Exploring a first order hydrodynamic limit of the kinetic Cucker–Smale model with singular influence function YRW17: Current trends in kinetic theory

> David Poyato ¹ Joint work with Juan Soler

Applied Mathematics Department University of Granada, Spain

CSCAMM (UMD), October 2017

¹FPU14/06304, MTM2014-53406-R, FQM 954

David Poyato

Singular first order Cucker-Smale model

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \nabla \psi + \nu u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho) u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1)

< 🗗 🕨 🔸

э

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \nabla \psi + \nu u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho) u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Notation:

- $t \in \mathbb{R}^+_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ stand for time and position.
- $\rho = \rho(t, x)$ and u = u(t, x) are the density of particles and velocity field.
- $\psi = \psi(t, x)$ is the potential of an external force (maybe self-generated).
- $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is a friction coefficient.
- N = 2 or N = 3 are the physically meaningful cases.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \nabla \psi + \nu u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho) u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1)

< 🗗 🕨 🔸

э

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \nabla \psi + \nu u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho) u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1)

1 The influence function exhibits singularity at origin $\phi_0(x) = \phi_0(r) = r^{-2\lambda}$.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \nabla \psi + \nu u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho) u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1)

1 The influence function exhibits singularity at origin $\phi_0(x) = \phi_0(r) = r^{-2\lambda}$.

2 Transport equation for ρ driven by u.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \nabla \psi + \nu u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho) u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1)

() The influence function exhibits singularity at origin $\phi_0(x) = \phi_0(r) = r^{-2\lambda}$.

- **2** Transport equation for ρ driven by u.
- Implicit integral equation for u in terms of ρ to be solved.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \nabla \psi + \nu u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho) u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$
(1)

() The influence function exhibits singularity at origin $\phi_0(x) = \phi_0(r) = r^{-2\lambda}$.

- 2 Transport equation for ρ driven by u.
- **(3)** Implicit integral equation for u in terms of ρ to be solved.

It involves a commutator of mildly singular integrals.

R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, G. Weiss (1976), S. Chanillo (1982).

Reminiscent of Eulerian dynamics with alignment forces and neglected inertia:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nu u + \nabla \psi = \phi * (\rho u) - (\phi * \rho)u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

Reminiscent of Eulerian dynamics with alignment forces and neglected inertia:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nu u + \nabla \psi = \phi * (\rho u) - (\phi * \rho)u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

- Regular weights:
 - S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor (2008). S.-Y. Ha, J.-G. Liu (2009).
 J. A. Carrillo, M. Fornasier, J. Rosado, G. Toscani (2010).

Reminiscent of Eulerian dynamics with alignment forces and neglected inertia:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nu u + \nabla \psi = \phi * (\rho u) - (\phi * \rho)u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

- Regular weights:
 - S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor (2008). S.-Y. Ha, J.-G. Liu (2009).
 J. A. Carrillo, M. Fornasier, J. Rosado, G. Toscani (2010).
 - 📕 T. K. Karper, A. Mellet, K. Trivisa (2015). A. Figalli, M.-J. Kang (2017).

Reminiscent of Eulerian dynamics with alignment forces and neglected inertia:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nu u + \nabla \psi = \phi * (\rho u) - (\phi * \rho)u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

- Regular weights:
 - S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor (2008). S.-Y. Ha, J.-G. Liu (2009).
 J. A. Carrillo, M. Fornasier, J. Rosado, G. Toscani (2010).
 - 📕 T. K. Karper, A. Mellet, K. Trivisa (2015). A. Figalli, M.-J. Kang (2017).
 - R. C. Fetecau, W. Sun, C. Tan (2016).

Reminiscent of Eulerian dynamics with alignment forces and neglected inertia:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nu u + \nabla \psi = \phi * (\rho u) - (\phi * \rho)u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

- Regular weights:
 - S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor (2008). S.-Y. Ha, J.-G. Liu (2009).
 J. A. Carrillo, M. Fornasier, J. Rosado, G. Toscani (2010).
 - 📕 T. K. Karper, A. Mellet, K. Trivisa (2015). A. Figalli, M.-J. Kang (2017).
 - R. C. Fetecau, W. Sun, C. Tan (2016).
 - E. Tadmor, C. Tan (2014).

Reminiscent of Eulerian dynamics with alignment forces and neglected inertia:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nu u + \nabla \psi = \phi * (\rho u) - (\phi * \rho)u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

General context:



② Singular weights:

J. Peszek (2014). S. M. Ahn, H. Choi, S.-Y. Ha, H. Lee (2012). P. B. Mucha, J. Peszek (2016). R. Shvydkoy, E. Tadmor (2017).

David Poyato

Reminiscent of Eulerian dynamics with alignment forces and neglected inertia:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nu u + \nabla \psi = \phi * (\rho u) - (\phi * \rho)u, & t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

- **1** Other related models: Kuramoto, aggregation, Vicsec, etc.
 - Synchronization: S.-Y. Ha et al.



- Aggregation models: J. A. Carrillo, A. Bertozzi et al.
- Vicsec models: P. Degond, S. Motsch, M.-J. Kang, A. Figalli, et al.
- Soft active matter: C. Marcheti et al.

Goal 1: The hydrodynamic limit.

- Introduce a singular hyperbolic scaling of the kinetic Cucker-Smale model with regular weights.
- 2 Derive the rigorous hydrodynamic limit towards (1).

3 Obtain measure-valued solutions of (1) for the range $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Goal 1: The hydrodynamic limit.

- Introduce a singular hyperbolic scaling of the kinetic Cucker-Smale model with regular weights.
- 2 Derive the rigorous hydrodynamic limit towards (1).

3 Obtain measure-valued solutions of (1) for the range $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Goal 2: Well-posedness.

- Obtain sharp estimates in Sobolev spaces of the commutator of mildly singular integrals.
- ② Derive a global in time well-posedness theory for (1) in Sobolev-type spaces for the range λ ∈ (0, N/2).

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_i}{dt} = v_j, \\ m\frac{dv_i}{dt} = \frac{K}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \phi(|x_i - x_j|)(v_j - v_i) \end{cases}$$

where the influence function is

$$\phi(r) := \frac{\sigma^{2\lambda}}{(\sigma^2 + c_{\kappa,\lambda}r^2)^{\lambda}} \text{ and } c_{\kappa,\lambda} := \kappa^{-1/\lambda} - 1, \, \kappa \in (0,1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int & \frac{dx_i}{dt} = v_j, \\ & m\frac{dv_i}{dt} = \frac{K}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \phi(|x_i - x_j|)(v_j - v_i) - \nu v_i - \nabla \psi(t, x_i) + m\xi_i(t), \end{aligned}$$

where the influence function is

$$\phi(r) := \frac{\sigma^{2\lambda}}{(\sigma^2 + c_{\kappa,\lambda}r^2)^{\lambda}} \text{ and } c_{\kappa,\lambda} := \kappa^{-1/\lambda} - 1, \, \kappa \in (0,1).$$

and $\xi_i(t)$ is δ -correlated white noise with

$$\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t')\rangle = 2D\delta_{ij}\delta(t-t').$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_i}{dt} = v_j, \\ m\frac{dv_i}{dt} = \frac{K}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \phi(|x_i - x_j|)(v_j - v_i) - \nu v_i - \nabla \psi(t, x_i) + m\xi_i(t), \end{cases}$$

where the influence function is

$$\phi(r) := \frac{\sigma^{2\lambda}}{(\sigma^2 + c_{\kappa,\lambda}r^2)^{\lambda}} \text{ and } c_{\kappa,\lambda} := \kappa^{-1/\lambda} - 1, \, \kappa \in (0,1).$$

and $\xi_i(t)$ is δ -correlated white noise with

$$\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t')\rangle = 2D\delta_{ij}\delta(t-t').$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_i}{dt} = v_j, \\ m\frac{dv_i}{dt} = \frac{K}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \phi(|x_i - x_j|)(v_j - v_i) - \nu v_i - \nabla \psi(t, x_i) + m\xi_i(t), \end{cases}$$

where the influence function is

$$\phi(r) := \frac{\sigma^{2\lambda}}{(\sigma^2 + c_{\kappa,\lambda}r^2)^{\lambda}} \text{ and } c_{\kappa,\lambda} := \kappa^{-1/\lambda} - 1, \, \kappa \in (0,1).$$

and $\xi_i(t)$ is δ -correlated white noise with

$$\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t')\rangle = 2D\delta_{ij}\delta(t-t').$$

F. Cucker, S. Smale (2007).

Consider τ , the relaxation time under friction, and $\sqrt{\mu}$, the mean thermal velocity of noise and set $\nu := \frac{m}{\tau}$, $D := \frac{\mu}{\tau^2}$.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_i}{dt} = v_j, \\ m\frac{dv_i}{dt} = \frac{K}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \phi(|x_i - x_j|)(v_j - v_i) - \frac{m}{\tau} v_i - \nabla \psi(t, x_i) + m\xi_i(t), \end{cases}$$

where the influence function is

$$\phi(r) := \frac{\sigma^{2\lambda}}{(\sigma^2 + c_{\kappa,\lambda}r^2)^{\lambda}} \text{ and } c_{\kappa,\lambda} := \kappa^{-1/\lambda} - 1, \, \kappa \in (0,1),$$

and $\xi_i(t)$ is δ -correlated white noise with

$$\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t')\rangle = 2\frac{\mu}{\tau^2}\delta_{ij}\delta(t-t').$$

The mean field limit $N \to \infty$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla_x f - \frac{1}{m} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla_v f = \operatorname{div}_v \left(\frac{1}{\tau} v f + \frac{\mu}{\tau} \nabla_v f + \frac{K}{mM} ((\phi^\sigma v) * f) f \right),$$

where M is the total mass of the system and f = f(t, x, v) is the density of particles.

S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor (2008). S.-Y. Ha, J.-G. Liu (2009).

M. Hauray, P. E. Jabin (2015). P. B. Mucha, J. Peszec (2015).

The mean field limit $N \to \infty$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla_x f - \frac{1}{m} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla_v f = \operatorname{div}_v \left(\frac{1}{\tau} v f + \frac{\mu}{\tau} \nabla_v f + \frac{K}{mM} ((\phi^\sigma v) * f) f \right),$$

where M is the total mass of the system and f = f(t, x, v) is the density of particles.

S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor (2008). S.-Y. Ha, J.-G. Liu (2009).

M. Hauray, P. E. Jabin (2015). P. B. Mucha, J. Peszec (2015).

Adimensionalize the system

$$\overline{t} = \frac{t}{T}, \qquad \overline{x} = \frac{x}{L}, \qquad \overline{v} = \frac{v}{V}, \qquad \overline{f}(\overline{t}, \overline{x}, \overline{v}) = \frac{f(t, x, v)}{f_0}, \qquad \overline{\psi}(\overline{t}, \overline{x}) = \frac{\psi(t, x)}{\psi_0},$$

and link characteristic units to physical parameters as follows

$$\frac{L}{T} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\tau}{L} \psi_0, \quad M = V^N L^N f_0.$$

The mean field limit $N \to \infty$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{VT}{L} v \cdot \nabla_x f - \frac{1}{m} \frac{\psi_0 T}{LV} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla_v f = \operatorname{div}_v \left(\frac{T}{\tau} v f + \frac{T}{\tau} \frac{\mu}{V^2} \nabla_v f + \frac{TK}{m} ((\phi^{\sigma/L} v) * f) f \right).$$

S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor (2008). S.-Y. Ha, J.-G. Liu (2009).

M. Hauray, P. E. Jabin (2015). P. B. Mucha, J. Peszec (2015).

The mean field limit $N \to \infty$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{VT}{L} v \cdot \nabla_x f - \frac{1}{m} \frac{\psi_0 T}{LV} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla_v f = \operatorname{div}_v \left(\frac{T}{\tau} v f + \frac{T}{\tau} \frac{\mu}{V^2} \nabla_v f + \frac{TK}{m} ((\phi^{\sigma/L} v) * f) f \right).$$

S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor (2008). S.-Y. Ha, J.-G. Liu (2009).

M. Hauray, P. E. Jabin (2015). P. B. Mucha, J. Peszec (2015).

Define the scaled mean free path, mean thermal velocities, mass, range of interactions and maximum strength of interactions by

$$\alpha := \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{L/T}, \quad \beta := \frac{\sqrt{\mu}\tau}{L}, \quad \mathcal{V} := \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{V}, \quad \mathcal{M} := \frac{m}{M}, \quad \delta := \frac{\sigma}{L}, \quad \mathcal{K} := \tau K.$$

The mean field limit $N \to \infty$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\alpha}{\mathcal{V}} v \cdot \nabla_x f - \frac{V}{\beta} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla_v f = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \operatorname{div}_v \left(vf + \mathcal{V}^2 \nabla_v f + \frac{\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{M}} ((\phi^\delta v) * f) f \right).$$

S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor (2008). S.-Y. Ha, J.-G. Liu (2009).

M. Hauray, P. E. Jabin (2015). P. B. Mucha, J. Peszec (2015).

The mean field limit $N \to \infty$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\alpha}{\mathcal{V}} v \cdot \nabla_x f - \frac{V}{\beta} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla_v f = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \operatorname{div}_v \left(vf + \mathcal{V}^2 \nabla_v f + \frac{\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{M}} ((\phi^\delta v) * f) f \right).$$

S.-Y. Ha, E. Tadmor (2008). S.-Y. Ha, J.-G. Liu (2009).

M. Hauray, P. E. Jabin (2015). P. B. Mucha, J. Peszec (2015).

Assume the next hyperbolic singular scaling of the dimensionless parameters

$$\alpha = 1, \ \beta = \varepsilon, \ \mathcal{V} = 1, \ \mathcal{M} = 1, \ \delta = \varepsilon, \ \mathcal{K} = \varepsilon^{-2\lambda}.$$

The singular hyperbolic scaling

$$arepsilon rac{\partial f_arepsilon}{\partial t} + arepsilon v \cdot
abla_x f_arepsilon -
abla \psi_arepsilon \cdot
abla_v f_arepsilon = \mathrm{div}_v \left(v f_arepsilon +
abla_v f_arepsilon + ((\phi_arepsilon v) * f_arepsilon) f_arepsilon
ight),$$

where the scaled influence function is $\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) = \frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2 + c_{\kappa,\lambda}r^2)^{\lambda}}.$

D. P., J. Soler (2017).

The singular hyperbolic scaling

$$arepsilon rac{\partial f_arepsilon}{\partial t} + arepsilon v \cdot
abla_x f_arepsilon -
abla \psi_arepsilon \cdot
abla_v f_arepsilon = \mathrm{div}_v \left(v f_arepsilon +
abla_v f_arepsilon + ((\phi_arepsilon v) * f_arepsilon) f_arepsilon
ight),$$

where the scaled influence function is $\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) = \frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2 + c_{\kappa,\lambda}r^2)^{\lambda}}$.

D. P., J. Soler (2017).

The limit $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ can be understood as a coupled limit where inertia vanishes and singular interactions appear.

The singular hyperbolic scaling

$$arepsilon rac{\partial f_arepsilon}{\partial t} + arepsilon v \cdot
abla_x f_arepsilon -
abla \psi_arepsilon \cdot
abla_v f_arepsilon = \mathrm{div}_v \left(v f_arepsilon +
abla_v f_arepsilon + ((\phi_arepsilon v) * f_arepsilon) f_arepsilon
ight),$$

where the scaled influence function is $\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) = \frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2 + c_{\kappa,\lambda}r^2)^{\lambda}}$.

D. P., J. Soler (2017).

The limit $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ can be understood as a coupled limit where inertia vanishes and singular interactions appear.

Formally, let us assume that f_{ε} would converge to f in some weak sense. Then,

$$-\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla_v f = \operatorname{div}_v \left(vf + \nabla_v f_+ ((\phi_0 v) * f)f \right).$$

The singular hyperbolic scaling

$$arepsilon rac{\partial f_arepsilon}{\partial t} + arepsilon v \cdot
abla_x f_arepsilon -
abla \psi_arepsilon \cdot
abla_v f_arepsilon = \mathrm{div}_v \left(v f_arepsilon +
abla_v f_arepsilon + ((\phi_arepsilon v) * f_arepsilon) f_arepsilon
ight),$$

where the scaled influence function is $\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) = \frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2 + c_{\kappa,\lambda}r^2)^{\lambda}}$.

D. P., J. Soler (2017).

The limit $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ can be understood as a coupled limit where inertia vanishes and singular interactions appear.

Formally, let us assume that $f_{arepsilon}$ would converge to f in some weak sense. Then,

$$-\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla_v f = \operatorname{div}_v \left(vf + \nabla_v f_+((\phi_0 v) * f)f \right).$$

It then entails that

$$f(t,x,v) = \frac{\rho(t,x)}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|v + \nabla \psi + v(\phi_0*\rho) - \phi_0*(\rho \, u)|^2}{2}\right),$$

where (ρ, u) is a solution to the macroscopic singular system (1).

The singular hyperbolic scaling

$$arepsilon rac{\partial f_arepsilon}{\partial t} + arepsilon v \cdot
abla_x f_arepsilon -
abla \psi_arepsilon \cdot
abla_v f_arepsilon = \mathrm{div}_v \left(v f_arepsilon +
abla_v f_arepsilon + ((\phi_arepsilon v) * f_arepsilon) f_arepsilon
ight),$$

where the scaled influence function is $\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) = \frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2 + c_{\kappa,\lambda}r^2)^{\lambda}}$.

D. P., J. Soler (2017).

The limit $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ can be understood as a coupled limit where inertia vanishes and singular interactions appear.

In order to derive the rigorous limit we will assume the following hypothesis:

$$\begin{cases} f_{\varepsilon}^{0} = f_{\varepsilon}^{0}(x,v) \ge 0 \text{ and } f_{\varepsilon}^{0} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}), \\ \|\rho_{\varepsilon}^{0}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \le M_{0} \text{ and } \rho_{\varepsilon}^{0} \xrightarrow{*} \rho^{0} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{N}), \\ \|E_{\varepsilon}^{0}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \le E_{0}, \end{cases}$$
(H1)

$$\begin{split} & \psi_{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(0,T; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N})) \text{ and } \psi \in L^{2}(0,T; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N})), \\ & \nabla\psi_{\varepsilon}(t,\cdot) \in C_{0}(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{N}) \text{ and } \nabla\psi(t,\cdot) \in C_{0}(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{N}), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0,T), \\ & \|\nabla\psi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T; L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{N}))} \leq F_{0} \text{ and } \nabla\psi_{\varepsilon} \to \nabla\psi \text{ in } L^{1}(0,T; C_{0}(\mathbb{R}^{N})), \end{split}$$

Hydrodynamic limit: hierarchy of velocity moments

The hierarchy of velocity moments

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{Density:} & \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v)\,dv,\\ \mathsf{Current:} & j_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v\,f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v)\,dv,\\ \mathsf{Stress tensor:} & \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v\otimes v\,f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v)\,dv,\\ \mathsf{tress flux tensor:} & \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (v\otimes v)\otimes vf_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v)\,dv. \end{array}$$

S

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

э

Hydrodynamic limit: hierarchy of velocity moments

The hierarchy of velocity moments

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{Density:} & \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) \, dv, \\ \mathsf{Current:} & j_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v \, f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) \, dv, \\ \mathsf{Stress tensor:} & \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v \otimes v \, f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) \, dv, \\ \mathsf{Stress flux tensor:} & \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (v \otimes v) \otimes v f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) \, dv. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{Velocity field:} \quad & u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \frac{j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)}{\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x)}, \\ \text{Energy:} \quad & E_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v|^{2} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) \, dv, \\ \text{Energy flux:} \quad & Q_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v |v|^{2} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) \, dv \end{split}$$

David Poyato

Hydrodynamic limit: hierarchy of velocity moments

The hierarchy of velocity moments

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}_{x} j_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$
Hydrodynamic limit: hierarchy of velocity moments

The hierarchy of velocity moments

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}_{x} j_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial j_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} + \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla_x \psi_{\varepsilon} + j_{\varepsilon} + (\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon}) j_{\varepsilon} - (\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \rho_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Hydrodynamic limit: hierarchy of velocity moments

The hierarchy of velocity moments

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}_{x} j_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial j_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} + \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla_x \psi_{\varepsilon} + j_{\varepsilon} + (\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon}) j_{\varepsilon} - (\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \rho_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_{x} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon} + 2\operatorname{Sym}(j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \nabla_{x} \psi_{\varepsilon}) + 2\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} + 2(\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} - 2\operatorname{Sym}((\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \otimes j_{\varepsilon}) - 2\rho_{\varepsilon}I = 0.$$

Hydrodynamic limit: hierarchy of velocity moments

The hierarchy of velocity moments

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}_{x} j_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial j_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} + \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla_x \psi_{\varepsilon} + j_{\varepsilon} + (\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon}) j_{\varepsilon} - (\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \rho_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_{x} \mathcal{T}_{\varepsilon} + 2\operatorname{Sym}(j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \nabla_{x} \psi_{\varepsilon}) + 2\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} + 2(\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon})\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} - 2\operatorname{Sym}((\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \otimes j_{\varepsilon}) - 2\rho_{\varepsilon}I = 0.$$

Formally, if we could pass to the limit in the nonlinear term of the current equation and we had some a priori estimate for ρ_{ε} , j_{ε} and S_{ε} , then we might show that inertia vanishes when $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the moment equation and we would success in closing a limiting system in terms of ρ and j.

Hydrodynamic limit: weak form of the moment equations

The continuity and momentum equation in weak form read

$$\begin{split} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rho_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \varphi \cdot j_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \, dt &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_{\varepsilon}^0 \varphi(0, \cdot) \, dx, \\ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(-\varepsilon j_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \varepsilon \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi + \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon} \varphi + j_{\varepsilon} \varphi \right) \, dx \, dt \\ &- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left((\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \rho_{\varepsilon} - (\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon}) j_{\varepsilon} \right) \varphi \, dx \, dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} j_{\varepsilon}^0 \varphi(0, \cdot) \, dx, \end{split}$$

for every test function $\varphi \in C_0^1([0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Hydrodynamic limit: weak form of the moment equations

The continuity and momentum equation in weak form read

$$\begin{split} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rho_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \varphi \cdot j_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \, dt &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_{\varepsilon}^0 \varphi(0, \cdot) \, dx, \\ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(-\varepsilon j_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \varepsilon \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi + \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon} \varphi + j_{\varepsilon} \varphi \right) \, dx \, dt \\ &- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left((\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \rho_{\varepsilon} - (\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon}) j_{\varepsilon} \right) \varphi \, dx \, dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} j_{\varepsilon}^0 \varphi(0, \cdot) \, dx, \end{split}$$

for every test function $\varphi\in C_0^1([0,T)\times \mathbb{R}^N).$

The nonlinear term can be restated as follows

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) \left(\varphi(t,x) - \varphi(t,y)\right)}_{H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t,x,y)} \left(\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x) j_{\varepsilon}(t,y) - \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,y) j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)\right) \, dx \, dy \, dt$$

Hydrodynamic limit: weak form of the moment equations

The continuity and momentum equation in weak form read

$$\begin{split} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rho_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \varphi \cdot j_{\varepsilon} \right) dx \, dt &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_{\varepsilon}^0 \varphi(0, \cdot) \, dx, \\ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(-\varepsilon j_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \varepsilon \mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi + \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon} \varphi + j_{\varepsilon} \varphi \right) \, dx \, dt \\ &- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left((\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \rho_{\varepsilon} - (\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon}) j_{\varepsilon} \right) \varphi \, dx \, dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} j_{\varepsilon}^0 \varphi(0, \cdot) \, dx, \end{split}$$

for every test function $\varphi \in C_0^1([0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^N).$

The nonlinear term can be restated as follows

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) \left(\varphi(t,x) - \varphi(t,y)\right)}_{H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t,x,y)} \left(\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x) j_{\varepsilon}(t,y) - \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,y) j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)\right) \, dx \, dy \, dt$$

 Passing to the limit in the linear terms: weak-* compactness of ρ_ε and j_ε along with a priori bound of E_ε.
 Passing to the limit in the nonlinear term: strong compactness of the kernel H^{λ,ε}_φ and weak-* compactness of ρ_ε ⊗ j_ε - j_ε ⊗ ρ_ε.
 The value of λ in the singularity plays a role.

David Poyato

O Estimate for ρ_{ε} :

 $\|\rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \leq M_{0}.$

< 🗗 🕨 🔸

э

() Estimate for ρ_{ε} :

$$\|\rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \leq M_{0}.$$

2 Estimate for E_{ε} :

Taking traces in the equation for \mathcal{S}_ε we obtain the corresponding one for the energy E_ε :

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial E_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_{x} Q_{\varepsilon} + j_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_{x} \psi_{\varepsilon} + 2E_{\varepsilon} + 2(\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon})E_{\varepsilon} - 2(\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \cdot j_{\varepsilon} - N\rho_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

< + **-□** < - < <

E 5 4

O Estimate for ρ_{ε} :

$$\|\rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \leq M_{0}.$$

2 Estimate for E_ε:

Taking traces in the equation for \mathcal{S}_ε we obtain the corresponding one for the energy E_ε :

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial E_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x Q_{\varepsilon} + j_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \psi_{\varepsilon} + 2E_{\varepsilon} + 2(\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon})E_{\varepsilon} - 2(\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \cdot j_{\varepsilon} - N\rho_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Then, integrating with respect to x we obtain the next bound of energy

$$\begin{split} \|E_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|)|v-w|^{2} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) f_{\varepsilon}(t,y,w) \, dx \, dy \, dv \, dw \, dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon E_{0} + \frac{1}{2} F_{0} + \frac{1}{8} M_{0}. \end{split}$$

< 🗗 🕨 🔸

O Estimate for ρ_{ε} :

$$\|\rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \leq M_{0}.$$

2 Estimate for E_ε:

Taking traces in the equation for \mathcal{S}_ε we obtain the corresponding one for the energy E_ε :

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial E_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x Q_{\varepsilon} + j_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \psi_{\varepsilon} + 2E_{\varepsilon} + 2(\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon})E_{\varepsilon} - 2(\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \cdot j_{\varepsilon} - N\rho_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Then, integrating with respect to x we obtain the next bound of energy

$$\begin{split} \|E_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|)|v-w|^{2} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) f_{\varepsilon}(t,y,w) \, dx \, dy \, dv \, dw \, dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon E_{0} + \frac{1}{2} F_{0} + \frac{1}{8} M_{0}. \end{split}$$

< 🗗 🕨 🔸

1 Estimate for ρ_{ε} :

$$\|\rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \leq M_{0}.$$

2 Estimate for E_ε:

Taking traces in the equation for \mathcal{S}_ε we obtain the corresponding one for the energy E_ε :

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial E_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x Q_{\varepsilon} + j_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \psi_{\varepsilon} + 2E_{\varepsilon} + 2(\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon})E_{\varepsilon} - 2(\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \cdot j_{\varepsilon} - N\rho_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Then, integrating with respect to x we obtain the next bound of energy

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} &+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|)|v-w|^{2} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) f_{\varepsilon}(t,y,w) \, dx \, dy \, dv \, dw \, dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon E_{0} + \frac{1}{2}F_{0} + \frac{1}{8}M_{0}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{3 Estimate for } j_{\varepsilon}: \\ \|j_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} &\leq \left[2M_{0}\left(\varepsilon E_{0} + \frac{1}{2}F_{0} + \frac{1}{8}M_{0}\right)\right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{2} David Poyato \end{aligned}$$

The above estimates for ρ_{ε} and j_{ε} yield weak-* limits $\rho \in L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $j \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N))^N$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rho_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \rho & \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{N})), \\ j_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} j & \text{ in } L^{2}(0,T;\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))^{N}. \end{array}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The above estimates for ρ_{ε} and j_{ε} yield weak-* limits $\rho \in L^{\infty}(0,T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $j \in L^2(0,T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N))^N$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rho_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \rho & \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{N})), \\ j_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} j & \text{ in } L^{2}(0,T;\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))^{N}. \end{array}$$

This, along with the boundedness in $L^1(0,T;L^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$ of $\mathcal{S}_{\varepsilon}$ allow passing to the limit in the linear terms:

$$\begin{split} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \rho_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \varphi \cdot j_{\varepsilon} \right) \, dx \, dt &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_{\varepsilon}^0 \varphi(0, \cdot) \, dx, \\ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(-\varepsilon j_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \varepsilon S_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi + \rho_{\varepsilon} \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon} \varphi + j_{\varepsilon} \varphi \right) \, dx \, dt \\ &- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left((\phi_{\varepsilon} * j_{\varepsilon}) \rho_{\varepsilon} - (\phi_{\varepsilon} * \rho_{\varepsilon}) j_{\varepsilon} \right) \varphi \, dx \, dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} j_{\varepsilon}^0 \varphi(0, \cdot) \, dx, \end{split}$$

David Poyato

Recall that the nonlinear term can be restated as follows:

 $\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) \left(\varphi(t,x) - \varphi(t,y)\right)}_{H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t,x,y)} (\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x)j_{\varepsilon}(t,y) - \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,y)j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) \, dx \, dy \, dt$

Recall that the nonlinear term can be restated as follows:

 $\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) \left(\varphi(t,x) - \varphi(t,y)\right)}_{H^{\lambda,\varepsilon}_{\varphi}(t,x,y)} (\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x)j_{\varepsilon}(t,y) - \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,y)j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) \, dx \, dy \, dt$

We will now focus on $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Recall that the nonlinear term can be restated as follows:

 $\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) \left(\varphi(t,x) - \varphi(t,y)\right)}_{H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t,x,y)} (\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x)j_{\varepsilon}(t,y) - \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,y)j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) \, dx \, dy \, dt$

We will now focus on $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Strong convergence of the kernel: It is clear that

$$|\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) - \phi_0(r)| \le C_{\lambda} \frac{\varepsilon^{1-2\lambda}}{r} \stackrel{\lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})}{\Longrightarrow} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \|H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon} - H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,0}\|_{C([0,T],C_0(\mathbb{R}^N))} = 0.$$

Recall that the nonlinear term can be restated as follows:

 $\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) \left(\varphi(t,x) - \varphi(t,y)\right)}_{H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t,x,y)} (\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x)j_{\varepsilon}(t,y) - \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,y)j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) \, dx \, dy \, dt$

We will now focus on $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Strong convergence of the kernel: It is clear that

$$|\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) - \phi_0(r)| \le C_{\lambda} \frac{\varepsilon^{1-2\lambda}}{r} \stackrel{\lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})}{\Longrightarrow} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \|H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon} - H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,0}\|_{C([0,T],C_0(\mathbb{R}^N))} = 0.$$

2 Weak-* convergence of $\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}$:

Recall that the nonlinear term can be restated as follows:

 $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) \left(\varphi(t,x) - \varphi(t,y)\right)}_{H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t,x,y)} (\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x)j_{\varepsilon}(t,y) - \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,y)j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) \, dx \, dy \, dt}_{H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t,x,y)}$

We will now focus on $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Strong convergence of the kernel: It is clear that

$$|\phi_{\varepsilon}(r)-\phi_0(r)| \leq C_{\lambda} \frac{\varepsilon^{1-2\lambda}}{r} \stackrel{\lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})}{\Longrightarrow} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \|H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}-H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,0}\|_{C([0,T],C_0(\mathbb{R}^N))} = 0.$$

2 Weak-* convergence of $\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}$: Using the a priori bounds of ρ_{ε} and j_{ε} along with the continuity equation one has $\|\rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{0,\frac{1}{2}}([0,T],W^{-1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \leq T^{1/2} \|\rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} + \|j_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))}.$

Recall that the nonlinear term can be restated as follows:

 $\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) \left(\varphi(t,x) - \varphi(t,y)\right)}_{H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t,x,y)} (\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x)j_{\varepsilon}(t,y) - \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,y)j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) \, dx \, dy \, dt$

We will now focus on $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Strong convergence of the kernel: It is clear that

$$|\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) - \phi_0(r)| \le C_{\lambda} \frac{\varepsilon^{1-2\lambda}}{r} \stackrel{\lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})}{\Longrightarrow} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \|H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon} - H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,0}\|_{C([0,T],C_0(\mathbb{R}^N))} = 0.$$

Weak-* convergence of ρ_ε ⊗ j_ε − j_ε ⊗ ρ_ε: Using the a priori bounds of ρ_ε and j_ε along with the continuity equation one has

$$\|\rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{0,\frac{1}{2}}([0,T],W^{-1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \leq T^{1/2} \|\rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} + \|j_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))}.$$

By Ascoli-Arzelà theorem in weak-* form one can show that

$$\rho_{\varepsilon} \to \rho \text{ in } C([0,T]; W^{-1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N) - \mathsf{weak}^*).$$

Recall that the nonlinear term can be restated as follows:

 $\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) \left(\varphi(t,x) - \varphi(t,y)\right)}_{H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t,x,y)} (\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x)j_{\varepsilon}(t,y) - \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,y)j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) \, dx \, dy \, dt$

We will now focus on $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Strong convergence of the kernel: It is clear that

$$|\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) - \phi_0(r)| \le C_{\lambda} \frac{\varepsilon^{1-2\lambda}}{r} \stackrel{\lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})}{\Longrightarrow} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \|H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon} - H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,0}\|_{C([0,T],C_0(\mathbb{R}^N))} = 0.$$

2 Weak-* convergence of $\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}$:

Recall that the nonlinear term can be restated as follows:

 $\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) \left(\varphi(t,x) - \varphi(t,y)\right)}_{H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon}(t,x,y)} (\rho_{\varepsilon}(t,x)j_{\varepsilon}(t,y) - \rho_{\varepsilon}(t,y)j_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) \, dx \, dy \, dt$

We will now focus on $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Strong convergence of the kernel: It is clear that

$$|\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) - \phi_0(r)| \le C_{\lambda} \frac{\varepsilon^{1-2\lambda}}{r} \stackrel{\lambda \in (0,\frac{1}{2})}{\Longrightarrow} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \|H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,\varepsilon} - H_{\varphi}^{\lambda,0}\|_{C([0,T],C_0(\mathbb{R}^N))} = 0.$$

2 Weak-* convergence of $\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}$:

$$\begin{array}{l} \rho_{\varepsilon} \to \rho \quad \text{in } C([0,T]; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) - \mathsf{weak}^{*}), \\ j_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} j \quad \text{in } L^{2}(0,T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{N})), \end{array} \right\}, \\ \Longrightarrow \rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho \quad \text{in } L^{2}(0,T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2N})). \end{array}$$

1 We can still pass to the limit in $\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}$.

1 We can still pass to the limit in $\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}$.

We cannot pass to the limit in the kernels.

Indeed, $H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}(t,x,y)$ may exhibit jump discontinuities along the diagonal points x = y, but it is bounded and continuous outside such set and falls-off at infinity.

- **1** We can still pass to the limit in $\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}$.
- **2** We cannot pass to the limit in the kernels. Indeed, $H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}(t,x,y)$ may exhibit jump discontinuities along the diagonal points x = y, but it is bounded and continuous outside such set and falls-off at infinity.
- **3** Solution: absence of concentration for all $\lambda \in (0, N/2)$. Set $\Omega_R := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} : |x - y| < R\}$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;\mathcal{M}(\Omega_{R}))} &\leq (\varepsilon^{2} + R^{2})^{\lambda/2} M_{0} \\ &\times \left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|)|v-w|^{2} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) f_{\varepsilon}(t,y,w) \, dt \, dx \, dy \, dv \, dw\right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

- **1** We can still pass to the limit in $\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}$.
- **2** We cannot pass to the limit in the kernels. Indeed, $H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}(t,x,y)$ may exhibit jump discontinuities along the diagonal points x = y, but it is bounded and continuous outside such set and falls-off at infinity.
- **3** Solution: absence of concentration for all $\lambda \in (0, N/2)$. Set $\Omega_R := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} : |x - y| < R\}$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;\mathcal{M}(\Omega_{R}))} &\leq (\varepsilon^{2} + R^{2})^{\lambda/2} M_{0} \\ &\times \left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}} \phi_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|)|v-w|^{2} f_{\varepsilon}(t,x,v) f_{\varepsilon}(t,y,w) \, dt \, dx \, dy \, dv \, dw\right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there is non-concentration in the following sense

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon,R\to 0} \|\rho_{\varepsilon}\otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon}\otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;\mathcal{M}(\Omega_{R}))} = 0.$$

How does the non-concentration helps ?

How does the non-concentration helps ?

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon} (\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0} (\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho)$$

How does the non-concentration helps ?

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon}(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}(\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} (H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon} - H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0})(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon})$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}[(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - (\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho)].$$

A 🖓

How does the non-concentration helps ?

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon}(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}(\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} (H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon} - H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0})(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon})$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}[(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - (\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho)].$$

1 The non-concentration result allows passing to the limit in the pink term even though $H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}$ may be discontinuous along the diagonal points.

How does the non-concentration helps ?

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon}(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}(\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} (H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon} - H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0})(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon})$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}[(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - (\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho)].$$

- **1** The non-concentration result allows passing to the limit in the pink term even though $H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}$ may be discontinuous along the diagonal points.
- 2 For the blue term notice that $|\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) \phi_0(r)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\sqrt{3}r} \phi_{\varepsilon}(r)^{1/2}$.

How does the non-concentration helps ?

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon}(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}(\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} (H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon} - H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0})(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon})$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}[(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - (\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho)].$$

- The non-concentration result allows passing to the limit in the pink term even though H^{1/2,0} may be discontinuous along the diagonal points.
- **②** For the blue term notice that $|\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) \phi_0(r)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\sqrt{3}r}\phi_{\varepsilon}(r)^{1/2}$. Thus, it can be bounded by

$$\varepsilon^{1/2}T^{1/2}M_0\left(\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}}|v-w|^2\phi_\varepsilon(|x-y|)f_\varepsilon(t,x,v)f_\varepsilon(t,y,w)\,dt\,dx\,dy\,dv\,dw\right)^{1/2}$$

How does the non-concentration helps ?

$$\begin{split} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon}(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}(\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho) \\ = & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} (H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},\varepsilon} - H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0})(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} H_{\varphi}^{\frac{1}{2},0}[(\rho_{\varepsilon} \otimes j_{\varepsilon} - j_{\varepsilon} \otimes \rho_{\varepsilon}) - (\rho \otimes j - j \otimes \rho)] \end{split}$$

The non-concentration result allows passing to the limit in the pink term even though H^{1/2,0} may be discontinuous along the diagonal points.

② For the blue term notice that $|\phi_{\varepsilon}(r) - \phi_0(r)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\sqrt{3}r}\phi_{\varepsilon}(r)^{1/2}$. Thus, it can be bounded by

$$\varepsilon^{1/2}T^{1/2}M_0\left(\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4N}}|v-w|^2\phi_\varepsilon(|x-y|)f_\varepsilon(t,x,v)f_\varepsilon(t,y,w)\,dt\,dx\,dy\,dv\,dw\right)^{1/2}$$

F. Poupaud, *Diagonal defect measures, adhesion dynamics and Euler equation*, Methods Appl. Anal. **9** (2002) 533–562.

Theorem

Under the hypothesis (H_1) and (H_2) and for any $\lambda \in (0, 1/2]$ the macroscopic quantities ρ_{ε} and j_{ε} satisfy

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\varepsilon} &\to \rho, \quad \text{in } C([0,T], \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N) - \textit{weak*}), \\ j_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} j, \quad \text{in } L^2(0,T; \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N))^N. \end{split}$$

where (ρ, j) is a local-in-time weak measure-valued solution to the Cauchy problem associated with the following Euler-type system

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div} \, j = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \, t \in [0,T), \\ \rho \, \nabla \psi + j = (\phi_0 * j) \rho - (\phi_0 * \rho) j, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \, t \in [0,T) \\ \rho(0,\cdot) = \rho^0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{array} \right.$

Singular macro system: well-posedness in Sobolev spaces

In terms of ρ and u, the singular macroscopic system can be restated as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \ge 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Singular macro system: well-posedness in Sobolev spaces

In terms of ρ and u, the singular macroscopic system can be restated as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \ge 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

We will **uncouple the problem** into a transport equation for ρ driven by u and an implicit equation for u for a given ρ , i.e.,

Singular macro system: well-posedness in Sobolev spaces

In terms of ρ and u, the singular macroscopic system can be restated as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \ge 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

We will **uncouple the problem** into a transport equation for ρ driven by u and an implicit equation for u for a given ρ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) &= 0, \\ \rho(0, x) &= \rho^0(x), \end{aligned} \tag{TE}$$
Singular macro system: well-posedness in Sobolev spaces

In terms of ρ and u, the singular macroscopic system can be restated as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \ge 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

We will **uncouple the problem** into a transport equation for ρ driven by u and an implicit equation for u for a given ρ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), \end{cases}$$
(TE)

$$u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi.$$
 (IE)

Singular macro system: well-posedness in Sobolev spaces

In terms of ρ and u, the singular macroscopic system can be restated as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \ge 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

We will **uncouple the problem** into a transport equation for ρ driven by u and an implicit equation for u for a given ρ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), \end{cases}$$
(TE)

$$u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi.$$
 (IE)

Then, we will study each problem separately and will try to find a solution via a **fixed point argument** when ρ and u are taken in spaces with **high enough Sobolev** regularity.

First, let us focus on the transport problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), \end{cases}$$
(TE)

First, let us focus on the transport problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), \end{cases}$$
(TE)

First, let us focus on the transport problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), \end{cases}$$
(TE)

If $u \in L^1(0,T; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^N)) \rightsquigarrow$ Caratheodory conditions.

First, let us focus on the transport problem:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ &\rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), \end{aligned} \tag{TE}$$

1 If $u \in L^1(0,T; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)) \rightsquigarrow$ Caratheodory conditions.

2 The characteristic system defines a **bi-Lipschitz particle flow**.

First, let us focus on the transport problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), \end{cases}$$
(TE)

1 If $u \in L^1(0,T; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)) \rightsquigarrow$ Caratheodory conditions.

- 2 The characteristic system defines a **bi-Lipschitz particle flow**.
- **③** For any $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $0 \le \rho^0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists a unique weak solution

$$\rho \equiv \mathcal{D}[u] \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})).$$

First, let us focus on the transport problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho(0, x) = \rho^0(x), \end{cases}$$
(TE)

 $If \ u \in L^1(0,T; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^N)) \rightsquigarrow \text{ Caratheodory conditions}.$

2 The characteristic system defines a **bi-Lipschitz particle flow**.

§ For any $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $0 \le \rho^0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exists a unique weak solution

$$\rho \equiv \mathcal{D}[u] \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})).$$

Indeed, the next estimate holds

$$\|D[u]\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{p'}\|u\|_{L^{1}(0,T;W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N},\mathbb{R}^{N}))}\right) \|\rho^{0}\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N})}.$$

Second, let us concentrate in the implicit integral equation:

$$u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi.$$
 (IE)

Second, let us concentrate in the implicit integral equation:

$$u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi. \tag{IE}$$

Second, let us concentrate in the implicit integral equation:

$$u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi. \tag{IE}$$

Let us set the next integral operator:

$$\mathcal{C}[\rho, u] := \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u = -\frac{1}{c_\lambda^\lambda} [u, I_{N-2\lambda}]\rho.$$

Second, let us concentrate in the implicit integral equation:

$$u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi. \tag{IE}$$

Let us set the next integral operator:

$$\mathcal{C}[\rho, u] := \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u = -\frac{1}{c_{\lambda}^{\lambda}}[u, I_{N-2\lambda}]\rho.$$

~ Harmonic analysis: Commutator of mildly singular integrals.

$$\rho \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \ u \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N), \ 1$$

Second, let us concentrate in the implicit integral equation:

$$u = \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u - \nabla \psi. \tag{IE}$$

Let us set the next integral operator:

$$\mathcal{C}[\rho, u] := \phi_0 * (\rho u) - (\phi_0 * \rho)u = -\frac{1}{c_{\lambda}^{\lambda}}[u, I_{N-2\lambda}]\rho.$$

~ Harmonic analysis: Commutator of mildly singular integrals.

$$\rho \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \ u \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N), \ 1$$

S. Chanillo, A note on commutator, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **31** (1982) 7–16.

D. Cruz–Uribe, A. Fiorenza, *Endpoint estimates and weighted norm inequalities for commutator of fractional integrals*, Pub. Mat. **47** (2003), 103–131.

Singular macro system: estimates of commutators

Based on Hardy-Littlewood-Sovolev theorem we obtain the next results:

Theorem $(W^{1,\infty} \text{ regularity})$

Consider
$$1 \le p_1 < p_2 \le \infty$$
 with $\frac{1}{p_2} < 1 - \frac{2\lambda}{N} < \frac{1}{p_1}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & [u, I_{N-2\lambda}]\rho)\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,\infty})} \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,\infty})} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{p_1})}^{(1/p_2'-\frac{2\lambda}{N})/(1/p_1-1/p_2)} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{p_2})}^{(\frac{2\lambda}{N}-1/p_1')/(1/p_1-1/p_2)} \\ \end{aligned}$$

Theorem $(L^s \text{ regularity})$

||(

Consider
$$1 \le p_1 < p_2 \le \infty$$
 and $1 \le s < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p_2} < 1 - \frac{2\lambda}{N} < \frac{1}{p_1}$ and $s > \frac{N}{2\lambda}$. Then,
 $\|([u, I_{N-2\lambda}]\rho)\|_{L^1(0,T;L^s)}$
 $\le C \|u\|_{L^1(0,T;L^s)} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{p_1})}^{(1/p_2^2 - \frac{2\lambda}{N})/(1/p_1 - 1/p_2)} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{p_2})}^{(\frac{2\lambda}{N} - 1/p_1')/(1/p_1 - 1/p_2)}.$

Restating the macroscopic system:

 $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{D}[u], u] - \nabla \psi = u.$

< 47 ▶ <

э

Restating the macroscopic system:

$$\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{D}[u], u] - \nabla \psi = u.$$

2 Defining the fixed-point problem: Set $\Phi[u] := C[\mathcal{D}[u], u] - \nabla \psi$, then the system amounts to the fixed point equation

$$\Phi[u] = u.$$

< 🗗 🕨 🔸

Restating the macroscopic system:

$$\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{D}[u], u] - \nabla \psi = u.$$

2 Defining the fixed-point problem: Set $\Phi[u] := C[\mathcal{D}[u], u] - \nabla \psi$, then the system amounts to the fixed point equation

$$\Phi[u] = u.$$

Oefinition of Banach spaces:

$$W^{k,p,q}(\mathbb{R}^N) := (W^{k-1,p} \cap W^{k-1,q} \cap W^{k,\infty})(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

< /⊒ > <

Restating the macroscopic system:

$$\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{D}[u], u] - \nabla \psi = u.$$

② Defining the fixed-point problem: Set Φ[u] := C[D[u], u] − ∇ψ, then the system amounts to the fixed point equation

$$\Phi[u] = u.$$

Oefinition of Banach spaces:

$$W^{k,p,q}(\mathbb{R}^N) := (W^{k-1,p} \cap W^{k-1,q} \cap W^{k,\infty})(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

4 High regularity estimates of the operators: Consider $\lambda \in (0, N/2)$ and $1 \le p_1 < p_2 \le \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p_2} < 1 - \frac{2\lambda}{N} < \frac{1}{p_1}$. Set any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $k > \max\{\frac{k}{2N} - 1, \frac{N}{2\lambda p_1}\}$. Then,

$$\|\mathcal{D}[u]\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{p_{i}})} \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{p_{i}'}\|u\|_{L^{1}(0,T;W^{1,\infty})}\right)\|\rho^{0}\|_{L^{p_{i}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{C}[\rho, u]\|_{L^{1}(0,T; W^{1,kp_{1},kp_{2}})} &\leq C \|u\|_{L^{1}(0,T; W^{1,kp_{1},kp_{2}})} \\ &\times \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{p_{1}})}^{(1/p_{2}'-\frac{2\lambda}{N})/(1/p_{1}-1/p_{2})} \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{p_{2}})}^{(\frac{2\lambda}{N}-1/p_{1}')/(1/p_{1}-1/p_{2})} \end{aligned}$$

5 Domain and codomain of Φ : Consider $\lambda \in (0, N/2)$ and $1 \le p_1 < p_2 \le \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p_2} < 1 - \frac{2\lambda}{N} < \frac{1}{p_1}$. Set any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $k > \max\{\frac{k}{2N} - 1, \frac{N}{2\lambda p_1}\}$. Then, $\Phi: L^1(0, T; W^{1, kp_1, kp_2}) \longrightarrow L^1(0, T; W^{1, kp_1, kp_2}),$

and

$$\begin{split} \|\Phi[u]\|_{L^{1}(0,T;W^{1,kp_{1},kp_{2}})} \\ &\leq \|\rho^{0}\|_{L^{p_{1}}}^{(1/p_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{2\lambda}{N})/(1/p_{1}-1/p_{2})}\|\rho^{0}\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{(\frac{2\lambda}{N}-1/p_{1}^{\prime})/(1/p_{1}-1/p_{2})}\kappa_{1}(\|u\|_{L^{1}(0,T;W^{1,kp_{1},kp_{2}})}) \\ &\quad + \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{1}(0,T;W^{1,kp_{1},kp_{2}})}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \|\Phi[u_1] - \Phi[u_2]\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,kp_1,kp_2})} &\leq \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,kp_1,kp_2})} \\ &\times \|\rho^0\|_{W^{k,p_1,p_2}\kappa_2} \left(\|u_1\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,kp_1,kp_2})}, \|u_2\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,kp_1,kp_2})}\right). \end{split}$$

6 For any
$$R > \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,kp_1,kp_2})}$$
, set

$$\mathcal{B}_R := \{ u \in W^{1, kp_1, kp_2}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_{W^{1, kp_1, kp_2}} \le R \}$$

and assume that $\rho^0 \in W^{k,p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is small enough.

• For any
$$R > \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,kp_1,kp_2})}$$
, set
$$\mathcal{B}_R := \{ u \in W^{1,kp_1,kp_2}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_{W^{1,kp_1,kp_2}} \leq R \}$$
and assume that $\rho^0 \in W^{k,p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is small enough. Then,

• $\Phi(\mathcal{B}_R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_R$,

A 🖓

• For any
$$R > \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,kp_1,kp_2})}$$
, set

$$\mathcal{B}_R := \{ u \in W^{1,kp_1,kp_2}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_{W^{1,kp_1,kp_2}} \leq R \}$$
and assume that $\rho^0 \in W^{k,p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is small enough. Then,

•
$$\Phi(\mathcal{B}_R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_R$$
,

• Φ becomes a contractive mapping.

• For any
$$R > \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,kp_1,kp_2})}$$
, set

$$\mathcal{B}_R := \{ u \in W^{1,kp_1,kp_2}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_{W^{1,kp_1,kp_2}} \le R \}$$
and assume that $\rho^0 \in W^{k,p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is small enough. Then,

•
$$\Phi(\mathcal{B}_R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_R$$
,

• Φ becomes a contractive mapping.

~ Banach' contraction principle.

Singular macro system: well-posedness in Sobolev spaces

Theorem

Let λ be any exponent in (0, N/2) and $1 \le p_1 < p_2 \le \infty$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p_2} < 1 - \frac{2\lambda}{N} < \frac{1}{p_1}$$

Consider any (large enough) positive integer k so that

$$k > \max\left\{\frac{N}{2\lambda} - 1, \frac{N}{2\lambda p_1}\right\}.$$

Then, for any positive radius R there exists some positive constant δ_R depending on R such that the macroscopic system admits one, and only one solution

$$u \in L^{1}(0,T; W^{1,kp_{1},kp_{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{N},\mathbb{R}^{N})), \ \rho \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{p_{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{N}) \cap L^{p_{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{N}))$$

with $||u||_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,kp_1,kp_2}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^N))} \leq R$, as long as the external force $F = -\nabla \psi$ and the initial datum ρ^0 are taken so that they fulfil the next conditions

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,kp_1,kp_2}(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R}^N))} < R \ \text{ and } \ \|\rho^0\|_{W^{k,p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \delta_R.$$

(Soaring)

Figure: Flock of vultures soaring in a thermal. (Click here to Youtube)

David Poyato

Singular first order Cucker-Smale model

CSCAMM (UMD) 24 / 26

Image: A matrix of the second seco

- From microscopic description to mesoscopic.
- Initial Singular hyperbolic scaling (there are others) of the kinetic equation.
- Signature First order hydrodynamic and singular limit for $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
- Regularity estimates of the commutator of mildly singular integrals.
- **③** Well-posedness of the macroscopic system for $0 < \lambda < \frac{N}{2}$.

- From microscopic description to mesoscopic.
- Singular hyperbolic scaling (there are others) of the kinetic equation.
- Signature First order hydrodynamic and singular limit for $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$.
- O Regularity estimates of the commutator of mildly singular integrals.
- **③** Well-posedness of the macroscopic system for $0 < \lambda < \frac{N}{2}$.
- D. Poyato, J. Soler, *Euler-type equations and commutators in singular and hyperbolic limits of kinetic Cucker-Smale models*, Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci, **27**(6) (2017), 1089-1152.

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト

THANK YOU!!

æ

26 / 26

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回