

Lecture Notes in Mathematics

Edited by A. Dold and B. Eckmann

1270

C. Carasso P.-A. Raviart D. Serre (Eds.)

Nonlinear Hyperbolic Problems

Proceedings of an Advanced Research Workshop
held in St. Etienne, France
January 13–17, 1986



Springer-Verlag

Berlin Heidelberg New York London Paris Tokyo

Editors

Claude Carasso
Denis Serre
Analyse Numérique,
23 rue du Dr. Paul Michelon
42023 Saint Etienne Cedex 2, France

Pierre-Arnaud Raviart
Université Pierre et Marie Curie
Analyse Numérique
4, place Jussieu
75230 Paris Cedex 05, France

Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 35L, 65M, 76N

ISBN 3-540-18200-4 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York
ISBN 0-387-18200-4 Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in other ways, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is only permitted under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its version of June 24, 1985, and a copyright fee must always be paid. Violations fall under the prosecution act of the German Copyright Law.

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1987
Printed in Germany

Printing and binding: Druckhaus Beltz, Hemsbach/Bergstr.
2146/3140-543210

CONTENTS

I - Numerical Analysis

a - General theory.

- A.Bourgeade, Ph.Le Floch, P.A.Raviart:** Approximate solution of the generalized Riemann problem and applications. 1
- B.Engquist:** Computation of oscillatory solutions to partial differential equations. 10
- A.Harten:** Preliminary results on the extension of ENO schemes to two-dimensional problems. 23
- P.L.Roe:** Upwind differencing schemes for hyperbolic conservation laws with source terms. 41
- E.Tadmor:** The entropy dissipation by numerical viscosity in nonlinear conservative difference schemes. 52

b - Main applications.

- V.Billey, J.Periaux, P.Perrier, B.Stoufflet:** 2-D and 3-D Euler computations with finite element methods in aerodynamics. 64
- J-J.Chattot, S.Malet:** A "box-scheme" for the Euler equations. 82
- J-F.Colombeau, A-Y.Leroux:** Numerical techniques in elastoplasticity. 103
- V.Daru, A.Lerat:** An implicit centered scheme which gives non-oscillatory steady shocks. 115
- Y-I.Shokin:** A computer aided system for investigation and construction of difference schemes of gas dynamics. 128

II - Hyperbolic P.D.E. theory

a - Surveys, open questions.

- S.K.Godunov:** Lois de conservation et intégrales d'énergie des équations hyperboliques. 135
- P.D.Lax:** On symmetrizing hyperbolic differential equations. 150
- B-L.Keyfitz:** A survey of nonstrictly hyperbolic conservation laws. 152
- M.Slemrod:** Admissibility criteria for phase boundaries. 163
- D.H.Wagner:** The transformation from Eulerian to Lagrangian coordinates for solutions with discontinuities. 172

b - Existence theory.

- D.Hoff:** Two existence theorems for systems of conservation laws with dissipation. 181

Li Ta Tsien: Global solutions for some free boundary value problems for quasilinear hyperbolic systems and applications.	195
M.Rasle: Un théorème d'existence globale en élasticité non linéaire mono-dimensionnelle.	210
Wang Jing Hua: A nonhomogeneous system of equations of nonisentropic gas dynamics.	221
<i>c - Qualitative properties of solutions.</i>	
B.Gustafsson: Far field boundary conditions for steady state solutions to hyperbolic systems.	238
M.Schatzman: Can hyperbolic systems of conservation laws be well-posed in BV?	253
D.Serre: Propagation des oscillations dans les systèmes hyperboliques non linéaires.	265
B.Temple: Stability and decay in systems of conservation laws.	286
III - <u>Miscellaneous problems</u>	
C.Bardos: Different approaches for the relations between the kinetic and the macroscopic equations.	308
J.M.Greenberg: Integral transport processes.	324

PREFACE

The International Conference on Non-linear Hyperbolic Problems was held in Saint-Etienne on January 13-17, 1986. Our aim was to get an overview of a branch of applied mathematics which has been expanding very fast over the last few years. As we all know applications are possible in many fields (aerodynamics, multifluid flows, combustion, detonics...), but this involves difficulties of a theoretical as well as a numerical nature. It is therefore not surprising that, in the end, papers dealing with theoretical problems raised by the resolution of non-linear hyperbolic systems outnumbered those which concentrated on numerical methods.

The number of participants, the diversity of the teams, researchers and countries represented do not leave any doubt as to the opportuneness of such a conference. It was particularly useful in as much as it produced the original papers contained in this volume and also because it helped establish conditions of scientific cooperation between research teams. We are confident that the wish expressed by many participants to see the conference become a regular fixture will be fulfilled.

The success of such an undertaking requires the help of many people and organizations. We are particularly grateful to :

le Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS),
the National Science Foundation (NSF),
la Direction des Recherches et Etudes Techniques (DRET, contrat n°85/1392),
the European Research Office of the United States Army,
l'Académie des Sciences de Chine,
le Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique (CEA),
le Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES),
la Société de Mathématiques Appliquées et Industrielles (SMAI),
la Société Mathématique de France (SMF),
les collectivités territoriales (Mairie and Conseil général),

for their precious support.

We also wish to thank Nohra RAHMANI and Hélène CHABREUIL who greatly contributed to the success of the conference by attending to its practical organization.

Claude CARASSO, Pierre-Arnaud RAVIART, Denis SERRE

**THE ENTROPY DISSIPATION BY NUMERICAL VISCOSITY
IN NONLINEAR CONSERVATIVE DIFFERENCE SCHEMES**

Eitan Tadmor*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel-Aviv University
and

Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering

ABSTRACT

We study the question of entropy stability for discrete approximations to hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. We quantify the amount of numerical viscosity present in such schemes, and relate it to their entropy stability by means of comparison. To this end, two main ingredients are used: the entropy variables and the construction of certain entropy conservative schemes in terms of piecewise-linear finite element approximations. We then show that conservative schemes are entropy stable, if they contain more numerical viscosity than the above mentioned entropy conservative ones.

1. THE ENTROPY VARIABLES

We consider semi-discrete schemes of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_v(t) = -\frac{1}{\Delta x_v} [f_{v+1/2} - f_{v-1/2}], \quad (1)$$

which are consistent with the system of conservation laws

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f(u)] = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \quad (2)$$

Here, $f \equiv f(u) = (f_1, \dots, f_N)^T$ is a smooth flux function of the conservative variables $u \equiv u(x,t) = (u_1, \dots, u_N)^T$, $u_v(t)$ denote the discrete solution along the gridline (x_v, t) with $\Delta x_v \equiv \frac{1}{2}(x_{v+1} - x_{v-1})$ being the variable meshsize,

*Research was supported in part by NASA Contract Nos. NAS1-17070 and NAS1-18107 while the author was in residence at ICASE, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665. Additional support was provided by NSF Grant No. DMS85-03294 and ARO Grant No. DAAG29-85-K-0190 while in residence at the University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90024. The author is a Bat-Sheva Foundation Fellow.

and $f_{v+1/2}$ is the Lipschitz continuous numerical flux consistent with differential one⁽¹⁾

$$f_{v+1/2} = f(u_{v-p+1}, \dots, u_{v+p}), \quad f(u, u, \dots, u) = f(u). \quad (3)$$

We are concerned here with the entropy stability question of such schemes. To this end, let $(U \equiv U(u), F \equiv F(u))$ be an entropy pair associated with the system (2), such that

$$U_u^T f_u = F_u^T, \quad U_{uu} > 0. \quad (4)$$

We ask whether the scheme (1) is entropy stable w.r.t. such pair, in the sense that it satisfies a discrete entropy inequality of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} U(u_v(t)) + \frac{1}{\Delta x_v} [F_{v+1/2} - F_{v-1/2}] \leq 0, \quad (5)$$

with $F_{v+1/2}$ being a consistent numerical entropy flux

$$F_{v+1/2} = F(u_{v-p+1}, \dots, u_{v+p}), \quad F(u, u, \dots, u) = F(u). \quad (6)$$

If, in particular, equality takes place in (5), we say that the scheme (1) is entropy conservative. We note in passing that if it holds for a large enough class of entropy pairs, such a discrete entropy inequality is intimately related to both questions of convergence toward a limit solution as well as this limit solution being the unique physically relevant one, e.g. [1], [2], [3].

Making use of the entropy pair (4), Mock [4] (see also [5]), has suggested the following procedure to symmetrize the system (2).

Define the entropy variables

$$v = v(u) = \frac{\partial U}{\partial u}(u). \quad (7)$$

(1) The same notations are used for differential and discrete fluxes; the distinction between the two is by the number of their arguments.

Thanks to the convexity of $U(u)$ the mapping $u \rightarrow v$ is one-to-one. Hence, one can make the change of variables $u = u(v)$ which puts the system (2) into its equivalent symmetric form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u(v)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [g(v)] = 0, \quad g(v) \equiv f(u(v)). \quad (8)$$

The system (8) is symmetric in the sense that the Jacobians of its temporal and spatial fluxes are

$$H \equiv H(v) = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} [u(v)] > 0, \quad B \equiv B(v) = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} [g(v)]. \quad (9)$$

Indeed, if we introduce the so-called potential functions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\equiv \phi(v) = v^T u(v) - U(u(v)) \\ \psi &\equiv \psi(v) = v^T g(v) - F(u(v)), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

then making use of (4) we find

$$\begin{aligned} u(v) &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial v}, \\ g(v) &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and hence the Jacobians $H(v)$ and $B(v)$ in (9), are the symmetric Hessians of $\phi(v)$ and $\psi(v)$, respectively.

Example 1.1. Consider the Euler equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ m \\ E \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ \frac{m^2}{\rho} + p \\ \frac{m}{\rho} (E+p) \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad p = (\gamma - 1) \cdot \left[E - \frac{m^2}{2\rho} \right], \quad (12)$$

asserting the conservation of the density ρ , momentum m and energy E . Harten [6] has noted that this system is equipped with a family of entropy pairs; Godunov [7] and Hughes et al. [8] have studied the canonical choice

$$(U = -\rho S, F = -mS), \quad S = \ln(\rho p^{-\gamma}), \quad (13)$$

which leads to the entropy variables

$$v \equiv \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1-\gamma}{p} \begin{bmatrix} E + \frac{p}{\gamma-1} (S - \gamma - 1) \\ -m \\ \rho \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

The inverse mapping $v \rightarrow u$ can be found in [8]. We call attention to the fact that the corresponding potential pair in this case is given by $(\phi = (\gamma - 1) \cdot \rho, \psi = (\gamma - 1) \cdot m)$, and hence, in view of (11), Euler equations can be rewritten in the intriguing form [10]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\text{grad}_v \rho] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\text{grad}_v m] = 0. \quad (15)$$

Returning to our question of entropy stability, the answer provided in [9], [10], consists of two main ingredients: the use of the entropy variables described above, and the comparison with appropriate entropy conservative schemes. To this end we proceed as follows.

We use the entropy variables--rather than the conservative ones--as our primary dependent quantities, by making the change of variables $u_v = u(v_v)$, e.g., [11], [12]. The scheme (1) is now equivalently expressed as

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_v(t) = -\frac{1}{\Delta x_v} [g_{v+1/2} - g_{v-1/2}], \quad u_v = u(v_v(t)), \quad (16)$$

with a numerical flux

$$g_{v+1/2} = g(v_{v-p+1}, \dots, v_{v+p}), \quad g(\dots, v, \dots) = f(\dots, u(v), \dots), \quad (17)$$

consistent with the differential one

$$g(v, v, \dots, v) = g(v), \quad g(v) = f(u(v)). \quad (18)$$

Defining

$$F_{v+1/2} = v_{v+1}^T g_{v+1/2} - \psi(v_{v+1}), \quad (19)$$

then multiplication on the left of (16) by v_v^T gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} U(u_v(t)) + \frac{1}{\Delta x_v} [F_{v+1/2} - F_{v-1/2}] = \frac{1}{\Delta x_v} [\Delta v_{v+1/2}^T g_{v+1/2} - \Delta \psi_{v+1/2}], \quad (20)$$

$$\Delta \psi_{v+1/2} \equiv \psi(v_{v+1}) - \psi(v_v).$$

In view of (10), $F_{v+1/2}$ is a consistent numerical entropy flux, and this brings us to (e.g., [13], [9, Theorem 5.2])

Theorem 1.2: The conservative scheme (16) is entropy stable (respectively, entropy conservative), if the following inequality (21a) (respectively, equality (21b)) holds

$$\Delta v_{v+1/2}^T g_{v+1/2} \leq \Delta \psi_{v+1/2}, \quad (21a)$$

$$\Delta v_{v+1/2}^T g_{v+1/2} = \Delta \psi_{v+1/2}. \quad (21b)$$

2. THE SCALAR PROBLEM

We discuss the entropy stability of scalar conservative schemes, $N = 1$.
Defining

$$Q_{v+1/2} = \frac{f(u_v) + f(u_{v+1}) - 2g_{v+1/2}}{\Delta v_{v+1/2}}, \quad \Delta v_{v+1/2} = v_{v+1} - v_v \quad (22)$$

then our scheme recast into the more convenient viscosity form

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_v(t) = -\frac{1}{2\Delta x_v} [f(u_{v+1}) - f(u_{v-1})] + \frac{1}{2\Delta x_v} [Q_{v+1/2}\Delta v_{v+1/2} - Q_{v-1/2}\Delta v_{v-1/2}], \quad (23)$$

thus revealing the role of $Q_{v+1/2}$ as the numerical viscosity coefficient [14].

According to (21), the scalar entropy conservative schemes are uniquely determined by $g_{v+1/2} = g_{v+1/2}^*$ where

$$g_{v+1/2}^* = \frac{\Delta \psi_{v+1/2}}{\Delta v_{v+1/2}} = \int_{\xi=0}^1 g(v_{v+1/2}(\xi)) d\xi, \quad v_{v+1/2}(\xi) = v_v + \xi \Delta v_{v+1/2} \quad (24)$$

Writing

$$g_{v+1/2}^* = \int_{\xi=0}^1 \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{2} \right) g(v_{v+1/2}(\xi)) d\xi, \quad (25)$$

and integrating by parts, these entropy conservative schemes assume the viscosity form (23), with viscosity coefficient $Q_{v+1/2} = Q_{v+1/2}^*$, where

$$Q_{v+1/2}^* = \int_{\xi=0}^1 (2\xi - 1) g'(v_{v+1/2}(\xi)) d\xi. \quad (26)$$

We are now ready to characterize entropy stability, by comparison with the above entropy conservative schemes.

Theorem 2.1: The conservative scheme (23) is entropy stable, if it contains more viscosity than the entropy conservative one (26), i.e.,

$$Q_{v+1/2} \leq Q_{v+1/2}^* \quad (27)$$

Proof: Multiplying by $\Delta v_{v+1/2}$ on both sides, the inequality (27) reads

$$\Delta v_{v+1/2} Q_{v+1/2}^* \leq \Delta v_{v+1/2} Q_{v+1/2} \leq \Delta v_{v+1/2} Q_{v+1/2}^* \Delta v_{v+1/2}, \quad (28)$$

or equivalently, consult (22),

$$\Delta v_{v+1/2} [f(u_v) + f(u_{v+1}) - 2g_{v+1/2}^*] \leq \Delta v_{v+1/2} [f(u_v) + f(u_{v+1}) - 2g_{v+1/2}]. \quad (29)$$

In view of (24), this yields

$$\Delta v_{v+1/2} g_{v+1/2} \leq \Delta v_{v+1/2} g_{v+1/2}^* \equiv \Delta \psi_{v+1/2}, \quad (30)$$

and entropy stability follows by Theorem 1.1.

We note in passing that the entropy conservative viscosity, $Q_{v+1/2}^*$ is of order $O(|\Delta v_{v+1/2}|)$, and consequently the entropy conservative schemes (24) are second order accurate. Indeed, change of variables $\xi \rightarrow 1 - \xi$ in (26) yields

$$Q_{v+1/2}^* = - \int_{\xi=0}^1 (2\xi-1) g''(v_{v+1/2}(1-\xi)) d\xi, \quad (31)$$

and by averaging of (26) and (31) we find

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{v+1/2}^* &= \int_{\xi=0}^1 (\xi-1/2) \cdot \int_{\eta=0}^1 \frac{d}{d\eta} g''[\eta v_{v+1/2}(\xi) + (1-\eta) v_{v+1/2}(1-\xi)] d\eta d\xi = \\ &= 2 \int_{\xi=0}^1 (\xi-1/2)^2 \cdot \int_{\eta=0}^1 g''(v_{v+1/2}[\xi\eta + (1-\xi)(1-\eta)]) d\eta d\xi \cdot \Delta v_{v+1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

as asserted. Second order accuracy then follows in view of

Lemma 2.2: Consider the conservative schemes (25) with viscosity coefficient, $Q_{v+1/2}$, such that $\frac{Q_{v+1/2}}{\Delta v_{v+1/2}}$ is Lipschitz continuous. Then these schemes are second-order accurate, in the sense that their truncation is of the

order

$$O[|x_{v+1} - x_v|^2 + |x_v - x_{v-1}|^2 + |x_{v+1} - 2x_v + x_{v-1}|]. \quad (33)$$

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is straightforward and therefore omitted.

Theorem 2.1 together with Lemma 2.2 enable us to verify the entropy stability of first- as well as second-order accurate schemes. We consider a couple of examples.

Example 2.3: Using the simple upper bound, see (32),

$$Q_{v+1/2}^* \leq \frac{1}{6} \max_V |g''(v)| \cdot |\Delta u_{v+1/2}|, \quad (34)$$

we obtain, on the right of (34), a viscosity coefficient which according to Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 maintains both, entropy stability and second-order accuracy. Similar viscosity terms were previously derived in a number of special cases, e.g., [15], [16], [17]. We remark that the careful lengthy calculations required in those derivations is due to the delicate balance between the cubic order of entropy loss and the third-order dissipation in this case.

Example 2.4: Consider the genuinely nonlinear case where $f(u)$ is, say, convex. The quadratic entropy function, $U(u) = \frac{1}{2} u^2$, leads to entropy variables which coincide with the conservative ones, $g(v) = f(u)$. Thus, according to (32), viscosity is required only at rarefactions where $\Delta u_{v+1/2} > 0$, since $\text{sign}(Q_{v+1/2}^*) = \text{sign}(\Delta u_{v+1/2}) < 0$ otherwise. A simple second-order accurate entropy stable flux of this kind is given by

$$f_{v+1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} f(\frac{1}{2}(u_v + u_{v+1})) & \Delta u_{v+1/2} > 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(f(u_v) + f(u_{v+1})) & \Delta u_{v+1/2} < 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (35)$$

3. SYSTEMS OF CONSERVATION LAWS

We generalize the construction of the scalar entropy conservative schemes (24), to systems of conservation laws, using piecewise linear finite-elements.

To this end, consider the weak formulation of (8)

$$\int_{\Omega} w^T \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u(v)] dx dt = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial w^T}{\partial x} g(v) dx dt. \quad (36)$$

Let the trial solutions $w \rightarrow \hat{w}(x, t) = \sum_k w_k(t) \hat{H}_k(x)$ be chosen out of the typical finite-element set spanned by the C^0 "hat functions"

$$\hat{H}_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - x_{k-1}}{x_k - x_{k-1}} & x_{k-1} \leq x \leq x_k \\ \frac{x_{k+1} - x}{x_{k+1} - x_k} & x_k \leq x \leq x_{k+1} \end{cases}. \quad (37)$$

The spatial part on the right of (36) yields--after change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x_{v-1}}^{x_{v+1}} \frac{\partial \hat{H}_v(x)}{\partial x} g[\hat{v}(x, t)] dx &= \sum_{v-1}^{v+1} v_k(t) \hat{H}_k(x) dx = \\ &= - \left[\int_{\xi=0}^1 g(v_{v+1/2}(\xi)) d\xi - \int_{\xi=0}^1 g(v_{v-1/2}(\xi)) d\xi \right], \quad v_{v+1/2}(\xi) = v_v + \xi \Delta v_{v+1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

A second-order mass lumping on the left of (36) leads to

$$\int_{x_{v-1}}^{x_{v+1}} \hat{H}_v(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u[\hat{v}(x, t)] dx = \sum_{v-1}^{v+1} v_k(t) H_k(x) = \Delta x_v \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u(v_v(t))] + O(|\Delta v_{v+1/2}|^2) \quad (39)$$

Equating (38) and (39) while neglecting the quadratic error terms, we end up with, compare (24),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_v(t) = - \frac{1}{\Delta x_v} [g_{v+1/2}^* - g_{v-1/2}^*], \quad g_{v+1/2}^* = \int_{\xi=0}^1 g(v_{v+1/2}(\xi)) d\xi. \quad (40)$$

The resulting scheme (40) is entropy conservative since, consult (11),

$$\Delta v_{v+1/2}^T g_{v+1/2}^* = \int_{\xi=0}^1 \Delta v_{v+1/2} g(v_v + \xi \Delta v_{v+1/2}) d\xi = \int_{v_v}^{v_{v+1}} dv^T g(v) = \Delta \psi_{v+1/2}, \quad (41)$$

in agreement with Theorem 1.2. Integration by parts along the lines which follow (25) shows that the entropy conservative schemes (40) can be also rewritten in the viscosity form

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_v(t) = \frac{-1}{2\Delta x_v} [f(u_{v+1}) - f(u_{v-1})] + \frac{1}{2\Delta x_v} [Q_{v+1/2} \Delta v_{v+1/2} - Q_{v-1/2} \Delta v_{v-1/2}] \quad (42)$$

with a numerical viscosity coefficient matrix, $Q_{v+1/2} = Q_{v+1/2}^*$, where

$$Q_{v+1/2}^* = \int_{\xi=0}^1 (2\xi - 1) \cdot B(v_{v+1/2}(\xi)) d\xi, \quad B(v) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial v} [g(v)]. \quad (43)$$

Once the entropy conservative schemes were identified for systems of conservation laws, we can repeat our previous arguments concerning the entropy stability of (42); in analogy with Theorem 2.1, we now have

Theorem 3.1: The conservative scheme (42) is entropy stable, if it contains more viscosity than the entropy conservative one (40), i.e.,

$$\Delta v_{v+1/2}^T Q_{v+1/2}^* \Delta v_{v+1/2} \leq \Delta v_{v+1/2}^T Q_{v+1/2} \Delta v_{v+1/2} \quad (44)$$

If, in particular, $Q_{v+1/2}$ is symmetric, then a sufficient entropy stability criterion is

$$Q_{v+1/2}^* \leq Q_{v+1/2}, \quad (45)$$

where the inequality is understood in the usual sense of order among symmetric matrices.

Example 3.2: Consider the conservative scheme (42) with a numerical viscosity coefficient given by

$$Q_{v+1/2} = \int_{\xi=0}^1 |B(v_{v+1/2}(\xi))| d\xi. \quad (46)$$

Here the absolute value of a symmetric matrix is evaluated in the usual fashion from its spectral representation, $B = U^* \Lambda U$,

$$|B(v_{v+1/2}(\xi))| = U^*(v_{v+1/2}(\xi)) |\Lambda(v_{v+1/2}(\xi))| U(v_{v+1/2}(\xi)). \quad (47)$$

Since

$$(2\xi-1)\Lambda(v_{v+1/2}(\xi)) \leq |\Lambda(v_{v+1/2}(\xi))|, \quad (48)$$

(45) holds and entropy stability follows.

Away from sonic points, (46) amounts to the usual upwind differencing, e.g., [18]. In the neighborhood of such sonic points, however, an exact evaluation of $Q_{v+1/2}$ in (46) may turn out to be a difficult task. Yet, in view of Theorem 3.1, one can use instead simpler upper bounds. In [10] this is achieved using the construction of a whole family of entropy conservative schemes which take into account the characteristic directions associated with the system (2).

REFERENCES

1. DiPerna, R. J., "Convergence of Approximate Solutions to Conservation Laws," Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., Vol. 82, 1983, pp. 27-70.
2. Lax, P. D., Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws and the Mathematical Theory of Shock Waves, SIAM Regional Conference Lectures in Applied Mathematics, No. 11, 1972.
3. Harten, A., Hyman, J. M., and Lax, P. D., "On Finite Difference Approximations and Entropy Conditions for Shocks," Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 29, 1976, pp. 297-322.
4. Mock, M. S., "Systems of Conservation Laws of Mixed Type," J. Differential Equations, Vol. 37, 1980, pp. 70-88.
5. Harten, A., and Lax, P. D., "A Random Choice Finite-Difference Schemes for Hyperbolic Conservation Laws," SINUM, Vol. 18, 1981, pp. 289-315.
6. Harten, A., "On the Symmetric Form of Systems of Conservation Laws with Entropy," J. Comp. Physics, Vol. 49, 1983, pp. 151-164.

7. Godunov, S. K., "The Problem of a Generalized Solution in the Theory of Quasilinear Equations and in Gas Dynamics," Russian Math. Surveys, Vol. 17, 1962, pp. 145-156.
8. Hughes, T. J. R., Franca, L. P., and Mallet, M., "Symmetric Forms of the Compressible Euler and Navier-Stokes Equations and the Second Law of Thermodynamics," Applied Mechanics and Engineering, in press.
9. Tadmor, E., "The Numerical Viscosity of Entropy Stable Schemes for Systems of Conservation Laws. I.," ICASE Report No. 85-51, 1985, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA.
10. Tadmor, E., "The Numerical Viscosity of Entropy Stable Schemes for Systems of Conservation Laws. II.," ICASE Report, to appear.
11. Tadmor, E., "Skew-Selfadjoint Form for Systems of Conservation Laws," J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 103, 1984, pp. 428-442.
12. Hughes, T. J. R., Mallet, M., and Franca, L. P., "Entropy-Stable Finite Element Methods for Compressible Fluids; Applications to High Mach Number Flows with Shocks," Finite Element Methods for Nonlinear Problems, Springer-Verlag, to appear.
13. Osher, S., "Riemann Solvers, the Entropy Condition and Difference Approximations," SINUM, Vol. 21, 1984, pp. 217-235.
14. Tadmor, E., "Numerical Viscosity and the Entropy Condition for Conservative Difference Schemes," Math. Comp., Vol. 43, 1984, pp. 369-382.
15. Majda, A., and Osher, S., "Numerical Viscosity and the Entropy Condition," Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 32, 1979, pp. 797-838.
16. Osher, S., "Convergence of Generalized MUSCL Schemes," SINUM, Vol. 22, 1984, pp. 947-961.
17. Osher, S., and Tadmor, E., "On the Convergence of Difference Approximations to Scalar Conservation Laws," ICASE Report No. 85-28, 1985, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA.
18. Roe, P. L., "Approximate Riemann Solvers, Parameter Vectors, and Difference Schemes," J. Comp. Physics, Vol. 43, 1981, pp. 357-372.