

# NOTES ON TATE'S $p$ -DIVISIBLE GROUPS

THOMAS J. HAINES

## 1. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

The aim here is simply to provide some details to some of the proofs in Tate's paper [T].

## 2. TATE'S SECTION 2.2

**2.1. Lemmas about divisibility.** We say  $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is an *isogeny of the formal group*  $\Gamma = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A})$  if the corresponding map  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is *injective* and makes  $\mathcal{A}$  free over itself of finite rank. Tate calls  $\Gamma$  *divisible*, if  $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is an isogeny. This is equivalent to  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is *injective* and makes  $\mathcal{A}_\psi$  free of finite rank over  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.1.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}_\psi$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -free of rank  $n$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$  form a basis. Then the images  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n \in A_1 = \mathcal{A}/\psi(I)\mathcal{A}$  form an  $R$ -basis for  $A_1$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , there exist  $\alpha_i = r_i + \beta_i \in R \oplus I = \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$a = \sum_i \psi(\alpha_i) a_i.$$

Reducing modulo  $\psi(I)\mathcal{A}$ , we get

$$\bar{a} = \sum_i \psi(r_i) \bar{a}_i$$

showing that the  $\bar{a}_i$  generate  $A_1$  over  $R$ .

If  $\sum_i r_i \bar{a}_i = 0$  i.e.  $\sum_i r_i a_i \in \psi(I)\mathcal{A}$ , then there exist elements  $\alpha_i^1 \in I$  with

$$\psi(r_1 + \alpha_1^1) a_1 + \dots + \psi(r_n + \alpha_n^1) a_n \in \psi(I)^2 \mathcal{A}.$$

Repeating, we get elements  $\alpha_i^j \in I^j$  such that

$$\psi(r_1 + \alpha_1^1 + \alpha_1^2 + \dots) a_1 + \dots + \psi(r_n + \alpha_n^1 + \alpha_n^2 + \dots) a_n = 0.$$

(Using the fact that the ideals  $I^j \rightarrow 0$  in the topology on  $\mathcal{A}$  so that these infinite sums converge.)

By the  $\mathcal{A}$ -freeness of  $\mathcal{A}_\psi$ , this gives

$$r_i \in R \cap \psi(I) = 0$$

for all  $i$ , proving the desired independence statement over  $R$  of the elements  $\bar{a}_i \in A_1$ . □

**Lemma 2.1.2.** *Suppose  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is injective and  $\mathcal{A}_\psi$  is free over  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then for each  $\nu$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\psi^\nu}$  is free over  $\mathcal{A}$  and*

$$\text{rank}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_{\psi^\nu} = (\text{rank}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_\psi)^\nu.$$

*Proof.* If  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$  give an  $\mathcal{A}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{A}_\psi$ , then the set of elements

$$\psi^{\nu-1}(a_{i_{\nu-1}}) \dots \psi(a_{i_1}) a_{i_0}$$

for  $\mathbf{i} = (i_{\nu-1}, \dots, i_0)$  ranging over all elements of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\nu$ , forms an  $\mathcal{A}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{A}_{\psi^\nu}$ .

The proof is by induction on  $\nu$ . The generation does not use the injectivity of  $\psi$ , but the linear-independence does.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.3.** *Applying Lemma 2.1.1 to both  $\psi$  and  $\psi^\nu$ , and invoking Lemma 2.1.2, we get the equality*

$$\text{rank}_R \mathcal{A}/\psi^\nu(I)\mathcal{A} = (\text{rank}_R \mathcal{A}/\psi(I)\mathcal{A})^\nu.$$

## 2.2. Tate's Proposition 1.

2.2.1. In  $\Gamma \mapsto \Gamma(p)$ , why is  $\Gamma(p)$   $p$ -divisible? We assume  $\Gamma$  is divisible. Note that by Lemma 2.1.1 and the fact that  $\Gamma_{p^\nu} = \ker[p^\nu]_\Gamma$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{A}/\psi^\nu(I)\mathcal{A}$ , the  $\mathcal{A}$ -rank of  $\mathcal{A}_\psi$  is the order of  $\Gamma_p$ , which is  $p^h$  for some  $h$  (since  $\Gamma_p$  is connected – cf. [Sh], p. 50). Then Corollary 2.1.3 shows that  $\Gamma(p)$  is  $p$ -divisible of height  $h$ .

2.2.2. In  $G \mapsto \Gamma$ , why is  $\Gamma$  divisible? We first check that  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is injective. For each  $\nu$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\nu+1} & \xrightarrow{p} & G_\nu \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow \\ & & G_{\nu+1} \end{array}$$

corresponds to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{\nu+1} & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\psi}} & A_\nu \\ & \swarrow \psi & \uparrow \\ & & A_{\nu+1} \end{array}$$

The map  $\tilde{\psi}$  is injective since  $p : G_{\nu+1} \rightarrow G_\nu$  is a quotient map. This shows  $\psi$  is injective: if  $\psi(a_{\nu+1})_{\nu+1} = 0$ , then for all  $\nu$ , we have  $\tilde{\psi}(a_\nu) = 0$ , which by the injectivity of  $\tilde{\psi}$  implies that  $a_\nu = 0$ .

Next we check that  $\mathcal{A}_\psi$  is free of finite rank over  $\mathcal{A}$  (and the rank will be the height of  $G$ , namely  $n = p^h$ ). Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$  be elements whose images in  $\mathcal{A}/\psi(I)\mathcal{A}$  yield an  $R$ -basis. We claim that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  form an  $\mathcal{A}$ -basis for  $\mathcal{A}_\psi$ .

The fact that they generate is very similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1.1. Indeed, given  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , for some  $r_i \in R$  we have

$$a \in \sum_i r_i a_i + \psi(I)\mathcal{A}.$$

We can find some  $\alpha_i^1 \in I$  for which

$$a \in \sum_i \psi(r_i + \alpha_i^1)a_i + \psi(I)^2\mathcal{A}.$$

Repeating this as in the proof of Lemma 2.1.1, we get (always with  $\alpha_i^j \in I^j$ )

$$a = \sum_i \psi(r_i + \alpha_i^1 + \alpha_i^2 + \cdots)a_i.$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{A}_\psi$  is generated by the elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

Now we prove that the  $a_i$  are independent over  $\mathcal{A}$ . Note first that their images clearly generate  $(\mathcal{A}/\psi^\nu(I)\mathcal{A})_\psi$  over  $\mathcal{A}/\psi^{\nu-1}(I)\mathcal{A}$ , hence form a basis for  $(\mathcal{A}/\psi^\nu(I)\mathcal{A})_\psi$  over  $\mathcal{A}/\psi^{\nu-1}(I)\mathcal{A}$  as well. Why are they independent? First, the map  $p : G_\nu \rightarrow G_\nu$  induces a faithfully flat *quotient* map  $p : G_\nu \rightarrow G_{\nu-1}$ , and thus  $\psi : A_\nu \rightarrow A_\nu$  factors through the *injective* homomorphism  $\tilde{\psi} : A_{\nu-1} \rightarrow A_\nu$ , and  $A_\nu$  is finite and flat over  $A_{\nu-1}$  via  $\tilde{\psi}$ . In fact since  $A_\nu = \mathcal{A}/\psi^\nu(I)\mathcal{A}$  is local, we see that

$$\mathcal{A}/\psi^{\nu-1}(I)\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{A}/\psi^\nu(I)\mathcal{A}$$

is an injection and makes the target finite and free over the source. By comparing the  $R$ -ranks ( $p^{\nu h}$  vs  $p^{(\nu-1)h}$ ), we see that  $(\mathcal{A}/\psi^\nu(I)\mathcal{A})_\psi$  has rank  $n = p^h$  over  $\mathcal{A}/\psi^{\nu-1}(I)\mathcal{A}$ .

Now suppose we have a dependence relation

$$\psi(\alpha_1)a_1 + \cdots + \psi(\alpha_n)a_n = 0,$$

for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . Consider this relation modulo  $\psi^\nu(I)\mathcal{A}$ . The freeness result just proved shows that each  $\alpha_i \in \psi^{\nu-1}(I)\mathcal{A}$ . This holds for every  $\nu$ . Thus each  $\alpha_i = 0$ , for example by Tate's Lemma 0. This completes the proof that  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is an isogeny if  $\Gamma$  comes from a connected  $p$ -divisible group.

**2.2.3. Reduction of essential surjectivity in Proposition 1 to  $R = k$ .** In the first part of this subsection, we do not assume that the  $p$ -divisible group  $G = \varinjlim_\nu \text{Spec}(A_\nu)$  is connected.

Since the map of finite free  $R$ -modules  $A_{\nu+1} \rightarrow A_\nu$  splits  $R$ -linearly,  $A$  is the direct product of a countable number of copies of  $R$  (as an  $R$ -module). Hence  $A$  is  $R$ -flat (as an  $R$ -module it is  $R[[X]]$ , which is  $R$ -flat by [AM], 10.14).

**Lemma 2.2.1.** *For every  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$A/\mathfrak{m}^n A = A \widehat{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m}^n = \varprojlim_\nu (A_\nu \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}^n)$$

*A similar result holds for  $\mathcal{A}$  in place of  $A$ , so that*

$$R[[X_1, \dots, X_d]] \widehat{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m}^n = R/\mathfrak{m}^n[[X_1, \dots, X_d]].$$

*In particular, we have  $A_k = \varprojlim_\nu A_{\nu,k}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_k = k[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $J_\nu$  be the kernel of the projection  $A \rightarrow A_\nu$ . Thus  $A = \varprojlim_{\nu} A/J_\nu$ . In view of the definition of completed tensor product, our main assertion is immediate since  $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$  has the discrete topology. The side assertion that  $A/\mathfrak{m}^n A = A \widehat{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m}^n$  is easy: use the  $R$ -flatness of  $A_\nu$  and a Mittag-Leffler argument to show that

$$0 \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes}_R \mathfrak{m}^n \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m}^n \rightarrow 0$$

is exact; then observe that the image of  $A \widehat{\otimes}_R \mathfrak{m}^n$  in  $A$  is just  $\mathfrak{m}^n A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.2.** *Any continuous  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\bar{\phi} : k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow A_k$  can be lifted to a continuous  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi : R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow A$ .*

*Proof.* Recall  $A = \varprojlim_{\nu} A/J_\nu$ . Let  $J_{\nu,k} = J_\nu \widehat{\otimes}_R k$ . Since  $A \rightarrow A/J_\nu$  splits  $R$ -linearly, we have  $A_k/J_{\nu,k} = A_{\nu,k}$  and thus  $A_k = \varprojlim_{\nu} A_k/J_{\nu,k}$ .

The map  $\bar{\phi}$  is determined by the images of the  $X_i$  in  $A_k$ , and since  $A$  (hence  $A_k$ ) is complete, the continuity/convergence amounts to saying that there exists  $N = N(\nu)$ , an increasing function of  $\nu$ , with the property that for all  $i$  and all sufficiently large  $\nu$ , we have

$$\bar{\phi}(X_i^N) \in J_{\nu,k}.$$

We may assume  $N(\nu) \geq \nu$  for all  $\nu$ . Let  $\phi(X_i)$  be an arbitrary lift of  $\bar{\phi}(X_i)$ . Then these elements will determine a continuous homomorphism  $\phi$ , provided we can prove convergence in  $A$ .

We have  $\phi(X_i^N) \in J_\nu + \mathfrak{m}A$  for large  $\nu$ . Thus  $\phi(X_i^{N^2}) \in J_\nu + \mathfrak{m}^N A \subseteq J_\nu + \mathfrak{m}^\nu A$  for all  $\nu$  large. Then the function  $\nu \mapsto N(\nu)^2$  will play for  $\phi$  the role the function  $\nu \mapsto N(\nu)$  played for  $\bar{\phi}$ , since  $J_\nu + \mathfrak{m}^\nu A \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \mapsto \infty$ , in the topology on  $A$ .  $\square$

From now on we assume  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$  is a *connected*  $p$ -divisible group. Let  $M_A$  denote the maximal ideal of  $A$ . In performing the reduction to  $R = k$ , we are assuming the  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathrm{Spf}(A_k) = \varinjlim \mathrm{Spf}(A_{\nu,k})$  is of the form  $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A}_k)$  for some  $d$ . That is, we are given a continuous isomorphism

$$k[[X_1, \dots, X_d]] \cong A_k$$

By Lemma 2.2.2, we may lift this to a continuous homomorphism  $\phi : R[[X_1, \dots, X_d]] \rightarrow A$ . By Nakayama, the composition  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_d]] \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_\nu$  is surjective for each  $\nu$ . This seems to imply  $\phi$  is surjective, but this doesn't seem to be easy to justify. Instead we take a different approach.

Write  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_d]] = \mathcal{A}$  and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathrm{Cok} \rightarrow 0.$$

The following sequence (which is the same with the "hats" removed, hence is exact)

$$\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cok} \widehat{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$$

shows that  $\mathfrak{m}\mathrm{Cok} = \mathrm{Cok}$ . But then  $\mathrm{Cok} \subseteq M_A \mathrm{Cok}$ . Since  $(A, M_A)$  is a local ring and  $\mathrm{Cok}$  is finitely generated over  $A$  (by one element), we conclude that  $\mathrm{Cok} = 0$ .

Now the flatness of  $A$  (more precisely the flatness of every  $A_\nu$ ) over  $R$  implies that

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker} \widehat{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow A \widehat{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. We conclude that  $\mathfrak{m}\text{Ker} = \text{Ker}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is Noetherian,  $\text{Ker}$  is a finitely-generated ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $I = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ , then  $M = \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{A} + I$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We have  $\text{Ker} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}\text{Ker} \subseteq M\text{Ker}$ , hence by Nakayama's lemma,  $\text{Ker} = 0$ . This completes the reduction to  $R = k$  that was the object of this section.

**2.3. Tate's Proposition 2.** Tate's Proposition 2 states that the discriminant ideal of  $A_\nu$  over  $R$  is generated by  $p^{n\nu p^{h\nu}}$ , where  $h = \text{ht}(G)$  and  $n = \dim(G)$ .

**2.3.1. Discriminant identities.** Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra which is free of rank  $n$  as an  $R$ -module; say  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R\omega_i$ . We define the *discriminant* to be the element of  $R/(R^\times)^2$  given by

$$\delta_{A/R} = \text{disc}_R(A) = \det(\text{Tr}(\omega_i\omega_j)_{ij}).$$

**Lemma 2.3.1.** *We have the identities*

- (a)  $\delta_{A' \otimes_R A''/R} = (\delta_{A'/R})^{n''} \cdot (\delta_{A''/R})^{n'}$ , where  $n' = \text{rk}_R(A')$  and  $n'' = \text{rk}_R(A'')$ .
- (b) For  $A/A'/R$ , we have

$$\delta_{A/R} = \delta_{A'/R}^{\text{rk}_{A'} A} \cdot N_{A'/R} \delta_{A/A'}.$$

Now suppose that we have an exact sequence of finite flat commutative group schemes

$$0 \rightarrow H' \rightarrow H \rightarrow H'' \rightarrow 0.$$

with terms have orders  $m'$ ,  $m$ , and  $m''$  respectively (so  $m = m'm''$ ).

Tate uses the following lemma in his proof of his Proposition 2.

**Lemma 2.3.2.**  $\text{disc}(H) = \text{disc}(H')^{m''} \cdot \text{disc}(H'')^{m'}$ .

*Proof.* (Sketch.) In order to prove the lemma, it seems necessary to extend the definition of  $\delta_{A/R}$  to a broader context. We need the following ingredients, which we simply assume without proof from now on.

- (i) The extension of the definition of  $\delta_{A/R}$  to the context where  $A$  is faithfully flat over  $R$  and  $R$  is a product of local rings. See Conrad's Math 676 (Michigan) notes for some of this.
- (ii) The Lemma 2.3.1 in this general context. I do not know a reference for this.

We have  $H' \times_R H = H \times_{H''} H$  via the map  $(h', h) \mapsto (h'h, h)$ . We now take discriminants on both sides of the corresponding equality

$$A' \otimes_R A = A \otimes_{A''} A.$$

On the left hand side we get  $(\delta_{A'/R})^m \cdot (\delta_{A/R})^{m'}$ . On the right hand side we use  $\delta_{A \otimes_{A''} A/A''} = (\delta_{A/A''})^{2m'}$  to get

$$\delta_{A \otimes_{A''} A/R} = (\delta_{A''/R})^{(m')^2} \cdot N_{A''/R} (\delta_{A/A''}^{2m'}).$$

Again using transitivity we have  $\delta_{A/R} = \delta_{A''/R}^{m'} \cdot N_{A''/R}(\delta_{A/A''})$ , so that the right hand of the last equation is

$$(\delta_{A''/R})^{-m'^2} \cdot (\delta_{A/R})^{2m'}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{A'/R})^m \cdot (\delta_{A/R})^{m'} &= (\delta_{A''/R})^{-m'^2} \cdot (\delta_{A/R})^{2m'} \\ (\delta_{A'/R})^m \cdot (\delta_{A''/R})^{m'^2} &= (\delta_{A/R})^{m'}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the  $m'$ -th roots of both side gives

$$(\delta_{A'/R})^{m''} \cdot (\delta_{A''/R})^{m'} = \delta_{A/R}.$$

□

The Lemma 2.3.2 and the obvious triviality of discriminant ideals for étale groups immediately reduced Proposition 2 to the case of connected  $p$ -divisible groups.

2.3.2. *Proof of Proposition 2 assuming Lemma 1.* We are in the situation where  $G = G^\circ$  and corresponds to the formal group  $\Gamma = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A})$  via the Serre-Tate correspondence. As in Proposition 1, we have  $A_\nu = \mathcal{A}/J_\nu$ . Consider  $\mathcal{A}$  as a free module of rank  $p^{h^\nu}$  over itself by means of  $\phi := \psi^\nu$ . Consider  $\mathcal{A}$  as an algebra (via  $\phi$ ) over another copy  $\mathcal{A}'$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $I'$  denote the augmentation ideal of  $\mathcal{A}'$  (generated by the  $X'_i$ ). Since  $A_\nu = \mathcal{A}/I'\mathcal{A}$ , it suffices to prove the discriminant ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathcal{A}'$  is generated by the desired power of  $p$ . More precisely, suppose  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathcal{A}$  form a basis over  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Then  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_r \in \mathcal{A}/I'\mathcal{A}$  form an  $R$ -basis (by Lemma 2.1.1); and note  $r = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{A}'} \mathcal{A} = p^{h^\circ}$ , for  $h^\circ := \mathrm{ht}(G^\circ)$ . Then the reduction holds since

$$\delta_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'} = \det(\mathrm{Tr}(a_i a_j))$$

modulo  $I'\mathcal{A}$  is

$$\delta_{A_\nu/R} = \det(\mathrm{Tr}(\bar{a}_i \bar{a}_j)).$$

Next, we consider the modules of formal differentials  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega'$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  resp.  $\mathcal{A}'$ . There are free modules over  $\mathcal{A}$  resp.  $\mathcal{A}'$  generated by the differentials of the variables  $dX_i$  resp.  $dX'_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The map  $\phi : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  induces an  $\mathcal{A}'$ -linear map  $d\phi : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$ . Choosing bases in  $\Omega'$  resp.  $\Omega$ , we get basis element  $\theta'$  resp.  $\theta$  of  $\Lambda^n \Omega'$  resp.  $\Lambda^n \Omega$ . Let  $d\phi(\theta') = a\theta$ , for some  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

By Lemma 1 (discussed below), we know that  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'} = N_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(a)$ . Granting this, let us finish the proof of Proposition 2.

The first step is to choose a basis of translation-invariant differentials  $\omega_i$ , ie. such that if  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_R \mathcal{A}$  defines the formal group structure, then  $d\mu : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \oplus \Omega$  satisfies  $d\mu(\omega_i) = \omega_i \oplus \omega_i$ .

Let us elaborate. The identity  $d\mu(\omega_i) = \omega_i \oplus \omega_i$  is a consequence of left/right invariance. For  $g \in G(S)$ , define *left translation*  $\tau_g$  by

$$\tau_g : G \longrightarrow S \times_S G \xrightarrow{(g, \mathrm{id})} G \times_S G \xrightarrow{m} G$$

(and right translation  $\tau'_g$  is similarly defined). Writing  $d\mu(\omega_i) = \omega_a \oplus \omega_b$ , the left-invariance  $\tau_g^* \omega_i = \omega_i$  gives  $\omega_i = \omega_b$ . Right invariance likewise yields  $\omega_i = \omega_a$ . So  $d\mu(\omega_i) = \omega_i \oplus \omega_i$  if  $\omega_i$  is left/right invariant. On the other hand, the existence of a left (or right)-invariant basis  $\omega_i$  for  $\Omega_{A/R}$  is proved in [BLR], p. 100.

It follows that  $d\mu^{(p)} : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^{\oplus p}$  has  $d\mu^{(p)}(\omega_i) = \omega_i^{\oplus p}$ , and hence in the obvious notation we have  $d\phi(\omega'_i) = p^\nu \omega_i$ , whence  $a = p^{\nu n}$ . Proposition 2 now follows from Lemma 1 and the fact that  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{A}'$ -free of rank  $p^{\nu h}$ .

2.3.3. *Proof of Lemma 1 assuming the existence of a certain Trace map.* We shall assume the existence and properties of Tate's trace map  $\text{Tr} : \Lambda^n \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda^n \Omega'$ :

- (i)  $\text{Tr}$  is  $\mathcal{A}'$ -linear
- (ii)  $a \mapsto (\theta \mapsto \text{Tr}(a\theta))$  gives an  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear module isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}'}(\Lambda^n \Omega, \Lambda^n \Omega')$$

- (iii) If  $\theta' \in \Lambda^n \Omega'$ , and  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then

$$\text{Tr}(x \cdot d\phi(\theta')) = (\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(x))\theta'.$$

Now use the basis elements  $\theta \in \Lambda^n \Omega$  and  $\theta' \in \Lambda^n \Omega'$  to identify  $\Lambda^n \Omega \cong \mathcal{A}$  and  $\Lambda^n \Omega' \cong \mathcal{A}'$ . Then we can reformulate (ii) as an  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear isomorphism

$$(ii') \quad \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}'}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$$

Say  $\tau \longleftrightarrow \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}$  under the above isomorphism, which means

$$\text{Tr}(\tau x \theta) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(x)\theta', \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Now (iii) means  $\text{Tr}(x a \theta) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(x)\theta'$  for  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , and so in the presence of (ii'), (iii) can be reformulated as

$$(iii') \quad a \longleftrightarrow \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'} \text{ under } \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}'}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}').$$

Therefore Lemma 1 will result from the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.3.** *If  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$  has the property of (ii'), then  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'} = N_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(\tau)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{A}' e_i$ , and let  $\pi_i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  be the projection onto the  $i$ -th factor. Under  $\mathcal{A} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}'}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ , we have  $1 \longleftrightarrow f_0$  (this is the definition of  $f_0$ ), and  $r_i \longleftrightarrow \pi_i$  (this is the definition of  $r_i$ ). It follows by  $\mathcal{A}$ -linearity that  $r_i \longleftrightarrow r_i f_0$ , so that  $f_0(r_i x) = \pi_i(x)$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (N_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(\tau)) &= (\det(\pi_j(\tau e_i))) \\ &= (\det(f_0(r_j \tau e_i))) \\ &= (\det(f_0(\tau r_j e_i))) \\ &= (\det(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(r_j e_i))) \\ &= (\det(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}(e_j e_i))) \\ &= \delta_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}'}. \end{aligned}$$

Here in the penultimate equation we used the fact that  $(r_j) = (a_{ij})(e_j)$  for some invertible matrix  $(a_{ij})$  over  $\mathcal{A}'$ .  $\square$

### 3. REMARKS ON DUALITY FOR TATE'S SECTION (2.3)

**3.1. Construction of dual of a  $p$ -divisible group.** The point of characterizing  $G_\nu$  as the kernel of  $p^\nu : G_{\nu+\mu} \rightarrow G_{\nu+\mu}$  is that it dualizes well, allowing us to easily check that the dual of a  $p$ -divisible group is again a  $p$ -divisible group.

Indeed, we easily see that

$$\text{cok}[p^\nu : G_{\nu+\mu} \rightarrow G_{\nu+\mu}] = G_{\nu+\mu}/G_\mu$$

which is isomorphic to  $G_\nu$  via

$$p^\mu : G_{\nu+\mu}/G_\mu \xrightarrow{\sim} G_\nu.$$

It follows by taking duals that

$$G_\nu^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker[p^\nu : G_{\nu+\mu}^\vee \rightarrow G_{\nu+\mu}^\vee],$$

which shows that  $(G_\nu^\vee, i_\nu^\vee)$  is a  $p$ -divisible group, where  $i_\nu^\vee : G_\nu^\vee \rightarrow G_{\nu+1}^\vee$  is the dual of  $p : G_{\nu+1} \rightarrow G_\nu$ .

**3.2. Connection with dual abelian varieties.** Let  $X$  be an abelian scheme of dimension  $n$  over  $k$ , with dual abelian variety  $X'$ . Then  $X'(p)$  is the Cartier dual of  $X(p)$ :

$$X'(p) \cong (X(p))'$$

(cf. [M]).

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the formal completion of  $X$  along its zero section, so  $\tilde{X} = \text{Spf}(k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]])$  since  $X$  is smooth of dimension  $n$  over  $k$ . Both  $X(p)$  and  $(X(p))'$  have height  $2n$ . We shall below that each has dimension  $n$ .

We have  $\tilde{X}[p^\nu] = X(p)^\circ[p^\nu]$  since  $\tilde{X}$  is supported on an infinitesimal neighborhood of the zero section. Therefore

$$\tilde{X} \longleftrightarrow X(p)^\circ$$

under the Serre-Tate equivalence. In particular

$$\dim X(p) = \dim X(p)^\circ = \dim \tilde{X} = n.$$

Furthermore,  $X(p)^\circ$  has dimension  $n$  and height  $h$  for some integer  $h$  with  $n \leq h \leq 2n$ . It turns out that every such value of  $h$  is attained for some  $X$ .

### 4. TATE'S PROPOSITION 4

Since  $k$  is perfect the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_k^\circ \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow G_k^{et} \rightarrow 0$$

splits canonically. Put another way, there exists a Hopf  $k$ -algebra morphism  $\bar{\phi} : A_k^\circ = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow A_k$  such that the resulting canonical morphism

$$\bar{\psi} : A_k^\circ \widehat{\otimes}_k A_k^{et} = A_k^{et}[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] \rightarrow A_k$$



is an isomorphism of Hopf  $k$ -algebras.

By Lemma 2.2.2, there is a lift  $\phi$  for  $\bar{\phi}$  (*not necessarily* a Hopf  $R$ -algebra map), and then this induces a continuous  $R$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\psi : A^\circ \widehat{\otimes}_R A^{et} \rightarrow A$$

which lifts  $\bar{\psi}$ .

We claim  $\psi$  is an isomorphism. This shows that as formal schemes  $G = G^\circ \times G^{et}$ , and hence there is a formal section of  $G \rightarrow G^{et}$  (note that it is not necessarily a section of formal *groups*). This will show that for each complete ring  $S$  we can plug into these functors, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G^\circ(S) \rightarrow G(S) \rightarrow G^{et}(S) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Let  $K := \text{Ker}(\psi)$  and  $C := \text{Cok}(\psi)$ . If  $C \neq 0$ , then choose a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $A$  such that  $C_{\mathfrak{M}} \neq 0$ . The surjectivity of  $\bar{\psi}$  implies that  $C/\mathfrak{m}C = 0$ , i.e.  $C = \mathfrak{m}C$ . Then we also have  $C_{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathfrak{m}C_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Now  $C_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is finitely generated (by one element) over the local ring  $A_{\mathfrak{M}}$ , and  $C_{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathfrak{m}C_{\mathfrak{M}} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}C_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Thus by Nakayama,  $C_{\mathfrak{M}} = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $C = 0$ .

Now we prove that  $K = 0$ . Set  $\widehat{A} := A^\circ \widehat{\otimes}_R A^{et}$ . As above, since  $A$  is  $R$ -flat, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_k \rightarrow \widehat{A}_k \rightarrow A_k \rightarrow 0$$

hence  $K = \mathfrak{m}K$ . Now we'd like to argue as for  $C$  to show that  $K = 0$ ; however, since  $\widehat{A}$  need not be Noetherian, we can't say  $K$  is a finitely generated ideal in  $\widehat{A}$ , so we need a different argument. Denote by  $\widehat{J}_\nu \subset \widehat{A}$  the obvious family of ideals such that  $\widehat{A} = \varprojlim_\nu \widehat{A}/\widehat{J}_\nu$ . Note that if  $\widetilde{J}_\nu$  denotes the image of  $\widehat{J}_\nu$  in  $A$ , then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K/K \cap \widehat{J}_\nu \rightarrow \widehat{A}/\widehat{J}_\nu \rightarrow A/\widetilde{J}_\nu \rightarrow 0.$$

Now  $K/K \cap \widehat{J}_\nu$  is a finitely-generated ideal in the Noetherian ring  $\widehat{A}/\widehat{J}_\nu$ , hence the equality  $\mathfrak{m}(K/K \cap \widehat{J}_\nu) = K/K \cap \widehat{J}_\nu$  implies by the argument for  $C$  above that  $K = K \cap \widehat{J}_\nu$ . (This works even though  $\widehat{A}$  like  $A$  is not local; we need to localize at its maximal ideals.) Then we see that  $K \subseteq \bigcap_\nu \widehat{J}_\nu = 0$ , and  $K = 0$  as desired.

## 5. TATE'S COROLLARY 1 TO PROPOSITION 4

An easy diagram chase reduces us to the separate cases where  $G$  is étale or connected. First suppose  $G$  is étale. Then as noted earlier in Tate's section 2.4, we have

$$G(S) = \varinjlim_\nu G_\nu(S/\mathfrak{m}S).$$

It is enough to show that for  $x \in G_\nu(S/\mathfrak{m}S)$ , there is a finite extension  $S' \supset S$  of the same form as  $S$  and an element  $y \in G_{\nu+1}(S'/\mathfrak{m}S')$  such that  $py = x$ . Let  $k_S$  denote the residue field of  $S$  (equivalently, of  $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ ). By the infinitesimal lifting criterion for étale schemes, we have  $G_\nu(S/\mathfrak{m}S) = G_\nu(k_S)$ . By the surjectivity of  $G_{\nu+1} \rightarrow G_\nu$ ,

there is some finite extension  $k' \supset k_S$  and an element  $y \in G_{\nu+1}(k')$  with  $py = x$  in  $G_{\nu}(k')$ . Now let  $S'$  be a complete valuation ring containing  $S$  and having residue field  $k'$ . By the infinitesimal lifting property, we have  $y \in G_{\nu+1}(S'/\mathfrak{m}S') = G_{\nu+1}(k')$ , and  $py = x$  holds in  $G_{\nu}(S'/\mathfrak{m}S')$ , as desired.

Now we suppose  $G$  is connected. Let  $\Gamma$  be the associated divisible commutative formal group, with ring  $\mathcal{A} = R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ . We identify  $G(S)$  with the set  $\text{Hom}_{\text{conts}}(\mathcal{A}, S)$  of continuous  $R$ -algebra homomorphisms  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ . We need to find a finite extension of complete valuation rings  $i : S \hookrightarrow S'$  and a homomorphism  $\phi' : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S'$  for which  $\phi' \circ \psi = i \circ \phi$ . Since  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  makes  $\mathcal{A}$  finite and free over itself, we may take for the  $\phi'$  the canonical map  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\psi, \mathcal{A}} S$  in the push-out diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\psi, \mathcal{A}} S \\ \uparrow \psi & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & S \end{array}$$

## 6. TATE'S MAIN THEOREM (4.2)

We just make a few remarks about a few points in the proof.

*Use of discriminants in Proof of Cor. 2.* Tate uses the fact that if  $B_{\nu} \subseteq A_{\nu}$  and  $\text{disc}_R(B_{\nu}) = \text{disc}_R(A_{\nu})$ , then  $B_{\nu} = A_{\nu}$ . This follows by choosing a "stacked basis"  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  resp.  $\pi^{r_1}\omega_1, \dots, \pi^{r_n}\omega_n$  for  $A_{\nu}$  resp.  $B_{\nu}$ , where each  $r_i \geq 0$ . Since

$$\det(\text{Tr}(\pi^{r_i}\omega_i \pi^{r_j}\omega_j)) = c^2 \det(\text{Tr}(\omega_i\omega_j)),$$

where  $c = \pi^{\sum_i r_i}$ , we see that  $\text{disc}_R(B_{\nu}) = \text{disc}_R(A_{\nu})$  implies that  $r_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , hence  $B_{\nu} = A_{\nu}$ .

*Why is  $M$  assumed to be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -summand in Prop. 12?* Given  $M \subset T(F)$ , we need to find a corresponding  $p$ -divisible group  $E_* \subset F \otimes_R K$ . Because  $M$  is a direct summand, the Galois module  $M/p^{\nu}M$  is contained in  $T(F)/p^{\nu}T(F) = F_{\nu}(\bar{K})$ . We define the étale  $K$ -group  $E_{*\nu}$  by the equality of Galois modules  $E_{*\nu}(\bar{K}) := M/p^{\nu}M$ .

*Why the algebras  $D_i$  are stationary* Recall that  $R$  is a PID in this discussion.

Tate defines  $E_{\nu} = \text{Spec}(A_{\nu})$  where  $A_{\nu} := u_{\nu}(B_{\nu}) \subset A_{*\nu}$ . It follows that each  $A_{\nu}$  is a finite-rank and free Hopf algebra over  $R$ . Since

$$E_{i+1}/E_i = \text{Spec}(D_i)$$

by definition of quotient we have  $D_i \subset A_{i+1}$  hence  $D_i$  is also finite-free over  $R$ .

The maps induced by  $p$

$$E_{i+\nu+1}/E_{i+1} \rightarrow E_{i+\nu}/E_i$$

(generically isomorphisms) induce maps

$$D_i \rightarrow D_{i+1}$$

which are all isomorphisms upon tensoring by  $K$ , hence are all injective and the  $D_i$  can be viewed as an increasing sequence of  $R$ -orders in a common separable  $K$ -algebra  $D_i \otimes_R K$ . Thus the  $D_i$  are all contained in the integral closure of  $R$  in  $D_i \otimes_R K$ .

**Lemma 6.0.1.** *If  $R$  is a normal Noetherian domain, and  $\tilde{R}$  is its integral closure in a finite-dimensional separable  $K$ -algebra, then  $\tilde{R}$  is a Noetherian  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* Adapt 5.17 of [AM], which handles the case of separable *field* extensions of  $K$ .  $\square$

Since the integral closure of  $R$  in  $D_i \otimes_R K$  is Noetherian  $R$ -module, it follows that there exists an  $i_0$  such that  $D_i = D_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq i_0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [AM] Atiyah-Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*.
- [BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Néron Models*, Springer-Verlag. 1990. Math. Ann. **275**, 365-399 (1986).
- [Mat] Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*.
- [M] D. Mumford, *Abelian Varieties*.
- [T] J. Tate,  *$p$ -divisible groups*.
- [Sh] S. S. Shatz, *Group Schemes, Formal Groups, and  $p$ -Divisible Groups*, In: Arithmetic Geometry ed. Cornell and Silverman.