Applications of Coalescing Interacting Particles to Chemotaxis Models

Gleb Zhelezov (With Ibrahim Fatkullin)



KI-Net 2017 at Iowa State University 25 March, 2017

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 = のへ⊙

END GOAL

For *N* particles in \mathbb{R}^2 with

$$dX_{t}^{(n)} = -\chi \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{t}^{(n)}} \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq n}}^{N} m_{i} V(X_{t}^{(n)}, X_{t}^{(i)}) dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{m_{n}}} dW_{t}^{(n)}$$
(1)

and V is a logarithmically singular potential, we want to:

- Investigate inelastic collisions
- Look at hydrodynamic limits
- Simulate system
- And apply the above to:
 - Solving the Keller-Segel system and other PDEs pre- and post-blow-up

OVERVIEW

- Motivation
- Keller-Segel model and blow-up
- Particle systems and inelastic collisions
- Simulation of particle systems
- Particle method for solving regularized PDEs
- ► Multispecies K-S as a limit of nonuniform particles

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Blow-up in multispecies K-S

Motivation...



& General Overview

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - のへで

AGGREGATION OF THE SOCIAL AMOEBA



(Vijay Ramani, Laboratory for the Physics of Life , Department of Physics, Princeton University.)

- $3 \rightarrow 18$ hours post-starvation
- 1947: John Bonner discovers above emergent behavior when there are enough cells

Sar

- Each amoeba leaves a chemical attractant trail
- Cells drift in the direction of most chemoattractant





The Keller-Segel Model

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

Keller-Segel model

1970: Evelyn Keller and Lee Segel introduce K-S system¹
 [5] for modeling aggregation in R²:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho(x,t) &= \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \rho - \chi \rho \nabla c) \\ \partial_t c(x,t) &= \Delta c - k^2 c + \rho \end{cases}$$
(2)

- c(x, t)—chemoattractant concentration
- $\rho(x, t)$ —cell density, with

$$\int \rho(x,t)dx = M < \infty \text{--constant total mass}$$
(3)

• μ —mobility of particles, χ —chemosensitivity

¹Independently introduced by Patlak in 1952 with applications to long-chain polymers [7].

Keller-Segel model

1970: Evelyn Keller and Lee Segel introduce K-S system²
 [5] for modeling aggregation in R²:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho(x,t) &= \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \rho - \chi \rho \nabla c) \\ \mathbf{0} &= \Delta c - \mathbf{0} c + \rho \end{cases}$$
(4)

- c(x, t)—chemoattractant concentration
- $\rho(x, t)$ —cell density, with

$$\int \rho(x,t)dx = M < \infty \text{--constant total mass}$$
 (5)

• μ —mobility of particles, χ —chemosensitivity

²Independently introduced by Patlak in 1952 with applications to long-chain polymers [7].

Keller-Segel model (elliptic)

▶ 1970: Evelyn Keller and Lee Segel introduce K-S system³
 [5] for modeling aggregation in R²:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \rho - \chi \rho \nabla c) \\ \Delta c &= -\rho \end{cases}$$
(6)

- c(x, t)—chemoattractant concentration
- $\rho(x, t)$ —cell density, with

$$\int \rho(x,t)dx = M < \infty \text{--constant total mass}$$
(7)

• μ —mobility of particles, χ —chemosensitivity

³Independently introduced by Patlak in 1952 with applications to long-chain polymers [7].

THE SECOND MOMENT

Systems can be classified as "contracting" or "expanding" using the second moment:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int \rho(x,t)|x|^2 dx = M\left(4\mu - \frac{\chi M}{2\pi}\right).$$
(8)

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

- Rate of change is constant, independent of initial mass distribution
- Moment can disappear in finite time, if mass is too large!

FINITE TIME SINGULARITY FORMATION



Figure: Long time snapshot of c(x, t) for $M < M_c$ (left) and $M > M_c$ (right).

System (appropriately—that's the appeal!) forms a singularity in finite time if and only if it is contracting, i.e.

$$M > M_c = \frac{8\pi\mu}{\chi}.$$
 (9)

ヘロト 人間 トイヨト イヨト

200

FORMATION AND INTERACTION OF SINGULARITIES

For $M > M_c$, after the formation of the first singularity [2, 8, 9]:

$$\rho(x,t) = \rho_{reg}(x,t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} m_i(t) \delta\left(x - x_t^{(i)}\right).$$
(10)

- ► Regular component ≈ regions of "normal" density
- ► Singular component ≈ K_t moving regions of very high density
- Mass is transferred from regular to singular component
- ► *K*_t increases and decreases: singularities form and merge



 $K_t = 0$

<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日<<10</p>



 $K_t = 2$



 $K_t = 2$



 $K_t = 2$



 $K_t = 1$

<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日<<10</p>

Coalescing \bigcirc • \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc particle system

ASSOCIATED PARTICLE SYSTEM

► Keller-Segel:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho &= \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \rho - \chi \rho \nabla c) \\ \Delta c &= -\rho \end{cases}$$
(11)

► If *c* is predetermined, the first equation is a Fokker-Plank equation for

$$dX_t = \chi \nabla c(X_t, t) dt + \sqrt{2\mu} dW_t, \qquad (12)$$

and so

$$P_{N_0}(x,t) = \frac{M}{N_0} \sum_n \delta\left(x - X_t^{(n)}\right) \to \rho.$$
(13)

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ@

► As *c* is unknown, we approximate it by the field generated by the *N*⁰ particles themselves:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{(n)} &= \chi \nabla c_{N_0} dt + \sqrt{2\mu} dW_t^{(n)} \\ \Delta c_{N_0} &= -P_{N_0}(x, t) \end{cases}$$
(14)

• Since
$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(|x|)$$
 satisfies $\Delta V = \delta$, we get $c_N = -V * P_{N_0}$

Continuous field replaced with pairwise interactions:

$$dX_t^{(n)} = -\frac{\chi}{2\pi} \sum_{1=j\neq n}^N \frac{M}{N_0} \frac{X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(j)}}{\left|X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(j)}\right|^2} dt + \sqrt{2\mu} dW_t^{(n)}.$$
 (15)

Particle system approximates K-S:

$$dX_t^{(n)} = -\frac{\chi}{2\pi} \sum_{1=j\neq n}^N \frac{M}{N} \frac{X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(j)}}{\left|X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(j)}\right|^2} dt + \sqrt{2\mu} dW_t^{(n)}.$$
 (16)

- When K-S forms a Dirac singularity, particle system is also undefined
- This "physically" corresponds to inelastic collisions:

$$dX_t^{(n)} = -\frac{\chi}{2\pi} \sum_{1=j\neq n}^N m_j \frac{X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(j)}}{\left|X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(j)}\right|^2} dt + \sigma(m_n) dW_t^{(n)}$$
(17)

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ@

DIFFUSION COEFFICIENT

Inelastic collision:



As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the drift experienced by *x* should coincide:

$$-\chi \sum_{i=1}^{N'} m_i \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \left(x_{\tau-\epsilon}, X_{\tau-\epsilon}^{(i)} \right) \to -\chi M' \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \left(x_{\tau}, Z_{\tau} \right) \Rightarrow Z_{\tau} = C.O.M.$$

Assume a general diffusion coefficient:

$$dX_t^{(n)} = -\frac{\chi}{2\pi} \sum_{i \neq n} m_i \frac{X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(i)}}{\left|X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(i)}\right|^2} dt + \sigma(m_n) dW_t^{(n)}$$
(19)

Center of mass "ghost particle" evolves similarly:

$$Z_{t} = \frac{1}{M'} \sum_{i=1}^{N'} m_{i} X_{t}^{(i)}$$
(20)
$$dZ_{t} = (\cdots) dt + \sigma(M') dW_{t}$$
(21)

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

By the independence of the noise, $x^2 (\sigma(x))^2$ is linear:

$$\sigma(M') = \frac{1}{M'} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N'} m_i^2 \sigma^2(m_i)} \Rightarrow \sigma(M') = \sqrt{2\tilde{\mu}/M'}$$
(22)

COALESCING PARTICLE SYSTEM

For *N* particles in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$dX_t^{(n)} = -\frac{\chi}{2\pi} \sum_{i \neq n} m_i \frac{X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(i)}}{\left|X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(i)}\right|^2} dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{m_n}} dW_t^{(n)}$$
(23)

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

Reduce number of particles + combine mass after inelastic collisions; heavier particles become more "deterministic"

- No longer only related to K-S, because we didn't fix $\tilde{\mu}$
- Can we say anything about these collisions?

THE SECOND MOMENT

We define the second moment process:

$$Y_t = \frac{1}{2(M)^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N m_i m_j \left| X_t^{(i)} - X_t^{(j)} \right|^2$$
(24)

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

- Characteristic squared distance between a pair of particles
- Collision $\Leftrightarrow Y_t = 0$
- Spreading out $\Leftrightarrow Y_t$ increasing



<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

COLLISION OF FULL SYSTEM

Some algebra gives:

$$dY_t = \left(2\tilde{\mu}\frac{2(N-1)}{M} - \frac{\chi M}{2\pi}\left(1 - \sum_j \left(\frac{m_j}{M}\right)^2\right)\right)dt + 2\sqrt{Y_t}\sqrt{\frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{M}}dW_t,$$
(25)

where

$$dW_t = \frac{1}{(M)^{3/2}\sqrt{Y_t}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N m_j \sqrt{m_i} \left(X_t^{(i)} - X_t^{(j)} \right) \cdot dW_t^{(i)}.$$
 (26)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

CLASSIFICATION OF THE ORIGIN

After rescaling:

$$dY_t = 2(\nu+1)dt + 2\sqrt{Y_t}dW_t$$

$$\nu = N\left(1 - \frac{3}{2N}\right) - \frac{\chi(M)^2}{8\pi\tilde{\mu}}\left(1 - \sum_j \left(\frac{m_j}{M}\right)^2\right)$$
(28)

This is the squared Bessel process with index ν . We have:

- 1. For $\nu \ge 0$, the origin is an entrance boundary ($Y_t > 0$ a.s. for all t > 0)
- 2. For $-1 < \nu < 0$, the origin is a regular boundary (choose behavior: absorbing, reflecting) (inelastic collision!)
- 3. For $\nu \leq -1$, the origin is an absorbing boundary, which is hit in finite time (inelastic collision!)

COLLISION OF A SUBSYSTEM

- Model situation: two close particles, one far one
- Y_t is subsystem's second moment
- Ignore interactions with outside particles; then $d\tilde{Y}_t \approx dQ_t$
- With first order correction:

$$d\tilde{Y}_t \approx dQ_t - \frac{\chi m_3}{\pi} \frac{\tilde{Y}_t}{\left|X_t^{(cm)} - X_t^{(3)}\right|^2} \cos 2\theta, \qquad (29)$$

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

 Suggests a localized + separated subsystem becomes decoupled relative to its center of mass Actual vs. predicted 2nd moment



An adaptive time step is used to simulate a three-particle system with $\chi = 10$, $\tilde{\mu} = 1$ and particle masses $m_1 = m_2 = 10$, $m_3 = 40$. The first two particles are initialized at (0, ± 0.1), the third at (0, 0.4). The *y*-coordinates of the first two particles, the second moment of the subsystem, and approximations for the second moment are plotted.

Recap

- Particles carrying mass, interacting pairwise through a singular kernel
- Due to this singularity, clusters of particles may aggregate at a point
- We coalesce each aggregate into a single particle—other particles do not feel this substitution
- Particles which are about to coalesce are decoupled from the rest of the system

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~



To simulate the system, we want:

- 1. To avoid pairwise computations
- 2. To be able to detect very localized clusters which we should coalesce

Our idea:

- 1. Replace pairwise computations with a continuous field
- 2. Form an adaptive mesh at every time step to find critical, separated aggregates—then coalesce them if their second moment is predicted to vanish

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

PARTICLE DYNAMICS

► Recall:

$$dX_t^{(n)} = -\chi \frac{\partial}{\partial X_t^{(n)}} \sum_{k \neq n} m_k V(X_t^{(n)}, X_t^{(k)}) dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{m_n}} dW_t^{(n)}$$
(30)

• Since $\Delta V = \delta$,

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{(n)} = \chi \nabla c(X_t^{(n)}, t) dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\tilde{\mu}}{m_n}} dW_t^{(n)} \\ \Delta c(x, t) = -\sum m_i \delta\left(x - X_t^{(i)}\right) \end{cases}$$
(31)

・ロト・日本・モン・モン・ ヨー のへぐ

General idea:

- 1. Form a grid (maybe nonlinear)
- 2. Interpolate mass densities *P*_{ij} onto the grid points (avoids self-interaction, sticking to grid points)
- 3. Solve for C_{ij} , approximate CX and CY
- 4. Approximate $\nabla c(x, t)$ by interpolating *CX* and *CY* at *x*





COALESCENCE OF AGGREGATES

General idea:

- Clustering is usually very tough, but our clusters are much simpler
- Use basic algorithm to find isolated clusters of particles, and compute the clusters' second moments
- Propagate particles through one timestep
- Use the same noise to drive the second moment process⁴, and coalesce the aggregate if the moment hits zero

⁴For cluster-dependent α and β ,

$$dY_t = \alpha dt + 2\sqrt{Y_t}\beta dW_t, \qquad (32)$$

where

$$dW_t = \frac{1}{(M)^{3/2}\sqrt{Y_t}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N m_j \sqrt{m_i} \left(X_t^{(i)} - X_t^{(cm)} \right) \cdot dW_t^{(i)}. \tag{33}$$

AGGREGATE DETECTION

Main idea:

- Form an adaptive grid
- Refine cells to find aggregates which are
 - 1. Separated (small ratio of second moment to cell area)
 - 2. Likely to collide in the next time step (choose some threshold probability)
- Cells which are not separated, or are unlikely to collide in the next time step, are subdivided

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

Example

・ ・ ・ ● ・ ・ = ・ ・ = ・ うへで

t = 0

$$t = 0$$



$$t = 0$$



$$t = 0$$



$$t = \Delta t$$



DEFINITELY DOES SOMETHING



Note: Slightly different numerical coalescence procedure. Presented (in slides) method is more effective.

...how about something verifiable?

ヘロア 人間 アメヨアメヨア

3

990

Nonlinear F-P equations

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

Keller-Segel model (Again)

► Aggregation is modeled by the K-S system [5] in R²

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho(x,t) &= \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \rho - \chi \rho \nabla c) \\ \Delta c &= -\rho \end{cases}$$
(34)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Evolution of second moment *after blow-up*, with K_t point masses and M regular mass [2, 8, 9]:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{M}\int |x|^2\rho(x,t)dx\right) = 4\mu\frac{\bar{M}}{M} - \frac{\chi M}{2\pi}\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{K_t} \left(\frac{M_i(t)}{M}\right)^2\right) \tag{35}$$

COMPARISON

► Keller-Segel:

$$dX_t^{(n)} = -\frac{\chi}{2\pi} \sum_{1=j\neq n}^N \frac{M}{N} \frac{X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(j)}}{\left|X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(j)}\right|^2} dt + \sqrt{2\mu} dW_t^{(n)}.$$
 (36)

• Our system:

$$dX_t^{(n)} = -\frac{\chi}{2\pi} \sum_{i \neq n} m_i \frac{X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(i)}}{\left|X_t^{(n)} - X_t^{(i)}\right|^2} dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{m_n}} dW_t^{(n)}$$
(37)

• Small rescaling: $m_j = \frac{M}{N}, \mu \to \frac{\mu M}{N}$

Solving K-S and similar systems

Basic idea:

► Fix large *N* and simulate

Some properties:

- Can simulate system post-blow-up
- Guaranteed positivity
- No spurious oscillation
- Singularities are naturally formed and evolved

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

CRITICAL DISC AND CLOUD



SIMULATED AND PREDICTED SECOND MOMENTS



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

INTERACTING SINGULARITIES



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

MULTISPECIES KELLER-SEGEL

If we send 2N₀ → ∞ and the first N₀ particles have mass M₁/N₀ and the rest have mass M₂/N₀, we get a "two species" Keller-Segel system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_1 &= \nabla \cdot (\mu_1 \nabla \rho_1 - \chi \rho_1 \nabla c) \\ \partial_t \rho_2 &= \nabla \cdot (\mu_2 \nabla \rho_2 - \chi \rho_2 \nabla c) \\ \Delta c &= -(\rho_1 + \rho_1) \end{cases}$$
(38)

(with maybe more than 2 components)

► Have a similar blow-up criteria for above, in terms of ρ = ρ₁ + ρ₂:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int \rho(x,t)|x|^2 dx = \sum_{\alpha} \left(4\mu_{\alpha} - \frac{\chi M}{2\pi}\right) M_{\alpha} < 0$$
(39)

► Is this basically just the K-S? Is the above optimal?

BLOW-UP IN THE MULTISPECIES SYSTEM



- ► Radial case: finite-time blow-up for an expanding system!
- Questions: Non-radial case? Which component blows up first? How do second moments evolve?
- Possibly domain-dependent behavior—finite difference can't handle the plane!
- ▶ Relevant literature: [1, 3, 4, 6]



- ロト 4 昼 ト 4 臣 ト 4 臣 - つへの

Some observations:

- System's second moment grows linearly
- Each individual component's second moment grows of decays linearly, too
- Rate appears to depend on initial distribution of mass

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

OTHER PDES

Can apply this particle-based method to other PDEs:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_1 &= \nabla \cdot (\mu_1 \nabla \rho_1 - \chi \rho_1 \nabla c), \\ \vdots \\ \partial_t \rho_K &= \nabla \cdot (\mu_K \nabla \rho_K - \chi \rho_K \nabla c), \\ \mathcal{L}c &= -(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_K). \end{cases}$$
(40)

where

$$\mathcal{L}c(x,t) = \nabla \cdot (G(x)\nabla c(x,t)) + F(x,c)$$
(41)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

Conclusion

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

CONCLUSION

Recap:

- Investigated coalescing particle system
- Developed an efficient numerical method for the simulation of the system
- Applied it to the numerical approximation and regularization of nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations
 Future work:
 - Add memory:

$$\partial_t c = \Delta c - k^2 c + \sum_i m_i \delta\left(x - X_t^{(i)}\right)$$
 (42)

Coarsening rates, etc. for non-hydrodynamic system?

Thank you for your time! More info: https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.04873



This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under the grant DMS-1056471.

- Carlos Conca, Elio Espejo, and Karina Vilches. Remarks on the blowup and global existence for a two species chemotactic Keller–Segel system in ℝ². *European Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 22(06):553–580, 2011.
- Jean Dolbeault and Christian Schmeiser. The two-dimensional keller-segel model after blow-up. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-Series B, 25:109–121, 2009.
- Elio Espejo, Karina Vilches, and Carlos Conca. Sharp condition for blow-up and global existence in a two species chemotactic Keller–Segel system in R². European Journal of Applied Mathematics, 24(02):297–313, 2013.

Elio E Espejo, Angela Stevens, and Juan JL Velázquez. Simultaneous finite time blow-up in a two-species model for chemotaxis.

Analysis International mathematical journal of analysis and its applications, 29(3):317–338, 2009.

E. F. Keller and L. A. Segel.
 Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability.
 J. Theor. Biol., 26:399–415, 1970.

Alexander Kurganov and Maria Lukacova-Medvidova. Numerical study of two-species chemotaxis models. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series B, 19(1):131–152, 2014.

Clifford S Patlak.

Random walk with persistence and external bias. The bulletin of mathematical biophysics, 15(3):311–338, 1953.

J. J. L. Velázquez.

Points dynamics in a singular limit of the Keller-Segel model: I. motion of the concentration regions. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 64:1198–1223, 2004.

J. J. L. Velázquez.

Points dynamics in a singular limit of the Keller-Segel model: Ii. formation of the concentration regions. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 64:1224–1248, 2004.

▲ロト ▲ 理 ト ▲ 王 ト ▲ 王 - の Q (~

EFFECT OF SUBSYSTEM COLLISION ON FULL SYSTEM

► Index change formula when subsystem collides:

$$\nu_f = \nu_i - \nu' - \frac{1}{2},\tag{43}$$

where ν_i and ν_f are the initial and final indices of the full system, and ν' is the index of a collided subsystem

- In the event of coalescence, restart the dynamics with less particles
- Due to this restart, the *overall* second moment might behave very differently (e.g. criticality index ν of system may flip signs)!