## DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND GRADUATE WRITTEN EXAMINATION AUGUST, 2003

## Applied Statistics (Ph.D. Version)

## Instructions to the Student

- a. Answer all six questions. Each will be graded from 0 to 10.
- b. Use a different booklet for each question. Write the problem number and your code number (**NOT YOUR NAME**) on the outside cover.
- c. Keep scratch work on separate pages in the same booklet.
- d. If you use a "well known" theorem in your solution to any problem, it is your responsibility to make clear which theorem you are using and to justify its use.
- e. You may use calculators as needed.

1. Engineers A and B collected replicated data of the form  $(x_i, Y_{ij})$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k, j = 1, \ldots, m$ . A plotted  $\overline{Y}_i$  vs.  $x_i$  and claimed that there is a nonlinear relationship between the response variable Y and the control variable x. B used ordinary least squares to fit a linear model  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + e$  and claimed that a straight line model was adequate to fit the data because he found  $R^2 > 0.9$ .

- (a) Assuming  $Y_{ij} = m(x_i) + e_{ij}$  for some function m and that the  $e_{ij}$  are i.i.d.  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ , how would you settle the dispute between A and B? Are either of them using correct reasoning to support their claims?
- (b) Suppose that there had been no replication (m = 1). What guidance, if any, could you provide to A and B?

2. Let  $Y_{ij} = \mu + a_i + e_{ij}$ , i = 1, ..., I, j = 1, ..., J, be data from a balanced one-way random effects ANOVA, where the  $a_i$  are i.i.d.  $N(0, \sigma_a^2)$  and the  $e_{ij}$  are i.i.d.  $N(0, \sigma_e^2)$ .

In terms of sample averages and statistics calculated in the usual ANOVA table, find  $1 - \alpha$  confidence intervals for  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma_e^2$  and  $\sigma_a^2/\sigma_e^2$ .

3. A population  $\mathcal{U}$  consists of N clusters with  $M_i$  elements in the *i*th cluster. Altogether the population contains  $K = \sum_{i=1}^{N} M_i$  elements. A simple random sample  $\mathcal{S}$  of n clusters is selected, and a variable y is measured on each element of the selected clusters, yielding data  $\{y_{ij}, i \in \mathcal{S}, j = 1, \ldots, M_i\}$ . Consider the following estimators of the population total  $t_y = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} y_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} t_i$ , where  $t_i$  is the *i*th cluster total:

(i) the simple expansion estimator

$$\hat{t}_1 = \frac{N}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} t_i,$$

(ii) the ratio to size estimator

$$\hat{t}_2 = K \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} t_i}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} M_i}.$$

- (a) Is either of these two estimators unbiased? Explain your answer.
- (b) Give expressions for the variances of these estimators, assuming both n and N are large. Your answer should be exact if possible, otherwise approximate. When would one expect  $\hat{t}_1$  to be less accurate than  $\hat{t}_2$ ?

4. Random variables  $Y_{ij}$ ,  $1 \le i < j \le 3$ , are observed, where the  $Y_{ij}$  are independent  $N(\beta_i - \beta_j, \sigma^2)$ . The parameters  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)^T$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown. The parameters  $\beta_i$  can be regarded as effects of a factor B.

- (a) Assuming that all three combinations of (i, j) are observed, write a linear model of the form  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e}$  to describe the data, where  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_{12}, Y_{13}, Y_{23})^T$ . Write the **X** matrix explicitly.
- (b) Are any of the individual parameters  $\beta_i$  estimable? Is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  available? Prove your answer.

5. Consider the quadratic regression model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_{12} x_1 x_2 + \beta_{11} x_1^2 + \beta_{22} x_2^2 + e$$

where, as usual, the e's are i.i.d.  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  random errors.

- (a) If the  $x_{ij}$  are all  $\pm 1$ , verify that the parameters  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_{jj}$ , j = 1, 2, are not estimable.
- (b) Suppose that *n* observations are available for each combination of *x* values with  $x_1 = \pm 1$ ,  $x_2 = \pm 1$  and *m* additional observations are available at  $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ . Show that  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_0 + \beta_{11} + \beta_{22}$  are estimable, but that  $\beta_{11}$  and  $\beta_{22}$  are not individually estimable.
- (c) Propose a test of  $H_0$ :  $\beta_{11} = \beta_{22} = 0$  and give the distribution of your test statistic under  $H_0$ .

6. A simple random sample of households S is selected from a very large population. The data will be used to estimate the proportion  $p_{\mathcal{U}}$  of households with a certain attribute. It is believed that  $p_{\mathcal{U}}$  is between 30% and 70%. What sample sizes are needed to meet the following requirements for precision?

- (a) The population proportion  $p_{\mathcal{U}}$  is to be estimated with a standard error of no more than 3%.
- (b) The proportions  $p_{\mathcal{U}_k}$  in each of the three income classes—under \$25,000, \$25,000 to \$50,000, and over \$50,000 (k = 1, 2, 3, respectively)—are each to be estimated with a standard error of no more than 3%.
- (c) The differences of proportions  $(p_{\mathcal{U}_j} p_{\mathcal{U}_k})$  for each pair of classes in (b) are to be estimated with a standard error of no more than 3%.

Income statistics indicate that the proportions in the three classes above are 50%, 40% and 10%.

You should provide separate answers for each of parts (a), (b), (c). The finite population correction may be neglected.