

# Recent Results for the 3D Quasi-Geostrophic System

---

Matt Novack

Joint work with Alexis Vasseur

The University of Texas at Austin

Young Researchers Workshop: Kinetic Theory in Description and Applications

Oct 25th, 2018

# Table of contents

1. 3D Quasi-Geostrophic Flow
2. Main Results
  - Global Weak Solutions for Inviscid Models
  - Global Smooth Solutions with Dissipation
3. Inviscid Models
  - The Reformulated Problem
  - A Remark for Bounded Domains
4. Viscous Model
  - Global regularity for 2D SQG
  - Difficulties in 3 Dimensions
5. Ongoing Work and Future Directions

# 3D Quasi-Geostrophic Flow

---

- QG - a model for large time-scale, rotating oceanic/atmospheric flows
- Derivation from Navier-Stokes/Euler equations with Boussinesq approximation and Coriolis force. See Bourgeois-Beale (94), Desjardins-Grenier (98)
- The Rossby number and the geostrophic balance - wind velocity is orthogonal to the gradient of the pressure in the asymptotic limit

# The Equations

- $\Psi(t, x, y, z) : [0, T] \times \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ )
- The velocity  $(u, v, 0)$  is stratified and verifies

$$(u, v, 0) = (-\partial_y \Psi, \partial_x \Psi, 0) = \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi.$$

- Notations -

$$\bar{\nabla} = (\partial_x, \partial_y, 0), \quad \partial_\nu = -\partial_z|_{z=0}, \quad \bar{\Delta} = \partial_{xx} + \partial_{yy}.$$

- Viscosity parameter  $r \in \{0, 1\}$  - inviscid model / viscous model

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\Delta \Psi) &= 0 & [0, T] \times \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\partial_\nu \Psi) &= r \bar{\Delta} \Psi & [0, T] \times \Omega \times \{z = 0\} \\ \Psi(0, x, y, z) &= \Psi^0 & t = 0.\end{aligned}$$

## Main Results

---

## Theorem (N., '17)

Choose an initial value  $\nabla\Psi^0$  with  $\Delta\Psi_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  for  $q \in (\frac{6}{5}, 3]$ ,  $\partial_\nu\Psi^0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $p \in (\frac{4}{3}, \infty]$ . Then there exists a global in time weak solution such that  $\nabla\Psi \in L_t^\infty(L^{\frac{3p}{2}} + L^{\frac{3q}{3-q}}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ .

## Theorem (N., '17)

Choose an initial value  $\nabla\Psi^0$  with  $\Delta\Psi_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  for  $q \in (\frac{6}{5}, 3]$ ,  $\partial_\nu\Psi^0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $p \in (\frac{4}{3}, \infty]$ . Then there exists a global in time weak solution such that  $\nabla\Psi \in L_t^\infty(L^{\frac{3p}{2}} + L^{\frac{3q}{3-q}}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ .

- Vasseur-Puel ('14) built weak solutions for  $\Delta\Psi^0, \nabla\Psi^0, \partial_\nu\Psi^0 \in L^2$

## Theorem (N., '17)

Choose an initial value  $\nabla\Psi^0$  with  $\Delta\Psi_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  for  $q \in (\frac{6}{5}, 3]$ ,  $\partial_\nu\Psi^0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $p \in (\frac{4}{3}, \infty]$ . Then there exists a global in time weak solution such that  $\nabla\Psi \in L_t^\infty(L^{\frac{3p}{2}} + L^{\frac{3q}{3-q}}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ .

- Vasseur-Puel ('14) built weak solutions for  $\Delta\Psi^0, \nabla\Psi^0, \partial_\nu\Psi^0 \in L^2$
- Challenge is for small  $p$  and small  $q$  - how to define  $\overline{\nabla}^\perp\Psi \cdot \overline{\nabla}(\partial_\nu\Psi)$  and  $\overline{\nabla}^\perp\Psi \cdot \overline{\nabla}(\Delta\Psi)$ ?

## Theorem (N., '17)

Choose an initial value  $\nabla\Psi^0$  with  $\Delta\Psi_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  for  $q \in (\frac{6}{5}, 3]$ ,  $\partial_\nu\Psi^0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $p \in (\frac{4}{3}, \infty]$ . Then there exists a global in time weak solution such that  $\nabla\Psi \in L_t^\infty(L^{\frac{3p}{2}} + L^{\frac{3q}{3-q}}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ .

- Vasseur-Puel ('14) built weak solutions for  $\Delta\Psi^0, \nabla\Psi^0, \partial_\nu\Psi^0 \in L^2$
- Challenge is for small  $p$  and small  $q$  - how to define  $\overline{\nabla}^\perp\Psi \cdot \overline{\nabla}(\partial_\nu\Psi)$  and  $\overline{\nabla}^\perp\Psi \cdot \overline{\nabla}(\Delta\Psi)$ ?
- Need the right notion of "weak" solution

## Further Properties of Weak Solutions

2D SQG - A simplified model

- $\Delta \Psi^0 = 0$ , implying that  $\Delta \Psi(t) = 0$  for all  $t$

## Further Properties of Weak Solutions

2D SQG - A simplified model

- $\Delta \Psi^0 = 0$ , implying that  $\Delta \Psi(t) = 0$  for all  $t$
- $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\overline{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ , and  $\overline{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = u = R^\perp \theta$ ,

$$\partial_t(\partial_\nu \Psi) + \overline{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \overline{\nabla}(\partial_\nu \Psi) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \overline{\nabla} \theta = 0$$

## Further Properties of Weak Solutions

### 2D SQG - A simplified model

- $\Delta \Psi^0 = 0$ , implying that  $\Delta \Psi(t) = 0$  for all  $t$
- $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\overline{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ , and  $\overline{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = u = R^\perp \theta$ ,

$$\partial_t(\partial_\nu \Psi) + \overline{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \overline{\nabla}(\partial_\nu \Psi) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \overline{\nabla} \theta = 0$$

- Weak solutions constructed by Resnick ('95) for  $\theta^0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , Marchand for  $\theta^0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $p > \frac{4}{3}$  ('08)

# Further Properties of Weak Solutions

## 2D SQG - A simplified model

- $\Delta \Psi^0 = 0$ , implying that  $\Delta \Psi(t) = 0$  for all  $t$
- $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\overline{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ , and  $\overline{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = u = R^\perp \theta$ ,  
$$\partial_t(\partial_\nu \Psi) + \overline{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \overline{\nabla}(\partial_\nu \Psi) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \overline{\nabla} \theta = 0$$
- Weak solutions constructed by Resnick ('95) for  $\theta^0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , Marchand for  $\theta^0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $p > \frac{4}{3}$  ('08)

## Theorem (N., 17)

1. When  $\Delta \Psi = 0$ , weak solutions to SQG are "weak solutions" to 3D QG and vice versa
2. Under appropriate assumptions on  $p$  and  $q$ , "weak solutions" to 3D QG satisfy the transport equations in the usual weak sense.

# Further Properties of Weak Solutions

## 2D SQG - A simplified model

- $\Delta \Psi^0 = 0$ , implying that  $\Delta \Psi(t) = 0$  for all  $t$
- $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\overline{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ , and  $\overline{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = u = R^\perp \theta$ ,  
$$\partial_t(\partial_\nu \Psi) + \overline{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \overline{\nabla}(\partial_\nu \Psi) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \overline{\nabla} \theta = 0$$
- Weak solutions constructed by Resnick ('95) for  $\theta^0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , Marchand for  $\theta^0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $p > \frac{4}{3}$  ('08)

## Theorem (N., 17)

1. When  $\Delta \Psi = 0$ , weak solutions to SQG are "weak solutions" to 3D QG and vice versa
2. Under appropriate assumptions on  $p$  and  $q$ , "weak solutions" to 3D QG satisfy the transport equations in the usual weak sense.

## Theorem (N., 17)

When  $\nabla \Psi \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)) \cap L^\infty([0, T] \times [0, \infty); \dot{B}_{3, \infty}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2))$  for  $\alpha > \frac{1}{3}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|\nabla \Psi(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} = 0$$

# The Inviscid Case for Bounded Domains

- We consider a domain of the form  $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$  for  $\Omega$  a smooth, bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$

# The Inviscid Case for Bounded Domains

- We consider a domain of the form  $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$  for  $\Omega$  a smooth, bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- Natural lateral boundary conditions are a mix of Dirichlet and Neumann

# The Inviscid Case for Bounded Domains

- We consider a domain of the form  $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$  for  $\Omega$  a smooth, bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- Natural lateral boundary conditions are a mix of Dirichlet and Neumann

## Theorem (N.-Vasseur, '18)

*The natural lateral boundary conditions are*

- $\Psi(t, x, y, z)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)} = c(t, z)$
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\partial\Omega \times \{z\}} \bar{\nabla} \Psi(z) \cdot \nu_s = 0$

*With these boundary conditions, there exists a global weak solutions to inviscid QG posed on  $[0, \infty) \times \Omega \times [0, \infty)$ .*

### Theorem (N.-Vasseur, ('17))

Consider dissipative (QG) (diffusive term  $\overline{\Delta}\Psi$  at  $z = 0$ ) supplemented with an initial value  $\nabla\Psi^0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  with  $s \geq 3$ . Then there exists a unique, global in time solution  $\nabla\Psi$  such that for every  $T > 0$ ,  $\nabla\Psi \in C^0(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ .

## Theorem (N.-Vasseur, ('17))

Consider dissipative (QG) (diffusive term  $\overline{\Delta}\Psi$  at  $z = 0$ ) supplemented with an initial value  $\nabla\Psi^0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  with  $s \geq 3$ . Then there exists a unique, global in time solution  $\nabla\Psi$  such that for every  $T > 0$ ,  $\nabla\Psi \in C^0(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ .

- In particular, if the initial data is smooth ( $C^\infty$ ), the unique solution is smooth

## Theorem (N.-Vasseur, ('17))

Consider dissipative (QG) (diffusive term  $\overline{\Delta}\Psi$  at  $z = 0$ ) supplemented with an initial value  $\nabla\Psi^0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$  with  $s \geq 3$ . Then there exists a unique, global in time solution  $\nabla\Psi$  such that for every  $T > 0$ ,  $\nabla\Psi \in C^0(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ .

- In particular, if the initial data is smooth ( $C^\infty$ ), the unique solution is smooth
- Pure transport allows for propagation of regularity but no smoothing

# Inviscid Models

---

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\Delta \Psi) &= 0 & [0, T] \times \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\partial_\nu \Psi) &= 0 & [0, T] \times \Omega \times \{z = 0\} \\ \Psi(0, x, y, z) &= \Psi^0 & t = 0.\end{aligned}$$

- For any  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $q \in [1, \infty]$ , integrating by parts and using the divergence free property yields

$$\|\Delta \Psi(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega \times (0, \infty))} \leq \|\Delta \Psi^0\|_{L^p(\Omega \times (0, \infty))}$$

$$\|\partial_\nu \Psi(t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq \|\Delta \Psi^0\|_{L^q(\Omega \times (0, \infty))}$$

- Lack of compactness at  $z = 0$  - no strong convergence for  $\bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi|_{z=0}$  or  $\partial_\nu \Psi$

## The Reformulated Problem

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\Delta \psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\partial_\nu \psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times \{z = 0\}$$

# The Reformulated Problem

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\Delta \psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\partial_\nu \psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times \{z = 0\}$$

- The first equation is equal to the divergence of

$$\left( \partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla} \right) (\nabla \psi) = 0$$

# The Reformulated Problem

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\Delta \psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\partial_\nu \psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times \{z = 0\}$$

- The first equation is equal to the divergence of

$$\left( \partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla} \right) (\nabla \psi) = 0$$

- The second equation is the trace of the third component at  $z = 0$  of

$$\left( \partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla} \right) (\nabla \psi) = 0$$

# The Reformulated Problem

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\Delta \psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\partial_\nu \psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times \{z = 0\}$$

- The first equation is equal to the divergence of

$$\left(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla}\right) (\nabla \psi) = 0$$

- The second equation is the trace of the third component at  $z = 0$  of

$$\left(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla}\right) (\nabla \psi) = 0$$

- Inverting the divergence operator with a Neumann condition is not unique

# The Reformulated Problem

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\Delta \psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\partial_\nu \psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times \{z = 0\}$$

- The first equation is equal to the divergence of

$$\left(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla}\right) (\nabla \psi) = 0$$

- The second equation is the trace of the third component at  $z = 0$  of

$$\left(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla}\right) (\nabla \psi) = 0$$

- Inverting the divergence operator with a Neumann condition is not unique
- There exists  $(\nabla \times Q) \cdot \nu = 0$  such that the reformulated equation is actually

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\nabla \psi) = \nabla \times (Q)$$

# The Reformulated Problem

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\Delta \Psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\partial_\nu \Psi) = 0 \quad [0, T] \times \Omega \times \{z = 0\}$$

- The first equation is equal to the divergence of

$$\left( \partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \bar{\nabla} \right) (\nabla \Psi) = 0$$

- The second equation is the trace of the third component at  $z = 0$  of

$$\left( \partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \bar{\nabla} \right) (\nabla \Psi) = 0$$

- Inverting the divergence operator with a Neumann condition is not unique
- There exists  $(\nabla \times Q) \cdot \nu = 0$  such that the reformulated equation is actually

$$(\partial_t + \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi \cdot \bar{\nabla})(\nabla \Psi) = \nabla \times (Q)$$

- Weak solutions are defined for  $\nabla \Psi$  - compactness available

- Back to inviscid SQG -  $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ ,  $u = \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta$

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \bar{\nabla} \theta = 0$$

- Back to inviscid SQG -  $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\bar{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ ,  $u = \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta$

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \bar{\nabla} \theta = 0$$

- When  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2$ , how to define  $u = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta = (-\bar{\Delta})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\nabla}^\perp$ ?

- Back to inviscid SQG -  $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\bar{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ ,  $u = \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta$

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \bar{\nabla} \theta = 0$$

- When  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2$ , how to define  $u = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta = (-\bar{\Delta})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\nabla}^\perp$ ?
- Spectral fractional Laplacian - see work of Constantin, Ignatova, Nguyen

- Back to inviscid SQG -  $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\bar{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ ,  $u = \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta$

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \bar{\nabla} \theta = 0$$

- When  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2$ , how to define  $u = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta = (-\bar{\Delta})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\nabla}^\perp$ ?
- Spectral fractional Laplacian - see work of Constantin, Ignatova, Nguyen
- Requires  $\theta = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \Rightarrow \Psi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)$

- Back to inviscid SQG -  $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\bar{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ ,  $u = \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta$

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \bar{\nabla} \theta = 0$$

- When  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2$ , how to define  $u = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta = (-\bar{\Delta})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\nabla}^\perp$ ?
- Spectral fractional Laplacian - see work of Constantin, Ignatova, Nguyen
- Requires  $\theta = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \Rightarrow \Psi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)$
- Our boundary conditions
  - $\Psi(t, x, y, z)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)} = c(t, z)$
  - $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\partial\Omega \times \{z\}} \bar{\nabla} \Psi(z) \cdot \nu = 0$

- Back to inviscid SQG -  $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\bar{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ ,  $u = \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta$

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \bar{\nabla} \theta = 0$$

- When  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2$ , how to define  $u = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta = (-\bar{\Delta})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\nabla}^\perp$ ?
- Spectral fractional Laplacian - see work of Constantin, Ignatova, Nguyen
- Requires  $\theta = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \Rightarrow \Psi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)$
- Our boundary conditions
  - $\Psi(t, x, y, z)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)} = c(t, z)$
  - $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\partial\Omega \times \{z\}} \bar{\nabla} \Psi(z) \cdot \nu = 0$
- Our solutions do not coincide with those of Constantin-Nguyen

# Viscous Model

---

- Critical SQG -  $\partial_\nu \Psi = \theta = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi$ ,  $u = \bar{\nabla}^\perp \Psi = \mathcal{R}^\perp \theta$ ,  $\bar{\Delta} \Psi = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta$

$$\partial_t \theta + u \cdot \bar{\nabla} \theta + (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta = 0$$

- Global regularity for  $L^2$  initial data established by Caffarelli-Vasseur ('10). Several other proofs by Kiselev-Nazarov-Volberg, Constantin-Vicol, Constantin-Vicol-Tarfulea

# Difficulties in 3 Dimensions

- The transport equation for  $\Delta\Psi$  is hyperbolic - no regularization
- Beale-Kato-Majda criterion is necessary ( $\overline{\nabla}^\perp\Psi$  is a log-Lipschitz velocity field)
- The regularization effects for  $\partial_\nu\Psi$  are only  $C^\alpha$  - how to bootstrap higher?

- The transport equation for  $\Delta\Psi$  is hyperbolic - no regularization
- Beale-Kato-Majda criterion is necessary ( $\overline{\nabla}^\perp\Psi$  is a log-Lipschitz velocity field)
- The regularization effects for  $\partial_\nu\Psi$  are only  $C^\alpha$  - how to bootstrap higher?
- Interior vorticity -  $u = \mathcal{R}^\perp\theta + \tilde{u}$ ,  $\overline{\Delta}\Psi = -(-\overline{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta + f$

$$\partial_t\theta + u \cdot \overline{\nabla}\theta + (-\overline{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta = f$$

- The transport equation for  $\Delta\Psi$  is hyperbolic - no regularization
- Beale-Kato-Majda criterion is necessary ( $\overline{\nabla}^\perp\Psi$  is a log-Lipschitz velocity field)
- The regularization effects for  $\partial_\nu\Psi$  are only  $C^\alpha$  - how to bootstrap higher?
- Interior vorticity -  $u = \mathcal{R}^\perp\theta + \tilde{u}$ ,  $\overline{\Delta}\Psi = -(-\overline{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta + f$

$$\partial_t\theta + u \cdot \overline{\nabla}\theta + (-\overline{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta = f$$

- A priori bound on  $f$  is only  $L_t^\infty \left( \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0 \right)$  - the equation is critical

# Difficulties in 3 Dimensions

- The transport equation for  $\Delta\Psi$  is hyperbolic - no regularization
- Beale-Kato-Majda criterion is necessary ( $\overline{\nabla}^\perp\Psi$  is a log-Lipschitz velocity field)
- The regularization effects for  $\partial_\nu\Psi$  are only  $C^\alpha$  - how to bootstrap higher?
- Interior vorticity -  $u = \mathcal{R}^\perp\theta + \tilde{u}$ ,  $\overline{\Delta}\Psi = -(-\overline{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta + f$

$$\partial_t\theta + u \cdot \overline{\nabla}\theta + (-\overline{\Delta})^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta = f$$

- A priori bound on  $f$  is only  $L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0)$  - the equation is critical
- Showing that  $\theta \in L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^1)$  requires a combination of De Giorgi, potential theory, Littlewood-Paley techniques

## Ongoing Work and Future Directions

---

## Theorem (N.)

*Let  $\alpha < \frac{1}{5}$ . Then weak solutions to inviscid QG on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^3$  in the class  $C_{t,x}^\alpha$  are not unique and may dissipate energy.*

- Recall energy is conserved when  $\alpha > \frac{1}{3}$  (N., '17). This is referred to as rigidity. Conversely, when  $\alpha < \frac{1}{5}$ , this theorem demonstrates flexibility.

- Smooth solutions to the inviscid model on bounded domains and the validity of our boundary conditions
- Blow-up on bounded domains?
- Non-uniqueness in other regularity classes

Thank you

Thanks for your attention!