

The Mean-Field Limit for the Quantum N -Body Problem: Uniform in \hbar Convergence Rate

François Golse

CMLS, École polytechnique & CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay

Workshop "Transport phenomena in collective dynamics"
November 1st-4th 2016, FIM-KiNet, ETH Zürich


Work with T. Paul and M. Pulvirenti

- The Hartree equation with bounded interaction potential has been derived from the N -body linear Schrödinger equation in the large N , small coupling constant limit (Spohn 80, Bardos-FG-Mauser 2000, Rodnianski-Schlein 09); extension to singular interaction potentials (including Coulomb) by Erdős-Yau 2001, Pickl 2009. The convergence rate obtained in these works is not uniform as $\hbar \rightarrow 0 \dots$

- \dots and yet the Vlasov equation with $C^{1,1}$ interaction potential has been derived from the N -body problem of classical mechanics in the same limit (Neunzert-Wick 1973, Braun-Hepp 1977, Dobrushin 1979)

Problem: to find a uniform in \hbar convergence rate for the quantum mean-field limit (Graffi-Martinez-Pulvirenti M3AS03, Pezzotti-Pulvirenti AnnHP09, G-Mouhot-Paul CMP2016)

The diagram



THE QUANTUM N -BODY PROBLEM

Hartree equation

= a nonlinear, nonlocal Schrödinger equation on the 1-particle space $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for the “typical” particle interacting with a large number of other identical particles

Mean-field interaction potential and Hamiltonian:

$$V_{\rho(t)}(x) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} V(x-y)\rho(t,y,y)dy, \quad \mathbf{H}_{\rho(t)} := -\frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\Delta + V_{\rho(t)}$$

• The 1-body wave function $\psi \equiv \psi(t, x)$ satisfies Hartree's equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \mathbf{H}_{|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}(t)\psi, \quad \psi|_{t=0} = \psi^{in}$$

Density formulation the 1-body density operator $\rho \equiv \rho(t)$ satisfies

$$i\hbar\partial_t\rho(t) = [\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t)}, \rho(t)], \quad \rho|_{t=0} = \rho^{in}$$

Notation for a N -tuple of positions is $X_N := (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in (\mathbf{R}^d)^N$

• The N -body wave function $\Psi_N \equiv \Psi_N(t, x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfies the N -body **Schrödinger equation**

$$i\hbar\partial_t\Psi_N = \mathcal{H}_N\Psi_N, \quad \mathcal{H}_N := \sum_{j=1}^N -\frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\Delta_{x_j} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} V(x_j - x_k)$$

Action of the symmetric group: for each permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N$

$$U_\sigma\Psi_N(X_N) := \Psi_N(\sigma \cdot X_N) \quad \text{where } \sigma \cdot X_N := (x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(N)})$$

N -Body Heisenberg

- The N -body density operator $\rho_N(t) := |\Psi_N(t, \cdot)\rangle\langle\Psi_N(t, \cdot)|$ satisfies the N -body Heisenberg equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\rho_N = [\mathcal{H}_N, \rho_N], \quad \rho_N|_{t=0} = \rho_N^{in}$$

Density operators: set $\mathfrak{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathfrak{H}_N = \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes N} \simeq L^2((\mathbb{R}^d)^N)$

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{H}_N) := \{\rho \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_N) \text{ s.t. } \rho = \rho^* \geq 0 \text{ and } \text{tr}(\rho) = 1\}$$

Indistinguishable particles \Leftrightarrow symmetric density operators

$$\mathcal{D}^s(\mathfrak{H}_N) := \{\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{H}_N) \text{ s.t. } \rho = U_\sigma \rho U_\sigma^* \text{ for each } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N\}$$

- Propagation of symmetry by the N -body Heisenberg equation:

$$\rho_N^{in} \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathfrak{H}_N) \Rightarrow \rho_N(t) \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathfrak{H}_N) \text{ for all } t \geq 0$$

THE BBGKY HIERARCHY FORMALISM

k -particle marginal of a density operator: for $\rho_N \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathfrak{H}_N)$, and for $1 \leq k \leq N$, define $\rho_N^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathfrak{H}_k)$ by the identity

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathfrak{H}_k}(\rho_N^{\mathbf{k}} A) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathfrak{H}_N}(\rho_N(A \otimes I_{\mathfrak{H}_{N-k}})) \quad \text{for each } A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_k)$$

• The integral kernel of $\rho_N^{\mathbf{k}}$ is defined in terms of the integral kernel of ρ_N by the formula

$$\rho_N^{\mathbf{k}}(X_k, Y_k) = \int_{(\mathbf{R}^d)^{N-k}} \rho_N(X_k, Z_{N-k}, Y_k, Z_{N-k}) dZ_{N-k}$$

Pbm: to find an equation for $\rho_N^{\mathbf{k}}$ knowing that ρ_N is a solution to the Heisenberg equation, where $k = 1, \dots, N$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \underbrace{\frac{N-k}{N} \sum_{j=1}^k [V_{j,k+1}, \rho_N^{\mathbf{k}+1}]^{\mathbf{k}}}_{\text{interaction with the } N-k \text{ other particles}} \quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq k} [V_{m,n}, \rho_N^{\mathbf{k}}]}_{\text{recollision}} \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad i\hbar \partial_t \rho_N^{\mathbf{k}} = [-\frac{1}{2} \hbar^2 \Delta^{\mathbf{k}}, \rho_N^{\mathbf{k}}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Notation:

$$V_{m,n} := \text{multiplication by } V(x_m - x_n), \quad \Delta^{\mathbf{k}} := \sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_{x_j}$$

The Hartree hierarchy

If $\rho \equiv \rho(t)$ is a solution to the Hartree equation, the sequence $\rho_k(t) := \rho(t)^{\otimes k}$ satisfies the infinite hierarchy of equations

$$i\hbar\partial_t\rho_k = [-\frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\Delta^{\mathbf{k}}, \rho_k] + \sum_{j=1}^k \underbrace{[V_{j,k+1}, \rho_{k+1}]^{\mathbf{k}}}_{=[V_{\rho(t)}(x_j), \rho_k(t)]}$$

Setting $E_{N,k}(t) := \rho_k(t) - \rho_N^{\mathbf{k}}(t)$, one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar\partial_t E_{N,k} &= [-\frac{1}{2}\hbar^2\Delta^{\mathbf{k}}, E_{N,k}] + \sum_{j=1}^k [V_{j,k+1}, E_{N,k+1}]^{\mathbf{k}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{k}{N} \sum_{j=1}^k [V_{j,k+1}, \rho_N^{\mathbf{k}}]^{\mathbf{k}}}_{O(k^2/N)} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq k} [V_{m,n}, \rho_N^{\mathbf{k}}]}_{O(k^2/N)} \end{aligned}$$

A nonuniform convergence rate in trace norm

Thm 1 Assume that $V \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is even and real-valued. Assume that the initial data for the N -body Heisenberg equation is factorized

$$\rho_N|_{t=0} = (\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}$$

where ρ^{in} is the initial data for the Hartree equation. Then

$$\mathrm{tr}(|\rho_N^k(t) - \rho(t)^{\otimes k}|) \leq 2^k \frac{2^{1+16Wt/\hbar}}{N \ln 2 / 2^{1+16Wt/\hbar}}$$

for all $t \geq 0$, all $k \geq 1$ and all $N \geq \max(N_0(k), \exp(2^{1+16Wt/\hbar}k))$, where

$$N_0(k) := \inf\{N > e^4 \text{ s.t. } n \geq N \Rightarrow 2^{\ln n/2} (k + \frac{1}{2} \ln n)^2 < 2n\}$$

and

$$W := \|V\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)}$$

THE OPTIMAL TRANSPORT FORMALISM

Monge-Kantorovich-(Vasershtein-Rubinshtein) distances

Let μ, ν be two Borel probability measures on \mathbf{R}^d .

Coupling of μ, ν : a Borel measure $\pi \geq 0$ on $\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d$ such that

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d} (\phi(x) + \psi(y)) \pi(dx dy) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \phi(x) \mu(dx) + \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi(y) \nu(dy)$$

for all $\phi, \psi \in C_b(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Set of couplings of μ, ν denoted $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$

Monge-Kantorovich distance (exponent $p \geq 1$):

$$\text{dist}_{MK,p}(\mu, \nu) = \left(\inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d} |x - y|^p \pi(dx dy) \right)^{1/p}$$

Quantum couplings and pseudo-distance

- Density operators on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} :

$$\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{H}) \Leftrightarrow \rho = \rho^* \geq 0, \quad \text{tr}(\rho) = 1$$

- Couplings between two density operators $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{H})$:

$$\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H}) \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H}}((A \otimes I)\rho) = \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{H}}(A\rho_1) \\ \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H}}((I \otimes A)\rho) = \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{H}}(A\rho_2) \end{cases}$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$; the set of all such ρ will be denoted $\mathcal{Q}(\rho_1, \rho_2)$

- For $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{D}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$, define

$$MK_2^{\hbar}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{Q}(\rho_1, \rho_2)} \text{tr} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d ((x_j - y_j)^2 - \hbar^2 (\partial_{x_j} - \partial_{y_j})^2) \rho \right)^{1/2}$$

The quantum estimate

Thm II [FG - C. Mouhot - T. Paul, CMP2016]

Let the potential V be even, real-valued and s.t. $\nabla V \in \text{Lip}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Let $\rho_{\hbar}(t)$ be the solution of Hartree's equation with initial data ρ_{\hbar}^{in} , and let $\rho_{N,\hbar}(t)$ be the solution of Heisenberg's equation with initial data $\rho_{N,\hbar}^{\text{in}} \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathfrak{H}_N)$.

Then, for each $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} MK_2^{\hbar}(\rho_{\hbar}(t), \rho_{N,\hbar}^{\mathbf{1}}(t))^2 &\leq \frac{1}{N} MK_2^{\hbar}((\rho_{\hbar}^{\text{in}})^{\otimes N}, \rho_{N,\hbar}^{\text{in}})^2 e^{Lt} \\ &\quad + \frac{8}{N} \|\nabla V\|_{L^\infty}^2 \frac{e^{Lt} - 1}{L} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$L := 3 + 4 \text{Lip}(\nabla V)^2$$

Dynamics of quantum couplings

Let $R_N^{in} \in \mathcal{Q}((\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}, \rho_N^{in})$ and let $t \mapsto R_N(t)$ be the solution of

$$i\hbar\partial_t R_N = [\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t)} \otimes I + I \otimes \mathcal{H}_N, R_N], \quad R_N|_{t=0} = R_N^{in}$$

Then $R_N(t) \in \mathcal{Q}((\rho(t))^{\otimes N}, \rho_N(t))$ for each $t \geq 0$. Define

$$D_N(t) = \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (Q_j^* Q_j + P_j^* P_j) R_N(t) \right)$$

with

$$Q_j = x_j - y_j, \quad P_j := \frac{\hbar}{i} (\nabla_{x_j} - \nabla_{y_j}), \quad P_j^* := \frac{\hbar}{i} (\text{div}_{x_j} - \text{div}_{y_j})$$

Ideas from the proof

Need to control the operator

$$[\mathbf{H}_{\rho(t)} \otimes I + I \otimes \mathcal{H}_N, Q_1^* Q_1 + P_1^* P_1]$$

in terms of

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (Q_j^* Q_j + P_j^* P_j)$$

and

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(\left| V_{\rho(t)} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N V(\cdot - x_k) \right|_{\rho_{\hbar}(t)^{\otimes N}} \right) = O(1/N)$$

Both steps use the Lipschitz continuity of ∇V

PROPERTIES OF MK_2^{\hbar}

- **Wigner transform** at scale \hbar of an operator $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$:

$$W_{\hbar}[\rho](x, \xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot y} \rho\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\hbar y, x - \frac{1}{2}\hbar y\right) dy$$

- **Husimi transform** at scale \hbar :

$$\tilde{W}_{\hbar}[\rho](x, \xi) = e^{\hbar\Delta_{x, \xi}/4} W_{\hbar}[\rho] \geq 0$$

One has

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d} \tilde{W}_{\hbar}[\rho](x, \xi) dx d\xi = \text{tr}(\rho) = 1$$

- Coherent state with $q, p \in \mathbf{R}^d$:

$$|q + ip, \hbar\rangle = (\pi\hbar)^{-d/4} e^{-|x-q|^2/2\hbar} e^{ip \cdot x/\hbar}$$

- With the identification $z = q + ip \in \mathbf{C}^d$

$$\text{OP}^T(\mu) := \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} |z, \hbar\rangle \langle z, \hbar| \mu(dz), \quad \text{OP}^T(1) = I$$

- Fundamental properties:

$$\mu \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{OP}^T(\mu) \geq 0, \quad \text{tr}(\text{OP}^T(\mu)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} \int_{\mathbf{C}^d} \mu(dz)$$

- Important formulas:

$$W_\hbar[\text{OP}^T(\mu)] = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} e^{\hbar\Delta_{q,p}/4} \mu, \quad \tilde{W}_\hbar[\text{OP}^T(\mu)] = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} e^{\hbar\Delta_{q,p}/2} \mu$$

Comparing MK_2^{\hbar} with $\text{dist}_{MK,2}$

Thm III [FG - C. Mouhot - T. Paul, CMP2016]

(a) MK_2^{\hbar} is **not a distance**: for all $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{D}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$, one has

$$MK_2^{\hbar}(\rho_1, \rho_2)^2 \geq \max(2d\hbar, \text{dist}_{MK,2}(\tilde{W}_{\hbar}[\rho_1], \tilde{W}_{\hbar}[\rho_2])^2 - 2d\hbar)$$

(b) Let ρ_j be the **Töplitz operators** at scale \hbar with symbol $(2\pi\hbar)^d \mu_j$, with $\mu_j \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbf{C}^d)$ for $j = 1, 2$; then

$$MK_2^{\hbar}(\rho_1, \rho_2)^2 \leq \text{dist}_{MK,2}(\mu_1, \mu_2)^2 + 2d\hbar$$

Notation: $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ = set of Borel probability measures on \mathbf{R}^d , and

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{R}^d) := \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d) \text{ s.t. } \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |x|^n \mu(dx) < \infty \right\}$$

Corollary

Let the potential V be even, real-valued and s.t. $\nabla V \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Let $\rho_{\hbar}(t)$ be the solution of the Hartree equation with initial data ρ^{in} , assumed to be a Töplitz density operator. Let $\rho_{N,\hbar}(t)$ be the solution of the N -body Heisenberg equation with initial data $(\rho^{in})^{\otimes N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{dist}_{\text{MK},2}(\tilde{W}_{\hbar}[\rho_{N,\hbar}^1(t)], \tilde{W}_{\hbar}[\rho_{\hbar}(t)])^2 \\ & \leq 2d\hbar(e^{Lt} + 1) + \frac{8}{N} \|\nabla V\|_{L^\infty}^2 \frac{e^{Lt} - 1}{L} \end{aligned}$$

- Convergence rate as $N \rightarrow \infty$ that is **uniform** as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$...
- ... but this estimate says **nothing** for \hbar fixed

THE INTERPOLATION ARGUMENT

Lemma:

(1) Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ be the set of couplings of μ, ν . Define

$$\text{dist}_1(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d} \min(1, |x - y|) \pi(dx dy)$$

Then

$$\text{dist}_1(\mu, \nu) \leq \min(\|\mu - \nu\|_{TV}, \text{dist}_{\text{MK},2}(\mu, \nu))$$

(2) Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{H})$; then

$$\left\| \widetilde{W}_h[\rho_1] - \widetilde{W}_h[\rho_2] \right\|_{TV} \leq \text{tr}(|\rho_1 - \rho_2|)$$

The \hbar -uniform convergence rate

Thm IV

Let the potential $V \in C^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be even and real-valued.

Let $\rho_{\hbar}(t)$ be the solution of the Hartree equation with Töplitz initial data $\rho_{\hbar}^{in} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{H})$, and let $\rho_{N,\hbar}(t)$ be the solution of Heisenberg's equation with initial data $(\rho_{\hbar}^{in})^{\otimes N}$.

Then, for each $t^* \geq 0$, one has

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} \text{dist}_1(\widetilde{W}_{\hbar}[\rho_{\hbar}(t)], \widetilde{W}_{\hbar}[\rho_{N,\hbar}^1(t)])^2 \lesssim 64dW \ln 2 \frac{t^*(1 + e^{Lt^*})}{\ln \ln N}$$

where

$$W := \|V\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)} \quad \text{and} \quad L := 3 + 4 \text{Lip}(\nabla V)^2$$

- Use the BBGKY estimate (Theorem I) for $\hbar > O(1/\ln \ln N)$
- Use the optimal transport estimate (Theorem II+III) otherwise

- Uniform in \hbar convergence rate for the mean-field limit of the N -body quantum problem with factorized initial data
- Formulated in terms of the Dobrushin weak convergence distance on Husimi transforms of the Hartree solution and of the 1st marginal of the N -body density operator
- Decay of order $O(1/\sqrt{\ln \ln N})$ most likely non optimal, due to the finite time (Cauchy-Kowalevski) limitation in the stability of the BBGKY hierarchy

Other approaches avoiding BBGKY hierarchies?