Lecture 12: Direct Sums and Projections

(*) *) *) *)

э

This lecture comes from the text, pages 195-198.

Direct Sums

Definition

Let V be a vector space and U and W be subspaces. Then V is said to be the **direct sum** of U and W, written $V = U \oplus W$, if every vector $v \in V$ has the unique expression

$$v = u + w, u \in U, w \in W.$$

Some more definitions:

Definition

V is said to be the sum of U and W is every vector $v \in V$ has at least on expression

$$v = u + w, u \in U, w \in W.$$

In this case, we write V = U + W.

Definition

U and W are said to be independent if $U \cap W = \{0\}$.

Let V be a vector space, $U, W \subseteq V$ subspaces. Then $V = U \oplus W$ if and only if

- (i) V = U + W
- (ii) $U \cap W = \{0\}.$

Proof. (\Longrightarrow) (i) is clear since every $v \in V$ can be written (uniquely) as v = u + w with $u \in U$, $w \in W$.

Now for for (ii). Let $v \in U \cap W$. Then since $v \in U$ and $v \in W$, we can write:

$$v = v + 0$$
 (where $v \in U, 0 \in W$)

and

$$v = 0 + v$$
 (where $0 \in U, v \in W$)

But the expression v = u + w is unique, hence v = 0.

 (\Leftarrow) Since V = U + W, we must only check unqueness. So suppose $v = u_1 + w_1$ and $v = u_2 + w_2$, where $u_i, u_2 \in U$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$. Then

$$u_1 + w_1 = u_2 + w_2$$

and thus

$$u_1 - u_2 = w_2 - w_1$$

Put $x = u_1 - u_2 = w_2 - w_1$. Then $x \in U$ and $x \in W$, so $x \in U \cap W = \{0\}$ and hence x = 0. Thus $u_1 = u_2$ and $w_1 = w_2$.

Definition

Let $p \in L(V, V)$. Then p is said to be idempotent if $p^2 = p$.

Lemma

Let $p \in L(V, V)$ be idempotent, W = R(p) and U = N(p). Then $V = U \oplus W$.

Proof.

We first show that V = U + W. Let $v \in V$. Then v = (v - p(v)) + p(v). By definition, $p(v) \in R(p) = W$. Also, $p(v - p(v)) = p(v) - p^2(v)$ = p(v) - p(v) = 0. Hence $v - p(v) \in N(p) = U$.

Now we show $U \cap W = N(p) \cap R(p)$. Then since $v \in R(p)$ we have v = p(v') for some $v' \in V$. And, since $v \in N(p)$ we have p(v) = 0. $p^2(v') = 0$. But $0 = p^2(v') = p(v') = v$ and thus v = 0.

Proposition

Every direct sum decomposition arises in this way.

Proof. Let $V = U \oplus W$. Define $p: V \longrightarrow V$ by $p(u+w) \longmapsto w$. The $p^2 = p$ and R(p) = W, N(p) = U. **Note:** We can also define $q: V \longrightarrow V$ by

$$q(u+w) \mapsto u.$$

(i) $p \circ q = 0$ (ii) p + q = I

Proof.

(i) Let v = u + w. Then

$$(p \circ q)(v) = (p \circ q)(u + w) = p(q(u + w)) = p(u) = 0.$$

(ii) Let v = u + w. Then

$$(p+q)(v) = (p+circq)(u+w) = p(u+w)+q(u+w) = w+u = v.$$

p and q are called the projections associated to the direct sum decomposition $V=U\oplus W.$

Orthogonal Direct Sums

Suppose now (V, (,)) is an inner product space and $U \subset V$ is a subspace. Define the orthogonal complement:

$$U^{\perp} := \{ v \in V : (u, v) = 0, \text{ all } u \in U \}.$$

Lemma

 U^{\perp} is a subspace.

Proof. First $0 \in U^{\perp}$ since (u, 0) = 0 for all $u \in U$. Now suppose v_1 , $v_2 \in U^{\perp}$. Then

$$(u, v_1 + v_2) = (u, v_1) + (u, v_2) = 0 + 0$$
 for all $u \in U$

and thus $v_1 + v_2 \in U^{\perp}$. Finally, if $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in U^{\perp}$ then

$$(u, cv) = c(u, v) = c \cdot 0 = 0$$
 for all $u \in U$.

(日) (四) (三) (三)

and thus $cv \in U^{\perp}$.

Proposition

Let (V, (,)) be an inner product space and $U \subseteq V$ a subspace. The given an orthogonal basis $\mathscr{B}_U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$ for U, it can be extended to an orthonormal basis $\mathscr{B} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ for V.

Proof. First, extend \mathscr{B}_U to a basis for V, $\mathscr{B}' = \mathscr{B}_U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-k}\}$. Now apply Gram-Schmidt. Since $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$ is already an orthonormal set, they are left fixed (this is a property of Gram-Schmidt). Thus the resulting basis is an orthonormal extension of the basis \mathscr{B}_U .

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三> のへの

The following lemmas are a consequence of this proposition.

Given $U \subset V$, there is an orthonormal basis for V, $\mathscr{B} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_n\}$ so that $u_i \in U$ for $1 \leq k$ $u_i \in U^{\perp}$ for $k+1 \leq n$.

Proof. Take a basis for U, $\mathscr{B}' = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ and apply Gram-Schmidt to get an orthonormal basis $\mathscr{B}_U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$ for U.

Given $U \subset V$ and a basis $\mathscr{B} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_n\}$ as above, $\mathscr{B}_{U^{\perp}} = \{u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_n\}$ is a basis for U^{\perp} .

Proof. First, $S(u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_n) \subset U^{\perp}$ is clear. Now we claim $U^{\perp} \cap U = \{0\}$. Suppose $U^{\perp} \cup U$. Then (u, u) = 0, hence u = 0. Thus, $U^{\perp} \cap S(u_1, \ldots, u_k) = \{0\}$ and so $S(u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_n) = U^{\perp}$. Finally, any subset of a basis is linearly independent.

Corollary

 $U \cap U^{\perp} = \{0\}.$

Lemma

 $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}.$

Proof. It remains to show that $V = U + U^{\perp}$. But since we have a basis for V, $\mathscr{B} = \{u_1, \ldots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_n\}$ with

 $u_i \in U$ for $1 \le k$

 $u_i \in U^{\perp}$ for $k+1 \leq n$,

this is clear.

Corollary

 $\dim V = \dim U + \dim U^{\perp}.$

Lemma

 $(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U.$

Proof. $U \subset (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$ is clear and since they are both subspaces of V, with the same dimension, $U = \subset (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$.

Proposition

Suppose (V, (,)) is an inner product space and $V = U \oplus W$ is a direct sum decomposition (not necessarily orthogonal). Let p_U be the associated projection. Then $W = U^{\perp}$ if and only if

 $(*) (p_U v_1, v_2) = (v_1, p_U v_2) \ all \ v_1, v_2 \in V.$

Proof. Let $u \in U$, $w \in W$. (\Leftarrow) Suppose (*) holds. Then

$$(u, w) = (p_{Uu}, w) = (u, p_U w) = (u, 0) = 0.$$

 (\Longrightarrow) Suppose $V = U \oplus W$ is orthogonal. Let $v_1, v_2 \in V$. Then $v_1 = u_1 + w_1$ and $v_2 = u_2 + w_2$ (uniquely) with $u_1, u_2 \in U, w_1, w_2 \in W$. Then

$$(p_U v_1, v_2) = (P_U(u_1 + w_1), u_2 + w_2) = (u_1, u_2 + w_2)$$

= $(u_1, u_2),$

and

$$(v_1, p_U v_2) = (u_1 + w_1, P_U(u_2 + w_2)) = (u_1 + w_1, u_2)$$

= (u_1, u_2) . \Box

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

M-Fold Direct Sums

Definition

Let U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_m be subspaces of V. Then V is the direct sum of U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_m , written

$$V = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_m$$

if every $v \in V$ may be written as

$$v = u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_m$$
 with $u_i \in U_i, 1 \le i \le m$.

Proposition

$$V = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_m \text{ if and only if}$$
(i) $V = U_1 + U_2 + \ldots + U_m$
(ii) $U_i \cap \left\{ U_1 + \ldots + \hat{U}_i + \ldots + U_m \right\} = \{0\} \text{ for } i \le i \le m \text{ (where hat signifies that this term has been omitted.)}$

M-Fold Direct Sums

Proof.

 (\Longrightarrow) (i) is clear since every $v \in V$ can be expressed

$$v = u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_m$$
 where $u_i \in U_i, 1 \le i \le m$.

(ii) Fix i with $1 \le i \le m$. Let $v \in U_i \cap \{u_1 + \ldots + \hat{u}_i + \ldots + u_m\}$. Then

 $v = 0 + \ldots + 0 + \hat{u}_i + 0 + \ldots + 0 = u_1 + \ldots + u_{i-1} + 0 + u_{i+1} + \ldots + u_m$

and hence
$$u_j = 0$$
, $1 \le j \le m$. So $v = 0$.
(\Leftarrow) Suppose $u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_m = u'_1 + u'_2 + \ldots + u'_m$. Fix *i* with $1 \le i \le m$. Then

$$u_i - u'_i = (u'_1 - u_1) + \ldots + (u'_i - u_i) + (u'_{i+1} - u_{i+1}) + \ldots + (u'_m - u_m).$$

Set $v = u_i - u'_i$. Then $v \in U_i$ and $v \in U_1 + \ldots + \hat{U}_i + \ldots + U_m$, hence v = 0 and $u_i = \hat{u}'_i$. This is true for each i, hence the expression $v = u_1 + \ldots + u_m$ is unique.

Definition

Given $V = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_m$, define the projection $P_i \in L(V, V)$ by

$$P_i(u_1+u_2+\ldots+u_i+\ldots+u_m)=u_i.$$

Hence

$$R(p_i) = U_i$$

$$N(p_i) = U_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \hat{U}_i \oplus \ldots \oplus U_m$$

Lemma

(i) $p_i \circ p_j = 0, i \neq j$. (ii) $p_1 + p_2 + \ldots + p_m = I$.

Proof. Same as for when m = 2.