OF CONVEX $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\neq$ -STRUCTURES

Let  $M$  be a smooth 2-manifold. A real projective structure on  $M$  is a maximal collection  $\{(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\}$ , such that

- $\{U_\alpha\}$  is an open cover of  $M$ ,
- For each  $\alpha$ ,  $\psi_\alpha : U_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\neq$  is a surjective diffeomorphism,
- The change of coordinates are locally projective: If  $\{(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\}$  and  $\{(U_\beta, \psi_\beta)\}$  are two such coordinate charts, then the restriction of  $\psi_\beta \circ \psi_\alpha^{-1}$  to any connected component of  $\psi_\alpha^{-1}(\psi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta))$  is a projective transformation.

A manifold with an  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\neq$ -structure is called an  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\neq$ -manifold. A fundamental fact about  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\neq$ -structures is the following *Development Theorem*, apparently due to Ch. Ehresmann in 1936 ([1]).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\neq$ -manifold and denote its a universal covering space by  $p : \tilde{M} \longrightarrow M$ . Let  $\pi$  be the corresponding group of covering transformations.*

1. *There exist a projective map  $\text{dev} : \tilde{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\neq$  and a homomorphism  $h : \pi \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  such that for each  $\gamma \in \pi$ , the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\text{dev}} & \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\neq \\ \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow h(\gamma) \\ \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\text{dev}} & \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\neq \end{array}$$

2. *If  $(\text{dev}', h')$  is another such pair, then there exists a projective transformation  $g \in \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\text{dev}' = g \circ \text{dev}$  and  $h' = i_g \circ h$  where  $i_g : \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(\neq, \mathbb{R})$  denotes the inner automorphism defined by  $g$  [4].*

An  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$ -structure on  $M$  is called *convex* if  $\text{dev}$  is a diffeomorphism of  $\tilde{M}$  onto a convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$ .

Let  $S$  denote a compact surface. Define

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(\{, \mathcal{M}) \mid \{ : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \text{ is a diffeomorphism and } \mathcal{M} \text{ is an } \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}\text{-manifold}\}.$$

Two elements  $(f, M), (f', M') \in \mathcal{E}$  are equivalent if and only if there exists a projective isomorphism  $h : M \longrightarrow M'$  such that  $h \circ f$  is isotopic to  $f'$ . The set of equivalence classes (denoted by  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}(S)$ ) has a natural topology making it locally equivalent to  $\text{Hom}(\pi, \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})) / \text{PGL}(\neq, \mathbb{R})$ . In other words there exists a map

$$\text{hol} : \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}(S) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi, \text{PGL}(\neq, \mathbb{R})) / \text{PGL}(\neq, \mathbb{R})$$

which is a local diffeomorphism. Let  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$  denote the subset of  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}(S)$  corresponding to convex  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$ -structures.  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$  is an open set and the restriction of  $\text{hol}$  to  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$  is an embedding of  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$  onto an open set of  $\text{Hom}(\pi, \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})) / \text{PGL}(\neq, \mathbb{R})$  ([2]). The Zariski tangent space to  $\text{Hom}(\pi, \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})) / \text{PGL}(\neq, \mathbb{R})$  at  $\{\phi\}$  is isomorphic to  $H^1(\pi, \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})_{\text{Ad } \phi})$  which by De Rham's theorem is isomorphic to  $H^1(S, \xi)$  where  $\xi$  is the flat  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle over  $S$  with holonomy representation  $\text{Adh}$ . (See [5].)

### 3. A WEIL-PETERSSON METRIC ON $\mathfrak{P}(M)$

Let  $S$  be a compact surface with  $\chi(S) < 0$  and let  $(f, M)$  correspond to a convex  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$ -structure as above. In fact  $M$  is a quotient  $\Omega / \Gamma$  where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$  is a convex domain and  $\Gamma \subset \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  is a discrete group acting properly on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^{\neq}$  be the corresponding cone in affine space  $E = \mathbb{R}^{\neq}$ . The *dual cone*  $\Omega^*$  is the subset of the dual vector space  $E^*$  consisting of linear functionals  $\psi : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are positive on  $\Omega'$ . Recall the Koszul-Vinberg characteristic function: For  $x \in \Omega'$ , define

$$f(x) = \int_{\Omega^*} e^{-\psi(x)} d\psi$$

Then  $f$  satisfies

$$f(\gamma x) = \det(\gamma)^{-1} f(x) \tag{1}$$

for any  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\Omega')$  and the Hessian  $d^2 \log f$  is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on  $E$  invariant under  $\text{Aut}(\Omega')$ .

Now consider the section

$$\begin{aligned} k : \Omega &\longrightarrow \Omega' \\ k([p]) &\longmapsto f(p)^{1/3} p \end{aligned}$$

By (1),  $k$  is well-defined and  $k(\Omega) = f^{-1}(1)$ .  $k^*(d^2 \log f)$  is a Riemannian metric on  $\Omega$  invariant under  $\Gamma$ . Hence  $k^*(d^2 \log f)$  defines a Riemannian metric on  $\Omega/\Gamma$ . Corresponding to every convex  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$ -structure on  $S$ , there exists a canonical metric on  $S$ , so there exists a Weil-Petersson metric on  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ , defined as follows.

Let  $[M] \in \mathfrak{P}(S)$ . From 2, we know that

$$T_{[M]}\mathfrak{P}(S) = H^1(M; \xi)$$

The space  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \xi)$  of all  $\xi$ -valued 1-forms on  $M$  consists of sections of the vector bundle  $\text{Hom}(TM, \xi)$ . For every  $x \in f^{-1}(1)$ , the symmetric bilinear form  $(d^2 \log f)_x$  defines a metric on  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^{\neq}, \mathbb{R}^{\neq}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{\neq} \otimes (\mathbb{R}^{\neq})^*$  and therefore induces a Riemannian metric on the bundle  $\xi$ . If  $\phi, \psi$  are sections of  $\xi$ , then the induced inner product is

$$d^2 \log f(\phi, \psi) = \text{trace}(\phi \circ \tilde{\psi})$$

where  $\tilde{\psi}$  is the adjoint of  $\psi$  with respect to  $d^2 \log f$  ([7]).

On the other hand the Hodge star operator associated to the metric on  $M$  defines a metric on  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ . The metric on  $M$  induces a metric on  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{sl}(\ni, \mathbb{R})_{\text{Ad} \sim})$  such that if  $\sigma_1 \otimes \phi_1$  and  $\sigma_2 \otimes \phi_2$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{sl}(\ni, \mathbb{R}))$  then (see [14])

$$(\sigma_1 \otimes \phi_1, \sigma_2 \otimes \phi_2) = \int_M (\sigma_1 \wedge * \sigma_2) \text{trace}(\phi_1 \circ \tilde{\phi}_2)$$

This metric induces a metric  $g$  on the cohomology  $H^1(M; \xi)$  as follows:

Consider the operator  $\delta$  adjoint to exterior differential  $d$  with respect to this inner product, and the corresponding Laplacian  $\Delta$  on 1-forms:

$$\Delta = d\delta + \delta d$$

The kernel  $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \xi)$  of  $\Delta$  and the images of  $d : \mathcal{A}'(\mathcal{M}; \xi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \xi)$  and  $\delta : \mathcal{A}^\epsilon(\mathcal{M}; \xi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \xi)$  decompose the vector space of 1-forms as an orthogonal direct sum

$$\mathcal{A}^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \xi) = \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \xi) \oplus [\mathcal{A}'(\mathcal{M}; \xi) \oplus \delta \mathcal{A}^\epsilon(\mathcal{M}; \xi)]$$

Consequently each De Rham cohomology class contains a unique harmonic representative. Define the pairing

$$g : \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \xi) \times \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \xi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

as the tensor product of the inner product on exterior differential forms and the metric on  $\xi$  induced from  $x^* d^2 \log f$ .

4. A SYMPLECTIC FORM ON  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ 

As in §2, the restriction of  $\text{hol} : \mathbb{RP}^{\neq}(\mathbb{S}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi, \text{PGL}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{R})) / \text{PGL}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathfrak{P}(S)$  embeds  $\mathfrak{P}(S)$  as an open subset of  $\text{Hom}(\pi, \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})) / \text{PGL}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{R})$ . On the other hand the trace form

$$B : \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$B(X, Y) = \text{trace}(XY)$$

is an Ad-invariant bilinear form, so defines a bundle pairing  $\xi \times \xi \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The natural dual pairing

$$\omega : H^1(M; \xi) \times H^1(M; \xi) \longrightarrow H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$$

defined by the cup-product on  $M$  and with  $B$  as a coefficient pairing defines a symplectic form on  $H^1(M; \xi)$ . The induced symplectic structure on

$$\text{Hom}(\pi, \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})) / \text{PGL}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{R})$$

gives a symplectic structure on  $\mathbb{RP}^{\neq}(\mathbb{S})$  and in particular one on  $\mathfrak{P}(S)$ . (See [5] and, for a more analytic treatment, [3].)

As in §2, identify  $H^1(\pi; \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R}))$  with  $H^1(M; \xi)$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in H^1(M; \xi)$  and let  $\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \otimes \phi_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^l \sigma'_i \otimes \phi'_i$  be harmonic forms representing  $\alpha, \beta$  respectively. Then

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} (\sigma_i \wedge \sigma'_j) \otimes B(\phi_i, \phi_j)$$

is an exterior 2-form and its integral defines the symplectic structure on  $\mathfrak{P}(S)$ :

$$\omega(\alpha, \beta) = \int_M \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} (\sigma_i \wedge \sigma'_j) \otimes B(\phi_i, \phi_j)$$

 5. AN ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURE ON  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ 

In this section we compute the almost complex structure defined on  $\mathfrak{P}(M)$ , by using the previous Riemannian and symplectic structures. In fact an operator  $J$  is defined by

$$\omega(\alpha, \beta) = g(\alpha, J\beta) \tag{2}$$

**Lemma 3.** : *The action of  $J$  on 1-forms level is given by:*

$$J(\sigma \otimes \phi) = - * \sigma \otimes \tilde{\phi}$$

where  $*$  is the Hodge star operator .

*Proof.* For finding the action of  $J$ , we follow a formal discussion. We guess

$$J(\sigma \otimes \phi) = *\sigma \otimes K(\phi)$$

and determine  $K$  such that the operator  $J$  satisfies in (1). We have

$$\omega(\sigma_1 \otimes \phi_1, \sigma_2 \otimes \phi_2) = \int_S \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \operatorname{trace}(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(\sigma_1 \otimes \phi_1, J(\sigma_2 \otimes \phi_2)) &= g(\sigma_1 \otimes \phi_1, *\sigma_2 \otimes K\phi_2) \\ &= \int \sigma_1 \wedge *(*\sigma_2) \operatorname{trace}(\phi_1 \circ \widetilde{K\phi_2}) \\ &= - \int \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \operatorname{trace}(\phi_1 \circ \widetilde{K\phi_2}) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By formally comparing (3) and (4):

$$\operatorname{trace}(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2) = - \operatorname{trace}(\phi_1 \circ \widetilde{K\phi_2})$$

or  $-\widetilde{K\phi_2} = \phi_2$ , so  $K(\phi_2) = -\tilde{\phi}_2$ . Then  $J(\sigma \otimes \phi) = -*\sigma \otimes \tilde{\phi}$  because  $J$  is uniquely determined by (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.**  $g(Ja, Jb) = g(a, b)$

*Proof.* It suffices to consider  $a = \sigma_1 \otimes \phi_1$  and  $b = \sigma_2 \otimes \phi_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(Ja, Jb) &= g(Jb, Ja) \\ &= g(J(\sigma_2 \otimes \phi_2), J(\sigma_1 \otimes \phi_1)) \\ &= g(-*\sigma_2 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_2, -*\sigma_1 \otimes \tilde{\phi}_1) \\ &= \int -*\sigma_2 \wedge *(-*\sigma_1) \operatorname{trace}(\tilde{\phi}_2 \circ \phi_1) \\ &= \int \sigma_1 \wedge *\sigma_2 \operatorname{trace}(\tilde{\phi}_1 \circ \phi_2) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 5.**  $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \xi) = \mathcal{Z}^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \xi) \cap \mathcal{J}\mathcal{Z}^\infty(\mathcal{M}; \xi)$ .

*Proof.* A differential form is harmonic if and only if it is closed and co-closed. The almost complex structure  $J$  preserves the harmonic 1-forms  $\square$

## 6. HESSIAN MANIFOLDS

Let  $M$  be a ( flat ) affine manifold . A Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  is said to be *Hessian* if for each point  $p$  there exists a function  $f$  defined on a neighborhood of  $p$  such that  $\nabla df > 0$ . A flat affine manifold provided with a Hessian metric is called a *Hessian manifold*. [11] , [12]. The following theorem is due to Koszul [10] :

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $M$  be a connected Hessian manifold with Hessian metric  $G$  . Suppose  $M$  admits a closed 1-form such that . If there exists a subgroup  $G$  of affine transformations of  $M$  such that is quasi-compact and that  $G$  leaves invariant , then the universal covering manifold of  $M$  is a convex domain in a real affine space not containing any full straight line .*

## 7. AFFINE CONNECTIONS

For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , let  $h_\lambda$  denote the homothety

$$\begin{aligned} S \times \mathbb{R}^+ &\longrightarrow S \times \mathbb{R}^+ \\ (s, t) &\longmapsto (s, \lambda t) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $dt$  denote the 1-form on  $S \times \mathbb{R}^+$  pulled back from  $dt$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  by projection  $t : S \times \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . The  $t^{-1}dt$  is a 1-form on  $S \times \mathbb{R}^+$  invariant under the homotheties above.

**Lemma 7.** : *Let  $S$  be a closed surface with convex real projective structure. Then there exist a radiant affine manifold  $M$ , a diffeomorphism to  $f : S \times \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow M$  and an exact 1-form  $\alpha_M$  on  $M$ . Let  $\alpha_S = (f^{-1})^*\alpha_M$  be the corresponding 1-form on  $S \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then  $\alpha_S = t^{-1}dt$  and*

$$(h_\lambda)^*\alpha_S = \lambda\alpha_S$$

*Proof.* The convex  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$ -structure on  $S$  induces a convex  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$ -structure on  $\tilde{S}$ . Let  $x$  be a base-point in  $S$ ,  $\Pi : \tilde{S} \longrightarrow S$  be the corresponding universal covering space and  $\pi$  the corresponding group of covering transformations. By the Development Theorem there exist a projective map  $\text{dev}$  and a homomorphism  $\rho$  such that  $\text{dev}$  is equivariant with respect to  $\rho$ . Let  $\Omega'$  be the corresponding affine cone. Projectivization defines the structure of a principal  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -bundle  $\Omega' \longrightarrow \Omega$ . By definition,  $\text{dev}$  is a diffeomorphism onto a convex domain  $\Omega$ . By pulling back this bundle via  $\text{dev}$ , we obtain a principal  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -bundle. The open cone  $\Omega'$  whose projectivization is  $\Omega$  is the total space of a principal  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -bundle. Pulling back this bundle via  $\text{dev}$  produces a principal  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -principal bundle over  $\tilde{M}$ . The affine structure on  $\Omega'$ , induced from  $\mathbb{R}^{\neq} - \{\neq\}$ ,

induces an affine structure on  $S'$ . There exists a lift  $\tilde{h} : \pi_1(S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  of the homomorphism  $h : \pi_1(S) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  so that  $\pi_1(S)$  acts affinely on  $S'$ . It is clear that this action is proper and free, obtaining a radiant affine structure on the total space  $\hat{S} = S'/\pi_1(S) \approx S \times \mathbb{R}^+$  of a principal  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -bundle over  $S$  with holonomy representation  $\tilde{h}$ . The radiant vector field  $\rho_{\hat{S}}$  generates the (fiberwise) affine action of  $\mathbb{R}^+$  on  $\hat{S}$ , which is given locally in coordinates by homotheties. On the other hand every principal  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -bundle is trivial. Choose any  $\lambda > 1$ . The cyclic group  $\langle \lambda \rangle \subset \mathbb{R}^+$  acts properly and freely by affine transformations on  $\hat{S}$ . The resulting affine manifold  $\hat{S}/\langle \lambda \rangle$  is homeomorphic to  $S \times S^1$ . Corresponding to a convex real projective structure on  $S$  is a whole family of radiant affine structures on  $S \times S^1$ , one for each  $\lambda$ . The radiant vector field is, in fact, the vector field on  $S \times S^1$  in the direction of  $S^1$ , which we denote by  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ . Consider the characteristic function  $f : \Omega' \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $\Omega'$ . The logarithmic differential  $d \log f$  is a closed 1-form on  $\Omega'$  which is:

- positive definite;
- invariant under  $\mathrm{Aff}(\Omega')$

Then by the above construction, there exists a closed 1-form  $\tilde{\alpha}$  on  $S'$  such that  $\nabla \tilde{\alpha} > 0$  and is invariant under  $\pi_1(S)$  and  $\langle \lambda \rangle$ . Consequently, there exists a closed 1-form  $\alpha$  on  $S \times S^1$  such that  $\nabla \alpha > 0$ .  $\square$

With notation in lemma 1, we have :

**Lemma 8.**  *$\alpha$  represents the cohomology class of  $S^1$ , i.e. if  $\pi_2 : S \times S^1 \longrightarrow S^1$  denotes projection then  $[\alpha] = \pi_2^*[S^1]$  where  $[S^1] \in H^1(S^1)$  denotes a generator.*

The characteristic function on  $\Omega'$  induces a function on  $S'$  which we again denote by  $\log f$ . The fundamental group of  $S$  naturally acts on  $S'$  by  $\tilde{h}$ . For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma = \tilde{h}(\pi_1(S'))$ ,

$$\log f \circ \gamma = \log f - \log \det(\gamma)$$

But for  $\gamma \in \pi_1(S')$ ,  $\det(\gamma) = 1$ , so  $\log f = \log f \circ \gamma$ . It follows that  $\log f$  defines a function  $l : \hat{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha = dl$  is exact. Let  $\Pi_\lambda : \hat{S} \longrightarrow S_\lambda = \hat{S}/\langle \lambda \rangle$  denote projection and let  $\hat{\alpha} = \Pi_\lambda^* \alpha$ . There is a function  $l_\lambda$  related to  $l$  on  $S_\lambda$  such that  $\hat{\alpha} = dl_\lambda$ . Consider the generator of the cyclic group  $\langle \lambda \rangle$  i.e.

$$D_\lambda : z \longmapsto \lambda z$$

and

$$\log(f \circ D_\lambda) = \log f - 3 \log \lambda$$

Then  $\alpha$  is a 1-form on  $S \times S^1$ . For every  $\gamma \in \pi_1(S \times S^1) \cong \pi_1(S) \times \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma} \alpha = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} d \log f = \log f(\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{p}) - \log f(\tilde{p}) = -\log \det(\gamma)$$

where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is the lifting of  $\gamma$  and  $\tilde{p}$  is an arbitrary point in  $S'$  whose projection by  $S' \approx S \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  is the base point of  $S^1$ . Now

$$S'/\Gamma = \hat{S} \approx S \times \mathbb{R}^+$$

and  $\pi_1(S) \cong \pi_1(\hat{S}) \cong \Gamma$  and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the period of  $\alpha$  around  $\gamma$  is zero. Also  $\langle \lambda \rangle \subset \pi_1(S \times S^1)$ , and  $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$  the period of  $\alpha$  is zero. So by using the Hurewicz isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(W, \mathbb{R}) &\cong \text{Hom}(H_1(W, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(pi_1(W), \mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $S \times S^1$ , we have  $[\alpha] = \pi_2^*[S^1]$ .

Let  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  be the vector field on  $S \times S^1$  in the direction of  $S^1$ , i.e. the infinitesimal generator of the flow :

$$\Theta_t : (s, u) \mapsto (s, u + t)$$

for  $u \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $d\theta$  be the 1-form dual to  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ , i.e.  $d\theta(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the set of all affine connections  $\nabla$  on  $S \times S^1$  such that:

- $\nabla$  is flat and torsionfree;
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  is radiant with respect to  $\nabla$ , i.e.

$$\nabla_X(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) = X$$

for all vector fields  $X$  on  $S \times S^1$ .

- $\nabla d\theta > 0$ .

Before the main theorem, we prove the following lemma, which asserts that a “radiant vector field is affine.”

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $\nabla$  be a flat torsionfree connection on  $N$ . Suppose  $\rho$  is a vector field on  $N$  and  $\{\Theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be its flow. If  $\rho$  is radiant with respect to  $\nabla$ , then  $\nabla$  is invariant under  $\Theta_t$ .*

*Proof.* Define a derivation  $A_\rho = L_\rho - \nabla_\rho$ , where  $L_\rho$  is Lie derivative with respect to  $\rho$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} A_\rho(X) &= L_\rho(X) - \nabla_\rho(X) = [\rho, X] - (\nabla_X \rho + [\rho, X] + T(\rho, X)) \\ &\quad - \nabla_X \rho - T(\rho, X) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\rho$  is radiant and the torsion  $T = 0$ . Then  $A_\rho(X) = -X$ , that is,  $A_\rho = -I$ . By Prop. 2.6 of page 235 of [9], the vector field  $\rho$  is an

infinitesimal affine transformation if and only if for all vector fields  $Y$  on  $N$

$$\nabla_Y(A_\rho) = R(\rho, Y)$$

where  $R$  is the curvature tensor. But  $\nabla_Y(A_\rho) = \nabla_Y(-I) = 0$  (again since  $\nabla$  is torsionfree) and  $R = 0$  (since  $\nabla$  is flat). Thus  $\rho$  is an infinitesimal affine transformation. Now by prop. 1.4. page 228 of [9],  $\nabla$  is invariant with respect to  $\Theta_t$  as desired.  $\square$

Let  $S$  be a closed surface with  $\chi(S) < 0$  and fix a basepoint  $p \in S$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote the set of all pairs  $(f, M)$  where  $f : S \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism and  $M$  is a convex  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$ -manifold. Define a homomorphism

$$T : \text{Diff}^0(S) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(S \times S^1)$$

by  $T(h)(s, u) = (h(s), u)$ . If  $h$  fixes the basepoint  $p \in S$ , then  $T(h)$  fixes the basepoint  $(p, 0) \in S \times S^1$ .

**Theorem 10.** *The natural map*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

*is equivariant with respect to  $T$  and induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{T}(\text{Diff}'(\mathcal{S}))$$

By Lemma 1, corresponding to every  $(f, M) \in \mathcal{E}$ , there exists an element of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Conversely, let  $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}$  and

$$\Omega_p \subset T_{(p,0)}(S \times S^1)$$

be the domain of the exponential map. By Koszul's theorem,  $\Omega_p$  is a sharp convex cone and  $\exp : \Omega_p \rightarrow S \times S^1$  is a covering map. Consider the projection map  $\Pi : S \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ . It is clear that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  is transverse to level sets of  $\Pi$ . Lift the flow of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$  to  $\Omega_p$  (denoting it by  $\tilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}}$ ). The 1-form  $\alpha = \exp^*(d\theta)$  is closed.  $H^1(\Omega) = 0$  implies that there exists a function  $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha = d\phi$ . Level sets of  $\phi$  are transverse the flow of  $\tilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}}$  because the tangent space of a level set of an arbitrary point  $x$  is the kernel of  $d\phi = \alpha$  at  $x$  and

$$1 = (d\theta)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = (d\theta)(\exp_* \tilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}}) = (\exp^* d\theta)\left(\frac{\tilde{\partial}}{\partial \theta}\right) = d\phi\left(\frac{\tilde{\partial}}{\partial \theta}\right)$$

Thus each level set  $\phi^{-1}(c)$  is a cross-section of  $\tilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}}$ . On the other hand,  $\Omega_p$  is a convex cone and the projectivization of  $\phi^{-1}(c)$  is a convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$ . Now  $\pi_1(S \times S^1) \cong \pi_1(S) \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\pi_1(S) \hookrightarrow \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$  so  $\phi^{-1}(c)/\Gamma$  is a convex  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{\neq}$ -structure on  $S$ . The commutativity of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{C} \\
 h \downarrow & & \downarrow T(h) \\
 \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{C}
 \end{array}$$

is obvious from the above construction and the proof of lemma 1, i.e.  $\Phi$  is equivariant with respect to  $T$ , and induces the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{T}(\text{Diff}'(\mathcal{S}))$$

REFERENCES

1. Ehresmann, Ch., *Sur les espaces localement homogenes*, L'ensMath **35** (1936), 317–333
2. Goldman, W., *Convex real projective structures on compact surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **31** (1990), 791–845.
3. ———, *The symplectic geometry of affine connections on surfaces*, J. für die Reigne und Angewandte Math. **407** (1990), 126–159.
4. ——— “Projective geometry on manifolds,” Lecture notes, University of Maryland, Spring 1988
5. ——— *The symplectic nature of fundamental group of surfaces*, Adv. Math. **54** (1984), 200-205. .
6. W.H. Greub, “Multilinear algebra,” Springer-Verlag , New York , 1967 .
7. W.H. Greub , S. Halperin , R. Vanstone, “Connections , Curvature , and Co-homology,” Volume 1, Academic Press , New York etc 1972 .
8. Kobayashi, Sând Nomizu, K; “Foundations of Differential Geometry,” Volume 1, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics **15** (1963), John Wiley & Sons, New York—London
9. Shima, H; *Hessian manifolds and convexity*, in “Manifolds and Lie groups, Papers in honor of Yozô Matsushima,” Progress in Mathematics **14** (1981), 385–392 Birkhäuser, Boston—Basel
10. ——— *Homogeneous Hessian manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier , Grenoble 30,3 (1980)
11. ——— *On certain locally flat homogeneous manifolds on solvable Lie groups*, Osaka J. Math. **13** (1976), 213–229
12. M. Spivak, “A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry,” Volume 4, Publish or Perish , 1975 .
13. E.B. Vinberg, *Homogeneous convex cones* , Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **12** (1963) , 340-363
14. R.O. Wells, “Differential analysis on complex manifolds,” Springer Verlag , New York etc , 1980 .

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEHRAN UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN (*Darvishzadeh*);  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK,  
 MD 20742 USA (*Goldman*)  
*E-mail address: wmg@math.umd.edu*