

# The $K$ -functional and Calderón-Zygmund Type Decompositions

Natan Kruglyak

ABSTRACT. The paper is an exposition of some old results on the stability of the  $K$ -method and recent results on calculation of the  $K$ -functional.

## 1. Introduction

Since the publication of the classical paper by J.L. Lions and J. Peetre [LP], real interpolation theory has developed into a rich theory with applications to many different areas of analysis. In this paper we give a short introduction to the general  $K$ -method of interpolation and demonstrate its surprising stability.

A number of applications of interpolation theory, in particular some recent problems in image processing and singular integral operators, require the computation of suitable  $K$ -functionals, as well as precise algorithms for constructing nearly optimal minimizers. In this paper we will present an algorithm for constructing nearly optimal minimizers based on a generalization of the classical Calderón-Zygmund decompositions. Our algorithm also leads to new formulas for calculating suitable  $K$ -functionals. In particular, we will illustrate our algorithm on the model couple  $(L_1, Lip_\alpha)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

We start by briefly recalling the main notions of interpolation theory (see [BL]).

Let  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  be two Banach spaces embedded in some topological vector space  $X$ . We will say that the spaces  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  form a Banach couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  if the following “compatibility” condition holds:

- *If the sequence  $y_n \in X_0 \cap X_1$ ,  $n = 1, \dots$  is such that it converges in the norm of  $X_0$  to the element  $x_0 \in X_0$  and in the norm of  $X_1$  to the element  $x_1 \in X_1$ , then  $x_0 = x_1$ .*

This condition allows us to introduce a Banach structure on the linear spaces  $X_0 \cap X_1$  and  $X_0 + X_1$ , namely

$$\|x\|_{X_0 \cap X_1} = \max(\|x\|_{X_0}, \|x\|_{X_1}), \quad \|x\|_{X_0 + X_1} = \inf_{x=x_0+x_1} (\|x_0\|_{X_0} + t\|x_1\|_{X_1}).$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B70; Secondary 46M35.

*Key words and phrases.*  $K$ -functional, Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, Riesz-Thorin theorem.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

Let  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$ ,  $\vec{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$  be two Banach couples. A linear operator  $T$  from  $X_0 + X_1$  to  $Y_0 + Y_1$  is called a bounded linear operator from the couple  $\vec{X}$  to the couple  $\vec{Y}$  if the restrictions of  $T$  on  $X_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) are bounded linear operators from  $X_i$  to  $Y_i$ .

A Banach space  $X \subset X_0 + X_1$  is called an intermediate space for the couple  $\vec{X}$  if the continuous embeddings  $X_0 \cap X_1 \subset X \subset X_0 + X_1$  hold.

An intermediate space  $X$  is called an interpolation space if for any bounded linear operator  $T$  from the couple  $\vec{X}$  to itself the restriction of  $T$  on  $X$  is a bounded linear operator from  $X$  to  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be an intermediate space for the couple  $\vec{X}$  and let  $Y$  be an intermediate space for the couple  $\vec{Y}$ . We will say that the spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are relative interpolation spaces if a restriction of any bounded linear operator  $T$  from the couple  $\vec{X}$  to the couple  $\vec{Y}$  is a bounded linear operator from  $X$  to  $Y$ .

### 3. The $K$ -method of Interpolation: Introduction to a General Theory of $K$ -spaces

The modern theory of real interpolation is based on the notion of the  $K$ -functional introduced by J. Peetre. Let us recall its definition.

Let  $x \in X_0 + X_1$ , then the  $K$ -functional of  $x$  is a nonnegative concave function on  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$  defined by the formula

$$K(t, x; \vec{X}) = \inf_{x=x_0+x_1} (\|x_0\|_{X_0} + t\|x_1\|_{X_1}), \quad t > 0.$$

The  $K$ -functional can be obtained from a “distance function”, the so-called  $E$ -functional :

$$E(t, x; \vec{X}) = \inf_{\|x_1\|_{X_1} \leq t} \|x - x_1\|_{X_0}, \quad t > 0.$$

REMARK 1. We deviate somewhat from the standard notation  $E(t, x; \vec{X}) = \inf_{\|x_0\|_{X_0} \leq t} \|x - x_0\|_{X_1}$ .

Clearly,

$$K(t, x; \vec{X}) = \inf_{s>0} (E(t, x; \vec{X}) + ts)$$

and conversely for any Banach couple  $\vec{X}$  we also have

$$E(s, x; \vec{X}) = \sup_{t>0} (K(t, x; \vec{X}) - ts).$$

One of the advantages of using the  $K$ -functional instead of the  $E$ -functional is that the  $K$ -functional possesses several very nice properties that the  $E$ -functional does not have.

Let us now list the main properties of the  $K$ -functional.

- For a fixed  $t > 0$  the expression  $K(t, \cdot; \vec{X})$  is a norm on the space  $X_0 + X_1$ .
- For the couple  $\vec{X}^T = (X_1, X_0)$  we have  $K(t, x; \vec{X}^T) = tK(t^{-1}, x; \vec{X})$ .

The proofs of these properties are simple and direct.

Much less trivial is the following  $K$ -divisibility property (see [BK], pp. 315-337).

- Let

$$K(\cdot, x; \vec{X}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(1) < \infty,$$

where  $\varphi_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots$ ) are nonnegative concave functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Then there exists a decomposition  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad K(\cdot, x_i; \vec{X}) \leq \gamma \varphi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, ,$$

where  $\gamma$  is an absolute constant.

REMARK 2. *It is known (see [BK] and [CJM]) that  $1.5 < \gamma < 6$ .*

The importance of the  $K$ -functional for interpolation arises from the following simple proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $T$  be a linear bounded operator from the couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  to the couple  $\vec{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$ . Then we have the estimate*

$$K(t, Tx; \vec{Y}) \leq \inf_{x=x_0+x_1} (\|Tx_0\|_{Y_0} + t\|Tx_1\|_{Y_1}) \leq \max_{i=0,1} \|T\|_{X_i \rightarrow Y_i} K(t, x; \vec{X}).$$

On the basis of the  $K$ -functional we can construct interpolation spaces ( $K$ -spaces). A Banach space  $\Phi$  of measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is called a *parameter* of the  $K$ -method if it satisfies the following two properties:

- if  $f \in \Phi$  and  $|g| \leq |f|$  then  $g \in \Phi$  and  $\|g\|_{\Phi} \leq \|f\|_{\Phi}$ ;
- $\min(1, t) \in \Phi$ .

The last condition means that  $\Phi$  contains at least one nonnegative concave function. Then the space  $K_{\Phi}(\vec{X})$  is defined as the set of elements  $x \in X_0 + X_1$  such that

$$\|x\|_{K_{\Phi}(\vec{X})} = \left\| K(\cdot, x; \vec{X}) \right\|_{\Phi}.$$

It is possible to verify that  $K_{\Phi}(\vec{X})$  is an intermediate space for the couple  $\vec{X}$ . Moreover, from Proposition 1 we immediately obtain

THEOREM 1. *(On interpolation) Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator from the couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  to the couple  $\vec{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$ . Then  $T$  is a bounded linear operator from the space  $K_{\Phi}(\vec{X})$  to the space  $K_{\Phi}(\vec{Y})$ .*

REMARK 3. *As we have seen, the interpolation theorem follows directly from the definitions. This triviality is “compensated” by the difficulty of calculation of spaces  $K_{\Phi}(\vec{X})$  for concrete couples  $\vec{X}$ .*

For some couples all interpolation spaces are  $K$ -spaces and so they can be parameterized by the parameters of the  $K$ -method. An important example of such couples is presented in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let  $\vec{X} = (L_{p_0}(\omega_0), L_{p_1}(\omega_1))$  be a couple of weighted Lebesgue spaces. Then all interpolation spaces of  $\vec{X}$  are  $K$ -spaces.*

The proof of the theorem follows from the result of G. Sparr which states that the couple  $(L_{p_0}(\omega_0), L_{p_1}(\omega_1))$  is a Calderón couple and Lemma 4.1.12 from [BK]. Recall that the couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  is called a Calderón couple if from

the inequality  $K(\cdot, x; \vec{X}) \geq K(\cdot, y; \vec{X})$  it follows that there exists a bounded linear operator  $T : \vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{X}$  such that  $Tx = y$ .

**3.1. Stability of  $K$ -spaces.** Now we are ready to formulate the main results of the general theory: reiteration and duality.

To formulate the reiteration theorem first note that different parameters  $\Phi$  of the  $K$ -method can lead to the same space  $K_\Phi(\vec{X})$ . This happens because the  $K$ -functional is a nonnegative concave function and therefore only the restriction of the norm of  $\Phi$  on the cone of nonnegative concave functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is important. For example, if we consider a parameter  $\hat{\Phi}$  of the  $K$ -method defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{\hat{\Phi}} = \left\| \hat{f} \right\|_{\Phi},$$

where by  $\hat{f}$  we denote the least concave majorant of the function  $|f|$ , then we have  $K_\Phi(\vec{X}) = K_{\hat{\Phi}}(\vec{X})$  for all couples  $\vec{X}$  even with the equality of the norms.

The question that is answered in the reiteration theorem is the following.

**PROBLEM 1.** *Let  $\vec{X}$  be a Banach couple. Suppose that the spaces  $Y_0, Y_1$  are obtained by the  $K$ -method from a couple  $\vec{X}$ , i.e.  $Y_i = K_{\Phi_i}(\vec{X})$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ). How can we calculate the space  $K_\Psi(\vec{Y})$ ?*

Surprisingly, the resulting space is again the  $K$ -space of the initial couple  $\vec{X}$  and a formula for its parameter can be given.

**THEOREM 3.** *(On reiteration) Let  $\Phi, \Phi_0, \Phi_1$  be parameters of the  $K$ -method. Then the following formula is correct:*

$$(3.2) \quad K_\Phi(K_{\Phi_0}(\vec{X}), K_{\Phi_1}(\vec{X})) = K_\Psi(\vec{X}),$$

where  $\Psi = K_\Phi(\hat{\Phi}_0, \hat{\Phi}_1)$ . The equality of spaces in (3.2) means that they coincide and their norms are equivalent with the constants of equivalence independent of  $\vec{X}$ .

The proof of the reiteration theorem follows quite easily from the  $K$ -divisibility (see [BK], Theorem 3.3.11).

Let us now turn to the duality. Let a couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  be regular, i.e. the Banach space  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is dense in  $X_0$  and in  $X_1$ . For a regular couple the dual spaces  $X'_0, X'_1$  are embedded in the space  $(X_0 \cap X_1)'$  and form a Banach couple (see [BL]). Moreover, if  $X$  is an intermediate space for the couple  $\vec{X}$ , then we can define its dual space  $X' \subset (X_0 \cap X_1)'$  as a dual of the space  $X^0$ , where by  $X^0$  we denote the closure of the set  $X_0 \cap X_1$  in  $X$ .

The problem of duality can be formulated as follows.

**PROBLEM 2.** *Suppose that a couple  $\vec{X}$  is regular. How can we calculate the dual space to  $K_\Phi(\vec{X})$ ?*

Of course, it is natural to expect that the dual of a  $K$ -space is again a  $K$ -space for the dual couple  $\vec{X}' = (X'_0, X'_1)$ . Unfortunately, this is not correct: the dual to the space  $K_\Phi(\vec{X})$  does not have to be an interpolation space for the couple  $\vec{X}'$ , as can be seen from the proof of Theorem 2.4.17 in [BK]. Nevertheless, the expectation is met if we impose some mild conditions on  $\vec{X}$  or on the parameter  $\Phi$ .

DEFINITION 1. A parameter  $\Phi$  of the  $K$ -method is called nondegenerate if  $\Phi$  contains at least one nonnegative concave function  $f$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = \infty.$$

DEFINITION 2. A couple  $\vec{X}$  is called relatively complete if the unit ball of the space  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is a closed subset of the space  $X_0 + X_1$ .

To formulate the duality result we will need to consider the Calderón operator

$$(Sf)(t) = \int_0^t f(s) \frac{ds}{s} + t \int_t^\infty f(s) \frac{ds}{s^2},$$

The operator  $S$  is defined on the functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  that belong to the space  $L_1(\omega)$ ,  $\omega = \min(\frac{1}{s}, \frac{1}{s^2})$ , so the integrals in the definition of  $S$  converge absolutely.

Next theorem follows from Theorem 3.5.9, Theorem 3.7.2, and Proposition 3.1.17 from [BK].

THEOREM 4. (On duality) Let  $\vec{X}$  be a regular couple. Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- a) the parameter  $\Phi$  of the  $K$ -method is nondegenerate;
- b)  $\vec{X}$  is a relatively complete couple.

Then the dual space to  $K_\Phi(\vec{X})$  is a  $K$ -space for the dual couple and

$$K_\Phi(\vec{X})' = K_\Psi(\vec{X}'),$$

where the norm in the parameter  $\Psi$  is given by the expression

$$\|f\|_\Psi = \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty f(t)g\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{t} : \|Sg\|_\Phi \leq 1 \right\}.$$

#### 4. Calderón-Zygmund type decompositions and $K$ -functional

To apply the theory we need to calculate  $K$ -functionals. This is usually a difficult problem and each solved case contains some nontrivial information.

Let us look at some examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Let us consider the couple  $(L_1, L_\infty)$ . It is known that

$$(4.1) \quad K(t, f; L_1, L_\infty) \approx t(Mf)^*(t),$$

where

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f|$$

is a Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Here and below the constants of equivalence are independent of  $f$  and  $t$ , and  $Q$  is a cube in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Since  $L_p = (L_1, L_\infty)_{1-\frac{1}{p}, p}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_p} &\approx \left( \int_0^\infty (t^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})} K(t, f; L_1, L_\infty))^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \\ &\left( \int_0^\infty ((Mf)^*(t))^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf(x))^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|Mf\|_{L_p} \end{aligned}$$

and we can see that the formula (4.1) leads to the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem:  $\|f\|_{L_p} \approx \|Mf\|_{L_p}$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Let us consider the couple  $(L_p, \dot{W}_p^k)$ ,  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . It is known that

$$K(t, f; L_p, \dot{W}_p^k) \approx \omega_k(f, t^{\frac{1}{k}})_p,$$

where  $\omega_k(f, t)_p$  is the  $k$ -th modulus of continuity in  $L_p$ . From this formula and the closedness of the unit ball  $\dot{W}_p^k$  in  $L_p$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$  follows the description of the Sobolev space  $\dot{W}_p^k$  in terms of the modulus of continuity

$$\|f\|_{\dot{W}_p^k} \approx \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{t} K(t, f; L_p, \dot{W}_p^k) \approx \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{t} \omega_k(f, t^{\frac{1}{k}})_p.$$

For some problems it is important to have an algorithm for constructing a family of elements  $u_t \in X_1$  such that

$$K(t, x; X_0, X_1) \approx \|x - u_t\|_{X_0} + t \|u_t\|_{X_1},$$

with the constants of equivalence independent of  $x$  and  $t$ . We will call such decompositions *near minimizers* for the  $K$ -functional. For some couples it is easier to construct near minimizers for the  $E$ -functional, i.e. such a family of elements  $u_t \in X_1$  that

$$\|u_t\|_{X_1} \leq ct \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - u_t\|_{X_0} \leq cE\left(\frac{t}{c}, x; X_0, X_1\right),$$

with  $c \geq 1$  independent of  $x$  and  $t > 0$ . Note that if we take  $t = 2c \frac{K(s, x; X_0, X_1)}{s}$  then it is not difficult to show that  $u_t$  will be a near minimizer for the  $K$ -functional at the point  $s$ .

An important example of a problem for which we need to find a near minimizer comes from image processing. In the paper [ROF] L. Rudin et al. proposed to reconstruct the geometrical properties of an object from its noisy image by means of calculating the function  $u_t$  which minimizes the  $L$ -functional

$$L(t, f; L_2, BV) = \inf_{u \in BV} (\|f - u\|_{L_2}^2 + t \|u\|_{BV}),$$

where all functions are defined on a rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $BV$  is a space of functions of bounded variations defined by the seminorm

$$\|f\|_{BV} = \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{t} \omega_1(f, t)_1.$$

Recently this approach to denoising has become quite popular, see, for example, [TNV] and the book [M].

Note that for  $s = tK(t, f; L_2, BV)$  we have

$$L(s, f; L_2, BV) \approx K(t, f; L_2, BV)^2$$

(see [BK], p. 520). Therefore instead of the  $L$ -functional it is possible to consider the  $K$ -functional

$$K(t, f; L_2, BV) = \inf_{u \in BV} (\|f - u\|_{L_2} + t \|u\|_{BV})$$

and it is sufficient to solve the problem of constructing minimizers for the  $K$ -functional. A wavelet-based approach to this problem was considered in several papers, see [CDPH], [CDDD], and [BDKPW].

Let us formulate the result for the multivariate Haar system  $\mathcal{H}_i$  ( $i \in \Delta$ ) normalized in the space  $BV$ , i.e.  $\|\mathcal{H}_i\|_{BV} = 1$  for all  $i$ . We let

$$G_N(f) = \sum_{i \in \Delta_N} c_i \mathcal{H}_i, \quad f = \sum_i c_i \mathcal{H}_i,$$

where  $\Delta_N$  is a subset of  $N$  elements of  $\Delta$  that correspond to the coefficients  $c_i$  with the largest absolute values. Then we have

**THEOREM 5.** (see [BDKPW]) *Let  $p_* = \frac{n}{n-1}$ , where  $n \geq 2$  is a dimension. Then*

$$K(N^{-\frac{1}{n}}, f; L_{p_*}, BV) \approx \|f - G_N(f)\|_{L_{p_*}} + N^{-\frac{1}{n}} \|G_N(f)\|_{BV}.$$

So we see that a near minimizer for the couple  $(L_{p_*}, BV)$  can be constructed using a greedy wavelet algorithm.

Below we will suggest another general approach to the problem of constructing near minimizers and calculating the  $K$ -functional. Our approach is based on a generalization of classical Calderón-Zygmund decompositions. These decompositions were used recently to solve some problems in the theory of singular integral operators, see [KK], [KiKr] and [KiKr1].

**4.1. Classical Calderón-Zygmund Decompositions and Near Minimizers.** In their classical paper [CZ], A. Calderón and A. Zygmund suggested a simple construction that proved to be a very powerful and useful tool in harmonic analysis. The decomposition is constructed as follows.

Let  $f \in L_1$  and  $t > 0$  be fixed. Then using stopping time technique it is possible to construct a family of dyadic cubes  $\{Q_i\}_{i \in I}$  with nonoverlapping interiors such that

$$t \leq \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} |f| \leq 2^n t, \quad i \in I$$

and

$$\|f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup Q_i}\|_{L_\infty} \leq t.$$

Then the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition is defined as

$$f = f_t + (f - f_t),$$

where the so-called "good" function  $f_t$  is given by the formula

$$f_t = \sum_i c_i \chi_{Q_i} + f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup Q_i}, \quad c_i = \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} f, \quad i \in I.$$

Clearly,  $\|f_t\|_{L_\infty} \leq 2^n t$ . More interestingly, the function  $f_t$  is a near minimizer for the  $E$ -functional

$$\|f - f_t\|_{L_1} \leq 4E\left(\frac{t}{2}, f; L_1, L_\infty\right).$$

Indeed,

$$\|f - f_t\|_{L_1} \leq \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f - f_{Q_i}| \leq 2 \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f| \leq 2t \sum_i |Q_i|$$

and it only remains to note that

$$(4.2) \quad E\left(\frac{t}{2}, f; L_1, L_\infty\right) = \inf_{\|g\|_{L_\infty} \leq \frac{t}{2}} \|f - g\|_{L_1} \geq \inf_{\|g\|_{L_\infty} \leq \frac{t}{2}} \left( \sum_i \int_{Q_i} |f - g| \right) \geq \\ \inf_{\|g\|_{L_\infty} \leq \frac{t}{2}} \left( \sum_i \left( \int_{Q_i} |f| - \int_{Q_i} |g| \right) \right) \geq \sum_i (t|Q_i| - \frac{t}{2}|Q_i|) \geq \frac{t}{2} \sum_i |Q_i|.$$

This simple observation suggests that an extension of the Calderón-Zygmund construction for couples different from  $(L_1, L_\infty)$  could be useful for constructing near minimizers.

**4.2. A Generalization of the Calderón-Zygmund Construction.** To avoid technicalities we will only consider here the model case  $(L_1, Lip_\alpha)$ , where the space  $Lip_\alpha$  is defined by the seminorm

$$\|f\|_{Lip_\alpha} = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|}.$$

The exposition below follows [Kr]. Our algorithm will provide a method to construct near minimizers for the  $E$ -functional of the couple  $(L_1, Lip_\alpha)$ .

Let us fix  $f \in L_1$  and  $t > 0$ . Constructing the “good” function  $f_t \in Lip_\alpha$  is done in three steps.

4.2.1. *Step 1. Limiting cubes.* In this step we use a stopping time technique to construct a family of cubes that possesses two important properties.

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let us consider a function

$$\varphi_x(r) = \frac{1}{|Q(x, r)|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \inf_c \int_{Q(x, r)} |f - c|,$$

where  $Q(x, r)$  is a cube in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with its center in  $x$  and side lengths equal to  $r$ .

Let us then consider a set

$$\Omega = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sup_r \varphi_x(r) > t \right\}.$$

As  $\varphi_x(r) \rightarrow 0$  when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , therefore for  $x \in \Omega$  it is possible to find  $r_x > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{r \geq r_x} \varphi_x(r) \leq t \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{r \geq \frac{1}{2}r_x} \varphi_x(r) > t.$$

In this case we let

$$Q_x = Q(x, r_x).$$

The resulting family  $\{Q_x\}_{x \in \Omega}$  possesses the following important property, similar to (4.2).

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $\pi = \{Q_{x_i}\}$  be a subfamily of  $\{Q_x\}_{x \in \Omega}$  which consists of cubes with non-overlapping interiors, i.e.  $\hat{Q}_{x_i} \cap \hat{Q}_{x_j} = \emptyset$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Then*

$$\sum_i |Q_{x_i}|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq c \frac{1}{t} E\left(\frac{t}{c}, f; L_1, Lip_\alpha\right)$$

where the constant  $c \geq 1$  is independent of  $f$ ,  $t$  and  $\pi$ .



To construct the cubes  $Q_x$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ , let us split  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into cubes  $Q_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  with volumes equal to 1, and for  $x \in \Omega \cap Q_i$  let us take

$$Q_x = Q(x, \varepsilon^i),$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a sufficiently small number. If  $\pi = \{Q_{x_i}\}$  is a subfamily of the constructed family  $\{Q_x\}_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega}$  consisting of cubes with disjoint interiors, then not more than  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{in}}$  cubes from  $\pi$  have their centers in the cube  $Q_i$ . Therefore

$$\sum_i |Q_{x_i}|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{i(n+\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{in}}\right) \leq c\varepsilon^\alpha$$

and we can see that if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough then the whole family  $\{Q_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$  possesses the following property.

*Property 1. Let*

$$(4.3) \quad |\{Q_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}|_{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} = \sup_{\pi=\{Q_{x_i}\}} \left(\sum_i |Q_{x_i}|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}}\right),$$

where  $\pi$  consists of cubes with disjoint interiors and sup is taken over all subfamilies  $\pi = \{Q_{x_i}\}$  of the family  $\{Q_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ . Then

$$|\{Q_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}|_{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq c \frac{1}{t} E\left(\frac{t}{c}, f; L_1, Lip_\alpha\right),$$

where the constant  $c \geq 1$  independent of  $f \in L_1$  and  $t > 0$ .

Moreover, from the construction of the cubes  $Q_x$  we have

*Property 2. If a cube  $Q$  is not strictly embedded in some cube  $Q_x$  then*

$$\frac{1}{|Q|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \inf_Q \int_Q |f - c| \leq t.$$

**4.2.2. Step2. A Covering Theorem.** To formulate the theorem we will need the following definition.

**DEFINITION 3.** *The family of cubes  $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$  forms a Whitney-Besicovitch covering (WB-covering for short) if the following three properties hold:*

- $\sum_i \chi_{K_i} \leq M(n)$ ;
- $\cup_i \frac{1}{2}K_i = \cup_i K_i$ ;
- if  $K_i \cap K_j \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|K_i \cap K_j| \geq \varepsilon(n) \max(|K_i|, |K_j|)$ , where  $M(n)$ ,  $\varepsilon(n)$  are some positive constants depending only on the dimension  $n$ .

The main idea of the covering theorem is to construct a WB-covering by enlarging some of the limiting cubes and to keep the properties (1) and (2).

Let  $\{Q_x\} = \{Q_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$  be a family of nondegenerate cubes ( $x$  is the center of  $Q_x$ ).

**THEOREM 6.** *Suppose that (see 4.3)  $|\{Q_x\}|_{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} < \infty$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then it is possible to construct a family of cubes  $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$  that forms a WB-covering and possesses the following properties:*

- if  $x_i$  is the center of  $K_i$  then  $Q_{x_i} \subset K_i$ ,  $i \in I$ ;
- for any cube  $Q_x$  there exists  $i = i(x)$  such that  $Q_x \subset K_i$ ;
- $\sum_{i \in I} |K_i|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq c(n) |\{Q_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}|_{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}}$ .

**REMARK 4.** *The theorem follows from the proof of the covering theorem in [Kr1].*

Applying the covering theorem to the family of limiting cubes gives us a family of cubes  $\{K_i\}_{i \in I}$  that satisfies three geometrical properties:

- $\cup_i \frac{1}{2}K_i = \mathbb{R}^n$ ;
- $\sum_i \chi_{K_i} \leq M(n)$ ;
- if  $K_i \cap K_j \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|K_i \cap K_j| \geq \varepsilon(n) \max(|K_i|, |K_j|)$ ;

and two analytical properties:

- $\sum_i |K_i|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq c(n) \frac{1}{t} E(\frac{t}{c(n)}, f; L_1, Lip_\alpha)$ ;
- if a cube  $Q$  is not strictly embedded in some cube  $K_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , then

$$\frac{1}{|Q|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}}} \inf_c \int_Q |f - c| \leq t.$$

#### 4.2.3. Construction of a Minimizer for the Couple $(L_1, Lip_\alpha)$ .

DEFINITION 4. A family of  $C^\infty$  functions  $\{\psi_i\}$  will be called a partition of the unity corresponding to the WB-covering  $\{K_i\}$  if

- i)  $0 \leq \psi_i \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_i \psi_i = \chi_{\cup_i K_i}$ ;
- ii)  $\psi_i = 0$  outside the cube  $(\frac{2}{3})K_i$  and  $\psi_i \geq c > 0$  on  $\frac{1}{2}K_i$  with the constant  $c$  depending only on the dimension  $n$ ;
- iii) the following estimate holds for the functions  $\psi_i$ :

$$\left| D^{\bar{k}} \psi_i \right| \leq \gamma(n, \bar{k}) \frac{1}{|K_i|^{\frac{|\bar{k}|}{n}}}, \quad D^{\bar{k}} = \frac{\partial^{\bar{k}}}{\partial x_1^{k_1} \dots \partial x_n^{k_n}}.$$

The construction of such partition of the unity is standard, see, for example, [S].

Let us consider a partition of the unity  $\{\psi_i\}$  that corresponds to the WB-covering  $\{K_i\}$  constructed from the family of limiting cubes. Then the ‘‘good’’ function  $f_t$  can be defined by the formula

$$f_t = \sum_i c_i \psi_i, \quad c_i = \frac{1}{\int \psi_i} \int f \psi_i.$$

Now we can formulate the result (see [Kr]).

THEOREM 7. The function  $f_t$  is a minimizer for the E-functional for the couple  $(L_1, Lip_\alpha)$ .

REMARK 5. The formula for the ‘‘good’’ function  $f_t$  is similar to the one in the paper of C. Fefferman and E. Stein [FS]. The main difference is the absence of the term  $f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup K_i}$ . The reason for that is that in our case  $\cup K_i = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

REMARK 6. The above construction can be generalized in several directions (see [Kr1], [KrKu]). For example, its generalization works for the couple  $(L_q, \dot{W}_p^k)$  under the condition

$$\frac{k}{n} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} > 0,$$

and for the couple  $(L_1, \mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda})$ , where  $\mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda}$  is a Morrey space constructed on the base of  $L_1$ . Recall that the norm in  $\mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda}$  is given by the expression

$$(4.4) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda}} = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\lambda}{n}}} \int_Q |f|, \quad 1 - \frac{\lambda}{n} \in (0, 1).$$

**4.3. Calculation of the  $K$ -functional.** Construction of minimizers usually gives some formula for the  $K$ -functional. Let us consider, for example, the couple  $(L_1, \mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda})$  where  $\mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda}$  is a Morrey space (see 4.4). Let  $M_\lambda f$  be a fractional maximal function

$$M_\lambda f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|^{1-\frac{\lambda}{n}}} \int_Q |f|.$$

To formulate the result we need the notion of the Hausdorff capacity. Let  $\Omega$  be a set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the Hausdorff capacity of the set  $\Omega$  can be defined as

$$\mu_\lambda(\Omega) = \inf_{\Omega \subset \cup Q_i} \sum |Q_i|^{1-\frac{\lambda}{n}},$$

where inf is taken over all the families of cubes  $\{Q_i\}$  such that  $\Omega \subset \cup Q_i$ .

REMARK 7. *Standard notation for Hausdorff capacity of the set  $\Omega$  is  $\Lambda_{n-\lambda}^{(\infty)}(\Omega)$ .*

Although  $\mu_\lambda$  is not a measure, it is still possible to define the decreasing rearrangement of the function  $f$  with respect to  $\mu_\lambda$ , which we denote by  $f_{\mu_\lambda}^*$ . By the definition it is a nonincreasing, continuous from the right function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$|s : f_{\mu_\lambda}^*(s) > t| = \mu_\lambda(\{x : |f(x)| > t\}).$$

Then the following formula is correct (see [KrKu1])

$$K(t, f; L_1, \mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda}) \approx t(M_\lambda f)_{\mu_\lambda}^*(t).$$

The last formula leads immediately to an analog of Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem for the fractional maximal operator  $M_\lambda f$  (see the discussion in [KrKu1] and compare with Example 1):

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{(L_1, \mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda})_{1-\frac{1}{p}, p}} &\approx \left( \int_0^\infty (t^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})} K(t, f; L_1, \mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda}))^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \\ &\left( \int_0^\infty ((M_\lambda f)_{\mu_\lambda}^*(t))^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mu_\lambda \{x : M_\lambda f > t\}) t^{p-1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [BDKPW] P. Bechler, R. DeVore, A. Kamot, G. Petrova, P. Wojtaszczyk, *Greedy Wavelet Projections are Bounded on BV*, Transactions of AMS 359 (2007), 619-635.
- [BL] J. Bergh, J. Lofstrom, *Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [BK] Ju.A. Brudnyi, N. Kruglyak, *Interpolation Functors and Interpolation Spaces 1*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.
- [CZ] A.P. Calderón, A. Zygmund, *On the Existence of Certain Singular Integrals*, Acta Math. 88 (1952), 85-139.
- [CDPH] A. Cohen, R. DeVore, P. Petrushev, H Xu, *Nonlinear Approximation and the Space  $BV(\mathbb{R}^2)$* , American Journal of Mathematics 121 (1999), 587-628.
- [CDDD] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, I. Daubechies, R. DeVore, *Harmonic Analysis of the Space BV*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 19 (2003), 235-263.
- [CJM] M. Cwikel, B. Jawerth, M. Milman, *On the fundamental lemma of interpolation theory*, J. Approx. Th. 60 (1990), 70-82.
- [FS] C. Fefferman, E.M. Stein,  *$H^p$  Spaces of Several Variables*, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [KK] S. Kislyakov, N. Kruglyak, *Stability of Approximation Under the Action of Singular Integral Operators*, Functional Analysis and its Applications 40 (2006), no 4, 285-297.
- [KiKr] S. Kislyakov, N. Kruglyak, *Stability of Approximation under Singular Integral Operators and Calderón-Zygmund Type Decompositions II*, preprint 1734 (2005), Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics, Vienna.

- [KiKr1] S. Kislyakov, N. Kruglyak, *Stability of Approximation under Singular Integral Operators and Calderón-Zygmund Type Decompositions*, preprint 07 (2005), St.-Petersburg Steklov Mathematical Institute.
- [Kr] N. Kruglyak, *Investigations on the Real Interpolation Method*, Doctor of Science Thesis, Steklov Institute of Mathematics, St.-Petersburg Division, 1996.
- [Kr1] N. Kruglyak, *Smooth Analogs of Calderon-Zygmund Decompositions, Quantitative Covering Theorems and the K-functional* (Russian), *Algebra i Analiz* 8 (1996), no. 4, 110-160, English translation in *St.-Petersburg Math. Journal* 8 (1997), no. 4, 617-649.
- [KrKu] N. Kruglyak, E. Kuznetsov, *Smooth and Nonsmooth Calderón-Zygmund Decompositions for Morrey Spaces*, *J. Fourier Analysis and Applications* 11 (2005), no. 6, 697-714.
- [KrKu1] N. Kruglyak, E. Kuznetsov, *Sharp Integral Estimates for the Fractional Maximal Function and Interpolation*, *Arkiv för Matematik* 44 (2006), no. 2, 309-326.
- [LP] J.L. Lions, J. Peetre, *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci.*, 19 (1964), 5-68.
- [M] Y. Meyer, *Oscillating Patterns in Image Processing and Nonlinear Evolution Equations*, University Lecture Series vol. 22, AMS, Providence, 2001.
- [ROF] L. Rudin, S. Osher, C. Fatemi, *Nonlinear Total Variation Based Noise Removal Algorithms*, *Physica D*, vol. 60, 259-268, 1992.
- [S] E.M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [TNV] E. Tadmor, S. Nezzar, L. Vese, *A Multiscale Image Representation Using Hierarchical  $(BV, L_2)$  Decompositions*, *Multiscale Model. Simul.* 2 (2004), no. 4, 554-573.

DEP. OF MATHEMATICS, LULEÅ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

E-mail address: [natan@ltu.se](mailto:natan@ltu.se)

URL: <http://www.math.ltu.se/~natan>