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## Applied Statistics (Ph.D. Version)

## Instructions to the Student

a. Answer all six questions. Each will be graded from 0 to 10 .
b. Use a different booklet for each question. Write the problem number and your code number (NOT YOUR NAME) on the outside cover.
c. Keep scratch work on separate pages in the same booklet.
d. If you use a "well known" theorem in your solution to any problem, it is your responsibility to make clear which theorem you are using and to justify its use.
e. You may use calculators as needed.

1. Engineers A and B collected replicated data of the form $\left(x_{i}, Y_{i j}\right)$, $i=1, \ldots, k, j=1, \ldots, m$. A plotted $\bar{Y}_{i}$. vs. $x_{i}$ and claimed that there is a nonlinear relationship between the response variable $Y$ and the control variable $x$. B used ordinary least squares to fit a linear model $Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+e$ and claimed that a straight line model was adequate to fit the data because he found $R^{2}>0.9$.
(a) Assuming $Y_{i j}=m\left(x_{i}\right)+e_{i j}$ for some function $m$ and that the $e_{i j}$ are i.i.d. $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$, how would you settle the dispute between A and B ? Are either of them using correct reasoning to support their claims?
(b) Suppose that there had been no replication $(m=1)$. What guidance, if any, could you provide to A and B?
2. Let $Y_{i j}=\mu+a_{i}+e_{i j}, i=1, \ldots, I, j=1, \ldots, J$, be data from a balanced one-way random effects ANOVA, where the $a_{i}$ are i.i.d. $N\left(0, \sigma_{a}^{2}\right)$ and the $e_{i j}$ are i.i.d. $N\left(0, \sigma_{e}^{2}\right)$.

In terms of sample averages and statistics calculated in the usual ANOVA table, find $1-\alpha$ confidence intervals for $\mu, \sigma_{e}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{a}^{2} / \sigma_{e}^{2}$.
3. A population $\mathcal{U}$ consists of $N$ clusters with $M_{i}$ elements in the $i$ th cluster. Altogether the population contains $K=\sum_{i=1}^{N} M_{i}$ elements. A simple random sample $\mathcal{S}$ of $n$ clusters is selected, and a variable $y$ is measured on each element of the selected clusters, yielding data $\left\{y_{i j}, i \in \mathcal{S}, j=\right.$ $\left.1, \ldots, M_{i}\right\}$. Consider the following estimators of the population total $t_{y}=$ $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{i}} y_{i j}=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} t_{i}$, where $t_{i}$ is the $i$ th cluster total:
(i) the simple expansion estimator

$$
\hat{t}_{1}=\frac{N}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} t_{i},
$$

(ii) the ratio to size estimator

$$
\hat{t}_{2}=K \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} t_{i}}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} M_{i}} .
$$

(a) Is either of these two estimators unbiased? Explain your answer.
(b) Give expressions for the variances of these estimators, assuming both $n$ and $N$ are large. Your answer should be exact if possible, otherwise approximate. When would one expect $\hat{t}_{1}$ to be less accurate than $\hat{t}_{2}$ ?
4. Random variables $Y_{i j}, 1 \leq i<j \leq 3$, are observed, where the $Y_{i j}$ are independent $N\left(\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}, \sigma^{2}\right)$. The parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta}=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)^{T}$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are unknown. The parameters $\beta_{i}$ can be regarded as effects of a factor $B$.
(a) Assuming that all three combinations of $(i, j)$ are observed, write a linear model of the form $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{e}$ to describe the data, where $\mathbf{Y}=\left(Y_{12}, Y_{13}, Y_{23}\right)^{T}$. Write the $\mathbf{X}$ matrix explicitly.
(b) Are any of the individual parameters $\beta_{i}$ estimable? Is an unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$ available? Prove your answer.
5. Consider the quadratic regression model

$$
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\beta_{12} x_{1} x_{2}+\beta_{11} x_{1}^{2}+\beta_{22} x_{2}^{2}+e
$$

where, as usual, the $e$ 's are i.i.d. $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$ random errors.
(a) If the $x_{i j}$ are all $\pm 1$, verify that the parameters $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{j j}, j=1,2$, are not estimable.
(b) Suppose that $n$ observations are available for each combination of $x$ values with $x_{1}= \pm 1, x_{2}= \pm 1$ and $m$ additional observations are available at $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(0,0)$. Show that $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}+\beta_{11}+\beta_{22}$ are estimable, but that $\beta_{11}$ and $\beta_{22}$ are not individually estimable.
(c) Propose a test of $H_{0}: \beta_{11}=\beta_{22}=0$ and give the distribution of your test statistic under $H_{0}$.
6. A simple random sample of households $\mathcal{S}$ is selected from a very large population. The data will be used to estimate the proportion $p_{\mathcal{U}}$ of households with a certain attribute. It is believed that $p_{\mathcal{U}}$ is between $30 \%$ and $70 \%$. What sample sizes are needed to meet the following requirements for precision?
(a) The population proportion $p_{\mathcal{U}}$ is to be estimated with a standard error of no more than $3 \%$.
(b) The proportions $p_{\mathcal{U}_{k}}$ in each of the three income classes-under $\$ 25,000$, $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 50,000$, and over $\$ 50,000(k=1,2,3$, respectively) -are each to be estimated with a standard error of no more than $3 \%$.
(c) The differences of proportions $\left(p_{\mathcal{U}_{j}}-p_{\mathcal{U}_{k}}\right)$ for each pair of classes in (b) are to be estimated with a standard error of no more than $3 \%$.

Income statistics indicate that the proportions in the three classes above are $50 \%, 40 \%$ and $10 \%$.

You should provide separate answers for each of parts (a), (b), (c). The finite population correction may be neglected.

