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## 1 Inspiration

Suppose two algorithms do exactly the same thing to lists of length $n$. We find out that the time they take in seconds is as follows. Note that these are just made up!

| $n$ | $A_{1}(n)$ | $A_{2}(n)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | 6 | 1 |
| 20 | 12 | 6 |
| 30 | 18 | 17 |
| 40 | 24 | 25 |
| 50 | 28 | 40 |
| 60 | 30 | 63 |
| 70 | 38 | 82 |
| 80 | 45 | 109 |
| 90 | 50 | 140 |
| 100 | 59 | 190 |

Observe that Algorithm 2 is better (faster) up until about $n=40$, and then Algorithm 1 is better.

But can we formalize this more, both the comparison and the values themselves?
It turns out that the values satisfy:

$$
0.4 n \leq A_{1}(n) \leq 0.6 n
$$

and:

$$
0.01 n^{2} \leq A_{2}(n) \leq 0.02 n^{2}
$$

Although we don't have an exact knowledge about other values we do certainly have a more rigorous way not only of comparing the two algorithms but of understandng each algorithm independently.

For example we can say that if $n=150$ then Algorithm 2 will take at most $0.02(150)^{2}=450$ seconds. In this case we have an upper bound which is a multiple of $n^{2}$.

Our goal is to formalize these notions.

## 2 The Bigs

### 2.1 Big-O Notation

Recall the definition:
Definition 2.1.1. We say that:

$$
f(x)=\mathcal{O}(g(x)) \text { if } \exists x_{0}, C>0 \text { such that } \forall x \geq x_{0}, f(x) \leq C g(x)
$$

We think of this as stating that eventually $f(x)$ is smaller than some constant multiple of $g(x)$.

Example 2.1. For example, here $f(x)=\mathcal{O}\left(x^{2}\right)$ with $C=2$ and $x_{0}$ as shown:


Note 2.1.1. There's frequently (but not always) a trade-off in that if $C$ is large then $x_{0}$ might be smaller, or vice-versa. In light of this note that "eventually" could mean for a very large $x_{0}$.

Example 2.2. It's true that $42000 x \lg x=\mathcal{O}\left(x^{2}\right)$ with $C=10$ because eventually $42000 x \lg x \leq 10 x^{2}$. However "eventually" in this case means $x_{0} \approx 67367$. In other words this is the smallest $x_{0}$ such that if $x \geq x_{0}$ then $42000 x \lg x \leq 10 x^{2}$.

### 2.2 Big-Omega and Big-Theta Notations

We can extend upon this with:
Definition 2.2.1. We have:

$$
f(x)=\Omega(g(x)) \text { if } \exists x_{0}, B>0 \text { such that } \forall x \geq x_{0}, f(x) \geq B g(x)
$$

Example 2.3. For example, here $f(x)=\Omega\left(x^{2}\right)$ with $B=\frac{1}{2}$ and $x_{0}$ as shown:

and with:
Definition 2.2.2. We have:
$f(x)=\Theta(g(x))$ if $\exists x_{0}, B>0, C>0$ such that $\forall x \geq x_{0}, B g(x) \leq f(x) \leq C g(x)$
Example 2.4. For example, here $f(x)=\Theta\left(x^{2}\right)$ with $B=\frac{1}{2}$ and $C=2$ and $x_{0}$ as shown:


### 2.3 All Together

The basic idea is that $\mathcal{O}$ provides an upper bound for $f(x), \Omega$ provides a lower bound and $\Theta$ provides a tight bound. Therefore $f(x)=\Theta(g(x))$ if and only if $f(x)=\mathcal{O}(g(x))$ and $f(x)=\Omega(g(x))$.
Moreover observe that $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ and $\Theta \Rightarrow \Omega$ but the converses are false.
Example 2.5. We show: $3 x \lg x+17=\mathcal{O}\left(x^{2}\right)$
Consider the expression:

$$
3 x \lg x+17
$$

Note two things:

- If $x>0$ then $\lg x<x$.
- If $x \geq \sqrt{17}=4.1231 \ldots$ then $x^{2} \geq 17$.

Thus if $x \geq 5$ both of these are true and we have:

$$
3 x \lg x+17 \leq 3 x(x)+x^{2}=4 x^{2}
$$

Thus $x_{0}=5$ and $C=4$ works.
Note: It's not necessary to pick an integer value of $x_{0}$ here. I just did it because it's pretty. Using $x_{0}=\sqrt{17}$ would have been fine too.

Example 2.6. We show: $\frac{100}{x^{2}}+x^{2} \lg x=\mathcal{O}\left(x^{3}\right)$
Consider the expression:

$$
\frac{100}{x^{2}}+x^{2} \lg x
$$

Note two things:

- If $x>0$ then $\lg x<x$.
- If $x \geq 10$ then $x^{2} \geq 100$ and then $\frac{100}{x^{2}} \leq 1<x<x^{3}$.

Thus if $x \geq 10$ both of these are true and we have:

$$
\frac{100}{x^{2}}+x^{2} \lg x=\mathcal{O}\left(x^{3}\right) \leq x^{3}+x^{3}=2 x^{3}
$$

Thus $x_{0}=10$ and $C=2$ works.
Example 2.7. We show: $0.001 x \lg x+0.0001 x-42=\Omega(x)$
Consider the expression:

$$
0.001 x \lg x-42
$$

Note that if $x \geq 2$ then $\lg x \geq 1$ and then:

$$
0.001 x \lg x-42 \geq 0.001 x-42
$$

This is a line with slope 0.001 and any line with smaller slope will eventually be below it. For example the line $0.0001 x$ is below it when:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0.001 x-42 \geq 0.0001 x \\
0.0009 x \geq 42 \\
x \geq \frac{42}{0.0009}=46666.66 \ldots
\end{array}
$$

Thus if we have $x \geq 46666.66 \ldots$ then:

$$
0.001 x \lg x-42 \geq 0.001 x-42 \geq 0.0001 x
$$

Thus $x_{0}=46667$ and $B=0.0001$ works.
Example 2.8. We show: $10 x \lg x+x^{2}=\Theta\left(x^{2}\right)$
Consider the expression:

$$
10 x \lg x+x^{2}
$$

Observe that for all $x \geq 1$ we have $\lg x>0$ and hence:

$$
10 x \lg x+x^{2} \geq x^{2}
$$

And we have:

$$
10 x \lg x+x^{2} \leq 10 x(x)+x^{2}=11 x^{2}
$$

thus $x_{0}=1, B=1$ and $C=11$ works.
For simple polynomials there's very little work to show $\Theta$.
Example 2.9. Observe that $3 x^{2}=\Theta\left(x^{2}\right)$ because $x_{0}=0$ and $B=C=3$ works.

Example 2.10. Consider $f(x)=2 x^{2}-x$. Note that $2 x^{2}-x \leq 2 x^{2}$ and
$2 x^{2}-x \geq 2 x^{2}-x^{2}=1 x^{2}$ for $x \geq 1$ so that $x_{0}=1, B=1, C=2$ works for
$2 x^{2}-x=\Theta\left(x^{2}\right)$.
Example 2.11. Consider $f(x)=0.001 x^{2}(1+\cos (x \pi))$.
The graph of this function is:


The local maxima occur at $x=0,2,4,6,8, \ldots$ and the local minima occur at $x=1,3,5,7,9, \ldots$.
Note that $0.001 x^{2}(1+\cos (x \pi)) \leq 0.001 x^{2}(1+1)=0.002 x^{2}$ for $x \geq 0$ so that $f(x)=\mathcal{O}\left(x^{2}\right)$. However in addition note that when $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is odd that $0.001 x^{2}(1+\cos (x \pi))=0.001 x^{2}(1-1)=0$ so that there is no $B>0$ such that for large enough $x$ we have $f(x) \geq B x^{2}$. Consequently $f(x) \neq \Omega\left(x^{2}\right)$ and thus $f(x) \neq \Theta\left(x^{2}\right)$.

You might ask if there is any $g(x)$ such that $f(x)=\Theta(g(x))$ and the short answer is - yes, of course, because $f(x)=\Theta(f(x))$ but this is generally unsatisfactory. We are looking for useful $g(x)$ which help us understand $f(x)$. Saying essentially that $f(x)$ grows at the same rate as itself doesn't help much!

## 3 A Limit Theorem

There are a few alternative ways of proving $\mathcal{O}, \Omega$ and $\Theta$. Here is one. Note that the following are unidirectional implications!
Theorem 3.0.1. Provided $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n)$ exist (they may be $\infty$ ) then we have the following:
(a) If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \neq 0, \infty$ then $f(n)=\Theta(g(n))$.

Note: Here we also have $f(n)=\mathcal{O}(g(n))$ and $f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$ as well.
(b) If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \neq \infty$ then $f(n)=\mathcal{O}(g(n))$.
(c) If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \neq 0$ then $f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$.

Proof. Here's a proof of (b). Suppose we have:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=L \neq \infty
$$

By the definition of the limit this means:

$$
\forall \epsilon>0, \exists n_{0} \text { st } n \geq n_{0} \Longrightarrow L-\epsilon<\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}<L+\epsilon
$$

Specifically, if $\epsilon=1$ if we take only the right inequality this tell us that:

$$
\exists n_{0} \text { st } n \geq n_{0} \Longrightarrow \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}<L+1
$$

When $<$ is true, so is $\leq$ so this means that when $n \geq n_{0}$ we have:

$$
f(n)<(L+1) g(n)
$$

This is exactly the definition of $\mathcal{O}$ using $n_{0}$ and $C=L+1$.
$\mathcal{Q E D}$
Example 3.1. Observe that:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n \ln n}{n^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 / n}{1}=0
$$

Thus $n \ln n=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$.
The following example is far easier to prove using this theorem than from the definition of $\mathcal{O}$ :

Example 3.2. We have $50 n^{100}=\mathcal{O}\left(3^{n}\right)$.
Observe that 100 applications of L'hôpital's Rule yields:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{50 n^{100}}{3^{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(100)(99) \ldots(1)(50)}{(\ln 3)^{100} 3^{n}}=0
$$

The result follows.

## 4 Common Functions

In all of this you might wonder why we're always comparing functions to things like $n^{2}$ or $n \lg n$. We typically wouldn't say, for example, that $f(n)=\Theta\left(n^{2}+\right.$ $3 n+1$ ).

The reason for this is that computer scientists have settled on a collection of "simple" functions, functions which are easy to understand and compare, and big-notation almost always uses these functions.

Here are a list of some of them, in order of increasing size:

$$
1, \lg n, n, n \lg n, n^{2}, n^{2} \lg n, n^{3}, \ldots
$$

To say these are "increasing size" means, formally, that any of these is $\mathcal{O}$ of anything to the right, for example $n=\mathcal{O}(n \lg n)$ and $n^{2}=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ and so on.

There's a pattern there, that $n^{k}=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{k} \lg n\right)$ and $n^{k} \lg n=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{k+1}\right)$, which is easy to prove.

In addition we have, for every positive integers $k$ and $b \geq 2$ :

$$
n^{k}=\mathcal{O}\left(b^{n}\right)
$$

These can be proved with the Limit Theorem.
Lastly, all of the above are $\mathcal{O}(n!)$, which is about the biggest one we ever encounter in this class.

## 5 Intuition

It's good to have some intuition here, and of course the following can be proved rigorously on a case-by-case basis, and you should try.

In essence the "largest term" always wins in a $\Theta$ sense. So for example if we have:

$$
f(n)=n^{2}-n \lg n+n+1
$$

The "largest term" is the $n^{2}$ so that wins and we can say:

$$
n^{2}-n \lg n+n+1=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

Likewise, for example:

$$
n^{2} \lg n+n \lg n-100=\Theta\left(n^{2} \lg n\right)
$$

## 6 Additional Facts

### 6.1 Use of n vs x

These statements about function of $x$ are often phrased using the variable $n$ instead. Typically this is done when $n$ can only take on positive integers.

In this case it can still be helpful to draw the functions as if $n$ could be any real number, otherwise we're left drawing a bunch of dots. In some cases though, like $f(n)=n$ !, it's not entirely clear how we would sketch this for $n \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Otherwise the calculations are basically identical, noting that the cutoff value $n_{0}$ must be a positive integer.

### 6.2 Cautious Comparisons

This notation brings a certain ordering to functions. Observe for example that $1000000+n \lg n=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ because eventually $1000000+n \lg n \leq C n^{2}$ for some $C>0$. Thus we intuitively think of $n^{2}$ as "larger than" $1000000+n \lg n$. However we have to make sure we understand that we really mean that a constant multiple of $n^{2}$ is eventually larger than $1000000+n \lg n$.

Example 6.1. For example eventually $1000000+n \lg n \leq 17 n^{2}$ but eventually here means for $n \geq n_{0}=243$.

We should also note that it's common to believe that if one function $g(x)$ has a larger derivative than another function $f(x)$ that eventually $f(x) \leq g(x)$. This is false.

## 7 Thoughts, Problems, Ideas

1. It's tempting to think that if $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are both positive functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ with positive derivatives and if $f^{\prime}(x)>g^{\prime}(x)$ for all $x$ then eventually $f(x)$ will be above $g(x)$. Show that this isn't true. Give explicit functions and sketches of those functions.
2. Find the value $x_{0}$ (approximately) which justifies $1234+5678 x \lg x=$ $\mathcal{O}\left(x^{2}\right)$ with $C=42$. Use any technology you like but explain your process.
3. Find the value $x_{0}$ (approximately) which justifies $4758 x+789 x^{2} \lg x=$ $\mathcal{O}\left(x^{3}\right)$ with $C=17$. Use any technology you like but explain your process.
4. Find the value $x_{0}$ (approximately) which justifies $0.00357 x^{2.01} \lg x=\Omega\left(x^{2}\right)$ with $C=100$. Use any technology you like but explain your process.
5. Show from the definition that $5 x^{2}+10 x \lg x+\lg x=\mathcal{O}\left(x^{2}\right)$.
6. Show from the definition that:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[2+\sum_{j=i}^{n-1} 3\right]=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

7. Show from the definition that (475632) $2^{n}=\mathcal{O}\left(5^{n}\right)$.
8. Show from the definition that $x+x \log x=\Theta(x \lg x)$.
9. Show from the definition that:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[1+i+\frac{1}{i+1}\right]=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

10. Show from the definition that $x^{3}+5 x+\ln x+100=\Omega\left(x^{2}\right)$.
11. Show from the definition that:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left[i^{2}+3 i\right]=\Omega\left(n^{3}\right)
$$

12. Show from the definition that $5^{n} \neq \mathcal{O}\left(2^{n}\right)$.
13. Show that $5000+6000 n^{1500}=\mathcal{O}\left(3^{n}\right)$.
14. Show that $5^{n}=\Omega\left(n^{1000}\right)$
15. Show that $(0.001) 5^{n}=\Omega\left(857 n^{999}\right)$
16. Show from the definition that $\log _{2} n=\Theta\left(\log _{5} n\right)$ and $\log _{5} n=\Theta\left(\log _{2} n\right)$.
17. Generalize the above problem. In other words prove that $\Theta\left(\log _{b} x\right)=$ $\Theta\left(\log _{c} x\right)$ for any two bases $b, c>1$.
18. In the previous question why do we need $b, c>1$ ?
19. Give an example of two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ which are not constant multiples of one another and which satisfy $f(x)=\mathcal{O}(g(x))$ and $g(x)=$ $\mathcal{O}(f(x))$. Justify from the definitions.
20. Give an example of two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ which are not constant multiples of one another and which satisfy $f(x)=\Omega(g(x))$ and $g(x)=$ $\Omega(f(x))$. Justify from the definitions.
21. If $f(n)=\mathcal{O}(g(n))$ with $C_{0}$ and $n_{0}$ and $g(n)=\mathcal{O}(h(n))$ with $C_{1}$ and $n_{1}$ which constants would prove that $f(n)=\mathcal{O}(h(n))$ ?
22. The functions $f(x)=\log _{b} x$ for $b>1$ and $g(x)=x^{c}$ for $0<c<1$ have similar shapes for increasing $x$. however $f(x)=\mathcal{O}(g(x))$ always. Prove this.
Note: This underlies the important fact that roots always grow faster than logarithms.
23. Consider the following three functions:

(a) Write down as many possibilities as you can which satisfy $\square=\mathcal{O}(\diamond)$ where $\square, \diamond \in\{f(x), g(x), h(x)\}$.
(b) Write down as many possibilities as you can which satisfy $\square=\Omega(\diamond)$ where $\square, \diamond \in\{f(x), g(x), h(x)\}$.
(c) Write down as many possibilities as you can which satisfy $\square=\Theta(\diamond)$ where $\square, \diamond \in\{f(x), g(x), h(x)\}$.
