## Numerical Analysis Qualifying Exam AMSC/CMSC 666, August 2010

(1) The idea of this problem is to give a direct proof of the uniqueness of the natural cubic spline interpolating data points $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ with $x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}$ The only analytic tool you will need is Rolle's theorem.
Suppose that $S_{1}(x), S_{2}(x)$ are natural cubic spline functions satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)=S_{2}\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i} \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, n, \\
& S_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=S_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=S_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=S_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $S(x)=S_{1}(x)-S_{2}(x)$
(a) Show that $S(x)$ is a natural cubic spline function.
(b) Show that $S^{\prime \prime}(x)$ has at least $n-1$ zeros in $\left(x_{0}, x_{n}\right)$ and therefore at least $n+1$ zeros in $\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$.
(c) Show that there is an $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $S(x) \equiv 0$ on $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$.
(d) Show $S(x) \equiv 0$ on $\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$ and therefore $S_{1}(x) \equiv S_{2}(x)$ on $\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$.
(2) Derive the three-point Gaussian quadrature formula such that

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) x^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \approx \sum_{j=1}^{3} f\left(x_{j}\right) w_{j}
$$

Give bounds on the error of this formula
(3) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be symmetric and positive definite. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Define $\langle y, z\rangle=y^{T} z$ for every $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Consider the following iterative method to solve $A x=b$ :
choose an initial iterate $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
compute the initial residual $r^{(0)}=b-A x^{(0)}$;

$$
\text { if } r^{(n)} \neq 0 \text { then set } \quad \alpha_{n}=\frac{\left\langle r^{(n)}, r^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\langle A r^{(n)}, r^{(n)}\right\rangle}, \begin{aligned}
& x^{(n+1)}=x^{(n)}+\alpha_{n} r^{(n)}, \\
& r^{(n+1)}=r^{(n)}-\alpha_{n} A r^{(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Show that if $r^{(n)} \neq 0$ then $\|y-x\|_{A}$ is minimized along the line $y=x^{(n)}+\alpha r^{(n)}$ parametrized by $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ when $\alpha=\alpha_{n}$ given by the above formula. Here $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is the solution of $A x=b$ while $\|\cdot\|_{A}$ denotes the $A$-norm, which is defined by $\|z\|_{A}^{2}=\langle A z, z\rangle$ for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(b) Show that if $r^{(n)} \neq 0$ then $\left\langle r^{(n+1)}, r^{(n)}\right\rangle=0$.
(c) Let $e^{(n)}=x^{(n)}-x$ be the error of the $n^{\text {th }}$ iterate. Show that if $e^{(n)} \neq 0$ then

$$
\frac{\left\|e^{(n+1)}\right\|_{A}^{2}}{\left\|e^{(n)}\right\|_{A}^{2}}=1-\frac{\left\langle r^{(n)}, r^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\langle A r^{(n)}, r^{(n)}\right\rangle} \frac{\left\langle r^{(n)}, r^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\langle r^{(n)}, A^{-1} r^{(n)}\right\rangle}
$$

(d) Let $\kappa$ denote the quotient of the largest over the smallest eigenvalues of $A$. Derive a bound on $\left\|e^{(n)}\right\|_{A}$ in terms of $\kappa$ and $\left\|e^{(0)}\right\|_{A}$ by using the Kantorovich inequality

$$
1 \leq \frac{\langle A y, y\rangle\left\langle y, A^{-1} y\right\rangle}{\langle y, y\rangle^{2}} \leq \frac{(\kappa+1)^{2}}{4 \kappa} \text { for every nonzero } y \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$
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4. Consider the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y)
$$

for $0 \leq x \leq 1, y(0)=Y_{0}$ and $f$ is a smooth function Let $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}=1$ be a uniform partition of the interval $[0,1]$ and denote by $h$ the step size. Consider a method of the form

$$
y_{n+1}=\alpha y_{n}+\beta y_{n-1}+h \gamma f\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right), \quad n \geq 1 .
$$

(a) Choose the constants $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ so that the order of the local truncation error is as high as possible.
(b) Study the stability of the method and determine whether the method is convergent
5. Consider the nonlinear equation $e^{x}=\sin x$
(a) Show that there is a solution $x_{*} \in\left(-\frac{5}{4} \pi,-\pi\right)$
(b) Consider the following iterative methods: (i) $x_{k+1}=\ln \left(\sin x_{k}\right)$ and (ii) $x_{k+1}=\arcsin \left(e^{x_{k}}\right)$ What can you say about the local convergence of each of these methods for $x_{*}$ as in (a) and their convergence order? If you use a theorem give its precise statement.
(c) For $x_{*}$ as in (a) give a method which is quadratically convergent. Justify why the method is quadratically convergent
6. Consider the following boundary value problem where $f \in C^{0}([0,1])$ is given:

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x)=f(x) \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1), \quad u(0)=0, \quad u(1)=0 .
$$

We are interested in $u^{\prime}(1)$.
(a) The boundary value problem has a weak formulation: Find $u \in V$ such that

$$
\text { for all } v \in V: \quad a(u, v)=\ell(v)
$$

Identify $V, a(u, v), \ell(v)$.
(b) Assume from now on that we have $u \in C^{2}([0,1])$ Let $w(x)=x$ Is $w \in V$ ? Show that $u^{\prime}(1)=a(u, w)-\int_{0}^{1} f(x) w(x) d x$
(c) We now use a finite element method with piecewise linear functions on a uniform mesh with mesh size $h=1 / n, n$ integer, yielding a finite element solution $u_{h}$. Explain why we have an exror estimate $\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C h$ and justify that all needed assumptions are satisfied
(d) Let $\alpha_{h}=a\left(u_{h}, w\right)-\int_{0}^{1} f(x) w(x) d x$. Using the previous parts show that $\left|u^{\prime}(1)-\alpha_{h}\right| \leq C h$.
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(1) Let $w(x)$ be a positive continuous weight function on $[a, b]$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} w(x) \mathrm{d} x=1, & \int_{a}^{b} x w(x) \mathrm{d} x=2 \\
\int_{a}^{b} x^{2} w(x) \mathrm{d} x=5, & \int_{a}^{b} x^{3} w(x) \mathrm{d} x=10
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Take $p_{0}(x)=1$. Find a linear polynomial $p_{1}(x)$ and a quadratic polynomial $p_{2}(x)$ such that $\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ is an orthogonal set on $[a, b]$ with respect to the weight function $w(x)$
(b) Show that $a<-\sqrt{5}$ and $b>\sqrt{5}$. (You may quote any appropriate theorem.)
(c) Derive the two-point Gaussian quadıature formula

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) w(x) \mathrm{d} x \approx w_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)+w_{2} f\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

which is exact for all polynomials of degree $\leq 3$ (i.e find the weights and nodes)
(2) Consider the (unshifted) $Q R$-method for finding the eigenvalues of an invertible matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$
(a) Give the algorithm
(b) Show that each of the matrices $A_{n}$ generated by this algorithm are orthogonally similar to $A$
(c) Show that if $A$ is upper Hessenberg then so are each of the matrices $A_{n}$ generated by this algorithm.
(d) Perform one step of this algorithm on

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
12 & 7 \\
5 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

(e) The sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ generated by this algorithm staxting from the above $A$ has a limit. What are the diagonal entries of this limit? Give your reasoning
(3) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be symmetric and positive definite. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Consider solving $A x=b$ using the stationary iterative method given by

$$
x^{(n+1)}=x^{(n)}+B^{-1}\left(b-A x^{(n)}\right),
$$

where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ has an easily computable inverse. Suppose that $B+B^{T}-A$ is positive definite.
(a) Show that this method will converge for any initial iterate $x^{(0)}$
(b) Let $e^{(n)}=x^{(n)}-x$ be the error of the $n^{t h}$ iterate. Show that each step of this method reduces the $A$-norm of $e^{(n)}$ whenever $e^{(n)} \neq 0$, where the $A$-norm of any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is defined by

$$
\|y\|_{A} \equiv \sqrt{y^{T} A y}
$$

(c) Show how the SOR method fits into this framework.
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4. We consider a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with continuous 2 nd order derivatives. Assume that $f$ has a zero $x_{*}$ with nonsingular Jacobian $D f\left(x_{*}\right)$. For a parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we consider the iteration

$$
x_{k+1}:=x_{k}-\alpha D f\left(x_{k}\right)^{-1} f\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

(a) Show that $x_{k+1}-x_{*}=(1-\alpha)\left(x_{k}-x_{*}\right)+Q$ with $\|Q\| \leq C\left\|x_{k}-x_{*}\right\|^{2}$ for $x_{k}$ sufficiently close to $x_{*}$. Hint: Let $d:=\operatorname{Df}\left(x_{k}\right)^{-1} f\left(x_{k}\right)$. Write down the Taylor approximation of order 1 for $f\left(x_{*}\right)$ about $x_{k}$ with a 2 nd order remainder term $R$. Use this to find an expression for $d$.
(b) Show that this method is locally convergent for $\alpha \in(0,2)$
(c) What can you say about the convergence rate depending on $\alpha \in(0,2)$ ? Can the iteration converge to $x_{*}$ for $\alpha<0$ or $\alpha>2$ ?
5. For the initial value problem $y^{\prime}=f(t, y), y\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ we consider the method

$$
y_{j+1}=y_{j}+h\left[\alpha f_{j}+(1-\alpha) f_{j-1}\right]
$$

where $f_{k}:=f\left(t_{k}, y_{k}\right)$
(a) Find the consistency order of the method depending on the parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
(b) Show that the method is convergent and give the order of convergence, using an appropriate theorem
(c) Consider $y^{\prime}=\lambda y$ and find the values $\mu=\lambda h \in \mathbb{R}$ in the region of absolute stability in the case (i) $\alpha=1$ and (ii) the value of $\alpha$ with the highest consistency order.
6. Let $\Omega_{1}=\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \Omega_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \Omega=(0,1)$. Let $k(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{1}{10} & \text { if } x \in \Omega_{1} \\ 1 & \text { if } x \in \Omega_{2}\end{array}\right.$. Consider the following variational problem: Find $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\text { for all } v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): \quad \int_{0}^{1} k(x) u^{\prime}(x) v^{\prime}(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} v(x) d x
$$

(a) Let $u$ be the solution of the variational problem Let $u_{j}=\left.u\right|_{\Omega_{j}}$ for $j=1,2$. Assume (only for (a)) that $u \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega}), u_{j} \in C^{2}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{j}\right)$ for $j=1,2$ and $f \in C^{0}([0,1])$. What differential equation does $u_{j}$ satisfy? Show that there holds $u_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=u_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $u_{1}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=10 u_{2}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$
(b) We use a finite element method with piecewise linear functions on a uniform mesh with mesh size $h=1 / N, N$ even. Find the matrix and right hand side vector of the resulting linear system.
(c) Show an estimate of the form $\left\|u_{h}-u\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C h^{\alpha}$ for the finite element solution $u_{h}$ and state what assumption you need about the solution $u$ (remember (a)). State any theorems you use in your argument
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1. Let $A$ be a real symmetric matrix of order $n$ with $n$ distinct eigenvalues, and let $v_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be such that $\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{2}=1$ and the inner product $\left(v_{1}, u\right) \neq 0$ for every eigenvector $u$ of $A$.
(a) Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ denote the linear space of polynomials of degree at most $n-1$. Show that

$$
\langle p, q\rangle \equiv\left(p(A) v_{1}, q(A) v_{1}\right)
$$

defines an inner product on $\mathcal{P}_{n}$, where the expression on the right above is the Euclidean product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
(b) Consider the recurrence

$$
\beta_{j+1} v_{j+1}=A v_{j}-\alpha_{j} v_{j}-\beta_{j} v_{j-1}
$$

where $\alpha_{j}$ and $\beta_{j}$ are scalars and $v_{0} \equiv 0$ Show that $v_{j}=p_{j-1}(A) v_{1}$, where $p_{j-1}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $j-1$
(c) Suppose the scalars above are such that $\alpha_{j}=\left(A v_{j}, v_{j}\right)$ and $\beta_{j+1}$ is chosen so that $\left\|v_{j+1}\right\|_{2}=1$ Use this to show that that the polynomials from part (b) are orthogonal with respect to the inner product from part (a)
2. Consider the $n$-panel trapezoid rule $I_{n}(f)$ for calculating the integral $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x$ of a continuous function $f \in C[0,1]$,

$$
I_{n}(f)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}{2}\right)\left(f\left(t_{k}\right)+f\left(t_{k+1}\right)\right)
$$

where $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}=1$
(a) Find a piecewise linear function $G$ such that

$$
I_{n}(f)-\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t=\int_{0}^{1} G(t) f^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

for any continuous function $f$ such that $f^{\prime}$ is integrable over $[0,1]$ Hint: Find $G$ by applying the fundamental theorem of calculus to $\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}}(f(t) G(t))^{\prime} d t$.
(b) Apply the previous result to $f(x)=x^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$, to obtain a rate of convergence
3. Let $C[a, b]$ denote the set of all real-valued continuous functions defined on the closed interval $[a, b]$. Let $\rho \in C[a, b]$ be positive everywhere in $[a, b]$.
Let $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a system of polynomials, with $\operatorname{deg} Q_{n}=n$ for each $n$, orthogonal with respect to the inner product

$$
<g, h>=\int_{a}^{b} \rho(x) g(x) h(x) d x \quad, \quad \forall g, h \in C[a, b]
$$

For a fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let $x_{1}, \quad, x_{n}$ be the $n$ distinct roots of $Q_{n}$ in $(a, b)$ Let

$$
r_{k}(x)=\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{k-1}\right)\left(x-x_{k+1}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{k}-x_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right)\left(x_{k}-x_{k+1}\right) \cdot\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)}, k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

be polynomials of degree $n-1$ Show that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \rho(x) r_{j}(x) r_{k}(x) d x=0 \quad, \quad \forall j \neq k
$$

and that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{a}^{b} \rho(x)\left(r_{k}(x)\right)^{2} d x=\int_{a}^{b} \rho(x) d x
$$

Hint: Use orthogonality to simplify $\int_{a}^{b} \rho(x)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}(x)\right)^{2} d x$
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H. Given the two-point boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}+\alpha u=f(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \alpha>0 \\
u^{\prime}(0)=A \\
u^{\prime}(1)=B
\end{array}\right.
$$

(a) Set up the finite element approximation for this problem, based on piecewise linear elements in equidistant points. Determine the convergence rate in an appropriate norm.
(b) Explain whether $\alpha>0$ is necessary for the convergence in part (a)
5. Consider the multistep approximation of $\dot{x}=f(t, x)$ of the form

$$
w_{n+1}=-\theta w_{n}+(1+\theta) w_{n-1}+\frac{1}{2} h\left[-\theta f_{n+1}+(4+3 \theta) f_{n}\right], \quad f_{n}:=f\left(t_{n}, w_{n}\right)
$$

(a) Determine the order of accuracy as a function of the free real parameter $\theta$.
(b) For which $\theta$ 's is the method stable?

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonlinear smooth function. To determine a local minimum of $f$ one can use a descent method of the form

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\alpha_{k} d_{k}, \quad k \geq 0,
$$

where $0<\alpha_{k} \leq 1$ is a suitable parameter obtained by backtracking and $d_{k}$ is a direction that is chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{k+1}\right)<f\left(x_{k}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Write the Newton method including the backtracking algorithm and examine whether or not there exists $\alpha_{k}$. which yields (1). Establish conditions on the Hessian $H(f(x))$ of $f(x)$ which guarantee the existence of $\alpha_{k}$
(b) If we replace the Hessian by the matrix $H(f(x))+\gamma_{k} I_{\text {; }}$ here $\gamma_{k} \geq 0$ and $I$ is the identity matrix, we obtain a Newton-like method. Find a condition on $\gamma_{k}$ which leads to (1)
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1. Let $A$ be a real symmetric positive-definite matrix. Given a linear system of equations $A x=b$, consider an iterative solution strategy of the form

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\alpha_{k} r_{k},
$$

where $x_{0}$ is arbitrary, $r_{k}=b-A x_{k}$ is the residual and $\alpha_{k}$ is a scalar parameter to be determined.
(a) Derive an expression for $\alpha_{k}$ such that the Euclidean norm $\left\|r_{k+1}\right\|_{2}$ is minimized as a function of $\alpha_{k}$. Is this expression always well-defined and nonzero?
(b) Show that with this choice,

$$
\frac{\left\|r_{k}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|r_{0}\right\|_{2}} \leq\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{\min }(A)}{\lambda_{\max }(A)}\right)^{k / 2} .
$$

2. Define the following linear functional over the space of continuous functions $C[-1,1]$ :

$$
I_{n}(f)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{n, k} f\left(x_{n, k}\right)
$$

where $-1 \leq x_{n, k} \leq 1$, and $f \in C[-1,1]$.
(a) Let $T_{n}$ denote the $n^{\text {th }}$ Chebyshev polynomial. Assume
(i) $\quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n}\left(T_{j}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} T_{j}(x) d x, j=0,1,2, \ldots$

Show that for all $j \geq 0$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n}\left(x^{j}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} x^{j} d x
$$

Note: we are not really using any property of Chebyshev polynomials except that they have a nonvanishing leading coefficient of the term of order $n$.
(b) Assume further that there exists an $M>0$ such that

$$
\text { (ii) } \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|w_{n, k}\right| \leq M, n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Show that for all $f \in C[-1,1]$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n}(f)=\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x
$$

3. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ with $n \geq m$. Assume $\operatorname{rank}(A)=m$.
(a) It is known that the symmetric matrix $A^{T} A$ can be factored as

$$
A^{T} A=V \Delta V^{T}
$$

where the columns of $V$ are the orthonormal eigenvectors of $A^{T} A$ and $\Lambda$ is the diagonal matrix containing the corresponding eigenvalues. Using this as a starting point, derive the singular value decomposition of $A$. That is, show that there is a real orthogonal matrix $U$ and a matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ which is zero except for its diagonal entries $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{m}>0$ such that $A=U \Sigma V^{T}$.
(b) Let $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Show that the decomposition from part (a) can be used to compute $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that $\|b-A x\|_{2}$ is minimal.
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4. One wants to solve the equation $x+\ln x=0$, whose root is $r \sim 0.5$, using one or more of the following iterative methods:

$$
\{i\} x_{k+1}=-\ln x_{k} \quad\{i i\} x_{k+1}=e^{-x_{k}} \quad\{i i i\} x_{k+1}=\frac{x_{k}+e^{-x_{k}}}{2}
$$

(a) Which of the three methods can be used?
(b) Which method should be used?
(c) Give an even better iterative formula.
5. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}+e^{u}=0, \quad 0<x<1 \\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Discretize the problem with a finite element method using continuous, piecewise linear functions on an equidistant grid. Quadrature is to be done with the trapezoidal rule. Write the method in the form

$$
A U_{h}+F_{h}\left(U_{h}\right)=0,
$$

where $U_{h} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ denoted the vector of unknown nodal values of the approximate solution, $A$ is an $m \times m$ matrix whose elements are independent of the discretization parameter $h$, and $F_{h}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a nonlinear vector-valued function.
6. Determine the local order of accuracy and the stability properties of the two-step scheme

$$
x_{i+2}-3 x_{i+1}+2 x_{i}=\Delta t \cdot\left[\frac{13}{12} f\left(t_{i+2}, x_{i+2}\right)-\frac{5}{3} f\left(t_{i+1}, x_{i+1}\right)-\frac{5}{12} f\left(t_{i}, x_{i}\right)\right]
$$

as an approximation for the $\operatorname{ODE} \dot{x}(t)=f(t, x)$. What is its convergence rate?
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1. Let $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$, and $p \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, with $m>n$, and let $\delta$ be a scalar. Show how the the constrained least squares problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{minimize} & \|b-A x\|_{2}, \\
\text { subject to } & p^{T} x=\delta
\end{array}
$$

can be reduced to solving a related unconstrained least squares problem. The algorithm should start by finding a Householder transformation $H$ such that

$$
H p=\|p\|_{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}
$$

and setting $y=H x$.
2. Prove or disprove that the following interpolants exist for all values of $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ and all distinct values of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$.
a. $y_{i}=c_{1}+c_{2} x_{i}+c_{3} x_{i}^{2}$
b. $y_{i}=c_{1}+c_{2} x_{i}^{2}+c_{3} x_{i}^{4}$
3. Consider the linear system of equations $A x=b$ where $A$ is a symmetric positive-definite matrix of order $n$. The conjugate gradient method (CG) for solving this system is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Choose } x_{0} \text {, compute } r_{0}=b-A x_{0} \\
& \text { Set } p_{0}=r_{0} \\
& \text { for } k=0 \text { until convergence } \\
& \alpha_{k}=\left(r_{k}, r_{k}\right) /\left(p_{k}, A p_{k}\right) \\
& x_{k}=x_{k}+\alpha_{k} p_{k} \\
& r_{k}=r_{k}-\alpha_{k} A p_{k} \\
& <\text { Test for convergence }> \\
& \beta_{k}=\left(r_{k+1}, r_{k+1}\right) /\left(r_{k}, r_{k}\right) \\
& p_{k+1}=r_{k+1}+\beta_{k} p_{k} \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(v, w)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i} w_{i}$ is the Euclidean inner product.
Let $Q$ be some other positive-definite matrix of order $n$. We know that the forms

$$
\langle v, w\rangle_{A} \equiv(A v, w), \quad\langle v, w\rangle_{Q} \equiv(Q v, w)
$$

are inner products on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In addition, a matrix $M$ is symmetric with respect to the $Q$-inner product $\langle,\rangle_{Q}$ if $\langle M v, w\rangle_{Q}=\langle v, M w\rangle_{Q}$ for all $v, w$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $M$ is positive-definite with respect to $\langle,\rangle_{Q}$ if $\langle M v, v\rangle_{Q}>0$ for all nonzero $v$.
a. Show that $Q^{-1} A$ is symmetric and positive-definite with respect to the $Q$-inner product.
b. In light of this fact, CG can be used to solve the system $Q^{-1} A x=Q^{-1} b$ in an appropriate manner. Specify this algorithm and identify any extra costs required that would not be present with $Q=I$.
c. Use any facts you know about the conjugate gradient method to identify properties of $Q$ that would be desirable for computing the solution $x$ efficiently.
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4. (10 pts.)
(a) Show that the two-step method

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=2 y_{n-1}-y_{n}+h\left[\frac{5}{2} f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} f\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is of order 2 but does not satisfy the root condition.
(b) Give an example to show that the method (1) need not converge when solving $y^{\prime}=f(x, y)$.
5. (12 pts.) Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}+(1+x) u=x^{2}, u^{\prime}(0)=1, u(1)=1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Prove that (2) has at most one solution.
(b) Discretize the problem. Take a uniform partition of $[0,1]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=i h, i=0,1,2, \ldots, n, h=1 / n . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use the three point difference formula for $u^{\prime \prime}$ and the simplest difference formula for the boundary condition at $x=0$. Write the resulting system as a matrix-vector equation, $A \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{b}$, where $\mathrm{x}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{n-1}\right)^{T}$.
(c) Prove that the equation found in (b) has a unique solution.
(d) Transform the problem (2) into an equivalent problem with homogeneous boundary conditions.
(e) Obtain the variational formulation of the problem formulated in (d). Specify the Sobolev space $H$ involved. Prove that this problem has a unique solution, which we denote by $v$.
(f) Consider the approximation of $v$ by piecewise linear finite elements. Define precisely the piecewise linear finite element subspace (use the partition (3)). Show that the finite element problem has a unique solution $v_{h}$.
(g) Show that $\left\|v-v_{h}\right\|_{H} \leq C h$ and indicate how the constant $C$ depends on derivatives of $v$.
6. (8 pts.) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the nonlinear function with zero $\mathrm{x}_{*}$ :

$$
f(x, y)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{2}-2 x+y \\
2 x-y^{2}-1
\end{array}\right], \mathbf{x}_{*}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Consider the iteration

$$
\mathrm{x}_{n+1}=\mathrm{x}_{n}-A f\left(\mathrm{x}_{n}\right), \quad A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 / 2  \tag{4}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

(a) Prove (4) is locally convergent.
(b) Show that the convergence is at least quadratic.
(c) Write the Newton iteration and compare with (4).
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1. Consider the system $A x=b$. The GMRES method starts with a point $x_{0}$ and normalizes the residual $r_{0}=b-A x_{0}$, so that $v_{1}=\nu^{-1} r_{0}$ has 2-norm one. It then constructs orthonormal Krylov bases $V_{k}=\left(v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{m}\right)$ satisfying

$$
A V_{k}=V_{k+1} H_{k},
$$

where $H_{k}$ is a $(k+1) \times k$ upper Hessenberg matrix. One then looks for an approximations to $x$ of the form

$$
x(c)=x_{0}+V_{k} c,
$$

choosing $c_{k}$ so that $\|r(c)\|=\|b-A x(c)\|$ is minimized, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual Euclidean norm.
a. Show that $c_{k}$ minimizes $\left\|\nu \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{I}}-H_{k} c\right\|$.
b. Suppose we chose to soive the least squares problem in Part a for $c_{k}$ by the method of orthogonal triangularization ( QR ). What is the order of the floating-point operation count for this method? Give reasons.
2. We wish to approximate the integral $I(f) \equiv \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$.
a. State the composite trapezoidal rule $Q_{T, n}$ for approximating $I(f)$ on a uniform partitioning of width $h=(b-a) / n$, and give a formula for the error $I(f)-Q_{T, n}(f)$ that is in a form suitable for extrapolation.
b. Use the error formula to derive a new quadrature rule obtained by performing one step of extrapolation on the composite trapezoidal rule. What is this rule, and how does its error depend on $h$ ? You may assume here that $f$ is as smooth as you need it to be.
3. Consider the shifted $Q R$ iteration for computing the eigenvalues of a $2 \times 2$ matrix $A$ : starting with $A_{0}=A$, compute

$$
A_{k}-\sigma_{k} I=Q_{k} R_{k} \quad A_{k+1}=R_{k} Q_{k}+\sigma_{k} I
$$

where $\sigma_{k}$ is a scalar shift.
a. If

$$
A_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

specify the orthogonal matrix $Q_{k}$ used to perform this step when Givens rotations are used. The matrix should be described in terms of the entries of the shifted matrix.
b. Suppose $a_{21}=\delta$, a small number, and $\left|a_{12}\right| \leq\left(a_{11}-a_{22}\right)^{2}$. Demonstrate that with an appropriate shift $\sigma_{k}$, the $(2,1)$-entry of $A_{k+1}$ is of magnitude $O\left(\delta^{2}\right)$. What does this suggest about the convergence rate of the QR iteration?
4. Consider the system

$$
x=I+h \frac{e^{-x^{2}}}{1+y^{2}}, \quad y=.5+h \arctan \left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)
$$

(a) Show that if the parameter $h>0$ is chosen sufficiently small, then this system has a unique solution $\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ within some rectangular region.
(b) Define a fixed point iteration scheme for solving the system and show that it converges..
5.
(a) Outline the derivation of Adams-Bashforth methods for the numerical solution of the initial value problem $y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$.
(b) Derive the Adams-Bashforth formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i+1}=y_{i}+h\left[-\frac{1}{2} f\left(x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}\right)+\frac{3}{2} f\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Analyze the method (1). To be specific, find the local truncation error, prove convergence and find the order of convergence.
6. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}+u=f(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad u(0)=u(1)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Give a variational formulation of (2), i.e., express (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in H, \quad B(u, v)=F(v) \quad \text { for all } v \in H \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the space $H$, the bilinear form $B$, and the linear functional $F$, and state the relation between (2) and (3).
(b) Let $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=1$ be a mesh on [0,1] with $h=\max _{j=0, \ldots, n-1}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)$, and let

$$
V_{h}=\left\{u: u \text { continuous on }[0,1],\left.u\right|_{\left[x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right]} \text { is linear for each } j, u(0)=u(1)=0\right\}
$$

Define the finite element approximation, $u_{h}$ to $u$ based on the approximation space $V_{h}$. What can be said about $\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{1}$, the error in the Sobolev norm on $H^{1}(0,1)$ ?
(c) Derive an estimate for $\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{0}$, the error in $L_{2}(0,1)$. Hint: Let $w$ solve

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-w^{\prime \prime}+w=u-u_{h} \\
w(0)=w(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We characterize $w$ variationally by

$$
w \in H_{0}^{1}, \quad B(w, v)=\int\left(u-u_{h}\right) v d x, \text { for all } v \in H_{0}^{1}
$$

Let $v=u-u_{h}$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(w, u-u_{h}\right)=\int\left(u-u_{h}\right)^{2} d x=\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use the formula (4) to estimate $\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{L_{2}}$.
(d) Suppose $\left\{\phi_{1}^{h}, \cdots, \phi_{N_{h}}^{h}\right\}$ is a basis for $V_{h}$ where $N_{h}=\operatorname{dim} V_{h}$, so $u_{h}=\Sigma_{j=1}^{N_{h}} c_{j}^{h} \phi_{j}^{h}$, for appropriate coefficients $c_{j}^{h}$. Show that

$$
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{1}^{2}=\|u\|_{1}^{2}-C^{T} A C
$$

where $C=\left[c_{1}^{h}, \cdots, c_{N_{h}}^{h}\right]^{T}$ and $A$ is the stiffness matrix.
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## Problem 1.

A set of functions $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\} \subset C[a, b]$ is a Chebyshev system if
(i) The set is linearly independent.
(ii) If $g$ is a linear combination of $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$ which is not identically zero $\therefore g$ has at most $n-1$ distinct zeros in $[a, b]$.
(a) Show that $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$ is a Chebyshev system if and only if for any $n$ distinct points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in[a, b]$, the matrix $A$ with $a_{i, j}=g_{j}\left(x_{i}\right), 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ is nonsingular.
(b) Let $f \in C^{m+1}[a, b]$ be such that $f^{(m+1)}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in[a, b]$. Let $g_{j}(x)=x^{j-1}, j=1, \ldots, m+1$. Show that $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m+1}, f\right\}$ is a Chebyshev system. For this, you may use results from polynomial interpolation without proof.

## Problem 2.

Let

$$
I_{n}(f)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{n, k} f\left(x_{n, k}\right), \quad a \leq x_{n, k} \leq b
$$

be a sequence of integration rules.
(a) Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n}\left(x^{k}\right)=\int_{a}^{b} x^{k} d x, \quad k=0,1, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|w_{n, k}\right| \leq M, \quad n=1,2, \cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $M$. Show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n}(f)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \text { for all } f \in C[a, b]
$$

(b) Show that if all $w_{n, k}>0$ then (1) implies (2).

## Problem 3.

Consider the real system of linear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=b \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is nonsingular and satisfies

$$
(v, A v)>0
$$

for all real $v$, where the Euclidean inner product is used here. .
(a) Show that $(v, A v)=(v, M v)$ for all real $v$, where $M=\frac{1}{2}\left(A+A^{T}\right)$ is the symmetric part of $A$.
(b) Prove that

$$
\frac{(v, A v)}{(v, v)} \geq \lambda_{\min }(M)>0
$$

where $\lambda_{\min }(M)$ is the minimum eigenvalue of $M$.
(c) Now consider the iteration for computing a series of approximate solutions to (1),

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}+\alpha r_{k},
$$

where $r_{k}=b-A x_{k}$ and $\alpha$ is chosen to minimize $\left\|r_{k+1}\right\|_{2}$ as a. function of $\alpha$. Prove that

$$
\frac{\left\|r_{k+1}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|r_{k}\right\|_{2}} \leq\left(1-\frac{\lambda_{\min }(M)^{2}}{\lambda_{\max }\left(A^{T} A\right)}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

$4_{0}$ Consider the problem of solving a nonlinear system of ODE

$$
\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{f}(t, \mathrm{y}),
$$

by an implicit method. The $n$-th step consists of solving for the unknown $y$ a nonlinear algebraic system of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{y}=\alpha h \mathbf{f}\left(t_{n}, \mathbf{y}\right)+\mathrm{g}_{n-1}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{g}_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is known, $\alpha>0$ and $h$ is the stepsize. Let $\mathrm{f} \in C^{1}$.
(a) Write (1) as a fixed point iteration and find conditions in $h$ and $f\left(t_{n}, y\right)$ that guarantee local convergence for this iteration.
(b) Write the Newton method for (1) and give conditions on $h$ and $\mathbf{f}\left(t_{n}, \mathrm{y}\right)$ that guarantee local convergence for this iteration. State precise additional assumptions on f that guarantee quadratic convergence.
5.This problem is about choosing between a specific single-step and a specific multistep methods for solving the ODE:

$$
y^{\prime}=f(t, y) .
$$

(a) Write the trapezoid method, define its local truncation error and estimate it.
(b) Show that the truncation error for the following multistep method is of the same order as in (a):

$$
y_{n+1}=2 y_{n}-y_{n-1}-h f\left(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right)+h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right) .
$$

(c) What could be said about the global convergence rate for these two methods? Justify your conclusions for both methods.
C. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u)=-u^{\prime \prime}+b u=f, \quad x \in I \equiv[0,1], \quad u(0)=u(1)=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b \geq 0$ is a constant. Let $\left\{0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=1\right\}$ be a uniform mesh with meshsize $h$. Let

$$
\mathbb{V}_{h}=\left\{v \in C[0,1]:\left.v\right|_{\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]} \text { is linear for each } i, v(0)=v(1)=0\right\}
$$

be the corresponding finite element space, and let $u_{h}=R_{h}(u)$ be the corresponding finite element solution of (2). Note that $R_{h}$ is a projection operator, the Ritz projector, onto the finite dimensional space $\mathbb{V}_{h}$ with respect to the energy scalar product $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ induced by problem (2).
(a) Let $|\cdot|_{1}$ be the $H^{1}$-seminorm, namely $|v|_{1}^{2}=\int_{I}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2}$ for all $v \in H_{0}^{1}(I)$. Find the constant $\Lambda$ in terms of the parameter $b$ such that

$$
\left|u_{h}\right|_{1} \leq \Lambda|u|_{1}
$$

Hint: recall the Poincaré inequality $\|v\|_{0} \leq \frac{1}{2}|v|_{1}$ for all $v \in H_{0}^{1}(I)$, where $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ denotes the $L^{2}$-norm.
(b) If $I_{h} u \in \mathbb{V}_{h}$ is the Lagrange interpolant of $u$, then prove $R_{h}\left(I_{h} u\right)=I_{h} u$. Deduce

$$
\left|u_{h}-I_{h} u\right|_{1} \leq \Lambda\left|u-I_{h} u\right|_{1} .
$$

(c) Use (b) to derive the error estimate

$$
\left|u-u_{h}\right|_{1} \leq(1+\Lambda)\left|u-I_{h} u\right|_{1},
$$

and bound the right-hand side by a suitable power of $h$. Make explicit the required regularity of $u$.
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Specify a numerical algorithm for finding $v_{j}$ and give a convergence proof for this algorithm demonistrating that it is convergent under appropriate circumstances.

## Problem 3.

Suppose $A$ is an upper triangular, nonsingular matrix. Show that both Jacobi iterations and Gauss-Seidel iterations converge in finitely many steps when used to solve $A \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{b}$.

## AMSC/CMSC 667 Written Exam: January 2007

4. Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be $C^{1}$, suppose $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)=0$ and assume $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)$ is nonsingular: Consider the following iteration-

$$
\mathrm{x}_{k+1}=\dot{\mathrm{x}}_{k}-B_{k}^{-1} \mathbf{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{k}\right), \quad(k \geq 0) .
$$

(a) Derive the following error equation for $\mathrm{e}_{k+1}=\mathrm{x}_{k+1} \div \mathrm{x}^{*}$ :

$$
\mathrm{e}_{k+1}=B_{k}^{-1}\left(\left(B_{k}-\mathbf{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}_{k}-\int_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbf{f}^{\prime}\left(s \mathbf{x}_{k}+(1-s) \mathrm{x}^{*}\right)-\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)\right) d s \mathrm{e}_{k}\right) .
$$

(b) Let $B_{k}=B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a fixed matrix. Find conditions on $B$ that guarantee local convergence. What rate of convergence do you expect and why?
(c) Find sufficient conditions on $\left\|B_{k}-\mathbf{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{k}\right)\right\|$ for the convergence to be superlinear. What choice of $B_{k}$ corresponds to the Newton method and what rate of convergence do you expect?
5. Let $f(t, y)$ be uniformly Lipschitz with respect to $y$. Let $y(t)$ be the solution to the initial value problem: $y^{\prime}=f(t, y), y(0)=y_{0}$. Consider the trapezoid method

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i+1}=y_{i}+\frac{h}{2}\left(f\left(t_{i}, y_{i}\right)+f\left(t_{i+1}, y_{i+1}\right)\right), \quad(i \geq 0) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Find a condition on the stepsize $\hbar$ that ensures (1) can be solved uniquely for $y_{i+1}$.
(b) Define local truncation error and estimate it. Examine the additional regularity of $f$ needed for this estimate.
(c) Prove a global error estimate for (1).
6. Consider the 2 -point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-a u^{\prime \prime}+b u=f(x) \quad 0<x<1, \quad, \quad u(0)=u_{0}, u(1)=u_{1}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
with $a, b>0$ constants and $f \in C[0,1]$ Let $\mathbb{P}=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n+1}$ be a unform partition of $[0,1]$ With meshsize $b$
(a) Use centered finite differences to discretize
(2). Write the system as

$$
A U=F
$$

and identify $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $U, \mathbb{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Prove that $A$ is nonsingular.
(b) Define truncation error and derive a bound for this method in terms of h State - without proof an error estimate of the form
, and specify the order $s$

$$
\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} f u\left(x_{i}\right)-u_{i} \mid \leq C h^{s}
$$

(c) Prove the following discrete monotonicity property. If $\mathrm{U}_{i}$ is the solution corresponding te a forcing $f_{2}$, for $\hat{i}=1,2$, and $f_{1} \leq f_{2}$ then $U_{1} \leq U_{2}$ componentwise:

## Numerical Analysis Qualifying Exam <br> AMSC/CMSC 666, August 2006

(1) Consider the definite integral

$$
I(f)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Let $Q_{n}(f)$ denote the approximation of $I(f)$ by the composite midpoint rule with $n$ uniform subintervals. For every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ set

$$
x_{j}=a+j \frac{b-a}{n}, \quad x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{x_{j}+x_{j+1}}{2}
$$

Let $K(x)$ be defined by

$$
K(x)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(x-x_{j}\right)^{2} \quad \text { for } x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}<x \leq x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Assume that $f \in C^{2}([a, b])$.
(a) Show that the quadrature error $E_{n}(f)$ satisfies

$$
E_{n}(f) \equiv Q_{n}(f)-I(f)=\int_{a}^{b} K(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Hint: Use integration by parts over each subinterval $\left[x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right]$.
(b) Derive a sharp bound on the error of the form

$$
\left|E_{n}(f)\right| \leq M_{n}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \quad \text { for every } f \in C^{2}([a, b])
$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the maximum norm over $[a, b]$. Recall that the above bound is sharp when the inequality is an equality for some nonzero $f$.
(2) Consider the (unshifted) $Q R$-method for finding the eigenvalues of an invertible matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$.
(a) Give the algorithm.
(b) Show that each of the matrices $A_{n}$ generated by this algorithm are orthogonally similar to $A$.
(c) Show that if $A$ is upper Hessenberg then so are each of the matrices $A_{n}$ generated by this algorithm.
(d) Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 3 \\
1 & 5
\end{array}\right)
$$

For this $A$ the sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ has a limit. Find this limit. Give your reasoning.
(3) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be symmetric and positive definite. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Consider solving $A x=b$ using the conjugate gradient method. The $n^{\text {th }}$ iterate $x^{(n)}$ then satifies

$$
\left\|x^{(n)}-x\right\|_{A} \leq\|y-x\|_{A} \quad \text { for every } y \in x^{(0)}+\mathcal{K}_{n}\left(r^{(0)}, A\right),
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{A}$ denotes the vector $A$-norm, $r^{(0)}$ is the initial residual, and

$$
\mathcal{K}_{n}\left(r^{(0)}, A\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{r^{(0)}, A r^{(0)}, \cdots, A^{n-1} r^{(0)}\right\}
$$

(a) Prove that the error $x^{(n)}-x$ is bounded by

$$
\left\|x^{(n)}-x\right\|_{A} \leq\left\|p_{n}(A)\right\|_{A}\left\|x^{(0)}-x\right\|_{A},
$$

where $p_{n}(z)$ is any real polynomial of degree $n$ or less that satisfies $p_{n}(0)=1$. Here $\left\|p_{n}(A)\right\|_{A}$ denotes the matrix norm of $p_{n}(A)$ that is induced by the vector $A$-norm.
(b) Let $T_{n}(z)$ denote the $n^{\text {th }}$ Chebyshev polynomial. Let $\lambda_{\text {min }}$ and $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ denote respectively the smallest and largest eigenvalues of $A$. Apply the result of part (a) to

$$
p_{n}(z)=\frac{T_{n}\left(\frac{\lambda_{\max }+\lambda_{\min }-2 z}{\lambda_{\max }-\lambda_{\min }}\right)}{T_{n}\left(\frac{\lambda_{\max }+\lambda_{\min }}{\lambda_{\max }-\lambda_{\min }}\right)}
$$

to show that

$$
\left\|x^{(n)}-x\right\|_{A} \leq \frac{1}{T_{n}\left(\frac{\lambda_{\max }+\lambda_{\min }}{\lambda_{\max }-\lambda_{\min }}\right)}\left\|x^{(0)}-x\right\|_{A}
$$

You can use without proof the fact that

$$
\left\|p_{n}(A)\right\|_{A}=\max \left\{\left|p_{n}(z)\right|: z \in \operatorname{Sp}(A)\right\}
$$

where $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$ denotes the set of eigenvalues of $A$, and the facts that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the polynomial $T_{n}(z)$ has degree $n$, is positive for $z>1$, and satisfies

$$
T_{n}(\cos (\theta))=\cos (n \theta) \quad \text { for every } \theta \in \mathbb{R}
$$
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(4) Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and that the boundary value problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime}=f(u) \text { on }(0,1), \quad u(0)=u(1)=0
$$

has a unique solution.
(a) For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ let $x_{i}=i / N, i=0, \ldots, N$. Write down a system of $N+1$ equations to obtain an approximation $u_{i}$ for the solution $u$ at $x_{i}$ by replacing the second derivatives by a symmetric difference quotient.
(b) Write the system of equations in the form $F(U)=0$. Define domain and range of $F$ and explain the meaning of the variable $U$.
(c) Formulate Newton's method for the solution of the system in (b) with $U^{(0)}=0$. Give explicit expressions for all objects involved (as far as this is reasonable). Determine a sufficient condition that ensures that the iterates $U^{(k)}$ in the Newton scheme are defined. Without doing any further calculations, can you decide whether the sequence $U^{(k)}$ converges? Why or why not?
(5) Consider the boundary value problem

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}=f, \quad 0<x<1
$$

with boundary conditions $u(0)=0$ and $u^{\prime}(1)+\alpha u(1)=0$. Here $\alpha$ is a given positive number.
(a) Describe a Galerkin method to solve this problem using piecewise linear functions with respect to a uniform mesh.
(b) Derive the matrix equations for this Galerkin method. Write out explicitly that equation of the linear system which involes $\alpha$.
(6) Consider the linear multistep method

$$
y_{n+1}=\frac{4}{3} y_{n}-\frac{1}{3} y_{n-1}+\frac{2}{3} h f\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)
$$

for the solution of the initial value problem $y^{\prime}(x)=f(x, y(x)), y(0)=$ $y_{0}$.
(a) Show that the truncation error is of order 2.
(b) State the condition for consistency of a linear multistep method and verify it for the scheme in this problem.
(c) Does the scheme satisfy the root condition and/or the strong root conditions?

AMSC 666 Comprehensive Exam: Spring 2006

1. Let $f$ be continuous on $[0,1]$. A polynomial $p$ of degree not greater than $n$ is said to be a best (or Chebyshev) approximation to $f$ if $p$ minimizes the expression

$$
E(p)=\max _{x \in[0,1]}|f(x)-p(x)| .
$$

a. Show that a sufficient condition for $p$ to be a best approximation is that there exist points $x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n+1}$ in $[0,1]$ such that

$$
f\left(x_{i}\right)-p\left(x_{i}\right)=-\left[f\left(x_{i+1}\right)-p\left(x_{i+1}\right)\right]= \pm E(p), \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

b. Compute the best linear approximation to $x^{2}$ in $[0,1]$. [Hint: Drawing of a line through the parabola will allow you to conjecture where two of the points of oscillation must lie. Use the conditions from (a) to determine the third point and the coefficients of $p$.]
2. We will be concerned with the least squares problem of minimizing

$$
\rho^{2}(x)=\|b-A x\|^{2} .
$$

Here $A$ is an $m \times n$ matrix of rank $n$ (which implies that $m \geq n$ ) and $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean vector norm. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1} & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{R}{0}
$$

be the QR decomposition of $A$. Here $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, and $R$ are respectively $m \times n, m \times(m-n)$, and $n \times n$.
a. Show that the solution of the least squares problem satisfies the $Q R$ equation $R x=Q_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} b$ and that the solution is unique. Further show that $\rho(x)=\left\|Q_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} b\right\|$.
b. Use the QR equation to show that the least squares solution satisfies the normal equations $\left(A^{\mathrm{T}} A\right) x=A^{\mathrm{T}} b$.
3. Let $A$ be real symmetric and let $u_{0} \neq 0$ be given. For $k=1,2, \ldots$ define $u_{k+1}$ as the linear combination of the vectors $A u_{k}, u_{k}, \ldots, u_{0}$ with the coefficient of $A u_{k}$ equal to one that is orthogonal to the vectors $u_{k}, \ldots, u_{0}$; i.e.,

$$
u_{k+1}=A u_{k}-\alpha_{k} u_{k}-\beta_{k} u_{k-1}-\gamma_{k} u_{k-2}-\cdots .
$$

a. Find formulas for $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$.
b. Show that $\gamma_{k}=\delta_{k}=\cdots=0$. Where do you use the symmetry of $A$ ?
c For which nonzero vectors $u_{0}$ does $u_{1}=0$ hold.
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4. (a) Describe Newton's method for finding a root of a smooth fucntion $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.
(b) Assume that $f: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is a smooth function, satisfies

$$
f^{\prime}(x)>0, \quad f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0, \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathrm{R}
$$

and has a root $x^{*}$. Draw a geometric picture illustrating the convergence of the method and give an analytic prove that Newton's method converges to $x^{*}$ for any initial guess $x_{0} \in \mathbf{R}$.
5. The goal of this problem is to solve the boundary value problem

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}=f \text { on }(0,1), \quad u(0)=0, u(1)=0
$$

in a suitable finite element space.
(a) For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ let $x_{i}=i / N, i=0, \ldots, N$. Define a suitable $N-1$ dimensional subspace $V_{N}$ in $H_{0}^{1}$ associated with the points $x_{i}$. Let $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{N-1}$ be any basis in $V_{N}$. Explain how you can determine the coefficients $u_{i}$ in the representation of the finite element solution

$$
u_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} u_{i} \phi_{i}
$$

by solving a linear system. Prove that there exists a unique solution.
(b) Show that

$$
a(u, v)=\int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x
$$

defines an inner product on $H_{0}^{1}$ and thus a notion of orthogonality in $H_{0}^{1}$.
(c) Let $\phi_{1}=1-2|x-1 / 2|$ be the basis of the one-dimensional space $V_{2}$. Find an orthogonal basis in $V_{4}$ that contains the basis function $\phi_{1}$. Sketch the basis functions. Indicate how you would construct a basis of $V_{2^{n}}$ that contains the basis of $V_{2^{n-1}}$.
(d) What is the structure of the linear system in (a) for this special basis?
6. For solving the equation $y^{\prime}=f(x, y)$, consider the scheme

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{2}\left(y_{n}^{\prime}+y_{n+1}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left(y_{n}^{\prime \prime}-y_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where $y_{n}^{\prime}=f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ and $y_{n}^{\prime \prime}=f_{x}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) f_{y}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$.
(a) Show that this scheme is fourth-order accurate.
(b) For stability analysis, one takes $f(x, y)=\lambda y$. State what it means for $\bar{\lambda}=h \lambda$ to belong to the region of absolute stability for this scheme, and show that the region of absolute stability contains the entire negative real axis.
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(1) Derive the one-, two-, and three-point Gaussian quadrature formulas such that

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) x^{4} \mathrm{~d} x \approx \sum_{j=1}^{n} f\left(x_{j}\right) w_{j}
$$

Give bounds on the error of these formulas.
(2) We wish to solve $A x=b$ iteratively where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Show that for this $A$ the Jacobi method and the Gauss-Seidel method both converge. Explain why for this $A$ one of these methods is better than the other.
(3) Consider the three-term polynomial recurrence

$$
p_{k+1}(x)=\left(x-\mu_{k}\right) p_{k}(x)-\nu_{k}^{2} p_{k-1}(x) \quad \text { for } k=1,2, \cdots,
$$

initialized by $p_{0}(x)=1$ and $p_{1}(x)=x-\mu_{0}$, where each $\mu_{k}$ and $\nu_{k}$ is real and each $\nu_{k}$ is nonzero.
(a) Prove that each $p_{k}(x)$ is a monic polynomial of degree $k$, and that for every $n=0,1, \cdots$ one has

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{p_{0}(x), p_{1}(x), \cdots, p_{n}(x)\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{1, x, \cdots, x^{n}\right\}
$$

(b) Show that for every $k=0,1, \cdots$ the polynomial $p_{k}(x)$ has $k$ simple real roots that interlace with the roots of $p_{k-1}(x)$.
(c) Show that for every $n=0,1, \cdots$ the roots of $p_{n+1}(x)$ are the eigenvalues of the symmetric tridiagonal matrix

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mu_{0} & \nu_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\nu_{1} & \mu_{1} & \nu_{2} & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \nu_{2} & \mu_{2} & \ddots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \nu_{n} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \nu_{n} & \mu_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

牛. (a) Given a smooth function $f(x)$, state the secant method for the approximate solution of nonlinear equation in $\mathbb{R}$

$$
f(x)=0 .
$$

(b) State the order of convergence for this method, and explain how to derive it.
(c) Are there situations in which the order of convergence is higher? Explain your answers.
5. Consider the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}=f(t, y), \quad y(0)=y_{0}
$$

(a) Write the ODE in integral form and explain how to use the trapezoidal quadrature rule to derive the trapezoidal method with uniform time-step $h=T / N$ :

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{2}\left(f\left(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)+f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

(b) Define the concept of absolute stability. That is, consider applying the method to the case $f(t, y)=\lambda y$ with real $\lambda$. Show that the region of absolute stability contains the entire negative real axis of the complex $h \lambda$ plane.
(c) Suppose that $f(t, y)=A y$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a symmetric matrix and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Examine the properties of $A$ which guarantee that the method is absolutely stable (Hint: study the eigenvalues of $A$ ).
be Consider the following two-point boundary value problem in $(0,1)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u_{x x}+u=1, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Give a variational formulation of (1), i.e., express it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in H: \quad a(u, v)=F(v), \quad \text { for all } v \in H \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function space $H$, the bilinear form $a$, and the linear functional $F$, and state the relation between (1) and (2). Show that the solution $u$ is unique.
(b) Write the finite element method with piecewise linear elements over a uniform partition $P=\left\{x_{i}=(i-1) h\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ with meshsize $h=1 /(N-1)$. If $\mathrm{U}=\left(u_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{N}$ is the vector of nodal values of the finite element solution, find the (stiffness) matrix $A$ and right-hand side $\mathbf{F}$ such that $A \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{F}$. Show that $A$ is symmetric and positive definite. Show that the solution $U$ is unique.
(c) Consider two partitions $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ of [0, 1], with $P_{2}$ a refinement of $P_{1}$. Let $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ be the corresponding piecewise linear finite element spaces. Show that $V_{1}$ is a subspace of $V_{2}$.
(d) Let $u_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $u_{2} \in V_{2}$ be the finite element solutions. Show the orthogonality equality

$$
\left\|u-u_{1}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}=\left\|u-u_{2}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}+\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{H^{1}}^{2}
$$

1. Given $f \in C[a, b]$, let $p_{n}^{*}$ denote the best uniform approximation to $f$ among polynomials of degree $\leq n$, i.e.

$$
\max _{x \in[a, b]}\left|f(x)-p_{n}(x)\right|
$$

is minimized by the choice $p_{n}=p_{n}^{*}$. Let $e(x)=f(x)-p_{n}^{*}(x)$. Prove that there are at least two points $x_{1}, x_{2} \in[a, b]$ such that

$$
\left|e\left(x_{1}\right)\right|=\left|e\left(x_{2}\right)\right|=\max _{x \in[a, b]}\left|f(x)-p_{n}^{*}(x)\right|
$$

and $e\left(x_{1}\right)=-e\left(x_{2}\right)$.
2.
(a) Find the node $x_{1}$ and the weight $w_{1}$ so that the integration rule

$$
I=\int_{0}^{1} x^{-1 / 2} f(x) d x \approx w_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

is exact for linear functions. (No knowledge of orthogonal polynomials is required.)
(b) Show that no one-point rule for approximating $I$ can be exact for quadratics.
(c) In fact

$$
I-w_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)=c f^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \text { for some } \xi \in[0,1]
$$

Find $c$.
(d) Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be two polynomials of degree $\leq 3$. Suppose $f$ and $g$ agree at $x=a, x=b$ and $x=(a+b) / 2$. Show

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} g(x) d x
$$

3. Let $A \in R^{n \times n}$ be nonsingular and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{n}}$. Consider the following iteration for the solution of $A \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{b}$.

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}+\alpha\left(\mathrm{b}-A \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)
$$

(a) Show that if all the eigenvalues of $A$ have positive real part then there will be some real $\alpha$ such that the iterates converge for any starting vector $\mathrm{x}_{0}$. Discuss how to choose $\alpha$ optimally in case $A$ is symmetric and determine the rate of convergence.
(b) Show that if some eigenvalues of $A$ have negative real part and some have positive real part, then there is no real $\alpha$ for which the iterations converge.
(c) Let $\rho=\|I-\alpha A\|<1$ for a matrix norm associated to a vector norm. Show that the error can be expressed in terms of the difference between consecutive iterates, namely

$$
\left\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right\| \leq \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}\left\|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right\|
$$

(The proof of this is short but a little tricky.)
(d) Let $A$ be the tridiagonal matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
-1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 3 & 1 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -1 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

Find a value of $\alpha$ that guarantees convergence.
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4. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonlinear smooth function. To determine a (local) minimum of $f$ one can use a descent method of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}_{k+1}=\mathbf{x}_{k}+\alpha_{k} \mathbf{d}_{k}, \quad(k \geq 0) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\alpha_{k} \leq 1$ is a suitable parameter obtained by backtracking and $\mathbf{d}_{k}$ is a descent direction, i.e. it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\mathrm{x}_{k+1}\right)<f\left(\mathrm{x}_{k}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Write the steepest descent (or gradient) method and show that there exist $0<\alpha_{k} \leq 1$ such that the resulting method satisfies (2).
(b) Write the Newton method and examine whether or not there exist $\alpha_{k}$ which yield (2). Establish conditions on the Hessian $H(f(\mathbf{x}))$ of $f(\mathbf{x})$ which guarantee the existence of $\alpha_{k}$.
(c) If we replace the Hessian by the matrix $H(f(\mathbf{x}))+\gamma_{k} I$, where $\gamma_{k} \geq 0$ and $I$ is the identity matrix, we obtain a quasi-Newton method. Find a condition on $\gamma_{k}$ which leads to (2).
5. Consider the following nonlinear autonomous initial value problem in $\mathbb{R}$ with $f \in C^{2}$ :

$$
y^{\prime}=f(y), \quad y(0)=y_{0}
$$

(a) Write the ODE in integral form and use the mid-point quadrature rule to derive the mid-point method with uniform time-step $h=T / N$ :

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f\left(\frac{y_{n+1}+y_{n}}{2}\right) .
$$

(b) Define truncation error $T_{n}$. Assuming that $T_{n}=O\left(h^{3}\right)$, show an estimate for the error $\left|y\left(t_{N}\right)-y_{N}\right|$. What is the order of the method? (Hint: use that $f$ is Lipschitz continuous).
(c) Prove that $T_{n}=O\left(h^{3}\right)$ for this method. (Hint: expand first $y\left(t_{n+1}\right)$ and $y\left(t_{n}\right)$ around $\tau_{n}=t_{n}+\frac{h}{2}$ and next expand $f\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(y\left(t_{n+1}\right)+y\left(t_{n}\right)\right)\right)$. Also expand $y^{\prime}(t)$ around $\left.\tau_{n}\right)$.
6. Consider the following two-point boundary value problem in $(0,1)$ with $\varepsilon \ll 1 \leq b$ :

$$
-\varepsilon u_{x x}+b u_{x}=1, \quad u(0)=u(1)=0
$$

(a) Write the finite element method with piecewise linear elements over a uniform partition of $(0,1)$ with meshsize $h$. If $\mathbf{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{I+1}$ is the vector of nodal values of the finite element solution, find the (stiffness) matrix $A$ and right-hand side $\mathbf{F}$ such that $A \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{F}$. Is $A$ symmetric? Is $A$ positive definite?
(b) Find a relation between the three parameters $h, \varepsilon, b$ for $A$ to be an M-matrix, i.e. to have $a_{i i}>0, a_{i j} \leq 0$ if $i \neq j$, and $a_{i i} \geq-\sum_{i \neq j} a_{i j}$.
(c) Consider the upwind modification of the ODE

$$
-\left(\varepsilon+\frac{b}{2} h\right) u_{x x}+b u_{x}=1
$$

Show that the resulting matrix $A$ is an M-matrix without restrictions on $h, \varepsilon$ and $b$.
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Answer questions \#1, \#4 and one other question from \#2, \#3.

1. To compute $\sqrt{2}$ we consider the following Eudoxos iterations: starting with $x_{0}=y_{0}=1$ we set $x_{n+1}=x_{n}+y_{n}$ followed by $y_{n+1}=x_{n+1}+x_{n}$. Then $y_{n} / x_{n} \longrightarrow \sqrt{2}$.
(a) Explain the Eudoxos method in terms of the power method.
(b) How many iterations are required for an error $\left|y_{n} / x_{n}-\sqrt{2}\right| \leq 10^{-6}$ ?
2. Let $\left\{p_{n}(x)\right\}$ be a sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal on $[a, b]$ with respect to the positive weight, function $w(x)$ ( $p_{n}$ has degree $n$ ). Show that the $p_{n}$ satisfy a three term recursion formula of the form

$$
p_{n}(x)=\left(x-a_{n}\right) p_{n-1}(x)-b_{n} p_{n-2}(x) .
$$

Give expressions for the cocfficients $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$.
3. (a) Find $\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ such that $p_{i}$ is a polynomial of degree $i$ and this set is orthogonal on $[0, \infty)$ with respect to the weight function $w(x)=e^{-x}$.
(b) Find the weights and nodes of the 2 point Gaussian formula

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-x} d x \approx w_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)+w_{2} f\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

Note: $\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} e^{-x} d x=n!, 0!=1$.
4. We are interested to compute an approximate value $w(x) \sim e^{x}$ for all real $x$, with relative error

$$
\frac{\left|w(x)-e^{x}\right|}{e^{x}} \leq 0.5 \times 10^{-2}
$$

To this end we express $x$ as $x \log _{2} e=m+\theta$, i.e., $e^{x}=2^{m} 2^{0}$, where $m$ is an integer and $|\theta| \leq 1 / 2$ and we shall use the near min-max polynomial of a minimal degree, $p(\theta)$ to compute $2^{0}$ with 'sufficient' accuracy.
What is the 'sufficient' accuracy required for computing $2^{\theta}$ so that the requirement of rclative error $\leq 0.5 \times 10^{-2}$ is met, what is the near min-max polynomial $p(\theta)$ in this case, and what is the final representation of the approximate value $w(x)=2^{m} p(\theta)$ ?
Note. Given $\ln 2=0.6931$.
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5. (a) State Newton's Method for the approximate solution of

$$
f(x)=0,
$$

where $f(x)$ is a real valued function of the real variable $x$.
(b) State and prove a convergence result for the method.
(c) What is the typical order of convergence? Are there situations in which the order of convergence is higher? Explain your answers to these questions.
6. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x) & =f(x), 0 \leq x \leq 1  \tag{1}\\
u^{\prime}(0)=2, u(1) & =0 .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

(a) Derive a variational formulation for (1).
(b) What do we mean by the Finite Element Approximation $u_{h}$ to $u$ ?
(c) State and prove an estimate for

$$
\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|_{1} \equiv\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u(x)-u_{h}(x)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(x)-u_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

(d) Prove the formula

$$
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{1}^{2}=\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{1}^{2}-\left\|u_{h}\right\|_{i}^{2} .
$$

7 . Consider the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}=f(t, y), \quad y\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0},
$$

where $f$ satisfies the Lipschit\% condition

$$
|f(t, y)-f(t, z)| \leq L|y-z|
$$

for all $t, y, z$. A numerical mothod called the midpoint tule for solving this problem is clefined by

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n-1}+2 h . \int\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right),
$$

where $h$ is a time step and $y_{n} \approx y\left(t_{n}\right)$ for $t_{n}=t_{0}+n h$. Here $y_{0}$ is given and $y_{1}$ is presumed to be computecl by some other method.
(a) Suppose the problem is posed on a finite interval $t_{0} \leq t \leq b$, where $h=\left(b-t_{0}\right) / M$. Show directly, i.e., without citing any major results, that the midpoint rule is stable. That is, show that if $\hat{y}_{0}$ and $\hat{y}_{1}$ satisfy

$$
\left|y_{0}-\hat{y}_{0}\right| \leq \epsilon, \quad\left|y_{1}-\hat{y}_{1}\right| \leq \epsilon,
$$

then there exists a constant $C$ independent of $h$ such that

$$
\left|y_{n}-\hat{y}_{n}\right| \leq C \epsilon
$$

for $0 \leq n \leq M$.
(b) Suppose instead that we are interested in the long time behavior of the midpoint rule applied to the particular example $f(t, y)=$ $\lambda y$. That is, let $h$ be fixed and let $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that the rule is applied over a long time interval. Show that in this case the midpoint rule does not produce an accurate approximation to the solution of the initial value problem.

1. Let $a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=b$ be an arbitrary fixed partition of the interval $[a, b]$. A function $q(x)$ is a quadratic spline function if
(i.) $q \in C^{1}[a, b]$.
(ii.) On each subinterval $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], q$ is a quadratic polynomial

The problem is to construct a quadratic spline $q(x)$ interpolating data points $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. The construction is similar to the construction of the cubic spline but much easier.
(a) Show that if we know $z_{i}:=q^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right), i=0, \ldots, n$ we can construct $q$.
(b) Find equations which enable us to determine the $z_{i}$. You should find that one of the $z_{i}$ can be prescribed arbitrarily, for instance, $z_{0}=0$.
2.
(a) Give the definition of the $Q R$ algorithm for finding the eigenvalues of a matrix $A$. Define both the unshifted version and the version with shifts $\chi_{0}, \chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \cdots$.
(b) Show that in each case the matrices $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots$ generated by the $Q R$ algorithm are unitarily equivalent to $A$ (i.e. $A_{2}=U_{i} A U_{i}^{H}: U_{i}$ unitary).
(c) Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Use plane rotations (Givens rotations) t.o carry out one step of the $Q R$ algorithm on $A$, first without shifting and then using the shift $\chi_{0}=1$. Which seems to do better? The eigenvalues of $A$ are $\lambda_{1}=1.382, \lambda_{2}=3.618$. (Recall that a plane rotation is a matrix of the form

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
c & -s \\
s & c
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $c^{2}+s^{2}=1$.)
3. Let $A$ be an $N \times N$ symmetric, positive definite matrix. Then we know that solving $A \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{b}$ is equivalent to minimizing the functional $F(\mathrm{x}):=\frac{1}{2}\langle\mathrm{x}, A \mathrm{x}\rangle-\langle\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{x}\rangle$, where $\langle\because \cdot\rangle$ denotes the standard immer product in $\mathbf{R}^{N}$. To solve the problem by minimization of $F$ we consider the general itcrative method $\mathrm{x}_{1 /+1}=\mathrm{x}_{1 \nu}+\alpha_{1 /} \mathrm{d}_{1 /}$.
(a) When $\mathrm{x}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{d}_{\nu}$, are given, show that the value of $\alpha_{1}$, whichmimimizes $F\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right.$, $\mathrm{o}_{\text {, }} \mathrm{d}_{1}$ ) as a finction of $a$ is given in terms of the residual $r_{\nu}$;

$$
\mathrm{a}_{\nu}=\frac{\left\langle\mathrm{r}_{\nu}, \mathrm{d}_{1,}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{1,}, A \mathrm{~d}_{1,}\right\rangle} . \quad \mathrm{r}_{1,}:=\mathrm{b}-A \mathrm{x}_{1,}
$$

(b) Let $\left\{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ be an $A$-orthogonal basis of $\mathrm{R}^{N}:\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{j}: A \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\rangle=0, j \neq k$. Consider the expansion of the solution x in this basis:

$$
\mathrm{x}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \hat{x}_{j} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{j}} .
$$

Use that $A$-orthogonality of the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ to compute the $\hat{x}_{j}$ in terms of the solution x and the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ 's.
(c) Let $\mathrm{x}_{\nu}$ denote the partial sum

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\nu}:=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} \hat{x}_{j} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{j}}, \quad \nu=2,3, \ldots
$$

so that $\mathrm{x}_{\nu+1}=\mathrm{x}_{\nu}+\hat{x}_{\nu} \mathrm{d}_{\nu}$, where the $\hat{x}_{\nu}$ 's are the coefficients found in (b). Use that fact that $x_{\nu} \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\mathrm{d}_{1}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\nu-1}\right\}$ and the $A$-orthogonality of the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}$ 's to conclude that the coefficient $\hat{x}_{\nu}$ is given by the optimal $\alpha_{\nu}$, i.e.,

$$
\hat{x}_{\nu}=\frac{\left\langle\mathrm{r}_{\nu}, \mathrm{d}_{\nu}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{\nu}, A \mathrm{~d}_{\nu}\right\rangle}=\alpha_{\nu}
$$

4. (a) Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+b(x) u(x)=f(x), 0 \leq x \leq 1  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=u_{l}, u(1)=u_{r},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $b(x), f(x) \in C[0,1]$, and $b(x) \geq 0$. Formulate a difference method for the approximate solution of (1) on a uniform mesh of size $h$. Explain how $u^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is approximated by a difference quotient.
(b) Suppose $b(x)=0$ and $u_{I}=u_{T}=0$ in (1). Formulate a finite element method for the approximate solution of (1) in this special case, again on a uniform mesh. Using the standard "hat functions" basis for the finite element space, write out the finite element equations explicitly. Show that if an appropriate quadrature formula is used on the right-hand side of the finite element equations, they (the finite element equations) are the same as the finite difference equations.
(c) Show that the matrix in (b) is nonsingular.
5. Consider the following dissipative initial value problem,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}+f(y)=0,0 \leq x \leq 1  \tag{2}\\
y(0)=y_{0},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and satisfies $0 \leq f^{\prime}(y)$.
(a) Write the Backward Euler Method for (1). This gives rise to an algebraic equation. Explain how you would solve this equation.
(b) Derive an error estimate of the form

$$
\left|y\left(x_{n}\right)-y_{n}\right| \leq \frac{M}{2} h, n=1,2, \ldots
$$

where $M=\max _{0 \leq x \leq 1}\left|y^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|$. Do this directly, not as an application of a standard theorem. (Note that there is no exponential on the right hand side.)
6. This problem is concerned with Broyden's method for solving a nonlinear system of equations $F(x)=0$ :

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}+\delta_{n}, \quad \delta_{n}=-B_{n}^{-1} F\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

where $x_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ are given and the matrices $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ are defined by the recurrence

$$
B_{n}=B_{n-1}+\frac{F\left(x_{n}\right) \delta_{n-1}^{T}}{\delta_{n-1}^{T} \delta_{n-1}}
$$

(a) Show that if $B$ is a nonsingular matrix, $u$ and $v$ are arbitrary vectors, and $1+v^{T} B^{-1} u \neq 0$, then

$$
\left(B+u v^{T}\right)^{-1}=\left(I-\frac{B^{-1} u v^{T}}{1+v^{T} B^{-1} u}\right) B^{-1} .
$$

This is known as the Sherman-Morrison formula.
(b) Use the result of part (a) to derive a representation for $B_{n}^{-1}$ of the form

$$
B_{n}^{-1}=\left(I-w_{n-1} v_{n-1}^{T}\right) \cdots\left(I-w_{1} v_{1}^{T}\right)\left(I-w_{0} v_{0}^{T}\right) B_{0}^{-1}
$$

(c) Explain how this representation can be used to derive an implementation of Broyden's method. You may assume here that $B_{0}=I$. Outline the computational costs and storage requirements of this implementation. Why would you want to use this implementation instead of some other one?
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INSTRUCTIONS: Results used, but not proved, must be stated clearly, indicating the assumptions under which they are valid.

1. Let $a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=b$ be a mesh on $[a, b]$. Let $\ell_{i}(x)$ be defined on $[a, b]$ as follows:
2. $\ell_{i}$ is piecewise linear on $[a, b]$.
3. $\ell_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=1$.
4. $\ell_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)=0(j \neq i)$.
(a) Let $f(x)$ be continuous on $[a, b]$. Determine the values of coefficients $c_{i}$ such that the function

$$
\ell(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i} \ell_{i}(x)
$$

satisfies $\ell\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right)$.
(b) Let $h=\max _{i}\left\{x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right\}$. Let $f$ be twice continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$. By deriving an upper bound on $|f(x)-\ell(x)|$, where $\ell$ is the function defined in part $a$, show that $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}|f(x)-\ell(x)|=0$ and estimate the rate of convergence. You may use any results from polynomial interpolation theory.
2. The following improper integral $I(f)$ is to be approximated using the following 2-point quadrature $Q(f)$ :

$$
I(f)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-x} d x \approx \omega_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)+\omega_{2} f\left(x_{2}\right)=Q(f) .
$$

(a) Determine the nodes $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ that maximize the polynomial degree of $Q(f)$.
(b) Having $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, compute the weights $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$.
(c) Give an integral representation formula for the error $E(f)=I(f)-Q(f)$ (Hint: use Hermite polynomial interpolation).
3. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be symmetric and positive definite matrices, and let $\mathrm{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Consider the quadratic function $Q(\mathrm{x})=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{x}^{T} A \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}^{T} \mathrm{~b}$ for $\mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a descent method to approximate the solution of $A \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{b}$ :

$$
\mathbf{x}_{k+1}=\mathbf{x}_{k}+\alpha_{k} \mathbf{d}_{k} .
$$

(a) Define the concept of descent direction $\mathrm{d}_{k}$ and show how to compute the optimal stepsize $\alpha_{k}$.
(b) Formulate the steepest descent (or gradient) method and write a pseudocode which implements it.
(c) Let $B^{-1}$ be a preconditioner of $A$. Show how to modify the steepest descent method to work for $B^{-1} A \mathrm{x}=B^{-1} \mathrm{~b}$, and write a pseudocode. Note that $B^{-1} A$ may not be symmetric (Hint: proceed as with the conjugate gradient method).

## A.JSC Written Exam, August 2003

4 . The solution $(\pi, \pi)$ of the system of equations $f(x)=0$, where

$$
\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sin x+\cos 2 y-x-1+\pi \\
\sin 3 x-\sin y+2 y-2 \pi
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]
$$

is to be computed by the iterative method

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{i+1}=\mathrm{x}_{i}-A^{-1} \mathbf{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-2 & 0 \\
-3 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

(a) Shor that there is a $\delta>0$ such that (1) converges provided $\mid x_{0}$ $\pi\left|<\bar{\delta} .\left|y_{0}-\pi\right|<\delta\right.$. You may use a standard theorem to prove this.
(b) Prore the convergence is quadratic. For this part give direct proof of quadratic convergence (do not just quote a general theorem). Hint: Note that the Jacobian $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})$ is Lipschitz continuous.

5 . (a) Define the backward Euler method and trapezoidal rule for computing the numerical solution to an initial value problem $y^{\prime}=$ $f(t, y), y\left(t_{0}\right)$ given.
(b) Consider the model problem $y^{\prime}=\lambda y, y(0)=1$, for $t \in[0, T]$, with $\lambda<0$. For both the methods from part (a), derive expressions for the numerical solutions obtained for this model problem, and shor that both numerical methods are convergent when applied to it.
(c) Another desirable property for the numerical solution is for it to display behavior qualitatively similar to that of the exact solution for very large $T$, using as small a number of time steps as possible (so that $|h \lambda|$ may be large). Which of the two methods under consideration here does a better job with respect to this criterion, for the problem of part (b)?
6. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}+u=f(x), 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad u(0)=u(1)=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Give a variational formulation of (1), i.e., express (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in H: \quad B(u, v)=F(v), \text { for all } v \in H . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the space $H$, the bilinear form $B$, and the linear functional $F$, and state the relation between (1) and (2).
(b) What is meant by the finite element approximation, $u_{h}$, to the solution, $u$ ( $h$ is the mesh parameter)? Is it guaranteed that $u_{h}$ exists and is unique? What can be said about $\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|_{1}=$ the error in the Sobolev space, $H^{1}(0,1)$ ?
(c) Letting $e=u-u_{h}$, show that

$$
B(e, e)=B(u \cdot u)-B\left(u_{h}, u_{h}\right),
$$

and hence that

$$
B\left(u_{h}, u_{h}\right) \leq B(u, u) .
$$
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INSTRUCTIONS: Results used, but not proved, must be stated clearly, indicating the assumptions under which they are valid.

1. This problem is about approximating mixed second derivatives by finite differences, which turns out to be useful in approximating Hessians. Let $u$ be a continuous function in a neighborhood of the origin $(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. For $h>0$ small, consider the four points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$

$$
\mathbf{x}_{1}=(h, h), \quad \mathbf{x}_{2}=(-h, h), \quad \mathbf{x}_{3}=(-h,-h), \quad \mathbf{x}_{4}=(h,-h) .
$$

(a) Use $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and polynomial interpolation to derive a finite difference approximation $\partial_{x}^{h} u(0, h)$ of $\partial_{x} u(0, h)$, along with an error estimate. Indicate clearly the regularity of $u$ required for this estimate to hold.
(b) Derive a similar approximation $\partial_{x}^{h} u(0,-h)$ for $\partial_{x} u(0,-h)$ using $\mathbf{x}_{3}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{4}$.
(c) Combine $\partial_{x}^{h} u(0, h)$ with $\partial_{x}^{h} u(0,-h)$ appropriately to obtain a finite difference approximation $\partial_{x y}^{h} u(0,0)$ of $\partial_{x y} u(0,0)$. Prove an error estimate and indicate clearly the regularity of $u$ required.

2(a). State the Weierstrass approximation theorem for functions defined on $[0,1]$.
2(b). Let

$$
Q_{n}(f) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{n} \omega_{i}^{(n)} f\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right) \cong \int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x \equiv I(f)
$$

be a sequence of integration formulas with the following properties:

1. The weights $\omega_{i}^{(n)}$ are nonnegative.
2. $x_{i}^{(n)} \in[0,1]$.
3. $Q_{n}(p)=I(p)$ for all polynomials $p$ of degree not greater than $n$.

Prove that for any function $f$ that is continuous in $[0,1]$ we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{n}(f)=I(f) .
$$

[Hint: First show that $\omega_{i}^{(n)} \leq 1$.]
3. A rotation in the $(i, j)$-plane $(i<j)$ is an identity matrix whose $(i, i)-,(i, j)-,(j, i)$-, and $(j, j)$-elements have been replaced by $c, s,-s, c$, where $c^{2}+s^{2}=1$.
a. Show that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a $2 \times 2$ plane rotation $R_{12}$ such that,

$$
R_{12}\binom{x}{y}=\binom{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}{0}
$$

Give pseudocode for a program rotgen $(x, y, c, s, n u)$ that generates the appropriate $c$ and $s$ from $x$ and $y$ and also returns $\nu=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}$.
b. Let $A$ be an $(n+1) \times n$ upper Hessenberg matrix (i.e., $A$ is zero below its first subdiagonal). Give pseudocode for an algorithm that reduces $A$ to upper triangular form by premultiplication by $n$ plane rotations.
c. Give the number of additions and multiplications required for the algorithm in part $b$. Give only the highest order term in $n$.
4. Suppose $F: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n}$ has a zero, $x^{*}: F\left(x^{*}\right)=0$. Suppose the Jacobian $F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)$ is nonsingular and $F^{\prime}(x)$ is Lipschitz continuous. Consider the variant of Newton's method for computing $x^{*}$, namely

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-B\left(x_{k}\right) F\left(x_{k}\right),
$$

where $B\left(x_{k}\right)$ is a matrix that represents an approximation to the inverse of the Jacobian, $F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)^{-1}$. Assume $B(x)$ satisfies

$$
\left\|I-B(x) F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\| \leq \alpha
$$

where $\alpha$ is a positive constant less than one. Prove that the errors $e_{k}=x^{*}-x_{k}$ satisfy

$$
\left\|e_{k+1}\right\| \leq \alpha\left\|e_{k}\right\|+c\left\|e_{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

where $c$ is a constant.
5. Consider the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}=f(t, y), \quad y\left(t_{0}\right)=y_{0}
$$

where we assume $f(t, y), f_{t}(t, y)=\frac{\partial f(t, y)}{\partial t}$, and $f_{y}(t, y)=\frac{\partial f(t, y)}{\partial y}$ are continuous on the strip $S=\left[t_{0}, b\right] \times R$, and $\left|f_{y}(t, y)\right| \leq K$ on $S$. Suppose we approximate the solution $y(t)$ by the Euler Method; $y\left(t_{i}\right) \approx y_{i}$, where $y_{i}$ is the Euler approximation at $t_{i}=t_{0}+i h, i=0,1, \ldots, n$, where $h=\frac{b-t_{0}}{n}$.
(a) Establish the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t_{i+1}\right)-y_{i+1}=\left[1+h f_{y}\left(t_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)\right]\left[y\left(t_{i}\right)-y_{i}\right]+\frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right) h^{2}}{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{i}$ is between $t_{i}$ and $t_{i+1}$ and $\eta_{i}$ is between $y\left(t_{i}\right)$ an $\mathrm{d} y_{i}$. Note that (1) can be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Global Error at } \begin{aligned}
t_{i+1} & =\left[1+h f_{y}\left(t_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)\right] \times \text { Global Error at } t_{i} \\
& \left.+ \text { (Local Truncation Error at } t_{i}\right)
\end{aligned},
\end{aligned}
$$

$1+h f_{y}\left(t_{i}, \eta_{i}\right)$ is called the amplification factor.
(b) Use the relation in (1) to prove that

$$
\left|y\left(t_{i}\right)-y_{i}\right| \leq \frac{M\left(b-t_{v}\right)}{2}, \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $M$ is an upper bound for $\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|$, for $t_{0} \leq t \leq b$, provided

$$
f_{y}(t, y) \leq 0, \text { for }(t, y) \in S, \text { and } 0<h<\frac{2}{K}
$$

Recall that $K$ is a bound on $\left|f_{y}(t, y)\right|$.
6. Let $u(x)$ denote a function on the interval $(a, b)$ such that $u(a)=0$ and $u^{\prime}(x)^{2}$ is integrable.
(a) Prove that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} u(x)^{2} d x \leq \frac{[b-a]^{2}}{2} \int_{a}^{b} u^{\prime}(x)^{2} d x
$$

(b) Derive a matrix analogue of this relation when it is applied to a function

$$
u_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \phi_{i}(x)
$$

determined from a finite element discretization with piecewise linear basis functions (the usual hat functions) defined on a uniform mesh. That is, derive matrices $A$ and $M$ such that the result from part (a) leads to

$$
(M u, u) \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{2}(A u, u)
$$

where $u$ is the vector of coefficients defining $u_{h}$. Show the precise structure of $A$ and $M$.
(c) Use Gerschgorin's Theorem to show that

$$
\frac{(A u, u)}{(M u, u)} \leq c h^{-2}
$$

where $c$ is independent of the discretization mesh size $h$.
Note: For parts (b) and (c), you may assume for simplicity that a Dirichlet condition $u(b)=0$ holds at the right endpoint.
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1.
a. Let $X$ be an $n \times p$ matrix of rank $p$. Given an $n$-vector $y$ show that the 2 -norm of the residual $r=y-X b$ is minimized when $r$ is orthogonal to the columns of $X$. Deduce that the solution $b$ satisfies the normal equations $\left(X^{\mathrm{T}} X\right) b=X^{\mathrm{T}} y$. You may assume there is an orthogonal matrix whose first $p$ columns form a basis for the column space of $X$.
b. Let $x$ be an approximate eigenvector of $A$. Determine $\mu$ such that the residual $r=A x-\mu x$ is minimized.
2. Suppose there is a quadrature formula

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx w_{a} f(a)+w_{b} f(b)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} f\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

which produces the exact integral whenever $f$ is a polynomial of degree $2 n+1$. Here the nodes $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ are all distinct. Prove that the nodes lie in the open interval $(a, b)$, and the weights $w_{a}, w_{b}$ and $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ are positive.
3. Let $A$ be a symmetric matrix of order $n$.
a. Let $v_{1}$ be an arbitrary vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{2}=1$, and let $v_{-1}=0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Consider the three-term recurrence

$$
\gamma_{j+1} v_{j+1}=A v_{j}-\delta_{j} v_{j}-\gamma_{j} v_{j-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,
$$

where $\gamma_{j+1}$ is chosen so that $\left\|v_{j+1}\right\|_{2}=1$ You may assume that $k<n$ and the process does not "break down," i.e., $v_{j} \neq 0$ for $j \leq k$. Show that $\delta_{j}$ can be chosen so that the generated vectors $\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ satisfy $\left(v_{j}, v_{i}\right)=1$ for $j=i$ and 0 for $j \neq i$.
b. Now consider the linear system of equations $A x=b$, and let $v_{1}=b /\|b\|_{2}$ in the algorithm above. Show that an approximate solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} v_{j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose residual $r_{k}=b-A x_{k}$ is orthogonal to $\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ can be constructed by solving a system of equations

$$
T_{k} a=\|b\|_{2} e_{1}
$$

for the vector of coeffients $a$ in (1), where $T_{k}$ is a tridiagonal matrix of order $k$ and $e_{1}$ is the unit vector $(1,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$.

INSTRUCTIONS: Results used, but not proved, must be stated clearly, indicating the assumptions under which they are valid.
4. The Newton method is used to approximate the zero of the function $f(x)=\tan (x / 5)$, with starting value $x_{0}=5$. The results are reported in the following table

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{k} \\
5.0000000 e+0 \\
2.7267564 e+0 \\
5.0937737 e-1 \\
3.5171112 e-3 \\
0.1160185 e-9 .
\end{gathered}
$$

(a) Write the Newton method for this function and explain why the iterates are monotonically decreasing towards the zero $x_{*}=0$.
(b) Define the concept of quadratic rate of convergence, and check whether the above method converges quadratically or not.
(c) Use Taylor expansions to find the actual rate of convergence (do not just quote a theorem). Explain the Newton method in geometric terms, and use this construction to explain the rate of convergence.
5. Let $u:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of the heat equation:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
u_{t}-u_{x x}=f(t, x), & t, x \in(0,1) \\
u(t, 0)=u(t, 1)=0, & t \in(0,1) \\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), & x \in[0,1] .
\end{array}
$$

(a) Write this equation in operator form as $u_{t}+A u=f$, where $A u=-u_{x x}$. Write the (backward) implicit Euler method for the ODE $u_{t}+A u=f$ with constant stepsize $\tau$.
(b) Discretize the term $A u$ of (a) via centered differences on a uniform partition of $[0,1]$ with meshsize $h$.
(c) Let $\mathbf{U}^{n}=\left(U_{i}^{n}\right)_{i=1}^{I}$ be the nodal values of the discrete solution at time step $n$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$. Show that $\mathrm{U}^{n}$ solves a system $\mathrm{MU}^{n}=\mathrm{b}^{n-1}$ with matrix M satisfying

$$
m_{i i}>\sum_{j \neq i}\left|m_{i j}\right|, \quad m_{i j} \leq 0 \quad i \neq j .
$$

(d) Show that $u_{0}, f \geq 0$ imply $U_{i}^{n} \geq 0$ for all $n, i$.
6. Let $y(t)$ be the solution of the scalar initial value problem $y^{\prime}=f(t, y)$ with $y(0)=y_{0}$. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{N}$ be the solution of the following Runge-Kutta method

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{1} & =f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right), \\
k_{2} & =f\left(t_{n}+h, y_{n}+h k_{1}\right), \\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}+\frac{h}{2}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Define truncation error $T_{n}$ on the interval $\left[t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right]$.
(b) Show that $T_{n}=O\left(h^{3}\right)$ provided $f \in C^{2}$. What would be the order of $T_{n}$ if $f \in C^{k}$ with $k<2$ ?
(c) Derive a global error estimate and establish the global rate of convergence. Sketch a proof.

# Numerical Analysis 
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1. Let $A$ be a nonsingular matrix, and let $A=Q-R$ be a splitting such that the eigenvalue of $Q^{-1} R$ with maximum modulus, denoted $\rho^{\prime}\left(Q^{-1} R\right)$, is less than 1.
a. What is the stationary iterative method based on this splitting for solving $A x=b$ ?
b. Let $x^{(m)}$ denote the $m$ th iterate. Suppose that $Q^{-1} R=S D S^{-1}$ where $D$ is diagonal. Prove that

$$
\frac{\log (\kappa(S) / \epsilon)}{-\log \left(\rho\left(Q^{-1} R\right)\right)}
$$

iterations suffice to reduce the relative error $\left\|x-x^{(m)}\right\|_{2} /\left\|x-x^{(0)}\right\|_{2}$ to $\epsilon$. Here $\kappa(S)=\|S\|_{2}: S^{-1} \|_{2}$.
2. Consider the polynomial recurrence

$$
p_{k+1}(x)=\left(x-\alpha_{k+1}\right) p_{k}(x)-\beta_{k+1}^{2} p_{k-1}(x), \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

where $p_{0}=1, p_{-1}=0$, and $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$ are scalars.
a. Show that the roots of $p_{k}(x)$ are the eigenvalues of the tridiagonal matrix

$$
J_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\alpha_{1} & \beta_{2} & & & \\
\beta_{2} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{3} & & \\
& & \ddots & & \\
& & \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_{k-1} & \beta_{k} \\
& & & \beta_{k} & \alpha_{k}
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$

b. Derive conditions on $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$ such that the polynomials satisfy

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} p_{i}(x) p_{j}(x) d x=0, \quad i \neq j .
$$

Of what use are the roots in this case?
3. Determine $a$ and $b$ such that $a x+b$ is the best $\infty$-norm approximation to $x^{2}$ on $[0,1]$.

# Numerical Analysis 
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INSTRUCTIONS: Results used, but not proved, must be stated clearly, indicating the assumptions under which they are valid.
4. Suppose $\mathrm{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is of class $C^{2}$, suppose $f\left(x^{*}\right)=0$, and suppose the Jacobian $D \mathrm{f}\left(x^{*}\right)$ is positive definite. For $\lambda$ a real parameter. consider the iteration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}_{k+1}=\mathbf{x}_{k}-\lambda \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Write sufficient conditions for this iteration to converge locally; specifically, determine the range of $\lambda$ for which (l) converges.
(b) Is it possible to choose $\lambda$ in such a way that convergence is superlinear?
(c) Suppose $\lambda$ is replaced by a matrix $A_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. How would you choose $A_{k}$ to achieve quadratic convergence.
5. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth, and $u:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of the periodic 2 -point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}=f(x) \quad 0<x<1, \quad u(0)=u(1), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Show that for this problem to have a solution, $f$ must satisfy the compatibility condition

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x=0
$$

Show that in this case, the kernel of this problem, i.e., the space of solutions corresponding to $f(x)=0$, is the space of constant functions.
(b) Discretize (2) using finite differences on a uniform partition $x_{0}=0<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N-1}<x_{N}=1$ with meshsize $h$. Write the resulting system in terms of the unknown nodal values $U=\left\{U_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N}$, Note that this requires eliminating the unknown $U_{0}$.
(c) Show that the system can be written so that the resulting matrix $A$ is symmetric; display $A$, showing the pattern of zero and nonzero entries. Show that $A$ is singular, and find its kernel (nuilspace).
(d) Find a discrete compatibility counterpart of (a) for the discrete problem $\mathrm{AU}=\mathrm{F}$ to have a solution.
6. Consider the following implicit method for the initial value problem $y^{\prime}=f(t, y)$ with $y(0)=y_{0}$ :

$$
y_{n}=y_{n-1}+\frac{h}{2}\left(f\left(t_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right)+f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N
$$

where $h>0$ is the constant stepsize. Assume that the ODE is dissipative, i.e., $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \leq 0$.
(a) Show that the nonlinear algebraic problem involving $y_{n}$ always admits one and only one solution. Note that $h$ is not assumed to be small here.
(b) Define the local truncation error $T_{n}$ on the interval $\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]$, and find its order, provided $f \in C^{2}$
(c) Show that the error $e_{n}=y\left(t_{n}\right)-y_{n}$ between the exact solution $y\left(t_{n}\right)$ and the corresponding approximate solution $y_{n}$ obeys the following relation:

$$
\left|e_{n}\right| \leq\left|e_{n-1}\right|+\left|T_{n}\right|, \text { for all } 1 \leq n \leq N
$$

(d) Deduce the error estimate

$$
\left|e_{N}\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left|T_{n}\right|
$$

(with stability constant $=1$ ), and derive the global rate of convergence, provided $f \in C^{2}$.

# MAPL/CMSC 666: Numerical Analysis (I) Qualifying Examination Problems January 2001 

1. Let C $[a, b]$ clenole the set of all real-valued functions defined and continuons on a closed interval $[a, b]$. Let $\rho \in C[a, b]$ be positime errwwhere in $[a, b]$.
la. ( $10 \%$ ) Let $f \in C$ C $[a, b]$. For each integer $n \geq 0$. Let $p_{n} \in \rho_{n}$ be the best miform approximation of $f$ in ' $\rho_{n}$ on [a,b]:

$$
\max _{a \leq x \leq b}\left|f(x)-p_{n}(x)\right| \leq \max _{x \leq x \leq b}\left|f(x)-q_{n}(x)\right| \quad \forall q_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}
$$

where $J_{n}$ is the set of all real polynomials of degree $\leq n$. Show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow x} \int_{n}^{b} \rho(x)\left[f(x)-p_{n}(x)\right]^{2} d x=0
$$

1b. $\left(60 \%\right.$ ) Let $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\approx}$ be a ssstem of polynomials, with deg $Q_{n}=n$ for each $n$. orthogonal with respect to the inner product

$$
\langle g \cdot h\rangle=\int_{a}^{b} \rho(x) g(x) h(x) d . x \quad \forall g . h \in C[a, b] .
$$

For a fixed integer $n \geq 2$. let $r_{1} \ldots \ldots, x_{n}$ be the $n$ clistinct roots of $Q_{n}$ in $(a, b)$. Let

$$
l_{k}(x)=\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{k-1}\right)\left(x-x_{k+1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{k}-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right)\left(x_{k}-x_{k+1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{k}-x_{n}\right)} \quad k=1 . \cdots . n
$$

Show that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \rho(x) l_{j}(x) l_{k}(x) d x=0, \quad 1 \leq j<k \leq n
$$

ancl that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{n}^{b} \rho(x)\left[l_{k}(x)\right]^{2} d x=\int_{n}^{b} \rho(x) d x
$$

2. The traperoidal mumerical integration rule on a closed interval [a.b] is given by

$$
\int_{12}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \frac{1}{2}(b-a)[f(a)+f(b)]
$$

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be twice continuonsly diferentiable.
2 a. $(.50 \%)$ Prove that there exists $\xi \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\frac{1}{2}(b-a)[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{1}{12}(b-a)^{3} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi) .
$$

2b. $(50 \%)$ Let $. ~ I \geq 1$ be an integer. $h=(b-a) / N$. and $x_{k}=a+k h . k=0 . \cdots$. $N$. Prove the following crror formula for the composite trapezoidal numerical integration rule

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\left\{\frac{h}{2}[f(a)+f(b)]+h \sum_{k=1}^{v-1} f\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}-\frac{(b-a) f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)}{12} h^{2}
$$

where $\eta \in(a . b)$ depends on $f$.
3. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times n}$. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. and $b \in \mathcal{R}^{m}$. with $m \geq n$. Consider the problem

$$
\min _{x}\|-1 x-b\|^{2}+\lambda\|x\|^{2}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a real scalar.
3 a. $(50 \%)$ Develop an algorithm to solve the problem if $\lambda>0$.
31 . $(20 \%)$ What is the highest order term in the operations count for your algorithm? (You do not need to compute the constant in front of the powers of $m$ and $n$.)

3c. $(30 \%)$ In what way is the problem changed if $\lambda<0$ ?

## January MAPL 667 Exam

Clearly specify all the theorems you use.

1. Let $A$ be the $n \times n$ tridiagonal matrix with values 4 on the diagonal, and values -1 above and below the diagonal. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and consider the nonlinear system

$$
A x+\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{3}  \tag{1}\\
\vdots \\
x_{n}^{3}
\end{array}\right)=b
$$

with $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We consider the iteration method $x^{(k+1)}=T\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ where the iteration function $y=T(x)$ is defined implicitly by

$$
4 y+\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}^{3}  \tag{ㄹ}\\
\vdots \\
y_{n}^{3}
\end{array}\right)=(4 I-A) x+b
$$

(a) Show that the system (2) always has a unique solution $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for any given $x, b \in \mathbb{E}^{n}$.
(b) Show that $T$ satisfies $\|T(x)-T(y)\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x-y\|_{\infty}$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. (Hint: Express $T(x)$ in terms of the inverse function of $\psi(t)=4 t+t^{3}$.)
(c) Use (b) to show that the system (1) has a unique solution $x_{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $x^{(k+1)}=T\left(x^{(k)}\right)$. Prove an estimate which shows how $\left\|x^{(k)}-x_{\star}\right\|_{\infty}$ decreases for $k \rightarrow \infty$.
2. Consicler the initial value problem $y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y(0)=a$ and the multistep method with constant, stepsize $h$

$$
3 y_{n+1}-4 y_{n}+y_{n-1}=2 h f\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)
$$

where $x_{n}=n h$.
(a) Assume that $y_{n-1}=y\left(x_{n-1}\right), y_{n}=y\left(x_{n}\right)$ and show that $\left|y_{n+1}-y\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right| \leq C h^{3}$ for some constant $C>0$ independent of $h$, provided that $f(x, y)$ is sufficiently smooth. Assuming the method is stable, what is its order of convergence?
(b) Let $f(x, y)=4 y$. Determine whether we have $\sup _{n \geq 0}\left|y_{n}\right|<\infty$ for all initial values (i) in the case $h=1$, (ii) in the case $h=\frac{1}{2}$.
3. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x)=f(x), \quad x \in(0,1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with periodic boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u(1), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the function space $V=\left\{v \in H^{1}(0,1): v(0)=v(1)\right\}$ and the variational formulation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } u \in V \text { such that for all } v \in V: \quad \int_{0}^{1}\left(u^{\prime}(x) v^{\prime}(x)+u(x) v(x)\right) d x=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) v(x) d x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Let $u \in C^{2}[0,1]$ be a solution of (5). Show that $u$ is a solution of (3), (4).
(b) Let $h=1 / N$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We partition $[0,1]$ into $N$ subintervals of length $h$. Let $V_{h}$ be the subspace of the space $V$ consisting of piecewise linear functions on this partition. What is the dimension of $V_{h}$ ? Give a basis of $V_{h}$ consisting of functions of smallest support (nodal basis functions).
(c) Give the finite element formulation corresponding to (5). Let $\vec{U}$ be the coefficient vector of $u$ with respect to the basis. Determine the stiffness matrix $A$ and the right hand side vector $\vec{F}$ so that the finite element solution satisfies $A \vec{U}=\vec{F}$. Give explicit values (in terms of $N$ ) for all entries of the stiffness matrix $A$.

## January MAPL 667 Exam

Clearly specify all the theorems you use.
4. Let $A$ be the $n \times n$ tridiagonal matrix with values 4 on the diagonal, and values -1 above and below the diagonal. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and consider the nonlinear system

$$
A x+\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{3}  \tag{1}\\
\vdots \\
x_{n}^{3}
\end{array}\right)=b
$$

with $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We consider the iteration method $x^{(k+1)}=T\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ where the iteration function $y=T(x)$ is defined implicitly by

$$
4 y+\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}^{3}  \tag{2}\\
\vdots \\
y_{n}^{3}
\end{array}\right)=(4 I-A) x+b
$$

(a) Show that the system (2) always has a unique solution $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for any given $x, b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(b) Show that $T$ satisfies $\|T(x)-T(y)\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x-y\|_{\infty}$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. (Hint: Express $T(x)$ in terms of the inverse function of $\psi(t)=4 t+t^{3}$.)
(c) Use (b) to show that the system (1) has a unique solution $x_{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $x^{(k+1)}=T\left(x^{(k)}\right)$. Prove an estimate which shows how $\left\|x^{(k)}-x_{*}\right\|_{\infty}$ decreases for $k \rightarrow \infty$.
5.

Consider the initial value problem $y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y(0)=a$ and the multistep method with constant stepsize $h$

$$
3 y_{n+1}-4 y_{n}+y_{n-1}=2 h f\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)
$$

where $x_{n}=n h$.
(a) Assume that $y_{n-1}=y\left(x_{n-1}\right), y_{n}=y\left(x_{n}\right)$ and show that $\left|y_{n+1}-y\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right| \leq C h^{3}$ for some constant $C>0$ independent of $h$, provided that $f(x, y)$ is suffiently smooth. Assuming the method is stable, what is its order of convergence?
(b) Let $f(x, y)=4 y$. Determine whether we have $\sup _{n \geq 0}\left|y_{n}\right|<\infty$ for all initial values (i) in the case $h=1$, (ii) in the case $h=\frac{1}{2}$.
6. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x)=f(x), \quad x \in(0,1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with periodic boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u(1), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the function space $V=\left\{v \in H^{1}(0,1): v(0)=v(1)\right\}$ and the variational formulation
Find $u \in V$ such that for all $v \in V: \quad \int_{0}^{1}\left(u^{\prime}(x) v^{\prime}(x)+u(x) v(x)\right) d x=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) v(x) d x$
(a) Let $u \in C^{2}[0,1]$ be a solution of (5). Show that $u$ is a solution of (3), (4).
(b) Let $h=1 / N$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We partition $[0,1]$ into $N$ subintervals of length $h$. Let $V_{h}$ be the subspace of the space $V$ consisting of piecewise linear functions on this partition. What is the dimension of $V_{h}$ ? Give a basis of $V_{h}$ consisting of functions of smallest support (nodal basis functions).
(c) Give the finite element formulation corresponding to (5). Let $\vec{U}$ be the coefficient vector of $u$ with respect to the basis. Determine the stiffness matrix $A$ and the right hand side vector $\vec{F}$ so that the finite element solution satisfes $A \vec{U}=\vec{F}$. Give explicit values (in terms of $N$ ) for all entries of the stiffness matrix $A$.

MAPL 666
Comprehensive Exam
August 2000

1. Let $A \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times n}$ be a matrix of rank $n$. Let $C=\left(A^{T} . A\right)^{-1}$ be the variancecovariance matrix. Suppose that we have a $Q R$ factorization $\left(Q \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times n}\right.$ with orthonomal columns. $R \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times n}$ upper triangular) of $A$ arailable.

1a. $(30 \%)$ Show that $C=R^{-1}\left(R^{T}\right)^{-1}$.
1b. ( $40 \%$ ) Give an efficient algorithm for computing the main cliagonal of $C$ : given $R$.
lc. $(30 \%)$ What is the relation of $C$ to the normal equations for the least squares problem

$$
\min _{x}\|A x-b\| ?
$$

2a. $(50 \%)$ Let $\mathrm{I}=\left[\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}\right]$ be a nonsingular $n \times n$ complex matrix. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ complex matrix. and suppose $A X_{1}=X_{1} M$. Show that

$$
X^{-1} A X=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M & B \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some matrices $B$ and $C$.
2b. $(50 \%)$ Prove that each eigenvalue of $M$ and each eigenvalue of $C$ is an eigenvalue of $A$. Choose an eigenvector of $M$ and express an eigenvector of $A$ in terms of it. Repeat for an eigenvector of $C$.

3a. (20\%) Write down the compound trapezoidal rule for approximating the integral

$$
I(f)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

Use $n+1$ function evaluations.
3b. $(30 \%)$ Write down the error formula for your formula from part a.
$3 c$. $(50 \%)$ Sketch the details of a computer program that uses the compound trapezoidal rule to produce an approximation $Q(f)$ for $I(f)$ such that $\mid I(f)$ $Q(f) \mid<\epsilon$ for some parameter $\epsilon$ provided by the user. Nake sure that you make efficient use of function ralues.

## CMSC:/MAPL 667

Comprehensive Exam
August 2000

## Problem 1

Let $G: R^{n}-R^{n}$ be continuously differentiable and have a fixed point $x_{*}$. For some starting point $x_{0}$ consider the iteration

$$
x_{k+1}=G\left(x_{k}\right), \quad k=0.1,2 \ldots
$$

(a) Define the concepts of global and local convergence for the iteration.
(b) Show that if in the matrix 1 -norm, $\|\cdot\|_{1}$, we have $\left\|C_{r}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{\star}\right)\right\|_{1}<1$, then the iteration converges locally.
(c) The mapping

$$
G^{\prime}(x, y)=\binom{\alpha\left(3 x^{2}+3 x+y-1\right)}{1+\alpha\left(x^{3}-y+1\right)}
$$

has the fixed point

$$
x_{\star}=\binom{0}{1}
$$

for any value of the parameter $\alpha$. For which values of $\alpha$ does the local convergence result apply?

## Problem 2

Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x)=f(x)  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=0, u^{\prime}(1)=2,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f \in L_{2}(0,1)$.
(a) Derive the variational formulation of (1), defining precisely the bilinear form, the linear functional, and the function spaces.
(b) Given a partition $\Delta_{h}=\left\{0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=1\right\}$, with $h=\max _{i=1, \ldots, n}\left(x_{i}-\right.$ $x_{i-1}$ ), define the finite element approximation $u_{h}$ to $u$ using continuous, piecewise linear approximating functions.
(c) State the standard estimate, in terms of a power of $h$, for the finite element error, $e=u-u_{h}$, in the $H^{1}$-norm. Include a statement of any regularity assumptions the solution $u$ should satisfy.
(d) Letting $B$ be the bilinear form in the variational formulation of (1), show that

$$
B(\epsilon, \epsilon)=B(u, u)-B\left(u_{h}, u_{h}\right) .
$$

Hint: Recall the basic orthogonality property of $u_{h}$.

## Problem 3

Consider the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}=f(x, y)  \tag{1}\\
y(a)=A
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f(x, y)$ is defined on $[a, b] \times R$. For approximating of the solution $y(x)$ of (1). consider the Taylor Series Method,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=a \cdot y_{0}=A  \tag{2}\\
x_{i+1}=x_{i}+h \\
y_{i+1}=y_{i}+h \Phi\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, h, f\right) \cdot i=0,1, \cdots .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, y \cdot h, f) \equiv f(x, y)+\frac{h}{2} f^{(1)}(x, y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}(x, y)=f_{x}(x, y)+f_{y}(x, y) f(x, y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Phi$ is an approximate slope. We also consider the exact slope, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(x, y(x), h, f)=\frac{y(x+h)-y(x)}{h} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Show that the method is of order 2 (for the solution $y(x)$ ), i.e., that there is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi(x, y(x), h, f)-\Delta(x, y(x), h, f)| \leq C h^{2}, \text { for all } a \leq x \leq b-h . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C$ will depend on $y(x)$; you will need to assume $f(x, y)$ has bounded partial derivatives of orders $\leq 2$. Hint: Show that $y^{\prime \prime}(x)=f^{(1)}(x, y(x))$.
(b) In what follows you may assume that $\Phi(x, y, h, f)$ is Lipschitz continuous in $y$, i.e., that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi(x, \bar{y}, h . f)-\Phi(x, y, h, f)| \leq K|\bar{y}-y|, \forall y, \bar{y} \in R . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here is an outline of the proof that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y\left(x_{i}\right)-y_{i}\right| \leq C \frac{\epsilon^{K i(b-a)}-1}{K} h^{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Complete the proof: specifically. answer questions (i). (ii). and (iii).
(i) Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(x_{i+1}\right)=y\left(x_{i}\right)+h \Delta\left(x_{i}, y\left(x_{i}\right), h . f\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Letting $e_{i}=y\left(x_{i}\right)-y_{i}$. use (2).(9). (6). and (i) to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{i+1}\right| \leq\left|\epsilon_{i}\right|[1+h K]+C h^{3} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Show that (8) follows from (10) and the

Lemma. Suppose $d_{0} \cdot d_{1} \ldots$ is a nonnegative sequence satisfying

$$
d_{i+1} \leq(1+\delta) d_{2}+M, i=0,1, \cdots .
$$

for some $\delta, M \geq 0$. Then

$$
d_{i} \leq M \frac{e^{i \delta}-1}{\delta} \cdot i=0.1 . \cdots .
$$

(You do not need to prove the Lemma.)

## Numerical Analysis

CMSC/MAPL 666
Comprehensive Exam
January 2000

1. Let ( $50 \%$ ) $f$ be a function with 3 continuous derivatives. Let $q$ be the quadratic polynomial that interpolates $f$ at $x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}$. Let $h=\max \left(x_{1}-x_{0}, x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\max _{x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{2}}\left|f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right|=K .
$$

(a) Show that

$$
\max _{x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{2}}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)-q^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leq C h^{\alpha},
$$

where $C>0$ depends only on $K$ and determine $\alpha_{i}$. (Hint: the key to this is to prove that $f^{\prime \prime}(x)-q^{\prime \prime}(x)$ vanishes at some point in $\left[x_{0}, x_{2}\right]$. The result can then be obtained by integration.)
(b) (50\%) Now suppose $h=x_{2}-x_{1}=x_{1}-x_{0}$ and $f$ has 4 continuous derivatives. In this case show

$$
\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)-q^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right| \leq C^{\prime} h^{3}
$$

where $\beta>\alpha$. What is $C^{\prime}$ in terms of the derivatives of $f$ ?
2.
(a) ( $40 \%$ ) Find $\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ such that $p_{i}$ is a polynomial of degree $i$ and this set is orthogonal on $[0, \infty)$ with respect to the weight function $w(x)=e^{-x}$. (Note: $\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} e^{-x} d x=n!, 0!=1$ )
(b) $60 \%$ ) Derive the 2 point Gaussian formula

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-x} d x \approx w_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right) \div w_{2} f\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

ie. find the weights and nodes.
3. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix, and consider the linear system $A x=b$.
(a) $(30 \%)$ Write down the Jacobi iteration for solving $A x=b$, in the way that it would be programmed on a computer.
(b) (30\%) Suppose $A$ has $m$ nonzero elements with $m \ll n^{2}$. How many operations per iteration does the Jacobi iteration take?
(c) ( $40 \%$ ) Assume that $A$ is strictly diagonally dominant: for $i=1 \ldots, n$,

$$
\left|a_{i i}\right|>\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| .
$$

Show that the Jacobi iteration converges for any initial guess $x^{(0)}$. (Hint: You may use Gerschgorin's theorem without proving it.)
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1.
(a) (30\%) Verify that the calculation of $1 / a$, where $a$ is a non-zero scalar, by Newton's method corresponds to the iterative method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}=x_{k}\left(2-a x_{k}\right), \quad k \geq 0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) (30\%) By considering the successive residuals $r_{k}=1-a x_{k}$ show that (I) is convergent if and only if $0<a x_{0}<2$.
(c) ( $40 \%$ ) In analogy to (1) construct an iterative method for finding the inverse of a nonsingular matrix $A$ and give necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence in terms of the initial guess $X_{0}$.
2. The modified Euler method for the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}
$$

is given by

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h, y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Give a direct proof that this method is convergent. Do not quote any theorems. What is the order of the method?
Hint: For this you will need to compute (or estimate) the local truncation error.
3. Set $I=(0,1)$. Let $\left\{0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=1\right\}$ be a partition of $I$. Set $h_{n}=x_{n}-x_{n-1}$. Let $V_{h}$ be the finite element space

$$
V_{h}=\left\{v \in C^{0}(I):\left.v\right|_{\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)} \in \mathcal{P}_{1}, v(0)=0\right\},
$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ is the space of first degree polynomials. Let $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N}$ be the canonical basis of $V_{h}$. Consider the functional $J: V_{h} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ defined by

$$
v \mapsto J(v)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}-f v\right) d x
$$

where $f \in L^{2}(I)$.
(a) (20\%) If $v=\sum_{n=1}^{N} v_{n} \phi_{n} \in V_{h}$ and $\tilde{v}=\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N}$, then instead of $J$ we can consider $F: \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ where

$$
\tilde{v} \mapsto F(\tilde{v})=J(v)
$$

Compute the gradient of $F$.
(b) (20\%) Let $u_{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{n} \phi_{n}$ be a minimizer of $J$ :

$$
u_{h} \in V_{h}: J\left(u_{h}\right)=\min _{v \in V_{h}} J(v) .
$$

Determine an equation satisfied by $u_{h}$ or equivalently $\bar{u}$, and relate to the piecewise linear finite element approximation of a two-point boundary value problem. Find the corresponding boundary conditions.
(c) $(30 \%)$ Show that $u_{h}$, or equivalently $\tilde{u}$, exists and is unique.
(d) ( $30 \%$ ) If $u$ is the solution of the two-point boundary value problem, derive the error estimate

$$
\left\|\left(u-u_{h}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(I)} \leq C\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} h_{n}^{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

# DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS <br> UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND GRADUATE WRITTEN EXAMINATION <br> IN 

NUMERICAL ANALYSIS
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INSTRUCTIONS

```
1. Answer the three questions from 666 and the three questions from 667. This is for both the MAPL Masters and PhD exam.
2. Your work on each question will be assigned a grade from o to 10. If some problems have multiple parts, be sure to go on to subsequent parts even if there is a part you cannot do.
3. Use a different sheet (or different set of sheets) Eor each question. Write the problem number and your code number (not your name) on the top of every sheet.
4. Keep scratch work on separate sheets.
5. There is a four hour time limit.
```

1. The idea of this problem is to give a direct proof of the uniqueness of the natural cubic spline interpolating data points $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ with $x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}$. The only analytic tool you will need is Rolle's theorem.
So suppose $S_{1}(x), S_{2}(x)$ are natural cubic spline functions satisfying

$$
S_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)=S_{2}\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n, \quad S_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=S_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=0, \quad j=1,2
$$

Let $S(x)=S_{1}(x)-S_{2}(x)$.
(a) Show that $S(x)$ is a natural cubic spline function.
(b) Show that $S^{\prime \prime}(x)$ has at least $n-1$ zeros on $\left(x_{0}, x_{n}\right)$ and therefore at least $n+1$ zeros on $\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$.
(c) Show that there is an $i, 0 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $S(x) \equiv 0$ on $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$.
(d) Show $S(x) \equiv 0$ on $\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$ and therefore $S_{1}(x) \equiv S_{2}(x)$ on $\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$.
2. Let

$$
I_{n}(f)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{n, k} f\left(x_{n, k}\right): \quad a \leq x_{n, k} \leq b
$$

be a sequence of integration rules.
(a) suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n}\left(x^{k}\right)=\int_{a}^{b} x^{k} d x, \quad k=0,1, \cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|w_{n, k}\right| \leq M, \quad n=1,2, \cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $M$. Show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{n}(f)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \text { for all } f \in C[a, b]
$$

(b) Show that if all $w_{n, k}>0$ then (1) implies (2).
3. Suppose $A \in R^{n \times n}$ is symmetric and positive definite. Consider the following iteration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{0} & =A \\
\text { for } k & =0,1,2, \cdots \\
A_{k} & =L_{k} L_{k}^{T}(\text { Cholesky }) \\
A_{k+1} & =L_{k}^{T} L_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $L_{k}$ is lower triangular with positive diagonal elements.
(a) Show that $A_{k}$ is symmetric and positive definite (so that the iteration is well defined) and similar to $A$.
(b) Show that if

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
b & c
\end{array}\right), \quad a \geq c
$$

has eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2}>0$ then the $A_{k}$ converge to $\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$. Hint: Carry out one step of the iteration and compare the elements of $A_{1}$ to those of $A_{0}$. The result follows from basic facts of analysis and matrix theory.

## CMSC/MAPL 667

Comprehensive Exam
Fall 1999
INSTRUCTIONS: Results used, but not proved, must be stated clearly, indicating the assumptions under which they are valid.

1. Let $g: \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a $C^{1}$ function with $g(\alpha)=\alpha$.
(a) Suppose $\left|g^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|<1$. Prove that if $x_{0}$ is chosen sufficiently close to $\alpha$ the iterations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=g\left(x_{n}\right), \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

converge to $\alpha$
(b) Suppose $g \in C^{2}$ with $g(\alpha)=\alpha, g^{\prime}(\alpha)=0$. Show that the convergence of (1) is (at least) locally quadratic.
(c) By consideration of the function $g(x)=x-x^{3}, \alpha=0$ show that the condition $\left|g^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|<$ 1 is not neccessary for the convergence of ( 1 ).
2. Given the ordinary differential equation $y^{\prime}=f(x, y)$, consider the two-step method

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=4 y_{n}-3 y_{n-1}-2 h f\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right), \quad n \geq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Define truncation error and compute its order for (2).
(b) State the root condition and prove that (2) is unstable. Explain why the global error diverges even though the local error tends to 0 when $h \downarrow 0$.
(c) Construct an explicit example of divergence.
3. Consider the following boundary value problem in $(-1,1)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\{a(x) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=f(x), \quad u(-1)=1, \quad u^{\prime}(1)=-1, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with piecewise constant coefficient $a$

$$
a(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1, & -1<x<0 \\
2, & 0<x<1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $x_{0}=-1<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}=1$ be a (non-uniform) partition of ( $-1,1$ ) containing the origin. Let $h_{n}=x_{n}-x_{n-1}$ and $h=\max _{1 \leq n \leq N} h_{n}$ be the mesbsize.
(a) Derive a variational formulation for (3) in the original variable $u$, indicating the bilinear and linear forms as well as the function spaces (do not change variables to make the boundary conditions zero). State and verify the assumptions of the Lax-Milgram Lemma.
(b) Let $\mathrm{V}_{h}(\alpha)$ be the finite element space of continuous piecewise linear functions $v(x)$ over the above partition such that $v(-1)=\alpha$. Write the corresponding discrete problem and find the matrix equation. Indicate clearly the spaces where the discrete solution $u_{h}$ and test functions belong.
(c) Show that the discrete problem admits a unique solution $u_{h}$.
(d) Show that $u_{n}$ is the piecewise linear interpolant of $u$ (Hint: integrate by parts).

# DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS <br> UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND GRADUATE WRITTEN EXAMINATION <br> IN 

NUMERICAL ANALYSIS
JANUARY 1999
INSTRUCTIONS

1. Answer the three questions from 666 and the three questions from 667. This is for both the MAPL Masters and PhD exam.
2. Your work on each question will be assigned a grade from 0 to 10. If some problems have multiple parts, be sure to go on to subsequent parts even if there is a part you cannot do.
3. Use a different sheet (or different set of sheets) for each question. Write the problem number and your code number (not your name) on the top of every sheet.
4. Keep scratch work on separate sheets.
5. There is a four hour time limit.
6. Suppose $\left\{\phi_{0}(x), \phi_{1}(x), \ldots, \phi_{n}(x)\right\}$ is a set of functions with the property that given any set of $n+1$ distinct numbers $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and numbers $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$, there is a unique function

$$
\Phi(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_{i} \phi_{i}(x)
$$

such that $\Phi\left(x_{k}\right)=f_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots, n$. Suppose $\left\{\psi_{0}(y), \psi_{1}(y), \ldots, \psi_{m}(y)\right\}$ is a set of functions with the same property (with $n$ replaced by $m$ ). Show that if we are given

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \text { with } x_{i} \neq x_{j} \text { for } i \neq j, \\
& y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}, \text { with } y_{i} \neq y_{j} \text { for } i \neq j,
\end{aligned}
$$

and numbers $f_{i j}, i=0,1, \ldots, n, j=0,1, \ldots, m$ there exists a unique function of the form

$$
\Phi(x, y)=\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m} \alpha_{\nu \mu} \phi_{\nu}(x) \psi_{\mu}(y)
$$

with $\Phi\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)=f_{i j}, i=0,1, \ldots, n, j=0,1, \ldots m$.
2.
(a) Assume that $f(x)$ is continuous and $f^{\prime}(x)$ is integrable on $[0,1]$. Show that the error $E_{n}$ in the $n$-panel trapezoid rule for calculating $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x$ can be written as

$$
E_{n}(f)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t) f^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

where

$$
G(t)=\frac{t_{j-1}+t_{j}}{2}-t \text { for } t_{j-1} \leq t \leq t_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n
$$

(b) Apply the result of (a) to $f(x)=x^{\alpha}$ for $0<\alpha<1$ to obtain a rate of convergence.
3.
(a) Define the explicitly shifted $Q R$ algorithm for finding the eigenvalues of a matrix A.
(b) Show that the explicitly shifted $Q R$ algorithm produces a sequence of matrices $A_{k}$ which are similar to $A$.
(c) Assume $A$ is upper Hessenberg. Show how to impliment the explicitly shifted $Q R$ algorithm by using plane rotations.
(d) Consider one step of the explicitly shifted $Q R$ algorithm applied to $A_{k}$ with shift $\alpha_{n n}$ (the $(n, n)$ element of $A_{k}$ ). After premultiplication by the first $n-2$ rotations we arrive at a matrix of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
* & * & * & \cdots & * & * & * \\
0 & * & * & \cdots & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * & * & * \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & * & * & * \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a & b \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \epsilon & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\epsilon$ will be small if the algorithm is converging. Suppose $a \gg \epsilon$. Show that after the complete $Q R$ step, the $(n, n-1)$ element of $A_{k+1}$ will be given by

$$
\frac{-\epsilon^{2} b}{a^{2}+\epsilon^{2}}
$$

Conclude that the explicitly shifted $Q R$ algorithm will tend to converge quadratically.

INSTRUCTIONS: Results used, but not proved, must be stated clearly, indicating the assumptions under which they are valid.

1. Consider the minimization problem $\min _{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}} f(x, y)$ where

$$
f(x, y)=\sin ^{2}(x-y)+\frac{\alpha}{2} x^{2}
$$

(a) Write the algorithm for one step of a Newton method with backtracking for $\alpha>0$.
(b) Find the rate of convergence to the absolute minimizer $(0,0)$ for $\alpha>0$.
(c) Would backtracking be sufficient to make the method of (a) converge locally to ( 0,0 ) if $\alpha=0$ ? Explain.
2. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{R}^{2 \times 2}$ be a diagonalizable matrix with real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}<0$. Let $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be a solution to the linear 1st order system of ODEs

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}
$$

(a) Write the equations for one step of the Forward Euler Method, the Backward Euler Method and the Trapezoid Method for this system. Indicate which methods are explicit and which are implicit, and why.
(b) Find suitable restrictions on the time-step $h$ in terms of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ for each method to be absolutely stable. To this end, introduce a change of variables $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{Py}$, where P is the matrix that diagonalizes $\mathbf{A}$, and obtain an ODE system for $\mathbf{y}$.
(c) Consider the following 2nd order linear ODE with (damping) parameter $\alpha \geq 1$ :

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+2 \alpha y^{\prime}+y=0 .
$$

Convert this ODE into a 1st order system for $\mathrm{x}=\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)^{T}$, and explain why the implicit methods of (a) are preferable for large $\alpha$.
3. Consider the following boundary value problem on $(0,1)$

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}+u^{\prime}=1, \quad u(0)=1, \quad u^{\prime}(1)=-1
$$

(a) Write a variational formulation. Indicate clearly the bilinear form, forcing term, and functional spaces involved.
(b) Write a $C^{0}$ piecewise linear finite element approximation over a uniform partition of size $h=1 / N$. If $\mathrm{U}=\left(U_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{N}$ are the nodal values of the discrete solution, derive the $n$-th equation of the resulting linear system for $1 \leq n<N$ and $n=N$.
(c) Define and compute the truncation error for $1 \leq n<N$ for the finite element approximation of (b). Explain what the error in $L^{\infty}$ between $u$ and the finite element solution would be if the order of the truncation error for $n=N$ were the same as for $n<N$ (Note: you are not supposed to deal explicitly with $n=N$ ). Hint: proceed as you would with the $n$-th equation of the finite difference method.

