Developmental Partial Differential Equations

Nastassia Pouradier Duteil

Rutgers University - Camden

Kinet Young Researchers' Workshop November 30, 2016





- 2 The heat equation on time-varying manifolds
- 3 A "Lie bracket" between transport and heat
 - 4 Control of growth via a signal

Drosophila oogenesis



Figure: Drosophila melanogaster oogenesis







Figure: "French flag model"



Figure: "French flag model"

Figure: Morphlogies of *Drosophila* eggshells and Gurken patterning

Mechanism of Gurken diffusion and internalization



Figure: Gurken diffusion from oocyte nucleus in the perivitelline space and internalization into the follicle cells

Motivation: A description of oogenesis

Mechanism of Gurken diffusion and internalization



Figure: Gurken in Drosophila willistoni

Nastassia Pouradier Duteil (Rutgers)

Developmental PDEs

Kinet, Nov. 30, 2016 6 / 32



2 The heat equation on time-varying manifolds

- 3 A "Lie bracket" between transport and heat
- 4 Control of growth via a signal

5 Future Directions

M_t: time-varying compact manifold of dimension *n* embedded in ℝ^d = ℝⁿ⁺¹ Organism's membrane



- *M_t*: time-varying compact manifold of dimension *n* embedded in ℝ^d = ℝⁿ⁺¹ Organism's membrane
- v[·]: P_c(ℝ^d) → Lip(ℝ^d, ℝ^d) Growth vector field



- *M_t*: time-varying compact manifold of dimension *n* embedded in ℝ^d = ℝⁿ⁺¹ Organism's membrane
- v[·]: P_c(ℝ^d) → Lip(ℝ^d, ℝ^d)
 Growth vector field



- *M_t*: time-varying compact manifold of dimension *n* embedded in ℝ^d = ℝⁿ⁺¹ Organism's membrane
- v[·]: P_c(ℝ^d) → Lip(ℝ^d, ℝ^d) Growth vector field



- M_t: time-varying compact manifold of dimension n embedded in ℝ^d = ℝⁿ⁺¹ Organism's membrane
- v[·]: P_c(ℝ^d) → Lip(ℝ^d, ℝ^d)
 Growth vector field



- *M_t*: time-varying compact manifold of dimension *n* embedded in ℝ^d = ℝⁿ⁺¹ Organism's membrane
- v[·]: P_c(ℝ^d) → Lip(ℝ^d, ℝ^d) Growth vector field
- μ_t ∈ P(M_t): probability measure on M_t (also, μ_t ∈ P_c(ℝ^d)) Morphogen diffusing in intercellular space



Evolution of μ_t by the combined transport and diffusion:

Transport-diffusion PDE

 $\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}[\mu_t]\mu_t) = \Delta_t \mu_t$

Evolution of μ_t by the combined transport and diffusion:

Transport-diffusion PDE

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (\nu[\mu_t]\mu_t) = \Delta_t \mu_t \tag{1}$$

where

• v: a Lipschitz function w.r.t. the Wasserstein distance W_2

Evolution of μ_t by the combined transport and diffusion:

Transport-diffusion PDE

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}[\mu_t]\mu_t) = \mathbf{\Delta}_t \mu_t$$

where

- v: a Lipschitz function w.r.t. the Wasserstein distance W_2
- Δ_t : Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{M}_t

Evolution of μ_t by the combined transport and diffusion:

Transport-diffusion PDE

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (v[\mu_t]\mu_t) = \Delta_t \mu_t \tag{1}$$

where

- v: a Lipschitz function w.r.t. the Wasserstein distance W_2
- Δ_t : Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{M}_t

Wasserstein distance: Monge transportation problem



How do you best move a pile of sand to fill up a given hole of the same total volume?

Wasserstein distance: Monge transportation problem



Monge's problem (1781)

Given $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $c: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ a Borel-measurable function,

Minimize
$$\int_X c(x, T(x))d\mu(x)$$

among all transport maps $T: X \to X$ s.t. $T \# \mu = \nu$.

Wasserstein distance: Monge transportation problem



Kantorovich's formulation (1940's)

Given $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $c: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ a Borel-measurable function,

Minimize
$$\int_{X \times X} c(x, y) d\gamma(x, y)$$

where $\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \nu) := \{ \rho \in \mathcal{P}(X \times X) \mid \pi_1 \# \rho = \mu, \ \pi_2 \# \rho = \nu \}.$

p-Wasserstein distance

$$\mathcal{W}_{p}(\mu,\nu) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mu,\nu)} \left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}} |x-y|^{p} d\gamma(x,y) \right)^{1/p} \right\}$$

p-Wasserstein distance



Figure: Two measures with different L^1 and W_1 distances (respectively $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\delta)$).

Evolution of μ_t by the combined transport and diffusion:

Transport-diffusion PDE

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}[\mu_t]\mu_t) = \Delta_t \mu_t$$

where

- v: a Lipschitz function w.r.t. the Wasserstein distance W_2
- Δ_t : Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{M}_t

Evolution of μ_t by the combined transport and diffusion:

Transport-diffusion PDE

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}[\mu_t]\mu_t) = \Delta_t \mu_t$$

where

- v: a Lipschitz function w.r.t. the Wasserstein distance W_2
- Δ_t : Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{M}_t

Laplace-Beltrami operator

Laplace-Beltrami operator: generalization of the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds.

$$\Delta f := \nabla \cdot \nabla f$$

Let $(x_i)_{i \in \{1,...,n\}}$ be a coordinate system on \mathcal{M}_t and g_t be the metric tensor of \mathcal{M}_t . Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}_t)$.

$$\Delta_t f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g_t|}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\sqrt{|g_t|} \sum_{j=1}^n g_t^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f)$$

Evolution of μ_t by the combined transport and diffusion:

Transport-diffusion PDE

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}[\mu_t]\mu_t) = \mathbf{\Delta}_t \mu_t$$

where

- v: a Lipschitz function w.r.t. the Wasserstein distance W_2
- Δ_t : Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{M}_t

Evolution of μ_t by the combined transport and diffusion:

Transport-diffusion PDE

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}[\mu_t]\mu_t) = \mathbf{\Delta}_t \mu_t$$

Theorem (Piccoli, Pouradier Duteil, Rossi)

There exists a unique solution to Equation (1).

Evolution of μ_t by the combined transport and diffusion:

Transport-diffusion PDE

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}[\mu_t]\mu_t) = \mathbf{\Delta}_t \mu_t$$

Theorem (Piccoli, Pouradier Duteil, Rossi)

There exists a unique solution to Equation (1).

Weak formulation

For all $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \ d\mu_t - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\nabla f \cdot \mathbf{v}[\mu_t]) d\mu_t = \int_{\mathcal{M}_t} \Delta_t f \ d\mu_t.$$
(2)

Proof of existence

Sketch of proof (Existence).

- Introduce a discrete scheme that alternates time steps of transport and diffusion, and prove that it admits a convergent subsequence
- Prove that the limit is a solution to the PDE (1)

Scheme \mathbb{S}

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.



$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{0}^{t_{n}} \# \mu^{n}(0) \\
e^{t_{n} \vee} \\
n \\
\mu^{n}(0)
\end{array}$$

)

$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.

 $e^{\Delta_{(l+1)t_n}\tau_n}(\mu^{t_n}(l+1)t_n) = e^{\Delta_{(l+1)t_n}\tau_n}(\phi^{t_n}_{lt_n}\#\mu^n(lt_n)).$

$$\phi_{0}^{t_{n}} \# \mu^{n}(0) \xrightarrow{e^{\Delta_{t_{n}}\tau_{n}}}_{\mu^{n}(t_{n})}$$

$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.

$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.

 $e^{\Delta_{(l+1)t_n}\tau_n}(\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}\#\mu^n(lt_n)).$

$$\phi_{0}^{t_{n}} \# \mu^{n}(t_{n}) \underbrace{e^{\Delta_{2t_{n}}\tau_{n}}}_{\mu^{n}(2t_{n})}$$

$$e^{t_{n}\nu} \underbrace{e^{\Delta_{2t_{n}}\tau_{n}}}_{\mu^{n}(2t_{n})}$$

$$e^{t_{n}\nu} \underbrace{e^{\Delta_{2t_{n}}\tau_{n}}}_{\mu^{n}(t_{n})}$$

$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.



$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.



$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.



$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.



$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.



$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.



$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.

 $e^{\Delta_{(l+1)t_n}\tau_n}(\mu^{t_n}(l+1)t_n) = e^{\Delta_{(l+1)t_n}\tau_n}(\phi^{t_n}_{lt_n}\#\mu^n(lt_n)).$



$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.



$\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathbb{S}$

Define $\tau_n = t_n := 2^{-n} T$. Let $\mu^n(0) := \mu_0$.

• Let $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}$ be the flow of $v[\mu^n(lt_n)]$ and consider $\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n} \# \mu^n(lt_n)$.

 $e^{\Delta_{(l+1)t_n}\tau_n}(\phi_{lt_n}^{t_n}\#\mu^n(lt_n)).$





The heat equation on time-varying manifolds

3 A "Lie bracket" between transport and heat

4 Control of growth via a signal

5 Future Directions

A "Lie bracket" between transport and heat

Lie bracket: intuitive example



Four motions with the same amplitude perform forbidden motion:



Definition: "Lie bracket" between transport and heat

$$[\Delta, v]\mu := \lim_{t=\tau \to 0} \frac{\Phi_{-t} \# \left(e^{\tau \Delta_t} (\Phi_t \# \mu) \right) - e^{\tau \Delta_0} \mu}{t\tau}$$

Definition: "Lie bracket" between transport and heat

$$[\Delta, v]\mu := \lim_{t=\tau \to 0} \frac{\Phi_{-t} \# \left(e^{\tau \Delta_t} (\Phi_t \# \mu) \right) - e^{\tau \Delta_0} \mu}{t\tau}$$

with $\Phi_t \#$: push-forward via the flow generated by $v e^{\tau \Delta_t}$: semigroup generated by Δ_t at time τ .

 $\mu \bullet$

Definition: "Lie bracket" between transport and heat

$$[\Delta, v]\mu := \lim_{t=\tau \to 0} \frac{\Phi_{-t} \# \left(e^{\tau \Delta_t} (\Phi_t \# \mu) \right) - e^{\tau \Delta_0} \mu}{t\tau}$$



Definition: "Lie bracket" between transport and heat

$$[\Delta, v]\mu := \lim_{t=\tau \to 0} \frac{\Phi_{-t} \# \left(e^{\tau \Delta_t} (\Phi_t \# \mu) \right) - e^{\tau \Delta_0} \mu}{t\tau}$$



Definition: "Lie bracket" between transport and heat

$$[\Delta, v]\mu := \lim_{t=\tau \to 0} \frac{\Phi_{-t} \# \left(e^{\tau \Delta_t} (\Phi_t \# \mu) \right) - e^{\tau \Delta_0} \mu}{t\tau}$$



Definition: "Lie bracket" between transport and heat

$$[\Delta, v]\mu := \lim_{t=\tau \to 0} \frac{\Phi_{-t} \# \left(e^{\tau \Delta_t} (\Phi_t \# \mu) \right) - e^{\tau \Delta_0} \mu}{t\tau}$$



Simple example: Transport of S^1



Figure: Transport of S^1 by v(x, y) := (x - 1, 2y). At t = 0.25, the resulting ellipse is centered at $(1 - e^{0.25}, 0)$.

Simple example: Discrete scheme



Figure: Iterative diffusion and transport

Simple example: Convergence of the bracket



Figure: Convergence of the numerical approximations of the bracket to the theoretical expression for the initial signals $\mu_0(\theta) = 0.1d\theta$.

Simple example: Convergence of the bracket



Figure: Convergence of the numerical approximations of the bracket to the theoretical expression for the initial signal $\mu_0(\theta) = 0.1(\cos(\theta) + 1)d\theta$.



The heat equation on time-varying manifolds

A "Lie bracket" between transport and heat



5 Future Directions

Control of manifold evolution

Complete coupling of signal s and manifold r with control of s at a point.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t r = s, \\ \partial_t s = \Delta_r s, \\ s(t, \theta = 0) = u(t). \end{cases}$$
(2)

where

- $r(t, \theta)$: radius of the cell;
- $s(t, \theta)$: growing signal (solving the heat equation);
- Δ_r: Laplace-Beltrami operator (depending on r);
- u(t): control (value of s at a given point).

Example: S^1

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t r = s, \\ \partial_t s = \Delta_r s, \\ s(t, \theta = 0) = u(t), \\ \partial_\theta s(t, \theta = \pi) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3)

with $r(0, \theta) = 1$ (constant radius) and $s(0, \theta) = 0$ (zero signal). The Laplace-Beltrami operator is:

$$\Delta_{r}s = \frac{1}{r^{2} + r_{\theta}^{2}}\partial_{\theta}^{2}s - \frac{rr_{\theta} + r_{\theta}\partial_{\theta}^{2}r}{(r^{2} + r_{\theta}^{2})^{2}}\partial_{\theta}s$$
(4)

Simulations: constant control

Figure: Simulations with a constant control $u \equiv 1$.

Nastassia Pouradier Duteil (Rutgers)

Developmental PDEs

Kinet, Nov. 30, 2016 26 / 32

Simulations: sine control

Figure: Simulations with a sinusoidal control.

Nastassia Pouradier Duteil (Rutgers)

Developmental PDEs

Kinet, Nov. 30, 2016 27 / 32

Simulations: growth of circle

Figure: Simulations with a control $u(t) = 0.25 \sin(\frac{2\pi}{5}t)$ for $t \in [0, 2.5]$ and u(t) = 0 for $t \in [2.5, 10]$.

Nastassia Pouradier Duteil (Rutgers)

Controllability

Exact controllability

Find a control $u : [0; T] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the unique solution of (3) with $\forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi], r(t = 0, \theta) = r_0$ and $s(t = 0, \theta) = 0$ satisfies $\forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi], r(t = T, \theta) = r_1(\theta)$ and $s(t = T, \theta) = 0$.

Exact controllability cannot be obtained in general (e.g. for non-smooth configurations). Hence we relax our goal:

Approximate controllability

Find a control $u : [0; T] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the unique solution of (3) with $\forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi], r(t = 0, \theta) = r_0(\theta)$ and $s(t = 0, \theta) = 0$ satisfies $\|r(t = T, \cdot) - r_1(\cdot)\|_{L^2} < \epsilon$ and $\|s(t = T)\|_{L^2} < \epsilon$.

Approximate controllability

Theorem

The system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t r = s, \\ \partial_t s = \Delta_r s, \\ s(t, \theta = 0) = u(t), \\ \partial_\theta s(t, \theta = \pi) = 0 \end{cases}$$

is approximately controllable for r on [0, T].

• Reaction-diffusion equations - generalized Wasserstein distance

- Reaction-diffusion equations generalized Wasserstein distance
- Explicit control from one shape to another

- Reaction-diffusion equations generalized Wasserstein distance
- Explicit control from one shape to another
- Cost of control optimal control given a number of harmonics in Fourier series

Thank you for your attention! Any questions?