AMSC808N/CMSC828V

CUR decomposition

Maria Cameron

October 21, 2021

Motivation

- Need for low-rank approximation for a matrix.
- SVD A = UΣV^T gives the best approximation:
 || A A_k ||_F → min, but columns of U and V are hard-to-interpret
- Columns often correspond to products or features, while rows correspond to users. A joking example from Mahoney and Drineas:

 $[(1/2)age - (1/\sqrt{2})height + (1/2)income],$

Visual examples (Mahoney and Drineas, PNAS 2009)



Even more examples...

- Spearman, a social scientist interested in models for human intelligence, invented *"factor analysis"*.
 Computed first principal component of a set of mental tests and reined it as an entity. He called it *"the general intelligence factor"*. He called the subsequent principal component *"group factors"*.
- Application of this analysis resulted in ranking individuals in single intelligence scale, dubious social applications of data analysis such as <u>involuntary sterilization of imbeciles</u> <u>in Virginia</u>. See <u>S. J. Gould "Mismeasure of Man"</u>.

What do we want from a matrix decomposition

- Provable worst-case optimality and algorithmic properties
- Should have a natural statistical interpretation associated with its construction
- Should perform well in practice

CUR decompositions

- G. W. Stewart: quasi-Gram-Schmidt method, applied to A and A^T (1999, 2004)
- Goreinov, Tyrtyshnikov, Zamarashkin (1997): CUR with choice of columns related to max uncorrelatedness.
- Frieze, Kannan, Vempala (2004): random sampling of columns according to a probability distribution that depended on columns Euclidean norm. Worst-case scenario guarantee: $||A P_CA||_F \le ||A A_k||_F + \varepsilon ||A||_F$ with high probability.
- Drineas, Kannan, Mahoney (2006): CUR, columns and rows chosen simultaneously based on their Euclidean norm. Worst-case scenario guarantee: ||A CUR||_F ≤ ||A A_k||_F + ε||A||_F with high probability.
- Drineas, Mahoney, Muthukrishnan (2008 SIAM J Matr Anal Appl): CUR based on *leverage scores*: ||A - P_CA||_F ≤ (1 + ε/2)||A - A_k||_F
- Mahoney and Drineas (PNAS, 2009) "CUR matrix decomposition for improved data analysis": ||A - CUR||_F ≤ (2 + ε)||A - A_k||_F

Leverage scores

S. Chatterjee and A. S. Hadi (1986)

Linear regression model

 $Y = X\beta + \epsilon, \quad Y \in \mathbb{R}, \ X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ϵ is a random variable, mean 0, variance σ^2 $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y,$ $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1},$ $\hat{Y} = X\hat{\beta} = PY.$ $P = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T,$ $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{Y}) = \sigma^2 P.$ $e = Y - \hat{Y} = (I - P)Y.$ $\operatorname{Var}(e) = \sigma^2(I - P),$



Χ

Leverage scores

$$\hat{Y} = PY = X(X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}Y$$

Diagonal entries

$$x_i(X^\top X)^{-1}x_i^\top$$

can be though of as the amount of leverage of

 y_i on \hat{y}_i

CUR Algorithm Mahoney and Drineas, PNAS 2009

$$A^{j} = \sum_{\xi=1}^{r} (\sigma_{\xi} u^{\xi}) v_{j}^{\xi},$$

Since we seek columns of A that are simultaneously correlated with the span of all top k right singular vectors, we then compute the *normalized statistical leverage scores*:

$$\pi_{j} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\xi=1}^{k} (v_{j}^{\xi})^{2}, \qquad [3]$$

for all j = 1, ..., n. With this normalization, it is straightforward to show that $\pi_j \ge 0$ and that $\sum_{j=1}^n \pi_j = 1$, and thus that these scores form a probability distribution over the *n* columns.

ColumnSelect(A,k,epsilon)

- 1. Compute v^1, \ldots, v^k (the top k right singular vectors of A) and the normalized statistical leverage scores of Eq. 3.
- 2. Keep the *j*th column of *A* with probability $p_j = \min\{1, c\pi_j\}$, for all $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, where $c = O(k \log k/\epsilon^2)$.
- 3. Return the matrix C consisting of the selected columns of A.

AlgorithmCUR(A,k,epsilon)

- 1. Run COLUMNSELECT on A with $c = O(k \log k/\epsilon^2)$ to choose columns of A and construct the matrix C.
- 2. Run COLUMNSELECT on A^T with $r = O(k \log k/\epsilon^2)$ to choose rows of A (columns of A^T) and construct the matrix R.
- 3. Define the matrix U as $U = C^+AR^+$, where X^+ denotes a Moore–Penrose generalized inverse of the matrix X (17).

$$||A - CUR||_F \le (2 + \epsilon) ||A - A_k||_F$$

Drineas, Mahoney, Muthukrishnan (2008)

Two variants of algorithm for selecting columns

Exactly(c)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{Data} &: A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \, p_i \geq 0, i \in [n] \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i \in [n]} p_i = 1, \, \text{positive integer } c \leq n. \\ \mathbf{Result} : \text{Sampling matrix } S, \, \text{rescaling matrix } D, \, \text{and sampled and rescaled columns } C. \\ \text{Initialize } S \, \text{and } D \, \text{to the all zeros matrices.} \\ \mathbf{for} \, t = 1, \ldots, c \, \mathbf{do} \\ & | \begin{array}{c} \text{Pick } i_t \in [n], \, \text{where } \mathbf{Pr}(i_t = i) = p_i; \\ S_{i_t t} = 1; \\ D_{tt} = 1/\sqrt{cp_{i_t}}. \end{array} \\ \mathbf{end} \\ C = ASD. \end{array}$

Algorithm 4. The EXACTLY(c) algorithm to create S, D, and C.

Expected(c)

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{Data} & : A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \, p_i \geq 0, i \in [n] \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i \in [n]} p_i = 1, \text{ positive integer } c \leq n. \\ \mathbf{Result} : \text{Sampling matrix } S, \text{ rescaling matrix } D, \text{ and sampled and rescaled columns } C. \\ \text{Initialize } S \text{ and } D \text{ to the all zeros matrices.} \\ t = 1; \\ \mathbf{for} \ j = 1, \dots, n \ \mathbf{do} \\ & \quad \text{Pick } j \text{ with probability min}\{1, cp_j\}; \\ & \quad \mathbf{if} \ j \ is \ picked \ \mathbf{then} \\ & \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} S_{jt} = 1; \\ D_{tt} = 1/\min\{1, \sqrt{cp_j}\}; \\ t = t+1; \\ & \quad \mathbf{end} \\ end \\ C = ASD. \end{array} \right| \end{aligned}
```

Algorithm 5. The EXPECTED(c) algorithm to create S, D, and C.

THEOREM 4. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, let $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times c}$ be a matrix consisting of any c columns of A, and let $\epsilon \in (0,1]$. If we set $r = 3200c^2/\epsilon^2$ and run Algorithm 2 by choosing r rows exactly from A and from C with the EXACTLY(c) algorithm, then with probability at least 0.7

(18)
$$||A - CUR||_F \le (1 + \epsilon) ||A - CC^+A||_F.$$

Similarly, if we set $r = O(c \log c/\epsilon^2)$ and run Algorithm 2 by choosing no more than r rows in expectation from A and from C with the EXPECTED(c) algorithm, then (18) holds with probability at least 0.7.

$||A - P_C A||_F \le (1 + \epsilon/2) ||A - A_k||_F$

$$\|A - CUR\|_F = \|A - CC^+AR^+R\|_F$$

$$\begin{split} \|A - CUR\|_{F} &\leq \|A - CC^{+}A\|_{F} + \|CC^{+}A - CC^{+}AR^{+}R\|_{F} \\ &\leq \|A - CC^{+}A\|_{F} + \|A - AR^{+}R\|_{F} \\ &= \|A - P_{C}A\|_{F} + \|A - AP_{R}\|_{F} \,. \end{split}$$

$$\|A - CUR\|_F \le (2 + \epsilon) \|A - A_k\|_F$$

Experiment with text categorization data

