Wavepacket dynamics in locally periodic media Focus: effects of Bloch band degeneracies

Alexander Watson<sup>1</sup>

Michael Weinstein<sup>23</sup>, Jianfeng Lu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics, Duke University
<sup>2</sup>Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University
<sup>3</sup>Mathematics, Columbia University

#### October 2, 2017

Research supported in part by NSF Grants DMS-1412560 (AW & MIW) and DMS-1454939 (JL) and Simons Foundation Math + X Investigator Award #376319 (AW & MIW)

 We study the dynamics of waves governed by Schrödinger's equation and Maxwell's equations in spatially non-homogeneous media.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- We study the dynamics of waves governed by Schrödinger's equation and Maxwell's equations in spatially non-homogeneous media.
- Assume medium variation occurs over two distinct length scales: short 'fast' scale over which variation is *periodic* and long 'slow' scale over which variation is *smooth*

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\implies$  Call this a *locally periodic* medium.

- We study the dynamics of waves governed by Schrödinger's equation and Maxwell's equations in spatially non-homogeneous media.
- Assume medium variation occurs over two distinct length scales: short 'fast' scale over which variation is *periodic* and long 'slow' scale over which variation is *smooth*

 $\implies$  Call this a *locally periodic* medium.

Model of *electron* propagation in crystalline media with *defects* and of *light* propagation through photonic variants.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

► Focus: effects of *eigenvalue degeneracies* on wave dynamics. 2 × 2 matrix example:

$$H(p_1,p_2) := egin{pmatrix} 0 & p_1+p_2i \ p_1-p_2i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ E_{\pm}(p_1,p_2) = \pm \sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Eigenvalues *degenerate* at  $p_1 = p_2 = 0$ .

► Focus: effects of *eigenvalue degeneracies* on wave dynamics. 2 × 2 matrix example:

$$H(p_1,p_2) := egin{pmatrix} 0 & p_1+p_2i \ p_1-p_2i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ E_{\pm}(p_1,p_2) = \pm \sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}.$$

Eigenvalues *degenerate* at  $p_1 = p_2 = 0$ .

In periodic media wave dynamics controlled by *Bloch band dispersion surfaces*. Symmetries of periodic structure
 Bloch band degeneracies

► Focus: effects of *eigenvalue degeneracies* on wave dynamics. 2 × 2 matrix example:

$$H(p_1,p_2) := egin{pmatrix} 0 & p_1+p_2i \ p_1-p_2i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ E_{\pm}(p_1,p_2) = \pm \sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}.$$

Eigenvalues degenerate at  $p_1 = p_2 = 0$ .

- In periodic media wave dynamics controlled by Bloch band dispersion surfaces. Symmetries of periodic structure
   Bloch band degeneracies
- Example: honeycomb lattice symmetry of graphene, gives rise to 'Dirac points' in band structure, transport properties:



After introducing the model PDEs we study, I will describe in detail the following results:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

After introducing the model PDEs we study, I will describe in detail the following results:

1. A new Hamiltonian system controlling the dynamics of wavepackets in locally periodic media which are *spectrally localized* away from Bloch band degeneracies.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

After introducing the model PDEs we study, I will describe in detail the following results:

1. A new Hamiltonian system controlling the dynamics of wavepackets in locally periodic media which are *spectrally localized* away from Bloch band degeneracies.

Rich dynamics! Anomalous velocity due to Berry curvature of the Bloch band and (new) 'particle-field' coupling.

After introducing the model PDEs we study, I will describe in detail the following results:

1. A new Hamiltonian system controlling the dynamics of wavepackets in locally periodic media which are *spectrally localized* away from Bloch band degeneracies.

Rich dynamics! Anomalous velocity due to Berry curvature of the Bloch band and (new) 'particle-field' coupling.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

2. The dynamics of a wavepacket *incident* on a Bloch band degeneracy in one dimension.

After introducing the model PDEs we study, I will describe in detail the following results:

1. A new Hamiltonian system controlling the dynamics of wavepackets in locally periodic media which are *spectrally localized* away from Bloch band degeneracies.

Rich dynamics! <u>Anomalous velocity due to Berry curvature</u> of the Bloch band and (new) 'particle-field' coupling.

2. The dynamics of a wavepacket *incident* on a Bloch band degeneracy in one dimension.

Consistency with 'Landau-Zener' theory for the probability of an *inter-band transition*.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

After introducing the model PDEs we study, I will describe in detail the following results:

1. A new Hamiltonian system controlling the dynamics of wavepackets in locally periodic media which are *spectrally localized* away from Bloch band degeneracies.

Rich dynamics! Anomalous velocity due to Berry curvature of the Bloch band and (new) 'particle-field' coupling.

2. The dynamics of a wavepacket *incident* on a Bloch band degeneracy in one dimension.

Consistency with 'Landau-Zener' theory for the probability of an *inter-band transition*.

I will then discuss future directions of this work.

Schrödinger's equation with a real 'two-scale' (assume  $\epsilon \ll 1$ ) potential U:

$$i\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -rac{1}{2}\Delta_{m{x}}\psi^\epsilon + U(m{x},\epsilonm{x})\psi^\epsilon$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ , d positive integer.

Schrödinger's equation with a real 'two-scale' (assume  $\epsilon \ll 1$ ) potential U:

$$i\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^\epsilon + U(\mathbf{x},\epsilon\mathbf{x})\psi^\epsilon$$

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , d positive integer.

► Assume U is locally periodic in the sense that for each fixed X ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup>, U(x, X) is periodic in x:

$$\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda, U(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{X}) = U(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{X})$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where  $\Lambda$  is a *d*-dimensional lattice.

Schrödinger's equation with a real 'two-scale' (assume  $\epsilon \ll 1$ ) potential U:

$$i\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^\epsilon + U(\mathbf{x},\epsilon\mathbf{x})\psi^\epsilon$$

 $oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , d positive integer.

► Assume U is locally periodic in the sense that for each fixed X ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup>, U(x, X) is periodic in x:

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, U(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{X}) = U(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X})$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a *d*-dimensional lattice.

• Example (d = 1):  $U(x, \epsilon x) = \cos(4\pi x) + \tanh(\epsilon x)\cos(2\pi x)$ 



• Maxwell's equations in dimension d = 3:

$$egin{aligned} &\partial_t oldsymbol{D}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = 
abla imes oldsymbol{H}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) & 
abla \cdot oldsymbol{D}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = 0 \ &\partial_t oldsymbol{B}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = -
abla imes oldsymbol{E}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) & 
abla \cdot oldsymbol{B}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with a 'two-scale' (assume  $\delta \ll 1)$  matrix of constitutive relations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{D}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \\ \boldsymbol{B}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) & \chi^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) \\ \chi\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) & \mu\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \\ \boldsymbol{H}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Maxwell's equations in dimension d = 3:

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{D}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \nabla \times \boldsymbol{H}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{D}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = 0$$
  
 $\partial_t \boldsymbol{B}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = -\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = 0,$ 

with a 'two-scale' (assume  $\delta \ll 1)$  matrix of constitutive relations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{D}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \\ \boldsymbol{B}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) & \chi^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) \\ \chi\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) & \mu\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \\ \boldsymbol{H}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Locally periodic assumption:

For fixed  $\boldsymbol{X} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{X}), \chi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{X}), \mu(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{X})$  periodic in  $\boldsymbol{x}$ .

• Maxwell's equations in dimension d = 3:

$$egin{aligned} &\partial_t oldsymbol{D}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = 
abla imes oldsymbol{H}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) & 
abla \cdot oldsymbol{D}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = 0 \ &\partial_t oldsymbol{B}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = -
abla imes oldsymbol{E}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) & 
abla \cdot oldsymbol{B}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with a 'two-scale' (assume  $\delta \ll 1)$  matrix of constitutive relations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{D}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \\ \boldsymbol{B}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) & \chi^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) \\ \chi\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) & \mu\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \\ \boldsymbol{H}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Locally periodic assumption:

For fixed  $\boldsymbol{X} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{X}), \chi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{X}), \mu(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{X})$  periodic in  $\boldsymbol{x}$ .

Assume matrix to be *positive-definite* and *Hermitian* for all x.

• Maxwell's equations in dimension d = 3:

$$egin{aligned} &\partial_t oldsymbol{D}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = 
abla imes oldsymbol{H}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) & 
abla \cdot oldsymbol{D}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = 0 \ &\partial_t oldsymbol{B}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = -
abla imes oldsymbol{E}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) & 
abla \cdot oldsymbol{B}^\delta(oldsymbol{x},t) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with a 'two-scale' (assume  $\delta \ll 1)$  matrix of constitutive relations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{D}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \\ \boldsymbol{B}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) & \chi^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) \\ \chi\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) & \mu\left(\boldsymbol{x},\delta\boldsymbol{x}\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{E}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \\ \boldsymbol{H}^{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Locally periodic assumption:

For fixed  $X \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\varepsilon(x, X), \chi(x, X), \mu(x, X)$  periodic in x.

- Assume matrix to be *positive-definite* and *Hermitian* for all **x**.
- ► Vector equations ⇒ degeneracies when periodicity trivial!

#### Wavepacket dynamics in locally periodic structures

Simplest case. Schrödinger's equation with a 'two-scale' (assume *e* ≪ 1) potential which may be written as a *sum*:

$$i\partial_t \psi^\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^\epsilon + V(\mathbf{x})\psi^\epsilon + W(\epsilon \mathbf{x})\psi^\epsilon$$
  
 $\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, V(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}) = V(\mathbf{x}).$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

### Wavepacket dynamics in locally periodic structures

Simplest case. Schrödinger's equation with a 'two-scale' (assume *e* ≪ 1) potential which may be written as a *sum*:

$$i\partial_t \psi^\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^\epsilon + V(\mathbf{x})\psi^\epsilon + W(\epsilon\mathbf{x})\psi^\epsilon$$
  
 $\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, V(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}) = V(\mathbf{x}).$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Model of an electron in a crystal under the influence of an external electric field generated by W

### Wavepacket dynamics in locally periodic structures

Simplest case. Schrödinger's equation with a 'two-scale' (assume *e* ≪ 1) potential which may be written as a *sum*:

$$i\partial_t \psi^\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^\epsilon + V(\mathbf{x})\psi^\epsilon + W(\epsilon \mathbf{x})\psi^\epsilon$$
  
 $\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, V(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}) = V(\mathbf{x}).$ 

Model of an electron in a crystal under the influence of an external electric field generated by W

• Example 
$$(d = 1)$$
:  $U(x, \epsilon x) = 1 + \cos(4\pi x) - \cos(\epsilon x)^2$ 



► Re-scale: 
$$\mathbf{x}' := \epsilon \mathbf{x}, t' := \epsilon t, \psi^{\epsilon'}(\mathbf{x}', t') := \psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$
  
 $i\epsilon \partial_t \psi^{\epsilon} = -\epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^{\epsilon} + V\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \psi^{\epsilon} + W(\mathbf{x}) \psi^{\epsilon}$   
 $\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, V(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v}) = V(\mathbf{z}).$ 
(\*)

► Re-scale: 
$$\mathbf{x}' := \epsilon \mathbf{x}, t' := \epsilon t, \psi^{\epsilon'}(\mathbf{x}', t') := \psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$
  
 $i\epsilon \partial_t \psi^{\epsilon} = -\epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^{\epsilon} + V\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \psi^{\epsilon} + W(\mathbf{x}) \psi^{\epsilon}$   
 $\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, V(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v}) = V(\mathbf{z}).$ 
(\*)

Seek wavepacket solutions, wavelength ∝ ϵ, width ∝ √ϵ ⇒ extended with respect to scale of periodic variation (∝ ϵ), localized with respect to slow modulation (∝ 1):



► Re-scale: 
$$\mathbf{x}' := \epsilon \mathbf{x}, t' := \epsilon t, \psi^{\epsilon'}(\mathbf{x}', t') := \psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$
  
 $i\epsilon \partial_t \psi^{\epsilon} = -\epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^{\epsilon} + V\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \psi^{\epsilon} + W(\mathbf{x}) \psi^{\epsilon}$   
 $\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, V(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v}) = V(\mathbf{z}).$ 
(\*)

Seek wavepacket solutions, wavelength ∝ ϵ, width ∝ √ϵ ⇒ extended with respect to scale of periodic variation (∝ ϵ), localized with respect to slow modulation (∝ 1):



• Limit  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  a 'non-standard' geometric optics/WKB limit:

$$\epsilon := rac{ ext{wavelength} pprox ext{scale of variation of } V ext{ (periodic)}}{ ext{scale of variation of } W ext{ (perturbation)}} \ll 1.$$

► Re-scale: 
$$\mathbf{x}' := \epsilon \mathbf{x}, t' := \epsilon t, \psi^{\epsilon'}(\mathbf{x}', t') := \psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$
  
 $i\epsilon \partial_t \psi^{\epsilon} = -\epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \psi^{\epsilon} + V\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \psi^{\epsilon} + W(\mathbf{x}) \psi^{\epsilon}$   
 $\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, V(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v}) = V(\mathbf{z}).$ 
(\*)

Seek wavepacket solutions, wavelength ∝ ϵ, width ∝ √ϵ ⇒ extended with respect to scale of periodic variation (∝ ϵ), localized with respect to slow modulation (∝ 1):



• Limit  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  a 'non-standard' geometric optics/WKB limit:

$$\epsilon := \frac{\text{wavelength} \approx \text{scale of variation of } V \text{ (periodic)}}{\text{scale of variation of } W \text{ (perturbation)}} \ll 1.$$

NB multi-scale WKB ansatz breaks down near degeneracies.

Wavepacket dynamics without periodicity

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^\epsilon$$
 (F)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Wavepacket dynamics without periodicity

• 'Free' case 
$$V = W = 0$$
:

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^\epsilon$$
 (F)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Has (appropriately scaled) stationary, spreading Gaussian exact solutions. Define:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{y},t) &:= \frac{1}{(1+it)^{d/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-|\boldsymbol{y}|^2}{2(1+it)}\right) \\ \end{split}$$
Then:  $\psi^{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \epsilon^{-d/4} \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right)$  satisfies (F).  
Pre-factor ensures  $L^2$  norm preserved in the limit  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ .

Wavepacket dynamics without periodicity

• 'Free' case 
$$V = W = 0$$
:

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^\epsilon$$
 (F)

Has (appropriately scaled) stationary, spreading Gaussian exact solutions. Define:

$$\mathcal{G}(oldsymbol{y},t) := rac{1}{(1+it)^{d/2}} \exp\left(rac{-|y|^2}{2(1+it)}
ight)$$

Then:  $\psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \epsilon^{-d/4} \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon^{1/2}}, t\right)$  satisfies (F).

Pre-factor ensures  $L^2$  norm preserved in the limit  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ .

► Galilean invariance of (F) ⇒ travelling Gaussian solutions with center at q(t) := q₀ + p₀t:

$$\psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) = \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{p}_{0} \cdot (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t))/\epsilon} \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right)$$
  
for any  $(\mathbf{q}_{0},\mathbf{p}_{0}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ .  $S(t) := \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{p}_{0}|^{2} t$ .

Gaussian exact solution of free Schrödinger, d = 1:

$$\psi^{\epsilon}(x,t) = \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{ip(t)(x-q(t))/\epsilon} \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{x-q(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right).$$

q(t), p(t) satisfy Hamiltonian dynamics with  $\mathcal{H} = p^2$ :



For any trajectory  $(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{p}(t))$  generated by the classical Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} := \frac{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2}{2} + W(\boldsymbol{q})$ , there exists a solution of the PDE:

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^\epsilon + W(\mathbf{x})\psi^\epsilon$$

For any trajectory  $(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{p}(t))$  generated by the classical Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} := \frac{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2}{2} + W(\boldsymbol{q})$ , there exists a solution of the PDE:

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\epsilon^2rac{1}{2}\Delta_{\pmb{x}}\psi^\epsilon + W(\pmb{x})\psi^\epsilon$$

asymptotic as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  to a semiclassical wavepacket up to 'Ehrenfest time'  $t \sim \ln 1/\epsilon$ :

$$\psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) = \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{p}(t)\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t))/\epsilon} a\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right) + O_{L^2_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\epsilon^{1/2}e^{Ct})$$

For any trajectory  $(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{p}(t))$  generated by the classical Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} := \frac{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2}{2} + W(\boldsymbol{q})$ , there exists a solution of the PDE:

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\epsilon^2rac{1}{2}\Delta_{\pmb{x}}\psi^\epsilon + W(\pmb{x})\psi^\epsilon$$

asymptotic as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  to a semiclassical wavepacket up to 'Ehrenfest time'  $t \sim \ln 1/\epsilon$ :

$$\psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) = \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{p}(t)\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t))/\epsilon} a\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right) + O_{L^2_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\epsilon^{1/2}e^{Ct})$$

Envelope satisfies Schrödinger's equation with harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian driven by q(t):

$$i\partial_t a = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y a + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y} \cdot D_y^2 W(\mathbf{q}(t))\mathbf{y}a$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

For any trajectory  $(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{p}(t))$  generated by the classical Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} := \frac{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2}{2} + W(\boldsymbol{q})$ , there exists a solution of the PDE:

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\epsilon^2rac{1}{2}\Delta_{\pmb{x}}\psi^\epsilon + W(\pmb{x})\psi^\epsilon$$

asymptotic as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  to a semiclassical wavepacket up to 'Ehrenfest time' t  $\sim \ln 1/\epsilon$ :

$$\psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) = \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{p}(t)\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t))/\epsilon} a\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right) + O_{L^2_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\epsilon^{1/2}e^{Ct})$$

Envelope satisfies Schrödinger's equation with harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian driven by q(t):

$$i\partial_t a = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y a + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}\cdot D_y^2 W(\mathbf{q}(t))\mathbf{y}a.$$

When W quadratic, solution exact! Error  $\propto \|\partial_a^3 W(q)\|_{L^{\infty}}$ .

For any trajectory  $(\boldsymbol{q}(t), \boldsymbol{p}(t))$  generated by the classical Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} := \frac{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2}{2} + W(\boldsymbol{q})$ , there exists a solution of the PDE:

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\epsilon^2rac{1}{2}\Delta_{\pmb{x}}\psi^\epsilon + W(\pmb{x})\psi^\epsilon$$

asymptotic as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  to a semiclassical wavepacket up to 'Ehrenfest time' t  $\sim \ln 1/\epsilon$ :

$$\psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) = \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{p}(t)\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t))/\epsilon} a\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right) + O_{L^2_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\epsilon^{1/2}e^{Ct})$$

Envelope satisfies Schrödinger's equation with harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian driven by q(t):

$$i\partial_t a = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y a + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}\cdot D_y^2 W(\mathbf{q}(t))\mathbf{y}a.$$

When W quadratic, solution exact! Error  $\propto \|\partial_q^3 W(q)\|_{L^{\infty}}$ . Can improve error bound:  $O_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\epsilon^{n/2}e^{Ct})$ , any positive integer n.
Gaussian exact solution of Schrödinger's equation with harmonic oscillator potential  $W \propto q^2$ , d = 1:

$$\psi^{\epsilon}(x,t) = \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{ip(t)(x-q(t))/\epsilon} \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{x-q(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right),$$

q(t), p(t) satisfy Hamiltonian dynamics:  $\mathcal{H} = p^2 + q^2$ .



Theorem  $\implies$  Schrödinger's equation with an anharmonic oscillator potential  $W \propto q^4$ , d = 1 has an *approximate* Gaussian solution:

$$\psi^{\epsilon}(x,t) = \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{ip(t)(x-q(t))/\epsilon} \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{x-q(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right) + O_{L_x^2}(\epsilon^{1/2} e^{Ct})$$

q(t), p(t) satisfy Hamiltonian dynamics:  $\mathcal{H} = p^2 + q^4$ .



Wavepacket ansatz does not capture dynamics of PDE for t large.

# Wavepacket dynamics in locally periodic media

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^{\epsilon} = -\epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^{\epsilon} + V\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right)\psi^{\epsilon} + W(\mathbf{x})\psi^{\epsilon}$$

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, V(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v}) = V(\mathbf{z}).$$
(\*)

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

Wavepacket dynamics in locally periodic media

$$\begin{split} &i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = -\epsilon^2 \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi^\epsilon + V\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right)\psi^\epsilon + W(\mathbf{x})\psi^\epsilon \\ &\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, V(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v}) = V(\mathbf{z}). \end{split}$$

When V ≠ 0, dynamics depends crucially on Bloch band structure (spectral theory) of periodic operator obtained by taking W = 0 in (★):

$$H:=-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\boldsymbol{z}}+V(\boldsymbol{z})$$

and spectral localization of the wavepacket in phase space.

Recall the spectral theory of the operator with periodic potential:

$$egin{aligned} H &:= -rac{1}{2}\Delta_{m{z}} + V(m{z}) \ orall m{v} \in \Lambda, V(m{z}+m{v}) = V(m{z}) \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Recall the spectral theory of the operator with periodic potential:

$$egin{aligned} H &:= -rac{1}{2}\Delta_{m{z}} + V(m{z}) \ orall m{v} \in \Lambda, V(m{z}+m{v}) = V(m{z}) \end{aligned}$$

 Bloch's theorem: bounded eigenfunctions of H satisfy the *p*-quasi-periodic boundary condition:

$$H \Phi(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\rho}) = E(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \Phi(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\rho})$$
$$\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda, \Phi(\boldsymbol{z} + \boldsymbol{v}) = e^{i\boldsymbol{\rho}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}} \Phi(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\rho})$$

symmetry of BC  $\implies$  restrict **p** to a primitive cell of the reciprocal lattice: first Brillouin zone  $\mathcal{B}$ 

Recall the spectral theory of the operator with periodic potential:

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{H} := -rac{1}{2}\Delta_{m{z}} + V(m{z}) \ & orall m{v} \in \Lambda, V(m{z} + m{v}) = V(m{z}) \end{aligned}$$

 Bloch's theorem: bounded eigenfunctions of H satisfy the *p*-quasi-periodic boundary condition:

$$H \Phi(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{p}) = E(\boldsymbol{p}) \Phi(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{p})$$
$$\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \Lambda, \Phi(\boldsymbol{z} + \boldsymbol{v}) = e^{i \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}} \Phi(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{p})$$

symmetry of BC  $\implies$  restrict **p** to a primitive cell of the reciprocal lattice: first Brillouin zone  $\mathcal{B}$ 

 Fixed quasi-momentum *p*, self-adjoint elliptic eigenvalue problem ⇒ discrete real spectrum:

$$E_1(\boldsymbol{p}) \leq E_2(\boldsymbol{p}) \leq \ldots \leq E_n(\boldsymbol{p}) \leq \ldots$$

Maps p ∈ B → E<sub>n</sub>(p) ∈ ℝ are the Bloch band dispersion functions (surfaces).





イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Maps p ∈ B → E<sub>n</sub>(p) ∈ ℝ are the Bloch band dispersion functions (surfaces).



Spectrum of H = −<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>∆<sub>z</sub> + V(z) is then the union of real intervals swept out by E<sub>n</sub>(p).

► The set of associated eigenfunctions (Bloch waves)  $\{\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{p}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is complete in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

- ► The set of associated eigenfunctions (Bloch waves)  $\{\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{p}) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is complete in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- Can decompose Φ<sub>n</sub>(z; p) = e<sup>ip·z</sup> χ<sub>n</sub>(z; p) where χ<sub>n</sub>(z; p) satisfies another self-adjoint elliptic eigenvalue problem with periodic boundary conditions:

$$H(\mathbf{p})\chi(\mathbf{z};\mathbf{p}) = E(\mathbf{p})\chi(\mathbf{z};\mathbf{p})$$
  

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in \Lambda, \chi(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v}) = \chi(\mathbf{z};\mathbf{p})$$
  

$$H(\mathbf{p}) := \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p} - i\nabla_{\mathbf{z}})^{2} + V(\mathbf{z}),$$
(P)

(P) is the reduced Bloch eigenvalue problem.

Theorem (Carles-Sparber 2008, Hagedorn 1980, Heller 1976) Let (q(t), p(t)) denote any classical trajectory generated by the Bloch band Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} = E_n(p) + W(q)$  such that the band  $E_n$  is non-degenerate at each p(t):

 $\forall t \geq 0, E_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_n(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)).$ 

Theorem (Carles-Sparber 2008, Hagedorn 1980, Heller 1976) Let  $(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t))$  denote any classical trajectory generated by the Bloch band Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} = E_n(\mathbf{p}) + W(\mathbf{q})$  such that the band  $E_n$  is non-degenerate at each  $\mathbf{p}(t)$ :

$$\forall t \geq 0, E_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_n(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)).$$

Then there exists a solution  $\psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  which is asymptotic as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  to a semiclassical wavepacket up to 'Ehrenfest time'  $t \sim \ln 1/\epsilon$ :

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) = & \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{p}(t)\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t))/\epsilon} a\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right) \chi_n\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon};\mathbf{p}(t)\right) \\ &+ O_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\epsilon^{1/2}e^{Ct}). \end{split}$$

Theorem (Carles-Sparber 2008, Hagedorn 1980, Heller 1976) Let  $(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t))$  denote any classical trajectory generated by the Bloch band Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} = E_n(\mathbf{p}) + W(\mathbf{q})$  such that the band  $E_n$  is non-degenerate at each  $\mathbf{p}(t)$ :

$$\forall t \geq 0, E_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_n(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)).$$

Then there exists a solution  $\psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  which is asymptotic as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  to a semiclassical wavepacket up to 'Ehrenfest time'  $t \sim \ln 1/\epsilon$ :

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) = & \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{p}(t)\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t))/\epsilon} a\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right) \chi_n\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon};\mathbf{p}(t)\right) \\ &+ O_{L^2_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\epsilon^{1/2}e^{Ct}). \end{split}$$

Wavepacket envelope  $a(\mathbf{y}, t)$  satisfies a Schrödinger equation with harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian, driven by  $\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)$ :

$$i\partial_t \mathbf{a} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot D_{\mathbf{p}}^2 E_n(\mathbf{p}(t)) \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y} \cdot D_{\mathbf{q}}^2 W(\mathbf{q}(t)) \mathbf{y} \mathbf{a}$$

Wavepacket dynamics in locally periodic structures

Results:

- 1. A new Hamiltonian system controlling the dynamics of wavepackets which are spectrally localized away from Bloch band degeneracies.
- 2. The dynamics of a wavepacket *incident* on a Bloch band degeneracy.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Hamiltonian system for dynamics away from degeneracies

We derive the equations of motion of the center of mass Q<sup>ϵ</sup>(t) and expected (quasi-)momentum P<sup>ϵ</sup>(t) of the wavepacket with corrections ∝ ϵ.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Hamiltonian system for dynamics away from degeneracies

- We derive the equations of motion of the center of mass Q<sup>ϵ</sup>(t) and expected (quasi-)momentum P<sup>ϵ</sup>(t) of the wavepacket with corrections ∝ ϵ.
- ▶ Of particular interest, a correction due to Berry curvature *F<sub>n</sub>* which takes the form of a *monopole* at degeneracies:

$$\mathcal{F}_n(\boldsymbol{p}) = \operatorname{Im} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle \psi_n(\boldsymbol{p}) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{p}} H(\boldsymbol{p}) \psi_m(\boldsymbol{p}) \rangle \times (n \leftrightarrow m)}{(E_m(\boldsymbol{p}) - E_n(\boldsymbol{p}))^2}$$

# Hamiltonian system for dynamics away from degeneracies

- We derive the equations of motion of the center of mass Q<sup>ϵ</sup>(t) and expected (quasi-)momentum P<sup>ϵ</sup>(t) of the wavepacket with corrections ∝ ϵ.
- ► Of particular interest, a correction due to Berry curvature *F<sub>n</sub>* which takes the form of a *monopole* at degeneracies:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{n}(\boldsymbol{p}) = \operatorname{Im} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle \psi_{n}(\boldsymbol{p}) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{p}} H(\boldsymbol{p}) \psi_{m}(\boldsymbol{p}) \rangle \times (n \leftrightarrow m)}{(E_{m}(\boldsymbol{p}) - E_{n}(\boldsymbol{p}))^{2}}$$

Experimentally measured in photonics, where polarization condition p · e(p) = 0 degenerate at p = 0:

spin Hall effect of light



<sup>a</sup>Bliokh et al., Nature Photonics, 2008.

# Strategy of proof

Recall form of the asymptotic solution:

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) &= \\ \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{p}(t)\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t))/\epsilon} a\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right) \chi_n\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon};\mathbf{p}(t)\right) \\ &+ O_{L^2_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\epsilon^{1/2} e^{Ct}). \end{split}$$
(AS

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Strategy of proof

Recall form of the asymptotic solution:

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) &= \\ \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{p}(t)\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t))/\epsilon} a\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right) \chi_n\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon};\mathbf{p}(t)\right) \\ &+ O_{L^2_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\epsilon^{1/2} e^{Ct}). \end{split}$$
(AS

► Using (AS), we obtain expansions of the center of mass Q<sup>ϵ</sup> and average quasi-momentum P<sup>ϵ</sup> in powers of ϵ<sup>1/2</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}^{\epsilon}(t) &= \boldsymbol{q}(t) + \epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \boldsymbol{y} |\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{y}, t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} + O(\epsilon) \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}(t) &= \boldsymbol{p}(t) + \epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{y}, t)} (-i\nabla_{\boldsymbol{y}}) \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{y}, t) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} + O(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

# Strategy of proof

Recall form of the asymptotic solution:

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) &= \\ \epsilon^{-d/4} e^{iS(t)/\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{p}(t)\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t))/\epsilon} a\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}(t)}{\epsilon^{1/2}},t\right) \chi_n\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon};\mathbf{p}(t)\right) \\ &+ O_{L^2_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}(\epsilon^{1/2}e^{Ct}). \end{split}$$
(AS

► Using (AS), we obtain expansions of the center of mass Q<sup>ϵ</sup> and average quasi-momentum P<sup>ϵ</sup> in powers of ϵ<sup>1/2</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}^{\epsilon}(t) &= \boldsymbol{q}(t) + \epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \boldsymbol{y} |\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{y}, t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} + O(\epsilon) \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}(t) &= \boldsymbol{p}(t) + \epsilon^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{y}, t)} (-i\nabla_{\boldsymbol{y}}) \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{y}, t) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} + O(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Dynamics of  $\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$  couples to evolution of  $\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{a}$ (complicated) as well as the Bloch functions  $\chi_n(\boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{p})$ . Theorem (Watson-Weinstein-Lu 2016)

1) Let  $\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}, \mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$  denote the center of mass and averaged quasi-momentum of the wavepacket asymptotic solution. Then, after making the near-identity change of variables:

 $(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{p}, a) \mapsto (\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}, \mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}, a^{\epsilon})$ 

where  $a^{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{y},t)$  satisfies:

$$i\partial_t a^{\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot D^2_{\mathbf{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}} E_n(\mathbf{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}(t)) \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} a^{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y} \cdot D^2_{\mathbf{\mathcal{Q}}^{\epsilon}} W(\mathbf{\mathcal{Q}}^{\epsilon}(t)) \mathbf{y} a^{\epsilon}$$
(E)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (Watson-Weinstein-Lu 2016)

1) Let  $\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}, \mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}$  denote the center of mass and averaged quasi-momentum of the wavepacket asymptotic solution. Then, after making the near-identity change of variables:

 $(oldsymbol{q},oldsymbol{p},oldsymbol{a})\mapsto (oldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}^\epsilon,oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^\epsilon,oldsymbol{a}^\epsilon)$ 

where  $a^{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{y},t)$  satisfies:

$$i\partial_t \boldsymbol{a}^{\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{y}} \cdot D_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}}^2 E_n(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}(t)) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{y}} \boldsymbol{a}^{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{y} \cdot D_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}^{\epsilon}}^2 W(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}^{\epsilon}(t)) \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}^{\epsilon} \quad (\mathsf{E})$$

the observables  $\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}(t)$  satisfy:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}}^{\epsilon}(t) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}} E_{n}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}(t)) - \underbrace{\epsilon \dot{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}}^{\epsilon}(t) \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{n}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}(t))}_{Anomalous \ velocity} + \epsilon \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_{1}[\boldsymbol{a}^{\epsilon}](t) + O(\epsilon^{3/2})$$

$$\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}(t) = -\nabla_{\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}} W(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}(t)) + \epsilon \mathcal{C}_{2}[\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}](t) + O(\epsilon^{3/2}) \qquad (0)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon})$  denotes the Berry curvature of the Bloch band.

Theorem (Watson-Weinstein-Lu 2016 continued) 2) The coupled dynamics of  $Q^{\epsilon}(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}(t)$ ,  $a^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{y}, t)$  can be derived from the  $\epsilon$ -dependent Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon} &:= E_{n}(\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}) + W(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}) + \epsilon \nabla_{\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}} W(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}) \cdot \mathcal{A}_{n}(\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}) \\ &+ \epsilon \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \overline{a^{\epsilon}} \cdot D_{\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}}^{2} E_{n}(\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}) \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} a^{\epsilon} d\mathbf{y} + \epsilon \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathbf{y} \overline{a^{\epsilon}} \cdot D_{\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}}^{2} W(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}) \mathbf{y} a^{\epsilon} d\mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon})$  is the n-th band Berry connection.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}}^{\epsilon} &= \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}} \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon} \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}}^{\epsilon} &= -\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}^{\epsilon}} \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon} \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad i\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{a}^{\epsilon} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \overline{\boldsymbol{a}^{\epsilon}}} \qquad (S) \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>Chang et al. Phys. Rev. B 1996; Xiao et al. Rev. Mod. Phys. 2010 on a

Theorem (Watson-Weinstein-Lu 2016 continued) 2) The coupled dynamics of  $Q^{\epsilon}(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}(t)$ ,  $a^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{y}, t)$  can be derived from the  $\epsilon$ -dependent Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon} &:= E_{n}(\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}) + W(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}) + \epsilon \nabla_{\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}} W(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}) \cdot \mathcal{A}_{n}(\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}) \\ &+ \epsilon \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \overline{a^{\epsilon}} \cdot D_{\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}}^{2} E_{n}(\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}) \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} a^{\epsilon} d\mathbf{y} + \epsilon \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathbf{y} \overline{a^{\epsilon}} \cdot D_{\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}}^{2} W(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}) \mathbf{y} a^{\epsilon} d\mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon})$  is the n-th band Berry connection.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{Q}}^{\epsilon} &= \nabla_{\mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}} \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon} \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon} &= -\nabla_{\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}} \mathcal{H}^{\epsilon} \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad i\partial_{t} \mathbf{a}^{\epsilon} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \overline{\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}}} \qquad (\mathsf{S}) \end{aligned}$$

 The system (S) contains terms which do not appear in the works of Niu et al.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Chang et al. Phys. Rev. B 1996; Xiao et al. Rev. Mod. Phys. 2010.

Aside: dynamics of a particle coupled to a wave-field

• When 
$$V = 0$$
, system reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{Q}}^{\epsilon}(t) &= \mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}(t) \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}(t) &= -\nabla_{\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}} W(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}(t)) \quad \underbrace{-\epsilon \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}}^{3} W(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}) \left\langle a^{\epsilon}(y,t) | y^{2} a^{\epsilon}(y,t) \right\rangle_{L^{2}_{y}}}_{L^{2}_{y}} \end{aligned}$$

coupling of discrete degrees of freedom to wave-field

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$i\partial_t a^\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon} \mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{P}^\epsilon(t))\partial^2_y a^\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{\mathcal{Q}^\epsilon} W(\mathcal{Q}^\epsilon(t))y^2 a^\epsilon$$

Aside: dynamics of a particle coupled to a wave-field

• When 
$$V = 0$$
, system reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{Q}}^{\epsilon}(t) &= \mathcal{P}^{\epsilon}(t) \\ \dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\epsilon}(t) &= -\nabla_{\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}(t)) \quad \underbrace{-\epsilon \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}}^{3} \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{Q}^{\epsilon}) \left\langle \mathsf{a}^{\epsilon}(y,t) | y^{2} \mathsf{a}^{\epsilon}(y,t) \right\rangle_{L_{y}^{2}}}_{\mathcal{L}_{y}^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

coupling of discrete degrees of freedom to wave-field

$$i\partial_t a^\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{\mathcal{P}^\epsilon} \mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{P}^\epsilon(t))\partial^2_y a^\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{\mathcal{Q}^\epsilon} W(\mathcal{Q}^\epsilon(t))y^2 a^\epsilon$$

• Center of mass dynamics when potential  $W \propto q^4$ :



Wavepacket dynamics in locally periodic structures

Results:

- 1. A new Hamiltonian system controlling the dynamics of *wavepackets* which are spectrally localized away from Bloch band degeneracies.
- 2. The dynamics of a wavepacket *incident* on a Bloch band degeneracy.

So far, assumed that the wavepacket avoids degeneracies:

 $\forall t \geq 0, E_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_n(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t))$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

So far, assumed that the wavepacket avoids degeneracies:

$$\forall t \geq 0, E_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_n(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t))$$

Degeneracies, where E<sub>n</sub>(p<sup>\*</sup>) = E<sub>n+1</sub>(p<sup>\*</sup>), usually associated with symmetries of periodic structure. In d = 1, rich set of examples: Jacobi elliptic functions.



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

-

So far, assumed that the wavepacket avoids degeneracies:

$$\forall t \geq 0, E_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_n(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t))$$

Degeneracies, where E<sub>n</sub>(p<sup>\*</sup>) = E<sub>n+1</sub>(p<sup>\*</sup>), usually associated with symmetries of periodic structure. In d = 1, rich set of examples: Jacobi elliptic functions.



New degree of freedom: coupling between degenerate states

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

So far, assumed that the wavepacket avoids degeneracies:

$$\forall t \geq 0, E_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_n(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) < E_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{p}(t))$$

Degeneracies, where E<sub>n</sub>(p<sup>\*</sup>) = E<sub>n+1</sub>(p<sup>\*</sup>), usually associated with symmetries of periodic structure. In d = 1, rich set of examples: Jacobi elliptic functions.



New degree of freedom: coupling between degenerate states
 At crossings, Bloch band functions: p → E<sub>n</sub>(p) not smooth =

#### Theorem (Watson-Weinstein 2016)

▶ p\* a degenerate point in d = 1. E<sub>+</sub>(p), E<sub>-</sub>(p) smooth band functions in a neighborhood of p\* (always exist in d = 1).



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Theorem (Watson-Weinstein 2016)

▶ p\* a degenerate point in d = 1. E<sub>+</sub>(p), E<sub>-</sub>(p) smooth band functions in a neighborhood of p\* (always exist in d = 1).



Consider a wavepacket associated with the band E<sub>+</sub> with quasi-momentum p<sub>+</sub>(t) that is <u>driven</u> towards the degeneracy i.e. there exists t<sup>\*</sup> such that: lim<sub>t↑t\*</sub> p<sub>+</sub>(t) = p<sup>\*</sup>.

#### Theorem (Watson-Weinstein 2016)

▶ p\* a degenerate point in d = 1. E<sub>+</sub>(p), E<sub>-</sub>(p) smooth band functions in a neighborhood of p\* (always exist in d = 1).



- ► Consider a wavepacket associated with the band  $E_+$  with quasi-momentum  $p_+(t)$  that is driven towards the degeneracy i.e. there exists  $t^*$  such that:  $\lim_{t\uparrow t^*} p_+(t) = p^*$ .
- As t ↑ t<sup>\*</sup>, error in single band approximation blows up:

$$\|\psi^\epsilon(\cdot,t) - \mathit{WP}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} \sim rac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{|t-t^*|} + rac{\epsilon}{|t-t^*|^2}$$

 $\implies emergent time-scale: s \sim \frac{t-t^*}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}.$ 

#### Theorem (Watson-Weinstein 2016 continued)

► By studying in detail the dynamics of the PDE on the emergent time-scale s = <sup>t-t\*</sup>/<sub>√</sub>, we find that the blow-up may be resolved by allowing coupling between degenerate states.
► By studying in detail the dynamics of the PDE on the emergent time-scale s = <sup>t-t\*</sup>/<sub>√ϵ</sub>, we find that the blow-up may be resolved by allowing coupling between degenerate states.

 $\implies$  at  $t = t^*$  a second wavepacket associated with the band  $E_-$  is excited.

► By studying in detail the dynamics of the PDE on the emergent time-scale s = <sup>t-t\*</sup>/<sub>√ϵ</sub>, we find that the blow-up may be resolved by allowing coupling between degenerate states.

 $\implies$  at  $t = t^*$  a second wavepacket associated with the band  $E_-$  is excited.

► 'Excited' wavepacket has size ∝ √ϵ (in L<sup>2</sup>), may be explicitly characterized. Group velocity opposite sign: 'reflected' wave.

► By studying in detail the dynamics of the PDE on the emergent time-scale s = <sup>t-t\*</sup>/<sub>√</sub>, we find that the blow-up may be resolved by allowing coupling between degenerate states.

 $\implies$  at  $t = t^*$  a second wavepacket associated with the band  $E_-$  is excited.

► 'Excited' wavepacket has size ∝ √ϵ (in L<sup>2</sup>), may be explicitly characterized. Group velocity opposite sign: 'reflected' wave.



► By studying in detail the dynamics of the PDE on the emergent time-scale s = <sup>t-t\*</sup>/<sub>√</sub>, we find that the blow-up may be resolved by allowing coupling between degenerate states.

 $\implies$  at  $t = t^*$  a second wavepacket associated with the band  $E_-$  is excited.

► 'Excited' wavepacket has size ∝ √ϵ (in L<sup>2</sup>), may be explicitly characterized. Group velocity opposite sign: 'reflected' wave.



Result is an analog of those obtained by Hagedorn  $(B-O_{e} approx) = 0$ 

Schrödinger's equation with a time-dependent Hamiltonian:

 $i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = H(t)\psi^\epsilon$ 

with  $\text{Spec}[H(t)] = E_+(t) \cup E_-(t)$ , linear crossing at  $t = t^*$ .

Schrödinger's equation with a time-dependent Hamiltonian:

 $i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = H(t)\psi^\epsilon$ 

with  $\text{Spec}[H(t)] = E_+(t) \cup E_-(t)$ , linear crossing at  $t = t^*$ .

• Seek a solution:  $\psi^{\epsilon}(t) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm} c_{\sigma}(t) \chi_{\sigma}(t) e^{-i \int_{t^*}^t E_{\sigma}(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau}$ ,

Schrödinger's equation with a time-dependent Hamiltonian:

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = H(t)\psi^\epsilon$$

with  $\text{Spec}[H(t)] = E_+(t) \cup E_-(t)$ , linear crossing at  $t = t^*$ .

Seek a solution: ψ<sup>ϵ</sup>(t) = ∑<sub>σ=±</sub> c<sub>σ</sub>(t)χ<sub>σ</sub>(t)e<sup>-i ∫<sub>t\*</sub><sup>t</sup> E<sub>σ</sub>(τ) dτ</sup>, obtain system for co-efficients:

$$\dot{c}_+(t) = \langle \chi_+(t) | \dot{\chi}_-(t) 
angle \, e^{rac{i \int_{t^*}^t E_+( au) - E_-( au) \, \mathrm{d} au}{\epsilon}} c_-(t) 
onumber \ \dot{c}_-(t) = \langle \chi_-(t) | \dot{\chi}_+(t) 
angle \, e^{rac{i \int_{t^*}^t E_-( au) - E_+( au) \, \mathrm{d} au}{\epsilon}} c_+(t).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Schrödinger's equation with a time-dependent Hamiltonian:

$$i\epsilon\partial_t\psi^\epsilon = H(t)\psi^\epsilon$$

with  $\text{Spec}[H(t)] = E_+(t) \cup E_-(t)$ , linear crossing at  $t = t^*$ .

• Seek a solution:  $\psi^{\epsilon}(t) = \sum_{\sigma=\pm} c_{\sigma}(t) \chi_{\sigma}(t) e^{-i \int_{t^*}^t E_{\sigma}(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau}$ , obtain system for co-efficients:

$$\dot{c}_+(t) = \langle \chi_+(t) | \dot{\chi}_-(t) 
angle \, e^{rac{i \int_{t^*}^t E_+( au) - E_-( au) \, \mathrm{d} au}{\epsilon}} c_-(t) 
onumber \ \dot{c}_-(t) = \langle \chi_-(t) | \dot{\chi}_+(t) 
angle \, e^{rac{i \int_{t^*}^t E_-( au) - E_+( au) \, \mathrm{d} au}{\epsilon}} c_+(t).$$

Suppose  $c_+(0) = 1, c_-(0) = 0$ . Integrating in time, using oscillations  $\implies$ 

$$\|c_{-}(t)\|^{2} = \frac{2\pi |\langle \chi_{-}(t^{*})|\dot{\chi}_{+}(t^{*})\rangle|^{2}}{|\dot{E}_{+}(t^{*}) - \dot{E}_{-}(t^{*})|} \sqrt{\epsilon} + o(\sqrt{\epsilon}).$$

What are the Berry curvature-induced dynamics at and after the Ehrenfest timescale of validity of the semiclassical wavepacket ansatz t ∼ ln 1/ε?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- What are the Berry curvature-induced dynamics at and after the Ehrenfest timescale of validity of the semiclassical wavepacket ansatz t ∼ ln 1/ε?
- Extension of band crossing theory to conical band crossings, which appear in dispersion surfaces of honeycomb lattice potentials, anisotropic photonic media:



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

- What are the Berry curvature-induced dynamics at and after the Ehrenfest timescale of validity of the semiclassical wavepacket ansatz t ∼ ln 1/ε?
- Extension of band crossing theory to conical band crossings, which appear in dispersion surfaces of honeycomb lattice potentials, anisotropic photonic media:



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ヨ

and to avoided crossings, gap  $\propto \sqrt{\epsilon}$ .

- What are the Berry curvature-induced dynamics at and after the Ehrenfest timescale of validity of the semiclassical wavepacket ansatz t ∼ ln 1/ε?
- Extension of band crossing theory to conical band crossings, which appear in dispersion surfaces of honeycomb lattice potentials, anisotropic photonic media:



and to avoided crossings, gap  $\propto \sqrt{\epsilon}.$ 

Expect O(1) coupling between bands in these cases; proved by Hagedorn (B-O approximation).

Thanks for listening!

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>