1. Definitions

- A **sample space** $\Omega$ is the set of all possible outcomes.
- An **event** $A$ is a subset of $\Omega$.
- A **$\sigma$-algebra** $B$ is a subset of the set of all subsets of $\Omega$ satisfying the following axioms:
  1. $\emptyset \in B$ and $\Omega \in B$;
  2. If $B \in B$ then $B^c \in B$ ($B^c$ is the complement of $B$ in $\Omega$, i.e., $B^c \equiv \Omega \setminus B$).
  3. If $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n, \ldots\}$ is a finite or countable collection in $B$ then
     \[ \bigcup_i A_i \in B. \]

**Corollary:** If $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n, \ldots\}$ is a finite or countable collection in $B$ then

\[ \bigcap_i A_i \in B. \]

Indeed,

\[ \bigcap_i A_i = \left( \bigcup_i A_i^c \right)^c. \]
Example 1  Suppose you are tossing a die. For a single throw, the sample space is $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. If you are interested in particular number on the top, the natural choice of the $\sigma$-algebra is the set of all subsets of $\Omega$. Then $|B| = 2^6 = 64$. If you are interested only in whether the outcome is odd or even, then a reasonable choice of $\sigma$-algebra is

$$B = \{\emptyset, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}.$$ 

If you are interested only whether there is an outcome or not, you can choose the coarsest $\sigma$-algebra

$$B = \{\emptyset, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}.$$ 

• A probability measure $P$ is a function $P : B \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that
  1. $P(\Omega) = 1$;
  2. $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1$ for all $A \in B$.
  3. Countable additivity: If $A = \{A_1, \ldots, A_n, \ldots\}$ is a finite or countable collection in $B$ such that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for any $i, j$, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i} A_i\right) = \sum_{i} P(A_i).$$

Corollary: $P(\emptyset) = 0$. Indeed,

$$1 = P(\Omega) = P(\Omega \cup \emptyset) = P(\Omega) + P(\emptyset) = 1 + P(\emptyset).$$ 

Hence, $P(\emptyset) = 0$.

• A probability space is the triple $(\Omega, B, P)$.

• A random variable $\eta$ is a $B$-measurable function $\eta : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

  A function is called $B$-measurable if the preimage of any measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is in $B$. It is proven in analysis that it is suffices to check that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \eta(\omega) \leq x\} \in B$$ 

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

• A probability distribution function of a random variable $\eta$ is defined by

$$F_\eta(x) = P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \eta(\omega) \leq x\}) = P(\eta \leq x).$$

Theorem 1. If $F$ is a probability distribution function then

1. $F$ is nondecreasing, i.e. $x < y$ implies $F(x) \leq F(y)$.
2. $\lim_{x \to -\infty} F(x) = 0$, $\lim_{x \to \infty} F(x) = 1$.
3. $F(x)$ is continuous from the right for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{y \to x+0} F(y) = F(x).$$

Example 2  Suppose you are tossing a die. Consider the probability space

1. $(\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}, B = 2^\Omega, P(\omega) = \frac{1}{6})$, 

(2) $P(\emptyset) = 0$. Indeed,

$$1 = P(\Omega) = P(\Omega \cup \emptyset) = P(\Omega) + P(\emptyset) = 1 + P(\emptyset).$$ 

Hence, $P(\emptyset) = 0$.

• A probability space is the triple $(\Omega, B, P)$.

• A random variable $\eta$ is a $B$-measurable function $\eta : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

  A function is called $B$-measurable if the preimage of any measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is in $B$. It is proven in analysis that it is suffices to check that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \eta(\omega) \leq x\} \in B$$ 

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

• A probability distribution function of a random variable $\eta$ is defined by

$$F_\eta(x) = P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \eta(\omega) \leq x\}) = P(\eta \leq x).$$

Theorem 1. If $F$ is a probability distribution function then

1. $F$ is nondecreasing, i.e. $x < y$ implies $F(x) \leq F(y)$.
2. $\lim_{x \to -\infty} F(x) = 0$, $\lim_{x \to \infty} F(x) = 1$.
3. $F(x)$ is continuous from the right for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{y \to x+0} F(y) = F(x).$$

Example 2  Suppose you are tossing a die. Consider the probability space

1. $(\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}, B = 2^\Omega, P(\omega) = \frac{1}{6})$, 

(2) $P(\emptyset) = 0$. Indeed,

$$1 = P(\Omega) = P(\Omega \cup \emptyset) = P(\Omega) + P(\emptyset) = 1 + P(\emptyset).$$ 

Hence, $P(\emptyset) = 0$.
where $2^\Omega$ is the set of all subsets of $\Omega$, and $\omega \in \Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

Consider the random variable $\eta(\omega) = \omega$. The probability distribution function is given by

$$F_\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x < 1, \\
\frac{j}{6}, & j \leq x < j + 1, \ j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \\
1, & x \geq 6.
\end{cases}$$

- Suppose $F'_\eta(x)$ exists. Then $f_\eta(x) \equiv F'_\eta(x)$ is called the **probability density function** (pdf) of the random variable $\eta$, and

$$P(x < \eta \leq x + dx) = F_\eta(x + dx) - F_\eta(x) = f_\eta(x)dx + o(dx).$$

**Example 3**  The Gaussian density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}},$$

where $m$ and $\sigma$ are constants. $m$ is the mean, while $\sigma$ is the standard deviation.

**Example 4**  The density of an exponential random variable with parameter $a > 0$ is given by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 
ae^{-ax}, & x \geq 0, \\
0, & x < 0.
\end{cases}$$

**Example 5**  The density of a uniform random variable on an interval $[a, b]$ is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}I_{[a, b]}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{b-a}, & x \in [a, b], \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

Here $I_{[a, b]}(x)$ is the indicator function of the interval $[a, b]$.

2. **Expected values and moments**

**Definition 1.** Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ be a probability space, and $\eta$ be a random variable. Then the expected value, or mean, of the random variable $\eta$ is defined as

$$E[\eta] = \int_{\Omega} \eta(\omega) dP.$$  

If $\Omega$ is a discrete set,

$$E[\eta] = \sum_{i} \eta(\omega_i)P(\omega_i).$$
Example 6  Suppose you are tossing a die. Consider the probability space (1) and the random variable \( \eta(\omega) = \omega, \ \omega = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \). The expected value of \( \eta \) is

\[
E[\eta] = \sum_{j=1}^{6} j \frac{1}{6} = 3.5
\]

Suppose that the random variable \( \eta \) is fixed. Then we will omit the subscript in the notation of its probability distribution function: \( F_\eta(x) \equiv F(x) \).

The integral in Eq. (2) can be rewritten using \( F(x) \):

\[
E[\eta] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xP(x < \eta \leq x + dx) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xdF(x).
\]

If a derivative \( f(x) \) of the probability distribution function \( F \) exists, then

\[
E[\eta] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xf(x)dx.
\]

If \( g \) is a function defined on the range of the random variable \( \eta \) (on \( \eta(\Omega) \)), then the expected value of this function is

\[
E[g(\eta)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dF(x).
\]

Moments: Let us take \( g(x) = x^n \).

\[
E[\eta^n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n dF(x).
\]

Central moments: Let us take \( g(x) = (x - E[\eta])^n \).

\[
E[(\eta - E[\eta])^n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - E[\eta])^n dF(x).
\]

Variance = 2nd central moment:

\[
\text{Var}(\eta) = E[(\eta - E[\eta])^2] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - E[\eta])^2 dF(x).
\]

Example 7  Suppose you are tossing a die. Consider the probability space (1) and the random variable \( \eta(\omega) = \omega, \ \omega = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \). The variance of \( \eta \) is

\[
\text{Var}(\eta) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{6} (j - 3.5)^2 = \frac{35}{12} = 2.91(6).
\]

The standard deviation:

\[
\sigma(\eta) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(\eta)}.
\]
3. Independence, Joint Distributions, Covariance

- Two events $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ are independent if
  \[ P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B). \]

- Two random variables $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are independent if the events
  \[ \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \eta_1(\omega) \leq x \} \text{ and } \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \eta_2(\omega) \leq y \} \]
  are independent for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Example 8** Suppose you are tossing a die twice. Consider the probability space

\[ \Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}^2, \mathcal{B} = 2^{\mathcal{B}^2}, P(\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}) = \frac{1}{36}, \quad 1 \leq \omega_1, \omega_2 \leq 6. \]

Let $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ be random variables equal to the outcomes of the first and second throws respectively. These random variables are independent.

Now consider the random variables $\eta(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1$ and $\xi(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ (left) and $\beta(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1 - \omega_2$ (right). We can show that $\eta$ and $\xi$ are dependent by taking e.g., $x = 1$ and $y = 2$ in Eq. (3):

\[ P(\eta \leq 1 \text{ and } \xi \leq 2) = \frac{1}{36} \neq P(\eta \leq 1)P(\xi \leq 2) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{36}. \]

Finally, we consider the random variables $\xi(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ and $\beta(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1 - \omega_2$ (see Table 1, right). We can show that they are dependent by taking e.g., $x = 2$ and $y = -1$ in Eq. (3):

\[ P(\xi \leq 2 \text{ and } \beta \leq -1) = 0 \neq P(\xi \leq 2)P(\beta \leq -1) = \frac{1}{36} \cdot \frac{15}{36} = \frac{5}{336}. \]

- The joint distribution function of two random variables $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ is given by
  \[ F_{\eta_1, \eta_2}(x, y) = P(\{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \eta_1(\omega) \leq x, \ \eta_2(\omega) \leq y \}) = P(\eta_1(\omega) \leq x, \ \eta_2(\omega) \leq y). \]
If the second mixed derivative of $F_{\eta_1 \eta_2}$ exists, it is called the joint probability density of $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ and denoted by

$$f_{\eta_1 \eta_2}(x, y) := \frac{\partial F_{\eta_1 \eta_2}(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y}.$$ 

In this case,

$$F_{\eta_1 \eta_2}(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \int_{-\infty}^{y} f_{\eta_1 \eta_2}(x, y) \, dx \, dy.$$ 

**Exercise** Show that two random variables are independent if and only if

$$F_{\eta_1 \eta_2}(x, y) = F_{\eta_1}(x) F_{\eta_2}(y).$$

Furthermore, if the joint pdf $f_{\eta_1 \eta_2}(x, y)$ exists, then $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are independent iff

$$f_{\eta_1 \eta_2}(x, y) = f_{\eta_1}(x) f_{\eta_2}(y).$$

Given the joint pdf $f_{\eta_1 \eta_2}$, one can obtain $f_{\eta_1}(x)$ by

$$f_{\eta_1}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\eta_1 \eta_2}(x, y) \, dy.$$ 

In this equation, $f_{\eta_1}$ is called a marginal of $f_{\eta_1 \eta_2}$, and the variable $\eta_2$ is integrated out.

**Properties of expected value and variance** It follows from the definition, that the expected value is a linear functional:

$$E[a\eta_1 + b\eta_2] = aE[\eta_1] + bE[\eta_2].$$  

$$\text{(5)}$$

$$\text{(6)}$$

If $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are independent, then

$$\text{Var}(a\eta) = a^2 \text{Var}(\eta).$$

If $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are independent, then

$$\text{Var}(\eta_1 + \eta_2) = \text{Var}(\eta_1) + \text{Var}(\eta_2).$$

If $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are dependent, (7) is not true: take $\eta_1 = \eta_2$. In general,

$$\text{Var}(\eta_1 + \eta_2) = \text{Var}(\eta_1) + \text{Var}(\eta_2) + 2 \text{Cov}(\eta_1, \eta_2),$$

where $\text{Cov}(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ is the covariance of $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ – see below. You will see below that (7) does not imply that $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ are independent, only that they are uncorrelated.

**Example 9** Suppose you are tossing a die twice. Consider the probability space and random variables introduced in Example 8. Then


$$E[\beta] = E[\eta_1 - \eta_2] = E[\eta_1] + E[-\eta_2] = 0.$$ 

$$\text{Var}[\xi] = \text{Var}[\eta_1 + \eta_2] = \text{Var}[\eta_1] + \text{Var}[\eta_2] = \frac{35}{6} = 5.8(3).$$ 

$$\text{Var}[\beta] = \text{Var}[\eta_1 - \eta_2] = \text{Var}[\eta_1] + \text{Var}[-\eta_2] = \text{Var}[\eta_1] + \text{Var}[\eta_2] = \frac{35}{6} = 5.8(3).$$
Example 10  Consider the Bernoulli random variable

\[
\eta = \begin{cases} 
1, & P(1) = p, \\
0, & P(0) = 1 - p.
\end{cases}
\]

Its expected value and variance are

\[
E[\eta] = 1 \cdot p + 0 \cdot (1 - p) = p,
\]

\[
\text{Var}(\eta) = (1 - p)^2 \cdot p + (0 - p)^2 \cdot (1 - p) = p(1 - p).
\]

Now consider the sum of \( n \) independent copies of \( \eta \):

\[
\xi := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i.
\]

Using Eq. (5) we calculate \( E[\xi] \):

\[
E[\xi] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[\eta_i] = np.
\]

Since \( \eta_i, 1 \leq i \leq n \), are independent, we can calculate \( \text{Var}(\xi) \) using Eq. (7):

\[
\text{Var}(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Var}(\eta_i) = np(1 - p).
\]

Finally, consider the average of \( n \) independent copies of \( \eta \):

\[
\zeta := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i \equiv \frac{\xi}{n}.
\]

Using Eqs. (5) and (6), we find

\[
E[\zeta] = p,
\]

\[
\text{Var}(\zeta) = \text{Var}\left( \frac{\xi}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}(\xi) = \frac{p(1 - p)}{n}.
\]

- The covariance of two random variables \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) is defined by

\[
\text{Cov}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = E[(\eta_1 - E[\eta_1])(\eta_2 - E[\eta_2])].
\]

Remark  If \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are independent, then \( \text{Cov}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0 \). If \( \text{Cov}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0 \) then \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are uncorrelated. Note that uncorrelated random variables are not necessarily independent.
Suppose you are tossing a die twice. Consider the probability space and random variables introduced in Example 8. As we have established in Example 8, \( \xi \) and \( \beta \) are dependent. However, they are uncorrelated. Indeed,

\[
\text{Cov}(\xi, \beta) = \sum_{1 \leq \omega_1, \omega_2 \leq 6} (\omega_1 + \omega_2 - 7)(\omega_1 - \omega_2)P(\{\omega_1, \omega_2\})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{36} \left( \sum_{\omega_1 < \omega_2} (\omega_1 + \omega_2 - 7)(\omega_1 - \omega_2) + \sum_{\omega_1 > \omega_2} (\omega_1 + \omega_2 - 7)(\omega_1 - \omega_2) \right) = 0.
\]

Example 12 A vector-valued random variable \( \eta = [\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n] \) is jointly Gaussian if

\[
P(x_1 < \eta_1 \leq x_1 + dx_1, \ldots, x_n < \eta_n \leq x_n + dx_n) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^\top A^{-1}(x-m)}dx + o(dx),
\]

where \( x = [x_1, \ldots, x_n]^\top, m = [m_1, \ldots, m_n]^\top, dx = dx_1 \ldots dx_n, \) and \( A \) is a symmetric positive definite matrix. The normalization constant \( Z \) is given by

\[
Z = (2\pi)^{n/2} |A|^{1/2}, \text{ where } |A| = \det A.
\]

In the case of jointly Gaussian random variables, the covariance matrix \( C \) whose entries are

\[
C_{ij} = E[(\eta_i - E[\eta_i])(\eta_j - E[\eta_j])]
\]

is equal to \( A \). Two jointly Gaussian random variables are independent if and only if they are uncorrelated.

4. Chebyshev’s Inequality

Chebyshev’s inequality holds for any random variable. It is a very useful theoretical tool for proving various estimates. In practice, it often gives too rough estimates which is a consequence of its universality. Chebyshev’s inequality is not improvable, as we can construct a random variable for which it turns into an equality.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \eta \) be a random variable. Suppose \( g(x) \) is a nonnegative, nondecreasing function (i.e., \( g(x) \geq 0, g(a) \leq g(b) \) whenever \( a < b \)). Then for any \( a \in \mathbb{R} \)

\[
P(\eta \geq a) \leq \frac{E[g(\eta)]}{g(a)}.
\]

**Proof.**

\[
E[g(\eta)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) dF(x)
\]

\[
\geq \int_{a}^{\infty} g(x) dF(x) \geq g(a) \int_{a}^{\infty} dF(x) = g(a)P(\eta \geq a).
\]

\[\square\]
Given a random variable \( \eta \) we define a random variable
\[ \xi := |\eta - E[\eta]|. \]

Define
\[ g(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & x \geq 0, \\ 0, & x < 0. \end{cases} \]

Plugging this into Eq. (10) we obtain
\[ P(|\eta - E[\eta]| \geq a) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\eta)}{a^2}. \]

**Example 13** Suppose you are tossing a die twice. Consider the probability space and random variables introduced in Example 8. We will compare the exact probabilities with their Chebyshev estimates.

\[
P(|\xi - 7| \geq 1) = P(\xi \neq 7) = 1 - \frac{6}{36} = \frac{5}{6} = 0.8(3), \quad \frac{\text{Var}(\xi)}{1} = \frac{35}{6} = 5.8(3);
\]

\[
P(|\xi - 7| \geq 2) = P(\xi \leq 5 \text{ or } \xi \geq 9) = \frac{20}{36} = \frac{5}{9} = 0.56(5), \quad \frac{\text{Var}(\xi)}{4} = \frac{35}{24} = 1.458(3);
\]

\[
P(|\xi - 7| \geq 3) = P(\xi \leq 4 \text{ or } \xi \geq 10) = \frac{12}{36} = \frac{1}{3} = 0.33(3), \quad \frac{\text{Var}(\xi)}{9} = \frac{35}{54} = 0.648(1);
\]

\[
P(|\xi - 7| \geq 4) = P(\xi \in \{2, 3, 11, 12\}) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6} = 0.166(6), \quad \frac{\text{Var}(\xi)}{16} = \frac{35}{96} = 0.36458(3);
\]

\[
P(|\xi - 7| \geq 5) = P(\xi \in \{2, 12\}) = \frac{2}{36} = \frac{1}{18} = 0.055(5), \quad \frac{\text{Var}(\xi)}{25} = \frac{35}{150} = 0.2(3);
\]

Choosing \( a = k\sigma \) we get
\[ P(|\eta - E[\eta]| \geq k\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{k^2}. \]

This means that for any random variable \( \eta \) defined on any probability space we have that the probability that \( \eta \) deviates from its expected value by at least \( k \) standard deviations does not exceed \( 1/k^2 \).

The bounds given Chebyshev’s inequality cannot be improved in principle, because they are exact for the random variable
\[ \eta = \begin{cases} 1, & P = \frac{1}{2k^2}, \\ 0, & P = 1 - \frac{1}{k^2}, \\ -1, & P = \frac{1}{2k^2}. \end{cases} \]

It is easy to check that \( E[\eta] = 0, \text{Var}(\eta) = \frac{1}{k^2} \). Hence
\[ P(|\eta| \geq 1) = \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\text{Var}(\eta)}{1^2}, \]

i.e. Chebyshev’s inequality turns into an equality.
5. Types of convergence of random variables

Suppose we have a sequence of random variables \( \{ \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots \} \). In probability theory, there exist several different notions of convergence of a sequence of random variables \( \{ \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots \} \) to some limit random variable \( \eta \).

- \( \{ \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots \} \) converges in distribution or converges weakly, or converges in law to \( \eta \) if
  \[
  \lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = F(x) \quad \text{for every } x \text{ where } F(x) \text{ is continuous},
  \]
  where \( F_n \) and \( F \) are the probability distribution functions of \( \eta_n \) and \( \eta \) respectively.

**Remark** Convergence of pdfs \( f_n(x) \) implies convergence of \( F_n(x) \). The converse is not true in general. For example, consider \( F_n(x) = x - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \sin(2\pi nx), x \in (0, 1) \). The corresponding pdf is \( f_n(x) = 1 - \cos(2\pi nx), x \in (0, 1) \). \( \{F_n(x)\} \) converges to \( F(x) = x \), i.e., to the uniform distribution, while \( \{f_n(x)\} \) does not converge at all.

**Remark** In the discrete case, the convergence of probability mass functions \( f(k) := P(\eta = k) \) implies the convergence of the probability distribution functions.

**Example 14** Consider the sum of \( n \) independent copies of the Bernoulli random variable as in Example 10:

\[
\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i, \quad \text{where } \eta_i = \begin{cases} 1, & P(1) = p, \\ 0, & P(0) = 1 - p. \end{cases}
\]

Its probability distribution is the binomial distribution given by

\[
f(k; n, p) \equiv P(\xi = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k},
\]

where \( \binom{n}{k} \) is the number of \( k \)-combinations of the set of \( n \) elements:

\[
\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}.
\]

Now we let \( n \to \infty \) and \( p \to 0 \) in such a manner that the product \( np \) (i.e., the expected value of \( \xi \)) remains constant. We introduce the parameter

\[
\lambda := np.
\]

Consider the sequence of random variables \( \xi_n \) where \( \xi_n \) is the sum of \( n \) independent copies of Bernoulli random variable with \( p = \lambda/n \), i.e,

\[
\xi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i^{(n)}, \quad \text{where } \eta_i^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & P(1) = \lambda/n, \\ 0, & P(0) = 1 - \lambda/n. \end{cases}
\]
Plugging in \( p = \lambda/n \) in the results of Example 10 we find the expected value and the variance:

\[
E[\xi_n] = n \frac{\lambda}{n} = \lambda.
\]

\[
\text{Var}(\xi_n) = n \frac{\lambda}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right) = \lambda \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right).
\]

We will show that the sequence \( \xi_n \) converges to the Poisson random variable with parameter \( \lambda \) in distribution. Consider the limit

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \frac{n(n-1)\ldots(n-k+1)}{n^k} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-k} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-k} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.
\]

The first limit in the equation above is 1 as \( n(n-1)\ldots(n-k+1) = n^k + O(n^{k-1}) \). The second limit can be calculated using the well-known fact that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = e.
\]

Hence

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n = e^{-\lambda}.
\]

The third limit is 1. Therefore,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-k} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda},
\]

which is the Poisson distribution with parameter \( \lambda \).

\( \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots\} \) converges in probability to \( \eta \) if for any \( \epsilon > 0 \)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|\eta_n - \eta| \geq \epsilon) = 0
\]

Remark Convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution.

Proof. We will prove this fact for the case of scalar random variables. We have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} P(|\eta_n - \eta| \geq \epsilon) = 0 \), we need to prove \( \lim_{n \to \infty} P(\eta_n \leq x) = P(\eta \leq x) \) for every \( x \) where \( F_\eta \) is continuous. First we show an auxiliary fact that for any two random variables \( \xi \) and \( \zeta \), \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \)

\[
P(\xi \leq a) \leq P(\zeta \leq a + \epsilon) + P(|\xi - \zeta| > \epsilon).
\]
Indeed,

\[
P(\xi \leq a) = P(\xi \leq a & \zeta \leq a + \epsilon) + P(\xi \leq a & \zeta > a + \epsilon) \\
\leq P(\zeta \leq a + \epsilon) + P(\xi - \zeta \leq a - \zeta & a - \zeta < -\epsilon) \\
\leq P(\zeta \leq a + \epsilon) + P(\zeta - \xi < -\epsilon) \\
\leq P(\zeta \leq a + \epsilon) + P(\zeta - \xi < -\epsilon) + P(\zeta - \xi > \epsilon) \\
= P(\zeta \leq a + \epsilon) + P(|\zeta - \xi| > \epsilon).
\]

Applying Eq. (16) to \( \xi = \eta_n \) and \( \zeta = \eta \) with \( a = x \) and \( a = x - \epsilon \), we get

\[
P(\eta_n \leq x) \leq P(\eta \leq x + \epsilon) + P(|\eta_n - \eta| > \epsilon) \\
P(\eta \leq x - \epsilon) \leq P(\eta_n \leq x) + P(|\eta_n - \eta| > \epsilon).
\]

\[
P(\eta \leq x - \epsilon) - P(|\eta_n - \eta| > \epsilon) \leq P(\eta_n \leq x) \leq P(\eta \leq x + \epsilon) + P(|\eta_n - \eta| > \epsilon).
\]

Taking the limit \( n \to \infty \) and taking into account that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} P(|\eta_n - \eta| \geq \epsilon) = 0 \), we get

\[
F_\eta(x - \epsilon) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} F_{\eta_n}(x) \leq F_\eta(x + \epsilon).
\]

If \( x \) is a point of continuity of \( F_\eta \),

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} F_\eta(x - \epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} F_\eta(x + \epsilon) = F_\eta(x).
\]

Therefore, taking the limit \( \epsilon \to 0 \) we obtain the weak convergence:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{\eta_n}(x) = F_\eta(x)
\]

for any \( x \) where \( F_\eta(x) \) is continuous. \( \square \)

**Remark** The converse is, generally, not true. However, convergence in distribution to a constant random variable implies convergence in probability.

- \( \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots\} \) converges almost surely or almost everywhere or with probability 1 or strongly to \( \eta \) if

\[
P\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_n = \eta\right) = 1.
\]

**Remark** Convergence almost surely implies convergence in probability (by Fatou’s lemma) and in distribution.

- To summarize,

\[
\eta_i \to \eta \text{ almost surely} \Rightarrow \eta_i \to \eta \text{ in probability} \Rightarrow \eta_i \to \eta \text{ in distribution}
\]
6. LAWS OF LARGE NUMBERS AND THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

- Let \( \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots\} \) be a sequence of random variables with finite expected values \( \{m_1 = E[\eta_1], m_2 = E[\eta_2], \ldots\} \). Define
  \[
  \xi_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i, \quad \bar{\xi}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i.
  \]

**Definition 2.** (1) The sequence of random variables \( \eta_n \) satisfies the Law of Large Numbers if \( \xi_n - \bar{\xi}_n \) converges to zero in probability, i.e., for any \( \epsilon > 0 \)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|\xi_n - \bar{\xi}_n| > \epsilon) = 0.
\]

(2) The sequence of random variables \( \eta_n \) satisfies the Strong Law of Large Numbers if \( \xi_n - \bar{\xi}_n \) converges to zero almost surely, i.e., for almost all \( \omega \in \Omega \)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \xi_n - \bar{\xi}_n = 0.
\]

- If the random variables \( \eta_n \) are independent and if \( \text{Var}(\eta_i) \leq V < \infty \), then the Law of Large Numbers holds by the Chebyshev Inequality (10):

\[
P(|\xi_n - \bar{\xi}_n| > \epsilon) = P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i\right| > n\epsilon\right) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\eta_1 + \ldots + \eta_n)}{\epsilon^2 n^2} \leq \frac{V}{\epsilon^2 n^2} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]

**Theorem 3.** (Khinchin) A sequence of independent identically distributed random variables \( \{\eta_i\} \) with \( E[\eta_i] = m \) and \( E[|\eta_i|] < \infty \) satisfies the Law of Large Numbers.

**Theorem 4.** (Kolmogorov) A sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with finite expected value and variance satisfies the Strong Law of Large Numbers.

**Theorem 5.** (The central limit theorem) Let \( \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots\} \) be a sequence of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with \( m = E[\eta_i] \) and

\[0 < \sigma^2 = \text{Var}(\eta_i) < \infty,\]

then

\[
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\eta_i}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} - nm}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \to N(0, 1) \text{ in distribution,}
\]

i.e., converges weakly to the standard normal distribution \( N(0, 1) \) (i.e., the Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance 1) as \( n \to \infty \).

A proof via Fourier transform can be found in [1]. Another proof making use of characteristic functions can be found in [2].
Remark Eq. (19) can be recasted as

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i \rightarrow N \left( m, \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right) \text{ in distribution,}
\]

i.e., the average of the first \(n\) i.i.d. random variables \(\eta_i\) converges in distribution to the Gaussian random variable with mean \(m = E[\eta_i]\) and variance \(\sigma^2/n\).

7. Conditional probability and conditional expectation

- The conditional probability of an event \(B\) given that the event \(A\) has happened is given by

\[
P(B|A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)}.
\]

Note that if \(A\) and \(B\) are independent, then \(P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)\) and hence

\[
P(B|A) = \frac{P(A)P(B)}{P(A)} = P(B).
\]

**Example 15** Suppose you are tossing a die twice. Consider the probability space (4). Let \(A\) be the event that the outcome of the first throw is even, and \(B\) be the event that the sum of the outcomes is \(\geq 10\). Then (see Table 1)

\[
P(B|A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)} = \frac{4/36}{1/2} = \frac{2}{9}.
\]

Note that \(P(B) = 1/6 < P(B|A)\). Hence the events \(A\) and \(B\) are dependent.

If the event \(A\) is fixed, then \(P(B|A)\) defines a probability measure on \((\Omega, B)\).

- If \(\eta\) is a random variable on \(\Omega\), then conditional expectation of \(\eta\) given the event \(A\) is

\[
E[\eta|A] = \int_{\Omega} \eta(\omega)P(d\omega|A) = \int_{\Omega} \eta(\omega) \frac{P(d\omega \cap A)}{P(A)} = \frac{\int_A \eta(\omega)P(d\omega)}{P(A)}.
\]

**Example 16** Suppose you are tossing a die twice. Consider the probability space (4). Let \(A\) be the event that the outcome of the first throw is even, and \(\eta\) be the random variable whose value is the sum of outcomes, i.e., \(\eta(\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}) = \omega_1 + \omega_2\). Then

\[
E[\eta|A] = \sum_{\omega_1=1}^{6} \sum_{\omega_2=1}^{6} (\omega_1 + \omega_2)P(\{\omega_1, \omega_2\} | \omega_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\}).
\]
Let us calculate $P(\{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \mid \omega_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\})$.

\[
P(\{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \mid \omega_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\}) = \frac{P(\{\omega_1, \omega_2\} \land (\omega_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\}))}{P(\omega_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\})}
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
0, & \omega_1 \in \{1, 3, 5\}, \\
\frac{1/36}{1/18} = \frac{1}{18}, & \omega_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\}.
\end{cases}
\]

Now we continue our calculation:

\[
E[\omega_1 + \omega_2 \mid \omega_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\}] = \sum_{\omega_1 \in \{2, 4, 6\}} \sum_{\omega_2 = 1}^{6} (\omega_1 + \omega_2) \frac{1}{18} = \frac{135}{18} = 7.5.
\]

Note that $E[\eta] = 7 \neq E[\eta \mid A] = 7.5$.

• Now we show how one can construct new random variables using conditional probability. For simplicity, we start with partitioning the set of outcomes $\Omega$ into a finite or countable number of disjoint measurable subsets:

\[
\Omega = \bigcup_i A_i, \quad \text{where} \quad A_i \in \mathcal{B}, \quad A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset.
\]

**Definition 3.** Let $\eta$ be a random variable on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$. Let $A = \{A_i\}$ be a partition of $\Omega$ as above. Define a new random variable $E[\eta \mid A]$ as follows:

\[
(21) \quad E[\eta \mid A] = \sum_i E[\eta \mid A_i] \chi(A_i),
\]

where $\chi(A_i)$ is the indicator function of $A_i$:

\[
\chi(A_i; \omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \in A_i, \\
0, & \omega \notin A_i.
\end{cases}
\]

**Remark** Note that $E[\eta \mid A]$ is a random variable as it is a function of the outcome $\omega$. Indeed,

\[
E[\eta \mid A](\omega) = E[\eta \mid A_i] \quad \text{where} \quad A_i \ni \omega.
\]

**Example 17** Suppose you are tossing a die twice. Let us partition the set of outcomes as follows:

\[
\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{6} \{ (\omega_1, \omega_2) \mid \omega_1 = i \}.
\]

The corresponding partition $A$ is

\[
A = \{ \{ (\omega_1, \omega_2) \mid \omega_1 = i \} \}_{i=1}^{6}.
\]
Take the random variable $\xi = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ (see Table 1, left), the sum of numbers on the top. Construct a new random variable

$$E[\xi|A] = \sum_{i=1}^{6} E[\xi|\omega_1 = i] \chi(\omega_1 = i) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} (i + 3.5) \chi(\omega_1 = i)$$

$$= 4.5 \chi(\omega_1 = 1) + 5.5 \chi(\omega_1 = 2) + 6.5 \chi(\omega_1 = 3) + 7.5 \chi(\omega_1 = 4) + 8.5 \chi(\omega_1 = 5) + 9.5 \chi(\omega_1 = 6).$$

Now we define the conditional expectation of one random variable $\eta$ given the other random variable $\theta$. First we assume that $\theta$ assumes a finite or countable number of values $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots\}$. Define the partition $A$ where

$$A_i = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \theta = \theta_i\}.$$  

**Definition 4.** We define a new random variable $E[\eta|\theta]$ as a the following function of the random variable $\theta$:

$$E[\eta|\theta] := E[\eta|A], \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad E[\eta|\theta] = E[\eta|A_i] \text{ if } \theta = \theta_i.$$  

**Example 18** Suppose you are tossing a die twice. Let $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ be the numbers on the top. Define random variables $\xi = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ and $\theta = \omega_1$. Then it follows from our calculation from the previous example that

$$E[\xi|\theta] = 3.5 + \theta.$$  

Now we give generalizations of $E[\eta|A]$ and $E[\eta|\theta]$ defined for a partition of $\Omega$ into discrete subsets.

**Definition 5.** Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$ be a probability space and $\eta$ be a random variable. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be another $\sigma$-algebra defined on $\Omega$ that is coarser than $\mathcal{B}$, i.e., if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then $A \in \mathcal{B}$ (i.e., $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$). Then the conditional expectation of $\eta$ with respect to the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is the random variable denoted by $E[\eta|\mathcal{A}]$ satisfying

$$\int_A E[\eta|\mathcal{A}] P(d\omega) = \int_A \eta(\omega) P(d\omega) \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$  

Suppose $\theta$ is another random variable on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$. The $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\theta$ is the $\sigma$-algebra $\sigma(\theta)$ generated by the sets

$$\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \theta(\omega) \leq x\},$$

I.e., $\sigma(\theta)$ is the smallest $\sigma$-algebra containing all of these sets. Obviously, since $\theta$ is $\mathcal{B}$-measurable, $\sigma(\theta) \subset \mathcal{B}$.  

**Example 19** Consider the probability space with the set of outcomes $\mathbb{R}^2$, Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ (i.e., the one generated by all open sets) and the probability measure

$$P(B) = \int_B \frac{1}{Z} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dx dy, \quad Z = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dx dy = \pi, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}.$$
Consider the random variables \( \eta(x, y) = x \) and \( \theta(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \). The \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \sigma(\theta) \) is generated by all balls centered at the origin:
\[
\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \leq z\}.
\]

**Definition 6.** The conditional expectation \( E[\eta|\theta] \) of a random variable \( \eta \) given a random variable \( \theta \) is the conditional expectation of \( \eta \) with respect to the \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \sigma(\theta) \) generated by the random variable \( \theta \), i.e.,
\[
E[\eta|\theta] = E[\eta|\sigma(\theta)].
\]

Consider the case where the joint pdf of random variables \( \eta \) and \( \theta \) \( f_{\eta,\theta}(x, y) \) exists. Then we define the conditional probability distribution

\[
f_{\eta|\theta}(x|y) := \frac{f_{\eta,\theta}(x, y)}{f_{\theta}(y)}.
\]

Then
\[
P(a < \eta \leq b \mid \theta = y) = \int_a^b f_{\eta|\theta}(x|y)dx,
\]
where the left-hand side of the equation above is understood as
\[
P(a < \eta \leq b \mid \theta = y) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} P(a < \eta \leq b \mid |\theta - y| < \epsilon).
\]

**Example 20** Consider the probability space as in Example 19. Define the random variables \( \eta(x, y) = x \) and \( \theta(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \). We want to calculate
\[
P(a < \eta \leq b \mid \theta = z) = P(a < x \leq b \mid \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = z)
\]

The set \( \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = z \) is a circle centered at the origin of radius \( z \). Since the probability density on every circle is uniform, this probability is the ratio of the total arc length of segments of the circle with \( a < x \leq b \) to the arc length of the circle (see Fig. 1). Therefore,
\[
P(a < \eta \leq b \mid \theta = z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \arccos \left( \frac{\max\{a, -z\}}{z} \right) - \arccos \left( \frac{\min\{b, z\}}{z} \right) \right);
\]

The conditional expectation of \( \eta \) given \( \theta \) is
\[
E[\eta|\theta] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{\eta|\theta}(x|y)dx.
\]

The conditional variance is defined by
\[
\text{Var}(\eta|\theta) := E[(\eta - E[\eta|\theta])^2 \mid \theta].
\]
**Example 21** Suppose the joint pdf of random variables $\eta$ and $\theta$ is given by

$$f_{\eta,\theta}(x,y) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta(x^2+y^2+x^2y^2)}$$

where $Z := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\beta(x^2+y^2+x^2y^2)} dx dy$

is the partition function. Note that this pdf is the Gibbs measure for the overdamped Langevin dynamics in the potential energy landscape $V(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 + x^2y^2$. Level sets of this potential are shown in Fig. 2. Let us find $f_{\eta|\theta}(x|y)$, $E[\eta|\theta]$, and $\text{Var}(\eta|\theta)$. First we find the marginal density

$$f_\theta(y) = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\beta(x^2+y^2+x^2y^2)} dx = \frac{1}{Z} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta(1+y^2)}} e^{-\beta y^2}.$$

Next, we find

$$f_{\eta|\theta}(x|y) = \frac{\frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta(x^2+y^2+x^2y^2)}}{\frac{1}{Z} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta(1+y^2)}} e^{-\beta y^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta(1+y^2)}{\pi}} e^{-\beta x^2(y^2+1)}.$$

Then the conditional expectation of $\eta$ given $\theta$ is

$$E[\eta|\theta] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \sqrt{\frac{\beta(1+y^2)}{\pi}} e^{-\beta x^2(y^2+1)} dx = 0.$$
Finally, we find \( \text{Var}(\eta|\theta) \):

\[
\text{Var}(\eta|\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \sqrt{\frac{\beta(1+y^2)}{\pi}} e^{-\beta x^2(y^2+1)} dx
\]

\[
= \sqrt{\frac{\beta(1+y^2)}{\pi}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\beta^{3/2}(1+y^2)^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{2\beta(1+y^2)}.
\]

- **Conditional expectation as the best approximation.** Imagine that you are considering two random variables \( \eta \) and \( \theta \), and you wish to approximate \( \eta \) with a function of \( \theta \). We will show that the best approximation of \( \eta \) by a function of \( \theta \) in the least squares sense is \( E[\eta|\theta] \).

**Theorem 6.** Let \( g(\theta) \) be any measurable function of \( \theta \). Then

\[
E[(\eta - E[\eta|\theta])^2] \leq E[(\eta - g(\theta))^2].
\]

**Proof.** We will prove this fact for the case where the set of values of \( \theta \) is at most countable: \( \theta(\omega) \in \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots\} \). Any function \( g(\theta) \) can be written as

\[
g(\theta) = E[\eta|\theta] + (g(\theta) - E[\eta|\theta]).
\]

We plug this into the right-hand side of Eq. (23) and partition the set of outcomes \( \Omega \) into nonintersecting subsets

\[
Z_i = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \theta(\omega) = \theta_i\}.
\]
We have:

\[
E[(\eta - g(\theta))^2] = \int_\Omega (\eta(\omega) - E[\eta|\theta] - (g(\theta) - E[\eta|\theta]))^2 P(\omega) \\
= \sum_i \int_{Z_i} (\eta - E[\eta|\theta] - (g(\theta) - E[\eta|\theta]))^2 P(\omega) \\
= \sum_i \int_{Z_i} (\eta - E[\eta|\theta])^2 P(\omega) \\
- 2 \sum_i (g(\theta_i) - E[\eta|Z_i]) \int_{Z_i} (\eta - E[\eta|Z_i]) P(\omega) \\
+ \sum_i (g(\theta_i) - E[\eta|Z_i])^2 \int_{Z_i} P(\omega).
\]

Taking into account that

\[
\int_{Z_i} (\eta - E[\eta|Z_i]) P(\omega) = E[\eta|Z_i] - E[\eta|Z_i] = 0,
\]

we continue:

\[
E[(\eta - g(\theta))^2] = E[(\eta - E[\eta|\theta])^2] + \sum_i (g(\theta_i) - E[\eta|Z_i])^2 P(Z_i) \\
\geq E[(\eta - E[\eta|\theta])^2].
\]

\[
\square
\]

8. Applications to statistical mechanics

In this section, we consider some application of the concepts we have discussed to statistical mechanics.

**Exercise** Consider a particle in 1D in contact with a heat bath whose states follow the canonical distribution:

\[
\mu(x, p) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta H(x, p)}, \quad \text{where} \quad Z = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\beta H(x, p)} dx dp,
\]

where \(H(x, p) = V(x) + \frac{p^2}{2}\) is its energy and \(\beta = \left(\frac{k_B T}{2}\right)^{-1}\) (\(k_B\) is Boltzmann’s constant). Show that the mean kinetic energy equals to \(k_B T/2\), i.e., calculate the expected value of

\[
E \left[ \frac{p^2}{2} \right] = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{p^2}{2} e^{-\beta (V(x) + p^2/2)} dx dp.
\]

Use your result to show that for a system consisting of \(n\) particles with unit mass each of which is moving in 3D, the mean kinetic energy is \(\frac{3}{2}nk_B T\).
8.1. The **Dirac probability measure**. The concept of the Dirac \( \delta \)-function \( \delta(x) \) is commonly employed in statistical mechanics. Prior to move on, we review its definition and some of its properties.

**Definition 7.** The Dirac \( \delta \)-function \( \delta(x) \) is the probability measure on \( \mathbb{R} \) with the following properties

\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \delta(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty, & x = 0, \\ 0, & x \neq 0, \end{cases} \\
(2) & \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) dx = 1.
\end{align*}

**Properties of \( \delta \)-function**

1. Symmetry: \( \delta(x) = \delta(-x) \).
2. Scaling: \( \delta(ax) = \frac{\delta(x)}{|a|} \) for any \( a \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\} \).
3. Composition: let \( g(x) \) be continuously differentiable and \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \) be the set of its zeros. Assume that \( I \) is finite or countable, and all zeros are isolated, i.e., every zero can be be surrounded with an interval containing no other zeros. Moreover, assume that the zeros are non-degenerate, i.e., \( g'(x_i) \neq 0 \) for all \( i \in I \). Then

\begin{equation}
\delta(g(x)) = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\delta(x - x_i)}{|g'(x_i)|}.
\end{equation}

4. Effect on functions: For any continuous function \( f(x) \)

\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \delta(x) dx = f(0).
\end{equation*}

Therefore,

\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \delta(x-a) dx = f(a).
\end{equation*}

5. \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \delta(g(x)) dx = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{f(x_i)}{|g'(x_i)|}, \)

where \( \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \) is the set of zeros of \( g(x) \) satisfying the assumptions for Eq. (25).

**Generalization to \( \mathbb{R}^n \)**

**Definition 8.** In \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( \delta(x) = \delta(x_1)\delta(x_2)\ldots\delta(x_n) \).

**Properties**
(1) Effect on functions:
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \delta(x - a) \, dx = f(a). \]

(2) Scaling:
\[ \delta(ax) = \frac{\delta(x)}{|a|^n}. \]

(3) Symmetry: for any orthogonal matrix \( T \in O(n) \),
\[ \delta(Tx) = \delta(x). \]

(4) Composition:
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \delta(g(x)) \, dx = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{f(x)}{|\nabla g|} \, d\sigma(x), \quad \text{where } \Sigma := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g(x) = 0 \}. \]
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \delta(g(x) - z) \, dx = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{f(x)}{|\nabla g|} \, d\sigma(x), \quad \text{where } \Sigma := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g(x) = z \}. \]

8.2. Free energy. Consider a system of particles assuming states \((x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}\) with total energy \( H(x, p) = V(x) + T(p) \). Assume that the system is in contact with a heat bath (i.e., the temperature is kept constant) and its states follow the canonical distribution
\[ \mu(x, p) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta H(x, p)}, \quad Z = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\beta H(x, p)} \, dx \, dp. \]
Assume that the energy \( H(x, p) \) is bounded from below, and its level sets
\[ \Sigma(E) := \{ (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid H(x, p) = E \} \]
are compact for all \( E \in \mathbb{R} \).

- Consider the hamiltonian or the total energy \( H(x, p) \). This is a random variable \( H(x, p) \) whose distribution function is not given analytically beforehand. Note that \( H(x, p) \) foliates the set of outcomes \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) into the energy level sets (27). The pdf of \( H(x, p) \) can be defined using the \( \delta \)-function as follows:
\[ \mu_H(E) := \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\beta H(x, p)} \delta(H(x, p) - E) \, dx \, dp. \]
Then
\[ P(E < H(x, p) \leq E + dE) = \mu_H(E) \, dE. \]
The quantity
\[ \Omega(E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \delta(H(x, p) - E) \, dx \, dp \]
is called the density of states. Then we have:
\[ \mu_H(E) = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\beta H(x, p)} \delta(H(x, p) - E) \, dx \, dp = \frac{1}{Z} \Omega(E) e^{-\beta E}. \]
The free energy $F(E)$ of the macroscopic observable energy $H(x,p)$ is defined from the relationship

$$\mu_H(E) = \frac{1}{Z} \Omega(E) e^{-\beta E} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta F(E)}.$$  

Hence,

$$F(E) = E - \beta^{-1} \log \Omega(E).$$  

(28)

More generally, let $\theta(x,p)$ be an arbitrary random variable (e.g., a collective variable i.e. a macroscopic observable) whose pdf is not known in advance. Then we define the pdf of $\theta$ by

$$\mu_\theta(z) := \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\beta H(x,p)} \delta(\theta(x,p) - z) dx dp.$$  

We want $\mu_\theta(z)$ to be of the heart-pleasing form

$$\mu_\theta(z) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta F(z)}.$$  

Then the quantity $F(z)$ called the free energy associated with the collective variable $\theta$ is given by

$$F(z) = -\beta^{-1} \log \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\beta H(x,p)} \delta(\theta(x,p) - z) dx dp \right).$$  

Remark In some works, the following definition of the free energy is found:

$$\mu_\theta(z) = e^{-\beta F(z)}.$$  

Then

$$F(z) = -\beta^{-1} \log \left( \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\beta H(x,p)} \delta(\theta(x,p) - z) dx dp \right).$$  

(30)

• The co-area formula. The $\delta$-function in the definition of the free energy is a symbolic expression whose meaning is provided by the co-area formula. Let $\theta(x,p)$ be a random variable that is a smooth function of $x$ and $p$. Then $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is foliated by the hyper-surfaces

$$\Sigma(z) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid \theta(x,p) = z \}.$$  

Then for any integrable function $f(x)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f(x,p) dx dp = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz' \int_{\Sigma(z')} \frac{f d\sigma}{|\nabla \theta|}.$$  

Here $|\nabla \theta|$ is the absolute value of the gradient of $\theta$ on the hyper-surface $\Sigma(z')$ and $d\sigma$ is the surface element. Hence for the integrable function $f(x) \delta(\theta(x,p) - z)$
we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f(x)\delta(\theta(x,p) - z)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz' \int_{\Sigma(z')} \frac{f\delta(z - z')d\sigma}{|\nabla \theta|}
\]
\[= \int_{\Sigma(z)} \frac{f d\sigma}{|\nabla \theta|}.
\]
(31)
The identity (31) is called the co-area formula.

Using this expression, we can rewrite the definition of the free energy (29) as
\[
F_\theta(z) = -\beta^{-1} \log \left( \int_{\Sigma(z)} e^{-\beta H(x,p)|\nabla \theta|^{-1}d\sigma} \right).
\]
(32)

- Suppose we care about the random variable \(\eta(x,p)\) (a macroscopic observable). As we switch to the random variable \(\theta(x,p)\), we need to obtain as accurate approximation of \(\eta(x,p)\) by a function of \(\theta\) as possible. This approximation is given by
\[
E[\eta|\theta] = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \eta(x,p)e^{-\beta H(x,p)}\delta(\theta(x,p) - z)dx dp}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-\beta H(x,p)}\delta(\theta(x,p) - z)dx dp}.
\]

Using the core formula (31) we can rewrite \(E[\eta|\theta]\) as
\[
E[\eta|\theta] = \frac{\int_{\Sigma(z')} \eta |\nabla \theta|^{-1}e^{-\beta H(x,p)}d\sigma}{\int_{\Sigma(z')} |\nabla \theta|^{-1}e^{-\beta H(x,p)}d\sigma}
\]
(33)

**Example 22** Consider a particle evolving according to the overdamped Langevin dynamics in the potential energy landscape \(V(x,y) = x^2 + y^2\) and obeying the Gibbs distribution
\[
f(x,y) = \frac{\beta}{\pi} e^{-\beta(x^2 + y^2)}.
\]
Calculate the pdf of the random variable \(V(x,y) = x^2 + y^2\):
\[
\mu_V(E) = \frac{\beta}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\beta(x^2 + y^2)} \delta(x^2 + y^2 - E)dx dy
\]
\[= \frac{\beta}{\pi} e^{-\beta E} \int_{r=\sqrt{E}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{E}} dl = \frac{\beta}{\pi} e^{-\beta E} \frac{2\pi \sqrt{E}}{2\sqrt{E}}
\]
\[= \beta e^{-\beta E}
\]
Note that
\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_V(E)dE = \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta e^{-\beta E} = 1
\]
as it should be. The free energy is found from the relationship
\[
\beta e^{-\beta E} = \frac{\beta}{\pi} e^{-\beta F(E)}.
\]
Therefore, 

\[ F(E) = E - \beta^{-1} \log \pi. \]

**Example 23**  Consider a particle evolving according to the overdamped Langevin dynamics in the potential energy landscape \( V(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + xy \) and obeying the Gibbs distribution 

\[ f(x, y) = \frac{\beta \sqrt{3}}{2\pi} e^{-\beta(x^2+y^2+xy)}. \]

Let \( \theta(x, y) \in [-\pi, \pi) \) be the polar angle of the point \((x, y)\). Let us calculate \( E[\sqrt{x^2+y^2} | \theta] \) using Eq. (33). Let \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \).

\[ E[r|\theta] = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} r(x, y) e^{-\beta(x^2+y^2+xy)} \delta(\theta(x, y) - z) dxdy}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\beta(x^2+y^2+xy)} \delta(\theta(x, y) - z) dxdy} =: \frac{I_1}{I_2}. \]

Note that \( I_2 \equiv \mu_\theta(z) \) is the free energy associated with the polar angle \( \theta \).

Recall that 
\[
\theta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
\arctan(y/x), & x \geq 0, \\
\pi - \arctan(y/x), & x < 0, y \geq 0, \\
-\pi + \arctan(y/x), & x < 0, y \leq 0,
\end{cases}
\]

and 
\[
\nabla \theta(x, y) = \left[ \frac{-y}{x^2+y^2}, \frac{x}{x^2+y^2} \right].
\]

Hence, \( |\nabla \theta| = \frac{1}{r} \).

First compute \( I_2 \):

\[
I_2 = \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta r^2(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2z))} r dr = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2z))t^2} dt = \frac{1}{2\beta(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2z))}
\]

Now compute \( I_1 \):

\[
I_1 = \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta r^2(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2z))} r^2 dr = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2z))t^2} t^2 dt = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\beta^{3/2}(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2z))^{3/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}
\]

Therefore,

\[
E[r|\theta] = \frac{I_1}{I_2} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta(1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta))}}.
\]
References